

# Geometrization of the Local Langlands

§1: What's local Langlands.

- $E$  is a local field f.e.g.  $\mathbb{R}, \mathbb{C}$

$\mathbb{Q}_p$

$\mathbb{F}_p((t))$

- $G_E$  is a <sup>split</sup> reductive group over  $E$ .

- $\hat{G}_{/\mathbb{Q}}$  is Langlands dual of  $G$

- $W_E$ : Weil group of  $E$

Conjecture: There's a map between sets:

$$\left\{ \begin{array}{l} \text{irreducible objects} \\ \text{in } \text{Rep}_{\mathbb{C}}(G(E)) \end{array} \right\} \longrightarrow \left\{ W_E \rightarrow \hat{G}(\mathbb{C}) \right\}$$
$$\pi \quad \longmapsto \quad \varphi_{\pi} \quad \text{"L-parameter of } \pi\text{"}$$

subject to some compatibilities.

Ans: (D) This is not a bijection

(i). From easy to hard for  $\mathcal{E}$ :

Archimedes . Chær p non-arch , chær o non-arch.  
 ( IR , C )                    ( e.g.  $\mathbb{F}_q(tu)$  )                    (  $\mathbb{Q}_p$  )

(2).  $G(E)$  is a topological group

we require  $\pi$  to be "smooth"

( Any vector is fixed by some quasi-cpt  
operator of  $\mathcal{G}(\mathbb{E})$  )

(3).  $W_E$  is a modifier of  $\text{Gal}(\bar{E}/E)$

- $W_{\mathbb{C}} = \mathbb{C}^*$
  - $1 \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^* \rightarrow W_K \rightarrow \text{Gal}(\mathbb{C}/\mathbb{R}) \rightarrow 1$
  - For non-arch  $E$ , residue field  $k = \mathbb{F}_q$ .

$$I \rightarrow I_E \longrightarrow W_E \longrightarrow Q \rightarrow I$$

↓                  ↓                  ↓

II                  I                  I

↓      ' \rightarrow Frob

$$1 \rightarrow I_E \rightarrow \text{Gal}(\bar{\mathbb{Q}}/\mathbb{Q}) \rightarrow \text{Gal}(\bar{\mathbb{F}}_{q^2}/\mathbb{F}_q) \rightarrow 1$$

$\downarrow$   
inertia group

Warning: The topology on  $W_E$  is not the subspace topology induced from  $\text{Gal}(\bar{E}/E)$ .

The correct topology: equip  $I_E$  with the subspace topology, and force it to be open inside  $W_E$ .

Explain  $W_E$ : It can already be seen in local class field theory.

[ "class formations" ]

- (4). we require  $\Phi_\pi$  to be continuous.
- (5). Part of the compatibility for  $\pi \mapsto \Phi_\pi$  is about L-factors and E-factors.

## §2: What's geometrization?

Answer: Do global geometric Langlands  
on the Fargues-Festone curve, which  
behaves like a genus 0 curve in  
nonarchimedean geometry.

$E = \mathbb{Q}_p$ . (For  $\mathrm{Th}_{\mathbb{Q}(\zeta_p)}$ , the story)  
is Basien

### Analogy

	alg. geo.	analytic geometry
affine	$\mathrm{Spa} R, \mathrm{RCAg}$	$\mathrm{Spa}(R, R^+)$ $(R, R^+)$ is a <u>Huber</u> pair $R^+ \subset R \in \mathrm{CAlg}(Top)$ satisfies .....
globalization	Schemes as locally ringed spaces	(pre)-adic - space as locally <u>topologically</u> ringed space

point	Spec $K$ , $K$ field	$\text{Spa}(K, K^\circ)$ .
		$(K, K^\circ)$ : <u>affinoid field</u>

  

analytic	non-analytic
"non-discrete"	"discrete"

Def: Analytic affinoid field,  
 $K$  has a  $\mathbb{R}^+$  poly given by a  
non-arch valuation  $K \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^+ \cup \{\infty\}, \dots$

Not: In most cases people consider

$$\text{Spa } R := \text{Spa}(R, R^\circ)$$

$R^\circ \subset R$  subring of power-bounded objects.

( Ex:  $R = \mathbb{Q}_p$ ,  $R^\circ = \mathbb{Z}_p$  ).

Rank: Among all the pro-adic spaces,

$\{ \text{perfectoid spaces} \} \subset \{ \text{pro-adic Spas} \}$

behave very well when you want to connect char 0 and char p geometry.

$\{$  affine profinite spaces  $\}^{\text{op}}$   
 $\simeq \{$  perfectoid rings  $\}$

Ex: i)  $\mathbb{T}_{\mathbb{F}_p} \langle\langle t^{1/p^\infty} \rangle\rangle$   
 $\quad := \bigcup_n \mathbb{T}_{\mathbb{F}_p} \langle\langle t^{1/p^n} \rangle\rangle$

ii)  $\mathbb{Q}_p^{\text{cycl}}$   
 $\quad := \overbrace{\mathbb{Q}_p(\mu_{p^\infty})}$

perfectoid rings are "super" ramified.

Thm: 1) There is no final object  
 in  $\text{Perfd} = \{$  perfectoid spaces  $\}$ .  
 2) Inside  $\text{Perfd}_p = \{$  char  $p$   
 perfectoid spaces  $\}$ ,  
 we have products.

(but any perfectoid space is over  $\mathbb{Q}_p$ )

<u>Analyse:</u>	Global GL for perfect field	geometrization
geometry	alg. geo. over $\mathbb{F}_p$	"perfectoid geometry"
test objects.	schemes / $\mathbb{F}_p$	char p perfectoid spaces $S \in \text{Perf}(\mathbb{F}_p)$ .
Spaces	prestacks ↪ fpqc-stacks ↪ alg. spaces.	prestacks ↪ V-stacks ↪ diamonds
absolute Curve	$X$ curve over $\mathbb{F}_p$ .	Not exist/scnf:
relative curve	$V \leq \text{schem}$ $X_S = X \times_{\mathbb{F}_p} S$	$X_S = \mathcal{O}_S/\text{Frob}_S$ $Y_S = S \times_{\mathbb{F}_p} \mathbb{Q}_p$

Question:  $S$  is of char p

$\mathbb{Q}_p$  is of char 0.

What's  $S \times \mathbb{Q}_p$

Answer: It's not a product. x

Ex: If  $S = \overline{\text{Spa}(R, R^+)}_{\text{open}}$

$$S \times \text{Spa}(\mathbb{Q}_p) \subset \boxed{\text{Spa } W(R^+)}$$

$W(R^+)$ : ring of  $\varphi$ -Witt-vectors in  $R^+$

is the open form where  $p$  and  $[0]$

$$\overset{p}{W(R^+)}$$

are invertible

In particular,  $S \times \text{Spa}(\mathbb{Q}_p)$  is of char 0.

Quetus: If  $X_S := (S \times \text{Spa}(\mathbb{Q}_p)) / F_{\text{rob}}$

is of char 0. then how to study it using char p testng objects?

Ex: How to define the notion of Cartier divisor on  $X_S$ ?

What's the base?

It can't be  $S$  on  $S/\text{Frob}$ .  
char.  $p$ .

Assume: In fact, we do not use  $\chi_S$ .

Instead  $(\chi_S)^\square$ : the associated diagonal  
(of char.  $p$ ).

Construction (Trotting):

$\forall R \in \text{CAlg}$ . CAlg-Trott:

$R^b := \lim (\dots - R \xrightarrow{\text{Frob}} R \xrightarrow{\text{Frob}} R)$ .

A warning:  $R^b$  is only a multiplicative monoid.

Haus: if  $R$  is nice enough

(e.g.  $R$  is perfect),

you can define  $(R^b, +)$

$(x^{(0)}, x^{(1)}, \dots) \in R^b$

$\text{Frob}(x^{(n+1)}) = x^{(n)}$ .

$(x^{(0)}, x^{(1)}, \dots) + (y^{(0)}, y^{(1)}, \dots)$

$= (z^{(0)}, \dots)$

$\sum_{i=0}^{\infty} := \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} (x^{(i+n)} + y^{(i+n)})^p,$

$\Leftrightarrow$  For  $R$  perfectoid.

$R^b$  is a perfectoid ring  
of char  $p$ .

$\text{Spd}(R, R^+)^b := \text{Spa}(\underbrace{R^b}_{\sim}, \underbrace{(R^+)^b}_{\sim})$

(this is a char  $p$  perfectoid space)

Ex: If  $R$  is of char  $p$ ,  $R^b = R$   
and perfectoid.

$$\text{Ex: } \mathbb{Q}_p^{\text{cycl}} = \overbrace{\mathbb{Q}_p(\mathbb{M}_{p^\infty})}^{\text{ }}$$

$$(\mathbb{Q}_p^{\text{cycl}})^b = \overbrace{\mathbb{F}_p((1/p^\infty))}^{\text{ }}$$

$$= \overbrace{\mathbb{G}_F((1/p^\infty))}^{\text{ }}$$

Thm: (Tilting equivalence)

$$Y \longrightarrow Y^b$$

$$\text{Perfd} \longrightarrow \text{Perfd}_p$$

It induces isomorphisms for our categories

$$\text{Perfd}_X \xrightarrow[\cong]{Y \mapsto Y^b} (\text{Perfd}_p)_{X^b}$$

Moreover: preserves (finite) étale maps.

Def: For any stack  $X \in \text{Filt}(\text{Perfd}^{\text{op}}, \mathcal{S}_p)$

we can define

$$X^\Delta \in \text{Posttk}_p := \text{Fun}(\text{Patch}_p^{\text{op}}, S^2)$$

$$X^\Delta(S) := \coprod_{\substack{S^\# \in \text{Unif}(S) \\ ((S^\#)^b \cong S)}} \text{Map}(S^\#, X)$$

$$\begin{aligned} & S^\# \in \text{Unif}(S) \\ & ((S^\#)^b \cong S). \end{aligned}$$

$$\boxed{\begin{aligned} & \exists: \text{if} \\ & x \in \text{Ch}_p \\ & x^\alpha = x \end{aligned}}$$

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \text{Posttk} & \xrightarrow{\quad \square \quad} & \text{Posttk}_p \\ \downarrow & & \uparrow \\ \text{Patch} & \xrightarrow{b} & \text{Patch}_p \end{array}$$

$\square$  is the unique colimit preserving functor making it commute.

Rmk: (Stages)

" $\square$  only remembers topological information"

(Thm: If  $X$  is pre-addic space )

$$|X^\Delta| = |X|$$

$$\text{Ex: } (\text{Spa } \mathbb{Q}_p)^\square = \text{Spd } \mathbb{Q}_p$$

classifies all the unitary.

$$(\text{Spa } \mathbb{Q}_p)^\square = \underline{\text{Spd } \mathbb{Q}_p}$$

classifies all the char 0 unitary.

$$\text{Result: } X_S := Y_S / \text{units}$$

$$Y_S := S \times \text{Spa } \mathbb{Q}_p.$$

$$\text{Thm: } (Y_S)^\square = (S \times \text{Spa } \mathbb{Q}_p)^\square$$

①

$$\begin{aligned} &= S^\square \times (\text{Spa } \mathbb{Q}_p)^\square \\ &= S \times \text{Spd } \mathbb{Q}_p. \end{aligned}$$

(It's not formed)

(product taken in  $\text{PreStk}_p$ ).

Rank:  $\text{Spd } \mathbb{Q}_p$  is not a perfect space  
. It's a diamond.

Ex:  $\mathbb{Q}_p \hookrightarrow \mathbb{Q}_p^{\text{cycl}}$

$\text{Spa } (\mathbb{Q}_p^{\text{cycl}}) \longrightarrow \text{Spa } (\mathbb{Q}_p)$

is a pro-étale cover char p

$$\text{Spd } \mathbb{Q}_p = \text{Spd } \mathbb{Q}_p^{\text{cycl}} / \underline{\mathbb{Z}_p^\times}$$

(here  $\mathbb{Z}_p^\times$  is a discrete group.)

$$= \text{Spa } \mathbb{T}_{\mathbb{F}_p}((t^{1/p})) / \underline{\mathbb{Z}_p^\times}$$

For any "tpley" space  $T$ .

$\underline{I} \in \text{PreStk}_p$ .

$$\underline{I}(S) := \max_{\text{cut}} (|SI|, T)$$

We can finally define Cartier divisor.

$$\chi_S := \gamma_S / \text{Frob}_S = (S \times \text{Spa } \mathbb{Q}_p) / \text{Frob}_S$$

$$\chi_S = (\gamma_S / F_{\text{obs}})^{\frac{1}{2}}$$

$$= (S \times \text{Spd}(\mathbb{Q}_p)) / F_{\text{obs}}.$$

For  $s \in \text{Part}_{dp}$ ,  $\{s \rightarrow \text{Spd}(\mathbb{Q}_p)\}$   
 $\parallel$

$\{ \text{char } 0 \text{ unit of } s \}$   
 $\}$

$\{ s^{\#} \rightarrow \text{Spd}(\mathbb{Q}_p) \}$   
 $\}$  "taking graphs"

$\{ s^{\#} \rightarrow \gamma_s : s \in \text{Spd}(\mathbb{Q}_p) \}$

This is a codim 1 closed  
subspace.

$\}$

$\{ s^{\#} \rightarrow \gamma_s \rightarrow \chi_s := \gamma_s / \bar{m} \}$

Fact:  $s^{\#} \rightarrow \chi_s$  only depends

$s \rightarrow \text{Spd}(\mathbb{Q}_p) \rightarrow \text{Spd}(\mathbb{Q}_p) / F_{\text{obs}}$

$\approx)$   $\text{Spd } Q_p / \text{Frob}$  should be viewed  
as the moduli problem of  $\text{Coh}^1$  (Cartier divs.)  
on  $\text{FF Cnes.}$

Reall: In usual GL, we use int  
 $F_p$ -parts + da Hake-moduls.  
we use  $\bar{F}_p$ -parts.

We want to use  $\text{Perfd}_{/\bar{F}_p}$

and replace  $\text{Spd } Q_p / \text{Frob}$   
by  $\text{Spd } Q_p^{un} / \text{Frob}$

$$( \quad x(Q_p^{un}) = \bar{F}_p \quad )$$

Def:  $\text{Div}^1 := \text{Spd } Q_p^{un} / \text{Frob.}$

"funct of degree 1 chrd Cartier divs" on  $\text{Fr.}$   
cnes."

$\left\{ \begin{array}{l} \text{relative FF curve } X_S := (S \times \mathrm{Spa}(\mathcal{O}_p))_{/\mathrm{frob}} \\ \text{absolute FF curve does not exist} \end{array} \right.$

$\mathrm{Div}'$  is not the absolute FF curve!

char p

Rmk:  $\mathrm{Div}' \times \mathrm{Div}'$  makes sense in  $\mathrm{ProStk}_{\mathbb{F}_p}$  and is non-trivial

However,

$\mathrm{Spa}(\mathcal{O}_p) \times \mathrm{Spa}(\mathcal{O}_p)$  is boring.

---

Q3: Why FF curve?

read I.11

Thm:  $\pi_1(\mathrm{Div}^1) = W_E$

Rank:  $\text{Div}' = \frac{\text{Spd } \mathbb{O}_p^{\text{ur}}}{\text{Frob.}}$

$$\pi_1(\text{Spd } \mathbb{O}_p^{\text{ur}}) = \text{Gal}(\widehat{\mathbb{Q}_p}/\mathbb{Q}_p)$$

$$= I_{\mathbb{O}_p}$$

$$I \cong I_{\mathbb{O}_p} \rightarrow \pi_1(\text{Div}') \rightarrow Q_{\text{Frob.}}$$

"

We.

Question: Did you say that

FF curve behaves like genus 0.

$X_S$  is not an Spec S

If C is a generic curve,

the

$$\underline{P(X_C, \emptyset)} = \mathbb{Q}_p.$$

$\text{Bun}_G(S) := \text{“Gtors or } X_S\text{”}.$

Thm:  $\text{Bun}_G$  has a stratification

by a poset  $\text{BIG}$  such that  
each stratum is of the form

$*/H$ ,  $H$  is a group diarm.

$$I \xrightarrow{\text{unipotent}} H \rightarrow \underline{M(\mathbb{Q}_p)}$$

$M$  is an Levi of  $G$        $\rightarrow I$   
 (depends on the stratum).

$\Rightarrow$

Cor:  $\underline{\text{Shv}(\text{Bun}_\infty^{\widehat{\mathbb{Q}_\ell}})}$  can be  
obtained from  $\text{Shv}(*/\mathbb{N})$   
" "  
 $\text{Rep}_{\widehat{\mathbb{Q}_\ell}}(\text{MLC}_\infty)$ .

Rank. What else?

p-adic Hodge theory