## Consequences of bugs:

- compiler gives syntax/semantic error if you're very lucky
- program halts with run-time error if you're lucky
- program never halts if you're lucky-ish
- program halts, but with incorrect results if you're unlucky
- program appears correct, but has security holes if you're unlucky

## Perl and Language Safety

We've seen Perl's design introduces quite a few possibilities for bugs and security holes.

For contrast let examine the design of C particularly wrt invalid programs.

## Invalid C Program - changed variable

/home/cs2041/public\_html/code/safety/invalid0.c

```
int i;
int a[10];
int b[10]:
printf("i is at address %p\n", &i);
printf("a[0] is at address p\n",&a[0]);
printf("a[9] is at address %p\n", &a[9]);
printf("b[0] is at address %p\n",&b[0]);
printf("b[9] is at address p\n", &b[9]);
for (i = 0; i < 10; i++)
    a[i] = 77;
for (i = 0; i \le 10; i++)
    b[i] = 42;
for (i = 0; i < 10; i++)
    printf("%d ", a[i]);
printf("\n");
```

#### Invalid C Program - changed variable

The C program assigns to a[10] which does not exist. The consequence could be anything - a C implementation is permitted to behave in any manner given an invalid program. On gcc-5.3/x86 it happens to change b[0] to 42:

```
$ gcc invalid_array_index0.c
$ a.out
i is at address 0xbffff65c
a[0] is at address 0xbffff634
a[9] is at address 0xbffff658
b[0] is at address 0xbffff60c
b[9] is at address 0xbffff630
42 77 77 77 77 77 77 77 77
```

## Invalid C Programs - changed termination

/home/cs2041/public\_html/code/safety/invalid1.c

```
int i;
int a[10];
printf("i is at address %p\n", &i);
printf("a[0] is at address %p\n", &a[0]);
printf("a[9] is at address %p\n", &a[9]);
printf("a[10] is equivalent to address %p\n", &a[10]);
for (i = 0; i <= 10; i++)
    a[i] = 0:</pre>
```

# Invalid C Programs - changed termination

Another invalid C program assigning to a non-existent array element.

With gcc- $5.3/\times86$  it assigns to i and the loop doesn't terminate. So a one character error makes the program invalid, and seemingly certain termination does not occur.

```
$ gcc invalid1.c
$ a.out
i is at address 0xbffff65c
a[0] is at address 0xbffff634
a[9] is at address 0xbffff658
a[10] is equivalent to address 0xbffff65c
```

## Invalid C Program - changed variable in another function

```
/home/cs2041/public_html/code/safety/invalid2.c
void f(int x) {
    int a[10];
    a[19] = 42; /* change variable answer in main (gcc 4.9)
int main(void) {
    int answer = 36;
    f(5);
    printf("answer=%d\n", answer);
    return 0;
```

# Invalid C Program - changed variable in another function

Yet another invalid C program assigning to a non-existent array element.

With gcc-5.3/x86 it changes the variable answer in the calling function main.

```
$ gcc invalid2.c
$ a.out
answer=42
```

## Invalid C Program - changed function return location

 $/home/cs2041/public\_html/code/safety/invalid3.c$ 

```
void f() {
    int a[10];
    // change function's return address on stack (gcc-5.3/)
    // causing function to return after the line: answer =
    a[11] += 10:
int main(void) {
    int answer = 42;
    f();
    answer = 24;
    printf("answer=%d\n", answer);
    return 0;
```

# Invalid C Program - changed function return location

Yet another invalid C program assigning to a non-existent array element.

With gcc-5.3/x86 it changes the variable answer in the calling function main.

```
$ gcc invalid3.c
$ a.out
answer=42
```

#### Invalid C Program - bypassing authentication

 $/home/cs2041/public\_html/code/safety/invalid4.c$ 

```
int authenticated = 0;
char password[8];
printf("Enter your password: ");
gets(password);
if (strcmp(password, "secret") == 0)
    authenticated = 1;
if (authenticated) {
    printf("Welcome. You are authorized.\n");
} else {
    printf("Welcome. You are unauthorized. Your death was
    printf("Welcome. You will experience a tingling sen
    printf("Remain calm while your life is extracted.\
```

# Invalid C Program - bypassing authentication

Yet another invalid C program assigning to a non-existent array element.

A password longer than 8 characters will overflow the array password on gcc- $5.1 \times 86/\text{Linu} \times 10^{-2}$  this can change the variable authenticated and allow access without knowing the correct password.

This is often turmed **buffer-overflow**.

\$ a.out

Enter your password: secret Welcome. You are authorized.

\$ a.out

Enter your password: hello

Welcome. You are unauthorized. Your death will now be imple Welcome. You will experience a tingling sensation and then Remain calm while your life is extracted.

## Invalid C Program - unexpected code excution

/home/cs2041/public\_html/code/safety/invalid5.c

return 0;

```
char *machine_instructions = "\x31\xc0\x50\x68\x2f\x2f\x73'
void f() {
    int a[10]:
    printf("Running a shell\n");
    a[13] = (int)machine_instructions;
}
int main(void) {
    f();
```

#### Invalid C Program - unexpected code execution

On gcc-5.1 x86/Linux the illegal access will cause execution the contents of the array **machine\_instructions**The machine code in this array run a shell.

```
$ gcc invalid5.c
$ a.out
Running a shell
sh-4.3$
```

This is often a key part of security exploits.

#### Implementation versus Language

C was designed for much smaller slower computers - 28K of RAM , 1mhz clock.

Program speed/size much more important for programs then dominated language choice.

Most C implementations still focus on maximizing performance of valid programs.

Most C implementations do not check array bounds or for arithmetic overflow because this has performance costs.

The C definition does not entail this.

A C implementation (like Java) can check array bounds and halt if an invalid indexes is used.

A C implementation could check & halt if an unititialized value is used - but difficult/expensive to track for arrays.



# An Invalid C Programs

```
This C program executed various pieces of invalid code depending
      And or and designation of the Personal States of the Personal States
   // detected by gos -0 -Wall
// detected by religited
roid test2(roid) (
                  int i:
// accessing uninitialized local variable
// detected by year-inaction-address
// detected by valgried
void test(end) (
ist *s * saller(10*sized (ist));
               // accessing variable outside malloc'ed region
a[10] - 42:
// detected by gos -familiae-address
// detected by valgeted
void test2[coid] (
int ms -malloc(10);
// scorming variable orients malloc'ed region
                  int to - SULL
   // detected by valgeted
                      48 (4 to 4)
a(4) - 4;
                  // accessing uninitialized array element (a[4])
                  int *a = mallow(10*minumod (int));
for (i = 0; i < 10; i++)
               // detected by gos -feasition-address
// detected by valgried
   // detected by valgrand
void test#(roid) (
   ist *g = mallon(10*sizeof *p);
int *q = p;
               // accessing from'd area q(4) - 42;
   // detected by valgeted '--leak-check-yes
   void test?(roid) (

int *p = mallon(10*missed *p);

// mallon'ed space inm't freed
   // detected by got -feasities-address
// detected by valgated

void testif(roid) (

int *p = malloc(10*missed *p);

int *q = p;
                  free(p);
// militals free
   void test2(roid) (
   int main(int args, sharvargs[]) {
    saitch (abot(args[1])) {
    same 0: test(0); break;
                  retarn 0;
```

# address sanitizer extension to gcc/clang

```
gcc -fsanitize=address is a very different C implementation.
Invalid array indices, pointer dereferences and some other invalid
use of the string library function are detected.
Performance cost - execution from 1 2-10+x slower
Information cryptic but note source code line indicated, e.g.:
% cd /home/cs2041/public_html/lec/safety/examples/
% gcc -g -fsanitize=address debug examples.c -o debug examples
% ./debug_examples 3
==5780==ERROR: AddressSanitizer: heap-buffer-overflow on address 0xb5900804 at ...
    #0 0x804.94b in test2 /home/cs2041/public_html/15s2/code/safety/debug_examples.c:25
    #1 0x8048cfc in main /home/cs2041/public html/15s2/code/safety/debug examples.c:95
. . . . .
Does not detect use of uninitialized values, e.g.:
 ./debug_examples 4
-2115323248
```

# valgrind - another debugging/testing tool

Valgrind works on x86 machine code - not C specific.

Valgrind runs the code on a virtual machine and detects use of uninitialized memory.

Also picks up many invalid array indexes and poointer dereferences:

For example:

```
% valgrind ./debug_examples 4
==1932== Memcheck, a memory error detector
==1932== Copyright (C) 2002-2010, and GNU GPL'd, by Julian Seward et al.
==1932== Using Valgrind-3.6.1 and LibVEX; rerun with -h for copyright info
==1932== Command: ./debug_examples 4
==1932==
0
2
==1932== Use of uninitialised value of size 8
==1932==
            at 0x521AF0B: _itoa_word (_itoa.c:195)
==1932==
            by 0x521D3B6: vfprintf (vfprintf.c:1619)
            by 0x4E3DC8D: __mfwrap_printf (in /usr/lib/x86_64-linux-gnu/libmudflap.s
==1932==
==1932==
            by 0x400FBF: test4 (debug_examples.c:45)
==1932==
           by 0x401317: main (debug_examples.c:92)
==1932==
. . .
```

#### Other tools

Stack protection (canaries) e.g. gcc -fstack-protector-all. Debugging malloc libraries - dmalloc and efence. Commercial : coverity and purify - different (complementary) approach to valgrind.