

# Probability Theory

Jin Long Cao, Ethelia Choi

October 2022

1. You have three octahedral (eight-sided) dice, with the sides bearing the numerals 1–8 (see the green die<sup>1</sup> below). You may assume that each number of each die has an equal probability of coming up.



Label the dice  $d_1, d_2$  and  $d_3$ . When you roll all three dice, let  $R_3 = \max\{d_i - d_j : 1 \leq i, j \leq 3\}$ , so  $R_3$  takes on values in  $[0, \dots, 7]$ .

- (a) What is the probability that  $R_3 = 4$ ? Explain analytically, without extensive listing of tuples.
- (b) What is the expected value of  $R_3$ ,  $E[R_3]$ ? Explain analytically, without extensive listing of tuples.
- (c) Generalize to  $n$  dice  $\{d_1, \dots, d_n\}$  with  $R_n = \max\{d_i - d_j : 1 \leq i, j \leq n\}$ . What is:

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} E[R_n]$$

Carefully explain your result.

---

<sup>1</sup>“die” is the singular of “dice.”

## Solutions

(a)

We claim that

$$P(R_3 = 4) = \frac{4(6 \times 3 + 3 \times 2)}{8^3} = \frac{96}{512} = 18.75$$

First of all, we have 4 because there are 4 possible pairs of numbers such that  $R_3 = 4$ . Namely,  $(1, 5)$ ,  $(2, 6)$ ,  $(3, 7)$ ,  $(4, 8)$ . Then, for a fixed pair, for example,  $(2, 6)$ , suppose the first die is 2 and the second die is 6. We are left with two cases for the third die.

- Case 1: The third die is not 2 nor 6.

Then the value of the third die has to be a number between 2 and 6, that is one of  $\{3, 4, 5\}$ . This is because if the third die is a number bigger than 6 or smaller than 2,  $R_3 > 4$ . So there are 3 choices for the third die. There are 6 permutations of these 3 distinct numbers. For example, if the third die is 3, the permutations are  $(2, 3, 4)$ ,  $(2, 4, 3)$ ,  $(3, 2, 4)$ ,  $(3, 4, 2)$ ,  $(4, 2, 3)$ ,  $(4, 3, 2)$ . Thus, this gives us  $6 \times 3$ .

- Case 2: The third die is 2 or 6.

So there are 2 choices for the third die. This time, we will only have 3 permutations because two of the three elements are the same. We can also think of this as double counting where we divide the 6 permutations by 2, which also gives us 3. For example, suppose the third die is 2, then  $(2, 2, 6)$ ,  $(2, 6, 2)$ ,  $(6, 2, 2)$ . Thus, we arrive at  $3 \times 2$ .

One of these 2 cases must happen so we add these two, which is  $6 \times 3 + 3 \times 2$ . Then, we have 4 pairs, so we multiply and get  $4(6 \times 3 + 3 \times 2)$ . Then the total probability is  $8^3$  because there are 3 dice and each die can take 8 different numbers. We divide the product by the total probability because that tells us of all 512 different possible combinations we can get rolling 3 dices, 96 of those will satisfy the requirement  $R_3 = 4$ .

(b)

We propose that

$$E[R_3] = \sum_{i=0}^7 i \times \frac{(8-i)(6 \times (i-1) + 3 \times 2)}{8^3}$$

Substituting  $i = 0, 1, \dots, 7$  and calculating the sum, we have that  $E[R_3] = 3.9375$ . In the sum,  $i$  is just the value of  $R_3$  while the fraction represents  $P(R_3) = i$ .

Now we look into the fraction. We have  $(8-i)$  because there are  $(8-i)$  ways of subtracting two dice to make  $i$ . We arrived at this by substituting different  $k$  for  $R_3 = k$  and found a pattern. That is,

- Let  $k = 0$ , then this means both dice have to roll the same element. Since there are 8 distinct numbers, there are 8 possible pairs.
- Let  $k = 1$ , then the combinations are  $(1, 2), (2, 3), \dots, (7, 8)$ . There are 7 possible pairs.
- Let  $k = 2$ , then the combinations are  $(1, 3), (2, 4), \dots, (6, 8)$ . There are 6 possible pairs.
- ...
- Let  $k = 7$ , then the only combination is  $(1, 8)$ . There is only 1 possible pair.

Then, for a fixed pair  $(a, b)$ , suppose for the first dice, we rolled  $a$ , and for the second dice, we rolled  $b$ . This leaves us with the third dice. Suppose for the third dice we rolled  $c$ . There are two different scenarios that can happen:

- Case 1:  $c \neq a$  and  $c \neq b$ .  
Notice that  $c$  has to be a number between  $a$  and  $b$ , otherwise,  $R_3$  will be greater than the value it should be. This gives us  $i-1$  choices for  $c$ . Next, there are 6 permutations to order these 3 distinct elements:  $(a, b, c), (a, c, b), (b, a, c), (b, c, a), (c, a, b), (c, b, a)$ . So this gives us  $6 \times (i-1)$ .
- Case 2:  $c = a$  or  $c = b$ .  
There are 2 choices for  $c$ . Then notice that since one element is repeated, we need to account for double counting by dividing the number of permutations, 6, by 2. (Double counting means  $(a, b, c) = (a, c, b)$  if  $b = c$ .) Thus, there are 3 permutations for this case. This gives us  $3 \times 2$ .

Now we put everything together. Since for every fixed pair, one of the two cases can happen, we add the two possibilities, which is  $6 \times (i-1) + 3 \times 2$ . Next, since we have  $(8-i)$  different pairs, we multiply  $6 \times (i-1) + 3 \times 2$  by  $(8-i)$ . This gives us  $(8-i)(6 \times (i-1) + 3 \times 2)$ , which is what we have in the numerator. Lastly, we divide the product by  $8^3$  because we have 3 dice and each can take 8 different values.

(c)

First of all, notice that

$$\begin{aligned}\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} (E[R_n]) &= \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \left( \sum_{i=0}^7 i \cdot P(R_n = i) \right) \\ &= \sum_{i=0}^7 \left( \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} i \cdot P(R_n = i) \right) \\ &= \sum_{i=0}^7 i \cdot \left( \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} P(R_n = i) \right) \quad (\text{since } i \text{ is a constant to the limit}) \\ &= 0 \cdot \left( \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} P(R_n = 0) \right) + 1 \cdot \left( \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} P(R_n = 1) \right) + \cdots + 7 \cdot \left( \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} P(R_n = 7) \right)\end{aligned}$$

Now we will look into each  $P(R_n = k)$  for all  $k$  from 0 to 7 when  $n$  approaches infinity. Here, it is important to note that each number of each die has an equal probability of coming up.

How can we have  $R_n = 0$  when  $n$  is large?

This means 2 of our  $n$  rolls need to be identical. Since we have 8 distinct numbers, when we roll  $n$  dices, it is highly likely that we will have at least 2 rolls that give the same number. In fact, if we think about it, the probability of this happening is close to 1. However, if  $R_n = 0$ , this means the remaining  $n - 2$  dice rolls will also have to land on the same number. Or else, if at least one dice roll is one of the other 7 numbers, then the max difference,  $R_n$  will be greater than 0. In other words, once we rolled the first die, for the remaining  $n - 1$  dice rolls, we need to avoid 7 numbers. We can see that the probability of this happening is close to 0 when  $n$  is large, i.e.  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} P(R_n = 0) = 0$ .

How can we have  $R_n = 1$  when  $n$  is large?

We need 2 rolls to be one of the following 7 pairs:  $(1, 2), (2, 3), \dots, (7, 8)$ . Suppose for dice  $i$  we rolled 1 and for dice  $j$  we rolled 2, where  $i, j \in [1, n]$ . Then, the other  $n - 2$  dice rolls will have to be either 1 or 2. Otherwise, if we rolled any other number from 3 to 7,  $R_n$  will be greater than 1. Notice that if we picked a different pair for dice  $i$  and  $j$ , the same issue will arise. So in other words, after we have fixed two distinct numbers that has a difference of 1, for every roll we make onwards, we need to avoid 6 numbers. Again, this is nearly impossible when  $n$  is large. Thus,  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} P(R_n = 1) = 0$ .

Now, we will look at when  $k = 6$ .

How can we have  $R_n = 6$  when  $n$  is large?

We need 2 rolls to be one of the following 2 pairs:  $(1, 7), (2, 8)$ . Suppose of all  $n$  dice, we rolled at least one 1 and one 7. We can see the probability of this happening is extremely high because  $n$  is large. Then, for the max difference to be 6, for the remaining  $n - 2$  rolls, we cannot roll an 8. Similarly, if our pair was  $(2, 8)$ , we will have to avoid rolling 1. In other words, we have to avoid one number. However, since  $n$  is arbitrarily large, the chances of never rolling one number is extremely small. Thus,  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} P(R_n = 6) = 0$ .

Therefore, we can generalize the above cases and see that when we want  $R_n = k$ , for every  $n - 2$  dice roll, we will need to avoid rolling  $(7 - k)$  numbers. So we can repeat this analysis for  $k = 3, 4, 5$  and we will arrive at the same result, that  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} P(R_n = k) = 0$  for all  $k \in [0, 6]$ .

How can we have  $R_n = 7$  when  $n$  is large?

Using our observation, we know that we need to avoid  $(7 - 7) = 0$  numbers. We will see in a bit whether this is true. Since we roll so many dices, it is guaranteed that we will roll at least one 1 and one 8. Notice that this is the only pair that gives a difference of 7. Then it does not matter what we roll for the remaining  $n - 2$  dices,  $R_n$  will be equal to 7. So indeed, we need to avoid 0 numbers. Since there are no restrictions,  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} P(R_n = 7) = 1$ .

Therefore,

$$\begin{aligned}\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} (E[R_n]) &= 0 \cdot \left( \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} P(R_n = 0) \right) + 1 \cdot \left( \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} P(R_n = 1) \right) + \cdots + 7 \cdot \left( \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} P(R_n = 7) \right) \\ &= 0 \cdot 0 + 1 \cdot 0 + \cdots + 6 \cdot 0 + 7 \cdot 1 \\ &= 7\end{aligned}$$