

The Tiblony Equivalence.

References: Schurz's paper

Bhatt's notes

Matthew Morrow's notes.

Def. R topological way.

R is integral perfectoid. if

\exists non-zero-divisor π s.t.

- R has the π -adic topology and is complete w.r.t. it.

- $p \in \pi^p R$

- Frob: $R/\pi R \rightarrow R/\bar{\pi}^p R$

given by $\alpha \mapsto \alpha^p$ is iso.

e.g. $\overline{\mathbb{Z}_p}[\frac{1}{p^{1/p^\infty}}]$.

Def. a ^{complete} Tate ring R is
a perfectoid ring if R ^{R uniform} is
(power-bucket elements) is an
integral perfectoid ring.

Remark In this case (page 15
we have $R \cong R^\circ[\frac{1}{\pi}]$. of Morra's
notes).

Remark Perfectoid Field (as defined
last time) is (by a result of

(Reddyer) equivalently a perfectoid ring that is a field.

Tilt of a perfectoid ring A .

$$A^\flat := \lim_{x \mapsto x^p} A.$$

Claim: there exists a pseudo-uniformization π^\flat for A^\flat s.f. if exhibits A^\flat as a perfectored ring.

subclaim: up to multiplying by a unit,

π^\flat (pu for A) admisses

p^n -th roots. (Lemma 1.3 of)
Monow

then take

$$\pi^b := (\pi, \pi^{1/p}, \pi^{1/p^2}, \dots)$$

additive structure of A^b :

$$x+y = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} (x^{1/p^n} + y^{1/p^n})^{p^n}$$

$$\varprojlim_{x \mapsto x^p} R^\circ / \pi \subset \varprojlim_{x \mapsto x^p} R^\circ. \text{ (claims)}$$

$$\left(\varprojlim_{x \mapsto x^p} R^\circ \right) [\pi^b] \simeq \varprojlim_{x \mapsto x^p} R.$$

Main Claim 1:

Fix A a perfect local ring.

then \circ^b gives an equivalence
of categories

$$\left(\begin{array}{c} \text{perfected rings} \\ \downarrow A \end{array} \right) \xrightarrow{\sim} \left(\begin{array}{c} \text{perfected} \\ \text{rings} \\ (A^b) \end{array} \right)$$

To return Yifer,

need the final step

A perfected field

$$A^b \quad \overset{\text{?}}{\dashrightarrow}$$

How to do this? Two ways

- modify down the inverse

(Gabber - Ramero)

- Almost nobhewels
(Schwartz)

Almost Math-

From now on, $A = K$ is a perfectoid field.

Idea : $K = \mathcal{O}_K[\frac{1}{\pi}]$.

so K -mod

$$\simeq \mathcal{O}_K\text{-mod} / S$$

S is the semi subcategory of mod- K where every element is annihilated by some power of π .

But one can take other choices
of S . e.g.

$$S' := \left\{ M \in \mathcal{O}_k\text{-mod} \mid \begin{array}{l} \text{(discrete),} \\ mM = 0 \end{array} \right\}$$

$m :=$ maximal ideal

$\cong \{$ topologically nilpotent
elements $\}$

Claim: S' is a semi

subcategory.

(key fact: $M \cong M^2$)

Def. $\mathcal{O}_k^a\text{-mod} := \mathcal{O}_k\text{-mod}/S'$.

$$\mathcal{O}_K\text{-mod} \begin{array}{c} \xleftarrow{\quad()_! \quad} \\[-1ex] \longrightarrow \end{array} \mathcal{O}_K^a\text{-mod}.$$

(Topo:
 ex where
 $()_! = ()_*$.

\mathcal{F}
 $()_*$

\vdots \wedge
 \downarrow
 $K\text{-mod}.$

Think of $\mathcal{O}_K^a\text{-mod}$ as sitting between $\mathcal{O}_K\text{-mod}$ and $K\text{-mod}$.

$\mathcal{O}_K^a\text{-mod}$ is again a sym. mon abelian category.

$$\mathcal{O}_K^a\text{-alg} := \text{CAlg}(\mathcal{O}_K^a\text{-mod}).$$

and other concepts similarly.

General Paradoxon:

- 1) easy for $\text{char } p$ ↗ usual version over K
- (almost) Reformulation theory ↗ almost version over \mathcal{O}_K
- almost version over \mathcal{O}_K/π .

(Question: is there a functor field analogue?)

$$2) \mathcal{O}_K/\pi \cong \mathcal{O}_{K^\flat}/\pi^\flat$$

(but: is $D(\mathcal{O}_K\text{-nd}) \cong \mathcal{O}_{K\text{-nd}}/S'?$)

no

For claim 1:

① Perfection algebra / K

S^1

② $\mathcal{O}_K^\text{a-PerfAlg} :=$

{ \mathcal{O}_K^α -algebras A which
are π -adically complete,

i.e. $\underline{\text{flat}}$,
 $\text{Frob} : A/\pi^{1/p} \rightarrow A/\pi$
is an iso }

flat: A \mathcal{O}_K^α -alg
 M is an A -mod
($\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^1}^\alpha$ -alg).

M is flat if
- $\bigoplus_A M$ is exact.

$$\textcircled{3} \quad \mathcal{O}_K^a/\pi^{-\text{R}_{\text{alg}}} = \{ \mathcal{O}_K^a/\pi - \text{alg } \bar{A} \text{, flat, s.p.} \}$$

Frob : $\bar{A}/\pi^{1/p} \simeq \bar{A} \}$

$\textcircled{2} \leftrightarrow \textcircled{3}$:

$\textcircled{2} \rightarrow \textcircled{3}$ is the obvious one.

$\textcircled{3} \rightarrow \textcircled{2}$

$\mathcal{O}_K\text{-mod} \xrightarrow{j^*} \mathcal{O}_K^a\text{-mod}$ is

Sym. mon.

$$\text{CAlg}(\mathcal{O}_K\text{-mod}) \xrightarrow[j^*]{(j^*)^*} \text{CAlg}(\mathcal{O}_K^a\text{-mod})$$

$$(j^*)^* =: ()!!$$

Define $C_n =$

$\{ \mathcal{O}_K/\pi^n\text{-alg } B \}$

flat, s.t.

relative $Frob : \mathcal{O}_K/\pi \rightarrow B/\pi$

is an iso }

Claim: by Definition

$C_1 \simeq C_2 \simeq \dots$

$C := \lim_n C_n$

$\simeq \{ \mathcal{O}_K\text{-alg } B \text{ s.t. }$

$\pi\text{-adically complete, flat}$

and s.t. rel. $Frob : \mathcal{O}_K/\pi \rightarrow B$

is iso }

given $A \in \mathcal{O}_k^a\text{-PerfAlg}$.
(wlog) can assume

$$(A)_{!!} \in C_1 \simeq C$$

so can take

$$j^*[(A)_{!!}]$$

(claim: this falls within $\mathcal{O}_k^a\text{-PerfAlg}$.
and provides the inverse.)

Q: why is "almost nothing" useful?

$$K = \mathbb{Q}_p(p^{1/p^\infty})$$

$$L = K(p^{1/2})$$

L/K is not unramified

but is "almost" unramified.

$$K_n := \mathbb{Q}_p(p^{1/p^n})$$

$$L_n := K_n(p^{1/2})$$

$$\mathcal{O}_{L_n} \simeq \mathcal{O}_{K_n}(p^{1/2 p^n})$$

then relative different

$$D_{L_n/k_n} \simeq (P^{1/2p^n}).$$

so becomes less ramified
as $n \rightarrow \infty$.

A perfect ring

$$\{ \text{perf} A^{\text{alg}} / A \} \simeq \{ \text{perf} A^{\text{alg}} / A^{\text{ab}} \}$$

Q: How does this interact with
finite etale morphisms?

Main Claim: (Almost purity)
R is perfectoid ring

S finite étale R -alg

then S is perfectoid.

Moreover, $(\)^\flat$ induces an equivalence

$$\begin{pmatrix} \text{finite étale} \\ R\text{-alg} \end{pmatrix} \xrightarrow{\sim} \begin{pmatrix} \text{finite étale} \\ R^\flat\text{-alg} \end{pmatrix}$$

Strategy:

$$\begin{pmatrix} \text{finite étale} \\ R\text{-alg} \end{pmatrix} \xrightarrow[\star]{\cong} \begin{pmatrix} \text{almost finite} \\ \text{étale } R^\circ\text{-alg} \end{pmatrix}$$

$$\cong \left(\text{a.f.é. } R^\circ/\pi\text{-alg} \right)$$

(*) let's check if

T is finite étale R^\flat -alg.

then $R^\flat \xrightarrow{\quad} T^\flat$ is
almost unramified.

{ ii
if $A \rightarrow B$ of R^\flat -alg is
almost unramified if

\exists an element $e \in (B \otimes_A B)^\times$.

s.t. $e^2 = e,$

$\text{mult}(e) = 1$ and $\ker(\text{mult}) \cdot e \neq 0$
where $\text{mult} : B \otimes_A B \rightarrow B \}$

$R^b \rightarrow T$ unramf'd

then $\exists e \in T \otimes_T T$ idempotent
s.t. it generates R^b $\ker(m/f)$

but $R^b \simeq R^{b^\circ} [\frac{1}{\pi^b}]$.

$$T \simeq T^\circ [\frac{1}{\pi^b}]$$

so $\pi^{bp^N} e \in T^\circ \otimes_{R^{b^\circ}} T^\circ$.
 $\exists N \gg 0$

but Frob is an iso on

T° , R^{b° , and fixes e .

so

$$(\pi^b)^{Y_{P^m}} e \sim F_{rb}^{-N-m} (\pi^b P^N e)$$

$$G T^{\circ} \underset{R^{b^{\circ}}}{\otimes} T^{\circ}$$

true for all $m \geq 0$

$$\Rightarrow e \in (T^{\circ} \underset{R^{b^{\circ}}}{\otimes} T^{\circ})_*$$

is the desired element

For general case, need fitting intervals nicely with the analogous topology

X is an perfect space

i.e. an adic space locally given by $\text{Spa}(R, R^+)$
where R is a perfectoid ring).

then can define

X^\flat locally as $\text{Spa}(R^\flat, R^{+\flat})$.
(glue using tilting equivalence)

(Implicitly we have $\text{Spa}(R, R^+)$
for R perfectoid i)
sheafy).

\exists tilting map

$$b: X \rightarrow X^b$$

$$\pi : R \rightarrow \Gamma \cup \{0\}$$

$$\text{then } (X)^b(f) := \pi(f^\#)$$

$f^\#$ is the lift of f .

Claim: $(X)^b$ is a continuous valuation

and this sends rational subsets
to - - - - - .

Prop.

1) $b: X \rightarrow X^b$ is a

homeomorphism.

2) if $v \in X$ is a ratio

subset, $U = (V)^b$, then -

- $\mathcal{O}_X(V)$ is perfectoid algebra.

- Save for $\mathcal{O}_{X^b}(U)$

- \exists an iso $\mathcal{O}_X(V)^b \simeq \mathcal{O}_{X^b}(U)$.