

# Drafts for sharing

Jianyu MA

September 21, 2020

## 1 Files from Teachers

### 1.1 Topology

- In feuille 2.5 Exo 1, we should assume  $X$  is a Hausdorff space since this condition is used twice in the provided solution.

## 2 TIM discussion

- Define  $v_1(t) := \varphi(t) + \int_0^t \psi(x)dx$  and  $v_2(t) := \varphi(t) + \int_t^0 \psi(x)dx$ , then  $u(x, y) = v_1(x + y) + v_2(x - y)$ . We have  $\partial_{x^2} v_1(x + y) = \partial_{y^2} v_1(x + y) = v_1''(x + y)$  and  $\partial_{y^2} v_2(x - y) = \partial_y(-\partial_y v_2'(x - y)) = v_2''(x - y) = \partial_{x^2} v_2(x - y)$
- $AC = AC = 4, \angle BAC = \frac{2\pi}{3}, CD \perp AB, AF = ?$

$$AH = 1, DH = \sqrt{3}, DH \parallel FG, \text{ so } FH = \frac{EG}{EH} DH = \frac{2}{3}\sqrt{3}, AF = \sqrt{FG^2 + AG^2} = \frac{4}{\sqrt{3}}.$$

## 3 Facebook discussion

**Question 1.** If  $A = (a_{ij})$ , then

$$\text{Tr}(A^k) = \sum_{i_1, i_2, \dots, i_k=1}^n a_{i_1 i_2} a_{i_2 i_3} \dots a_{i_{k-1} i_k} a_{i_k i_1}.$$

*My Solution.* This prove use a tricky mathematical induction. Keep in mind we have  $(AB)_{ij} = \sum_{k=1}^n a_{ik} b_{kj}$ .

- a) Prove this proposition for all  $k = 2^n, n \in \mathbb{N}$ . For  $n = 0, 1$ , it is obvious. Notice that  $\text{Tr}(A^{2^{n+1}}) = \text{Tr}((A^2)^{2^n})$  and  $(A^2)_{ij} = \sum_{k=1}^n a_{ik} a_{kj}$ , so by replacing  $a_{ij}$  with  $\sum_{k=1}^n a_{ik} a_{kj}$  we make the induction step from  $n$  to  $n+1$ .

- b) Prove that if this proposition is true for some  $k_0 \geq 2$  then it is true for  $k_0 - 1$ . Since

$$\frac{\partial \text{Tr}(AB)}{\partial a_{ik}} = \frac{\partial}{\partial a_{ik}} \sum_{i_1, i_2=1}^n a_{i_1 i_2} b_{i_2 i_1} = b_{ki}$$

we have the matrix equality  $\frac{\partial \text{Tr}(AB)}{\partial A} := \left( \frac{\partial \text{Tr}(AB)}{\partial a_{ik}} \right) = B^\top$ , which is same as saying

$$\text{Tr} \left( \frac{\partial \text{Tr}(AB)}{\partial A} \right) = \text{Tr}(B^\top) = \text{Tr}(B).$$

In our case, let  $B := A^{k_0-1}$ , we get

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Tr}(B) &= \text{Tr}(A^{k_0-1}) \\ &= \text{Tr} \left( \frac{\partial \text{Tr}(A^{k_0})}{\partial A} \right) \\ &= \text{Tr} \left( \frac{\partial}{\partial A} \sum_{i_1, i_2, \dots, i_k=1}^n a_{i_1 i_2} a_{i_2 i_3} \dots a_{i_{k-1} i_k} a_{i_k i_1} \right) \\ &= \text{Tr} \left( \sum_{i_3, i_4, \dots, i_k=1}^n a_{j i_3} a_{i_3 i_4} a_{i_4 i_5} \dots a_{i_{k-1} i_k} a_{i_k i_1} \right)_{ij} \\ &= \sum_{i=j=1}^n \left( \sum_{i_3, i_4, \dots, i_k=1}^n a_{j i_3} a_{i_3 i_4} a_{i_4 i_5} \dots a_{i_{k-1} i_k} a_{i_k i_1} \right)_{ij} \\ &= \sum_{j, i_3, i_4, \dots, i_k=1}^n a_{j i_3} a_{i_3 i_4} a_{i_4 i_5} \dots a_{i_{k-1} i_k} a_{i_k i_1} \\ &= \sum_{i_1, i_2, \dots, i_{k-1}=1}^n a_{i_1 i_2} a_{i_2 i_3} \dots a_{i_{k-2} i_{k-1}} a_{i_{k-1} i_1}. \end{aligned}$$

- c) Any  $k \in \mathbb{N}$  is small than a  $k_0 = 2^{n_0}$ , repeat the second step we see that this proposition is true for  $k$ .