# Displacement functional and absolute continuity of Wasserstein barycenters

Jianyu MA, IMT

February 5, 2024, GT CalVa

#### Barycenters

- Notion of mean for probability measures  $\mu$  on metric spaces (E, d)
- Always exist in proper spaces (metric spaces whose bounded closed sets are compact)

## Wasserstein spaces $(\mathcal{W}(E), W)$

- Metric spaces for optimal transport between probability measures on a Polish space (a complete and separable metric space)
- Wasserstein spaces are Polish spaces.

#### **Definitions**

#### Barycenters

- Notion of mean for probability measures  $\mu$  on metric spaces (E, d)
- Always exist in proper spaces (metric spaces whose bounded closed sets are compact)

#### Wasserstein spaces $(\mathcal{W}(E), W)$

- Metric spaces for optimal transport between probability measures on a Polish space (a complete and separable metric space)
- Wasserstein spaces are Polish spaces.

#### **Definitions**

#### Barycenters

- Notion of mean for probability measures  $\mu$  on metric spaces (E, d)
- ► Always exist in proper spaces (metric spaces whose bounded closed sets are compact)

## Wasserstein spaces $(\mathcal{W}(E), W)$

- Metric spaces for optimal transport between probability measures on a Polish space (a complete and separable metric space)
- ► Wasserstein spaces are Polish spaces.

```
Define W(\mu,E):=\inf_{x\in E}W(\mu,\delta_x). z \text{ is a barycenter of } \mu\in\mathcal{W}(E) \quad \text{iff} \qquad W(\mu,\delta_z)=W(\mu,E)
```

#### Definition

Given a Polish space (E, d), the Wasserstein space  $(\mathcal{W}(E), W)$  is also Polish, over which we can construct the Wasserstein space  $(\mathcal{W}(\mathcal{W}(E)), \mathbb{W})$ .

Barycenters  $\overline{\mu}$  of measures  $\mathbb{P} \in \mathcal{W}(\mathcal{W}(E))$  are called Wasserstein barycenters.

#### Definition

Given a Polish space (E, d), the Wasserstein space  $(\mathcal{W}(E), W)$  is also Polish, over which we can construct the Wasserstein space  $(\mathcal{W}(\mathcal{W}(E)), \mathbb{W})$ .

Barycenters  $\overline{\mu}$  of measures  $\mathbb{P} \in \mathcal{W}(\mathcal{W}(E))$  are called Wasserstein barycenters.

#### Remark

By definition,  $\mathbb P$  is a probability measure on  $\mathcal W(E)$ , its barycenter  $\overline \mu$  is thus a probability measure on E.

#### Definition

Given a Polish space (E, d), the Wasserstein space  $(\mathcal{W}(E), W)$  is also Polish, over which we can construct the Wasserstein space  $(\mathcal{W}(\mathcal{W}(E)), \mathbb{W})$ .

Barycenters  $\overline{\mu}$  of measures  $\mathbb{P} \in \mathcal{W}(\mathcal{W}(E))$  are called Wasserstein barycenters.

Example (Displacement interpolation)

Consider the earth surface (E,d) with two uniform measures  $\mu,\nu$  supported on two regions. We simulate the barycenter of  $\frac{1}{2}\delta_{\mu}+\frac{1}{2}\delta_{\nu}$  by discrete points.



#### Definition

Given a Polish space (E,d), the Wasserstein space  $(\mathcal{W}(E),W)$  is also Polish, over which we can construct the Wasserstein space  $(\mathcal{W}(\mathcal{W}(E)),\mathbb{W})$ .

Barycenters  $\overline{\mu}$  of measures  $\mathbb{P} \in \mathcal{W}(\mathcal{W}(E))$  are called Wasserstein barycenters.

## Existence [Le Gouic and Loubes, 2017]

Assuming that (E,d) is a proper space, Wasserstein barycenters in  $\mathcal{W}(E)$  always exist.



Fix a proper space (E,d) and n positive real numbers  $\lambda_1,\lambda_2,\ldots,\lambda_n$  such that  $\sum_{i=1}^n\lambda_i=1$ . Given n measures  $\mu_1,\mu_2,\ldots,\mu_n$ , one can construct a barycenter  $\overline{\mu}$  of  $\sum_{i=1}^n\lambda_i\delta_{\mu_i}$  as follows.

Construction of  $\overline{\mu} := B_{\#} \gamma$ 

- 1. Let  $B: E^n \to E$  be a measurable map (barycenter selection map) sending  $(x_1, x_2, \ldots, x_n)$  to a barycenter of  $\sum_{i=1}^n \lambda_i \, \delta_{x_i}$ .
- 2. Let  $\gamma$  be a measure (mutli-marginal optimal transport plan) on  $E^n$  s.t

$$\int_{E^n} W(\sum_{i=1}^n \lambda_i \, \delta_{x_i}, E)^2 \, \mathrm{d} \, \gamma(x_1, \dots, x_n) = \inf_{\theta \in \Theta} \int_{E^n} W(\sum_{i=1}^n \lambda_i \, \delta_{x_i}, E)^2 \, \mathrm{d} \, \theta(x_1, \dots, x_n)$$

where  $\Theta$  is the set of measures on E'' with marginals  $\mu_1, \mu_2, \ldots, \mu_n$  and  $\gamma \in \Theta$ . Corollary:  $(B, \operatorname{proj}_i)_{\#} \gamma$  is an optimal transport plan between  $\overline{\mu}$  and  $\mu_i$ .

Fix a proper space (E,d) and n positive real numbers  $\lambda_1,\lambda_2,\ldots,\lambda_n$  such that  $\sum_{i=1}^n\lambda_i=1$ . Given n measures  $\mu_1,\mu_2,\ldots,\mu_n$ , one can construct a barycenter  $\overline{\mu}$  of  $\sum_{i=1}^n\lambda_i\delta_{\mu_i}$  as follows.

## Construction of $\overline{\mu} := B_{\#} \gamma$

- 1. Let  $B: E^n \to E$  be a measurable map (barycenter selection map) sending  $(x_1, x_2, \ldots, x_n)$  to a barycenter of  $\sum_{i=1}^n \lambda_i \, \delta_{x_i}$ .
- 2. Let  $\gamma$  be a measure (mutli-marginal optimal transport plan) on  $E^n$  s.t

$$\int_{E^n} W(\sum_{i=1}^n \lambda_i \, \delta_{x_i}, E)^2 \, \mathrm{d} \, \gamma(x_1, \dots, x_n) = \inf_{\theta \in \Theta} \int_{E^n} W(\sum_{i=1}^n \lambda_i \, \delta_{x_i}, E)^2 \, \mathrm{d} \, \theta(x_1, \dots, x_n),$$

where  $\Theta$  is the set of measures on  $E^n$  with marginals  $\mu_1, \mu_2, \dots, \mu_n$  and  $\gamma \in \Theta$ .

Corollary:  $(B, \operatorname{proj}_i)_{\#}\gamma$  is an optimal transport plan between  $\overline{\mu}$  and  $\mu_i$ .

Fix a proper space (E,d) and n positive real numbers  $\lambda_1,\lambda_2,\ldots,\lambda_n$  such that  $\sum_{i=1}^n\lambda_i=1$ . Given n measures  $\mu_1,\mu_2,\ldots,\mu_n$ , one can construct a barycenter  $\overline{\mu}$  of  $\sum_{i=1}^n\lambda_i\delta_{\mu_i}$  as follows.

## Construction of $\overline{\mu} := B_{\#} \gamma$

- 1. Let  $B: E^n \to E$  be a measurable map (barycenter selection map) sending  $(x_1, x_2, \ldots, x_n)$  to a barycenter of  $\sum_{i=1}^n \lambda_i \, \delta_{x_i}$ .
- 2. Let  $\gamma$  be a measure (mutli-marginal optimal transport plan) on  $E^n$  s.t.

$$\int_{E^n} W(\sum_{i=1}^n \lambda_i \, \delta_{x_i}, E)^2 \, \mathrm{d} \, \gamma(x_1, \dots, x_n) = \inf_{\theta \in \Theta} \int_{E^n} W(\sum_{i=1}^n \lambda_i \, \delta_{x_i}, E)^2 \, \mathrm{d} \, \theta(x_1, \dots, x_n),$$

where  $\Theta$  is the set of measures on  $E^n$  with marginals  $\mu_1, \mu_2, \dots, \mu_n$  and  $\gamma \in \Theta$ .

Corollary:  $(B, \operatorname{proj}_i)_{\#}\gamma$  is an optimal transport plan between  $\overline{\mu}$  and  $\mu_i$ .

Fix a proper space (E,d) and n positive real numbers  $\lambda_1,\lambda_2,\ldots,\lambda_n$  such that  $\sum_{i=1}^n\lambda_i=1$ . Given n measures  $\mu_1,\mu_2,\ldots,\mu_n$ , one can construct a barycenter  $\overline{\mu}$  of  $\sum_{i=1}^n\lambda_i\delta_{\mu_i}$  as follows.

## Construction of $\overline{\mu} := B_{\#} \gamma$

- 1. Let  $B: E^n \to E$  be a measurable map (barycenter selection map) sending  $(x_1, x_2, \ldots, x_n)$  to a barycenter of  $\sum_{i=1}^n \lambda_i \, \delta_{x_i}$ .
- 2. Let  $\gamma$  be a measure (mutli-marginal optimal transport plan) on  $E^n$  s.t.

$$\int_{E^n} W(\sum_{i=1}^n \lambda_i \, \delta_{x_i}, E)^2 \, \mathrm{d} \, \gamma(x_1, \dots, x_n) = \inf_{\theta \in \Theta} \int_{E^n} W(\sum_{i=1}^n \lambda_i \, \delta_{x_i}, E)^2 \, \mathrm{d} \, \theta(x_1, \dots, x_n),$$

where  $\Theta$  is the set of measures on  $E^n$  with marginals  $\mu_1, \mu_2, \dots, \mu_n$  and  $\gamma \in \Theta$ .

Corollary:  $(B, \operatorname{proj}_i)_{\#}\gamma$  is an optimal transport plan between  $\overline{\mu}$  and  $\mu_i$ .

## Consistence [Le Gouic and Loubes, 2017]

Let (E,d) be proper space. Given a sequence of measures  $\mathbb{P}_j \in \mathcal{W}(\mathcal{W}(E))$  with barycenters  $\overline{\mu}_j$ , if  $\mathbb{W}(\mathbb{P}_j,\mathbb{P}) \to 0$ , then  $\overline{\mu}_j$  converges to a barycenter of  $\mathbb{P}$  up to extracting a subsequence.

#### Remark

Construction for finitely many measures + consistency  $\implies$  general existence.

Indeed, we rely on the consistency to investigate general barycenters.

## Consistence [Le Gouic and Loubes, 2017]

Let (E,d) be proper space. Given a sequence of measures  $\mathbb{P}_j \in \mathcal{W}(\mathcal{W}(E))$  with barycenters  $\overline{\mu}_j$ , if  $\mathbb{W}(\mathbb{P}_j,\mathbb{P}) \to 0$ , then  $\overline{\mu}_j$  converges to a barycenter of  $\mathbb{P}$  up to extracting a subsequence.

## Uniqueness [Kim and Pass, 2017]

Let (M,d) be a Riemannian manifold. If  $\mathbb{P} \in \mathcal{W}(\mathcal{W}(M))$  gives mass to the set of absolutely continuous measures, then it has a unique barycenter.

## Consistence [Le Gouic and Loubes, 2017]

Let (E,d) be proper space. Given a sequence of measures  $\mathbb{P}_j \in \mathcal{W}(\mathcal{W}(E))$  with barycenters  $\overline{\mu}_j$ , if  $\mathbb{W}(\mathbb{P}_j,\mathbb{P}) \to 0$ , then  $\overline{\mu}_j$  converges to a barycenter of  $\mathbb{P}$  up to extracting a subsequence.

## Uniqueness [Kim and Pass, 2017]

Let (M,d) be a Riemannian manifold. If  $\mathbb{P} \in \mathcal{W}(\mathcal{W}(M))$  gives mass to the set of absolutely continuous measures, then it has a unique barycenter.

## Absolute continuity [Agueh and Carlier, 2011]

Let  $\mu_1, \mu_2, \ldots, \mu_n$  be n probability measures on  $\mathbb{R}^m$ . If  $\mu_1$  is absolutely continuous with bounded density function, then the unique barycenter of  $\sum_{i=1}^n \lambda_i \, \delta_{\mu_i}$  is also absolutely continuous.

## Consistence [Le Gouic and Loubes, 2017]

Let (E,d) be proper space. Given a sequence of measures  $\mathbb{P}_j \in \mathcal{W}(\mathcal{W}(E))$  with barycenters  $\overline{\mu}_j$ , if  $\mathbb{W}(\mathbb{P}_j,\mathbb{P}) \to 0$ , then  $\overline{\mu}_j$  converges to a barycenter of  $\mathbb{P}$  up to extracting a subsequence.

## Uniqueness [Kim and Pass, 2017]

Let (M,d) be a Riemannian manifold. If  $\mathbb{P} \in \mathcal{W}(\mathcal{W}(M))$  gives mass to the set of absolutely continuous measures, then it has a unique barycenter.

## Absolute continuity [Kim and Pass, 2017]

Let (M,d) be a compact Riemannian manifold. If  $\mathbb{P} \in \mathcal{W}(\mathcal{W}(M))$  gives mass to a set of absolutely continuous measures with uniformly bounded density functions, then its unique barycenter is absolutely continuous.

(a.c stands for absolutely continuous)

Absolute continuity and compactness [Kim and Pass, 2017]

Let (M,d) be a compact manifold. If  $\mathbb{P} \in \mathcal{W}(\mathcal{W}(M))$  gives mass to a set of a.c measures with uniformly bounded density functions, then its barycenter is a.c.

Absolute continuity and Ricci curvature bound [Ma, 2023]

Let (M,d) be a complete manifold with a lower Ricci curvature bound.

If  $\mathbb{P}\in\mathcal{W}(\mathcal{W}(M))$  gives mass to the set of a.c measures, then its barycenter  $\overline{\mu}$  is a.c.

Absolute continuity and Ricci curvature bound [Ma, 2023]

Let (M,d) be a complete manifold with a lower Ricci curvature bound. If  $\mathbb{P}\in\mathcal{W}(\mathcal{W}(M))$  gives mass to the set of a.c measures, then its barycenter  $\overline{\mu}$  is a.c.

Sketch of proof, when  $\mathbb{P} = \sum_{i=1}^{n} \lambda_i \, \delta_{\mu_i}$  and each  $\mu_i$  has compact support Similar to the case of displacement interpolation: locally Lipschitz + compactness

- 1. When  $\mu_1$  is a.c and  $\mu_i$ 's for  $2 \le i \le n$  are Dirac measures, the optimal transport map from  $\overline{\mu}$  to  $\mu_1$  is locally Lipschitz. (See details later)
- 2. Apply a divide-and-conquer (conditional measure) argument for the case when  $\mu_i, 2 \leq i \leq n$  are discrete measures to retain the Lipschitz estimate.
- 3. Compactness and Rauch comparison theorem imply a uniform Lipschitz estimate for approximating sequences of general  $\mu_i$ ,  $i \leq 2 \leq n$ .

Absolute continuity and Ricci curvature bound [Ma, 2023]

Let (M,d) be a complete manifold with a lower Ricci curvature bound. If  $\mathbb{P}\in\mathcal{W}(\mathcal{W}(M))$  gives mass to the set of a.c measures, then its barycenter  $\overline{\mu}$  is a.c.

Sketch of proof, when  $\mathbb{P} = \sum_{i=1}^n \lambda_i \, \delta_{\mu_i}$  and each  $\mu_i$  has compact support Similar to the case of displacement interpolation: locally Lipschitz + compactness

- 1. When  $\mu_1$  is a.c and  $\mu_i$ 's for  $2 \le i \le n$  are Dirac measures, the optimal transport map from  $\overline{\mu}$  to  $\mu_1$  is locally Lipschitz. (See details later)
- 2. Apply a divide-and-conquer (conditional measure) argument for the case when  $\mu_i, 2 \le i \le n$  are discrete measures to retain the Lipschitz estimate.
- 3. Compactness and Rauch comparison theorem imply a uniform Lipschitz estimate for approximating sequences of general  $\mu_i$ ,  $i \leq 2 \leq n$ .

Absolute continuity and Ricci curvature bound [Ma, 2023]

Let (M,d) be a complete manifold with a lower Ricci curvature bound. If  $\mathbb{P} \in \mathcal{W}(\mathcal{W}(M))$  gives mass to the set of a.c measures, then its barycenter  $\overline{\mu}$  is a.c.

Sketch of proof, when  $\mathbb{P} = \sum_{i=1}^{n} \lambda_i \, \delta_{\mu_i}$  and each  $\mu_i$  has compact support Similar to the case of displacement interpolation: locally Lipschitz + compactness

- 1. When  $\mu_1$  is a.c and  $\mu_i$ 's for  $2 \le i \le n$  are Dirac measures, the optimal transport map from  $\overline{\mu}$  to  $\mu_1$  is locally Lipschitz. (See details later)
- 2. Apply a divide-and-conquer (conditional measure) argument for the case when  $\mu_i, 2 \le i \le n$  are discrete measures to retain the Lipschitz estimate.
- 3. Compactness and Rauch comparison theorem imply a uniform Lipschitz estimate for approximating sequences of general  $\mu_i, i \leq 2 \leq n$ .

Absolute continuity and Ricci curvature bound [Ma, 2023]

Let (M,d) be a complete manifold with a lower Ricci curvature bound. If  $\mathbb{P} \in \mathcal{W}(\mathcal{W}(M))$  gives mass to the set of a.c measures, then its barycenter  $\overline{\mu}$  is a.c.

Pass to the general case of  $\mathbb{P}$  by consistency

Hessian equality for Wasserstein barycenters: let  $\overline{\mu}$  be the unique a.c barycenter of  $\sum_{i=1}^{n} \lambda_i \delta_{\mu_i}$  and let  $\exp(-\nabla \phi_i)$  be the optimal transport map between  $\overline{\mu}$  and  $\mu_i$ , then

$$\sum_{i=1}^{n} \lambda_i \text{ Hess } \phi_i = 0.$$

Absolute continuity and Ricci curvature bound [Ma, 2023]

Let (M,d) be a complete manifold with a lower Ricci curvature bound. If  $\mathbb{P} \in \mathcal{W}(\mathcal{W}(M))$  gives mass to the set of a.c measures, then its barycenter  $\overline{\mu}$  is a.c.

## Pass to the general case of $\mathbb{P}$ by consistency

Hessian equality for Wasserstein barycenters: let  $\overline{\mu}$  be the unique a.c barycenter of  $\sum_{i=1}^{n} \lambda_i \delta_{\mu_i}$  and let  $\exp(-\nabla \phi_i)$  be the optimal transport map between  $\overline{\mu}$  and  $\mu_i$ , then

$$\sum_{i=1}^{n} \lambda_i \operatorname{Hess} \phi_i \ge 0.$$

Approach of [Kim and Pass, 2017]: plug Monge-Ampère equations into the above inequality and bound the density of  $\overline{\mu}$  by a uniform upper bound of those of  $\mu_i$ 's.

Absolute continuity and Ricci curvature bound [Ma, 2023]

Let (M,d) be a complete manifold with a lower Ricci curvature bound. If  $\mathbb{P} \in \mathcal{W}(\mathcal{W}(M))$  gives mass to the set of a.c measures, then its barycenter  $\overline{\mu}$  is a.c.

## Pass to the general case of $\mathbb{P}$ by consistency

Hessian equality for Wasserstein barycenters: let  $\overline{\mu}$  be the unique a.c barycenter of  $\sum_{i=1}^{n} \lambda_i \delta_{\mu_i}$  and let  $\exp(-\nabla \phi_i)$  be the optimal transport map between  $\overline{\mu}$  and  $\mu_i$ , then

$$\sum_{i=1}^{n} \lambda_i \text{ Hess } \phi_i = 0.$$

Our approach [Ma, 2023]: define a novel class of displacement functionals exploiting the equality, and bound them from above with the help of Souslin space theory.

Fix  $\mathbb{P}=\sum_{i=1}^n\lambda_i\,\delta_{\mu_i}$ , where  $\mu_1$  is a.c with compact support and  $\mu_i=\delta_{x_i}$  for  $i\geq 2$ . Its unique barycenter is  $\overline{\mu}=B_\#\gamma$ , where B is a measurable barycenter selection map and  $\gamma=\mu_1\otimes\delta_{x_2}\otimes\cdots\delta_{x_n}$  is the unique coupling of its marginals.

c-conjugating formulation of B

- 1. Define  $c(x,y):=\frac{1}{2}d(x,y)^2$  and  $g(y):=-\frac{1}{\lambda_1}\sum_{i=2}^n\lambda_i\,c(x_i,y)$
- 2. Given  $x_1 \in M$ , z is a barycenter of  $u := \sum_{i=1}^n \lambda_i \, \delta_x$

$$\iff z$$
 reaches the infimum of  $2\lambda_1 \mathrm{inf}_{y \in M} \{c(x_1,y) - g(y)\}$ 

3. Define  $X = \operatorname{supp}(\mu_1)$  and Y the set of barycenters of  $\nu$  when  $x_1$  runs through X. The map q is smooth on Y [Kim and Pass, 2015]. Set  $F := \exp(-\nabla q)$ .

$$z \in Y$$
 and  $x_1 = F(z) \iff x_1 \in X$  and  $z$  is a barycenter of  $u$ 

Fix  $\mathbb{P}=\sum_{i=1}^n\lambda_i\,\delta_{\mu_i}$ , where  $\mu_1$  is a.c with compact support and  $\mu_i=\delta_{x_i}$  for  $i\geq 2$ . Its unique barycenter is  $\overline{\mu}=B_{\#}\gamma$ , where B is a measurable barycenter selection map and  $\gamma=\mu_1\otimes\delta_{x_2}\otimes\cdots\delta_{x_n}$  is the unique coupling of its marginals.

#### c-conjugating formulation of B

- 1. Define  $c(x,y):=\frac{1}{2}d(x,y)^2$  and  $g(y):=-\frac{1}{\lambda_1}\sum_{i=2}^n\lambda_i\,c(x_i,y)$
- 2. Given  $x_1 \in M$ , z is a barycenter of  $\nu := \sum_{i=1}^n \lambda_i \, \delta_{x_i}$

$$\iff z$$
 reaches the infimum of  $2\lambda_1\inf_{y\in M}\{c(x_1,y)-g(y)\}$ 

3. Define  $X = \operatorname{supp}(\mu_1)$  and Y the set of barycenters of  $\nu$  when  $x_1$  runs through X. The map g is smooth on Y [Kim and Pass, 2015]. Set  $F := \exp(-\nabla g)$ .

$$z \in Y$$
 and  $x_1 = F(z) \iff x_1 \in X$  and z is a barycenter of  $\nu$ 

Fix  $\mathbb{P}=\sum_{i=1}^n\lambda_i\,\delta_{\mu_i}$ , where  $\mu_1$  is a.c with compact support and  $\mu_i=\delta_{x_i}$  for  $i\geq 2$ . Its unique barycenter is  $\overline{\mu}=B_{\#}\gamma$ , where B is a measurable barycenter selection map and  $\gamma=\mu_1\otimes\delta_{x_2}\otimes\cdots\delta_{x_n}$  is the unique coupling of its marginals.

#### c-conjugating formulation of B

- 1. Define  $c(x,y):=\frac{1}{2}d(x,y)^2$  and  $g(y):=-\frac{1}{\lambda_1}\sum_{i=2}^n\lambda_i\,c(x_i,y)$
- 2. Given  $x_1 \in M$ , z is a barycenter of  $\nu := \sum_{i=1}^n \lambda_i \, \delta_{x_i}$

$$\iff z$$
 reaches the infimum of  $2\lambda_1\inf_{y\in M}\{c(x_1,y)-g(y)\}$ 

3. Define  $X = \operatorname{supp}(\mu_1)$  and Y the set of barycenters of  $\nu$  when  $x_1$  runs through X. The map g is smooth on Y [Kim and Pass, 2015]. Set  $F := \exp(-\nabla g)$ .

$$z \in Y$$
 and  $x_1 = F(z) \iff x_1 \in X$  and z is a barycenter of  $\nu$ 

Fix  $\mathbb{P}=\sum_{i=1}^n\lambda_i\,\delta_{\mu_i}$ , where  $\mu_1$  is a.c with compact support and  $\mu_i=\delta_{x_i}$  for  $i\geq 2$ . Its unique barycenter is  $\overline{\mu}=B_{\#}\gamma$ , where B is a measurable barycenter selection map and  $\gamma=\mu_1\otimes\delta_{x_2}\otimes\cdots\delta_{x_n}$  is the unique coupling of its marginals.

#### c-conjugating formulation of B

- 1. Define  $c(x,y):=\frac{1}{2}d(x,y)^2$  and  $g(y):=-\frac{1}{\lambda_1}\sum_{i=2}^n\lambda_i\,c(x_i,y)$
- 2. Given  $x_1 \in M$ , z is a barycenter of  $\nu := \sum_{i=1}^n \lambda_i \, \delta_{x_i}$  c-conjugation of g

$$\iff z$$
 reaches the infimum of  $2\lambda_1\inf_{y\in M}\{c(x_1,y)-g(y)\}$ 

3. Define  $X = \operatorname{supp}(\mu_1)$  and Y the set of barycenters of  $\nu$  when  $x_1$  runs through X. The map g is smooth on Y [Kim and Pass, 2015]. Set  $F := \exp(-\nabla g)$ .

$$z \in Y$$
 and  $x_1 = F(z) \iff x_1 \in X$  and z is a barycenter of  $\nu$ 

Fix  $\mathbb{P}=\sum_{i=1}^n\lambda_i\,\delta_{\mu_i}$ , where  $\mu_1$  is a.c with compact support and  $\mu_i=\delta_{x_i}$  for  $i\geq 2$ . Its unique barycenter is  $\overline{\mu}=B_{\#}\gamma$ , where B is a measurable barycenter selection map and  $\gamma=\mu_1\otimes\delta_{x_2}\otimes\cdots\delta_{x_n}$  is the unique coupling of its marginals.

#### c-conjugating formulation of B

- 1. Define  $c(x,y):=\frac{1}{2}d(x,y)^2$  and  $g(y):=-\frac{1}{\lambda_1}\sum_{i=2}^n\lambda_i\,c(x_i,y)$
- 2. Given  $x_1 \in M$ , z is a barycenter of  $\nu := \sum_{i=1}^n \lambda_i \, \delta_{x_i}$

$$\iff z$$
 reaches the infimum of  $2\lambda_1\inf_{y\in M}\{c(x_1,y)-g(y)\}$ 

3. Define  $X = \operatorname{supp}(\mu_1)$  and Y the set of barycenters of  $\nu$  when  $x_1$  runs through X. The map g is smooth on Y [Kim and Pass, 2015]. Set  $F := \exp(-\nabla g)$ .

$$z \in Y$$
 and  $x_1 = F(z) \iff x_1 \in X$  and  $z$  is a barycenter of  $\nu$ 

Fix  $\mathbb{P}=\sum_{i=1}^n\lambda_i\,\delta_{\mu_i}$ , where  $\mu_1$  is a.c with compact support and  $\mu_i=\delta_{x_i}$  for  $i\geq 2$ . Its unique barycenter is  $\overline{\mu}=B_{\#}\gamma$ , where B is a measurable barycenter selection map and  $\gamma=\mu_1\otimes\delta_{x_2}\otimes\cdots\delta_{x_n}$  is the unique coupling of its marginals.

#### c-conjugating formulation of B

- 1. Define  $c(x,y):=\frac{1}{2}d(x,y)^2$  and  $g(y):=-\frac{1}{\lambda_1}\sum_{i=2}^n\lambda_i\,c(x_i,y)$
- 2. Given  $x_1 \in M$ , z is a barycenter of  $\nu := \sum_{i=1}^n \lambda_i \, \delta_{x_i}$

$$\iff z$$
 reaches the infimum of  $2\lambda_1\inf_{y\in M}\{c(x_1,y)-g(y)\}$ 

3. Define  $X = \operatorname{supp}(\mu_1)$  and Y the set of barycenters of  $\nu$  when  $x_1$  runs through X. The map g is smooth on Y [Kim and Pass, 2015]. Set  $F := \exp(-\nabla g)$ .

$$z \in Y$$
 and  $x_1 = F(z) \iff x_1 \in X$  and z is a barycenter of  $\nu$ 

# Assumptions and notation for the functional $\mathcal{G}: f \cdot \mathrm{Vol} \mapsto \int_M G(f) \, \mathrm{d} \, \mathrm{Vol}$

- 1. M, m-dimensional manifold with lower Ricci curvature bound  $-K \leq 0$ .
- 2.  $\mu_i, 1 \leq i \leq n$ , compactly supported measures which are a.c for indices  $1 \leq i \leq k$ .
- 3. f, density of the barycenter  $\overline{\mu}$  of  $\mathbb{P}:=\sum_{i=1}^n \lambda_i\,\delta_{\mu_i}; \quad g_i,\ 1\leq i\leq k$ , density of  $\mu_i$ .
- 4. G, a function on  $\mathbb{R}^+$  with G(0)=0 such that  $H(x):=G(e^x)e^{-x}$  is  $\mathcal{C}^1$  with non-negative derivatives bounded above by  $L_H>0$ .

Define  $\Lambda := \sum_{i=1}^k \lambda_i$ , then

$$\mathcal{G}(\overline{\mu}) := \int_M G(f) \, \mathrm{d} \, \mathrm{Vol} \leq \sum_{i=1}^k \frac{\lambda_i}{\Lambda} \int_M G(g_i) \, \mathrm{d} \, \mathrm{Vol} + \frac{L_H K}{2\Lambda} \mathbb{W}(\mathbb{P}, \delta_{\overline{\mu}})^2 + \frac{L_H}{2\Lambda} (m^2 + 2m) \; .$$

# Assumptions and notation for the functional $\mathcal{G}: f \cdot \mathrm{Vol} \mapsto \int_M G(f) \, \mathrm{d} \, \mathrm{Vol}$

- 1. M, m-dimensional manifold with lower Ricci curvature bound  $-K \leq 0$ .
- 2.  $\mu_i, 1 \leq i \leq n$ , compactly supported measures which are a.c for indices  $1 \leq i \leq k$ .
- 3. f, density of the barycenter  $\overline{\mu}$  of  $\mathbb{P} := \sum_{i=1}^n \lambda_i \, \delta_{\mu_i}$ ;  $g_i$ ,  $1 \leq i \leq k$ , density of  $\mu_i$ .
- 4. G, a function on  $\mathbb{R}^+$  with G(0)=0 such that  $H(x):=G(e^x)e^{-x}$  is  $\mathcal{C}^1$  with non-negative derivatives bounded above by  $L_H>0$ .

Define  $\Lambda := \sum_{i=1}^k \lambda_i$ , then

$$\mathcal{G}(\overline{\mu}) := \int_M G(f) \, \mathrm{d} \operatorname{Vol} \leq \sum_{i=1}^k \frac{\lambda_i}{\Lambda} \int_M G(g_i) \, \mathrm{d} \operatorname{Vol} + \frac{L_H K}{2\Lambda} \mathbb{W}(\mathbb{P}, \delta_{\overline{\mu}})^2 + \frac{L_H}{2\Lambda} (m^2 + 2m) \,.$$

Assumptions and notation for the functional  $\mathcal{G}: f \cdot \mathrm{Vol} \mapsto \int_M G(f) \, \mathrm{d} \, \mathrm{Vol}$ 

- 1. M, m-dimensional manifold with lower Ricci curvature bound  $-K \le 0$ .
- 2.  $\mu_i, 1 \leq i \leq n$ , compactly supported measures which are a.c for indices  $1 \leq i \leq k$ .
- 3. f, density of the barycenter  $\overline{\mu}$  of  $\mathbb{P} := \sum_{i=1}^{n} \lambda_i \, \delta_{\mu_i}$ ;  $g_i$ ,  $1 \leq i \leq k$ , density of  $\mu_i$ .
- 4. G, a function on  $\mathbb{R}^+$  with G(0)=0 such that  $H(x):=G(e^x)e^{-x}$  is  $\mathcal{C}^1$  with non-negative derivatives bounded above by  $L_H>0$ .

Define  $\Lambda := \sum_{i=1}^k \lambda_i$ , then

$$\mathcal{G}(\overline{\mu}) \leq \sum_{i=1}^{k} rac{\lambda_i}{\Lambda} \mathcal{G}(\mu_i) + rac{L_H K}{2\Lambda} \mathbb{W}(\mathbb{P}, \delta_{\overline{\mu}})^2 + rac{L_H}{2\Lambda} (m^2 + 2m) \ .$$

# Special case: curvature-dimension condition

Take  $G(x) := x \log x$ , n = k = 2,  $\Lambda = L_H = 1$ . Set  $\lambda = \lambda_1$  and  $\mathrm{Ent} = \mathcal{G}$ , then

$$\operatorname{Ent}(\overline{\mu}) \le \lambda \operatorname{Ent}(\mu_1) + (1 - \lambda) \operatorname{Ent}(\mu_2) + \frac{K}{2} \lambda (1 - \lambda) W(\mu_1, \mu_2)^2 + \frac{m^2}{2} + m.$$

## Assumptions and notation for the functional $\mathcal{G}: f \cdot \mathrm{Vol} \mapsto \int_{M} G(f) \, \mathrm{d} \, \mathrm{Vol}$

- 1. M. m-dimensional manifold with lower Ricci curvature bound -K < 0.
- 2.  $\mu_i, 1 \leq i \leq n$ , compactly supported measures which are a.c for indices  $1 \leq i \leq k$ .
- 3. f, density of the barycenter  $\overline{\mu}$  of  $\mathbb{P} := \sum_{i=1}^n \lambda_i \, \delta_{\mu_i}$ ;  $g_i$ ,  $1 \leq i \leq k$ , density of  $\mu_i$ .
- 4. G, a function on  $\mathbb{R}^+$  with G(0)=0 such that  $H(x):=G(e^x)e^{-x}$  is  $\mathcal{C}^1$  with non-negative derivatives bounded above by  $L_H>0$ .

Define  $\Lambda := \sum_{i=1}^k \lambda_i$ , then

$$\mathcal{G}(\overline{\mu}) \leq \sum_{i=1}^{k} \frac{\lambda_i}{\Lambda} \mathcal{G}(\mu_i) + \frac{L_H K}{2\Lambda} \mathbb{W}(\mathbb{P}, \delta_{\overline{\mu}})^2 + \frac{L_H}{2\Lambda} (m^2 + 2m).$$

# Difference from classical displacement functionals

Gradient flow theory (first-order) and displacement convexity (second-order) gives that

$$\mathcal{G}(\mu_i) \geq \mathcal{G}(\overline{\mu}) + \int_{\mathcal{M}} \Delta \phi_i \, H'(\log f) \, \mathrm{d}\, \overline{\mu} - \frac{L_H \, K}{2} \, W_2(\overline{\mu}, \mu_i)^2, \quad 1 \leq i \leq k.$$

## Reminder of the problem setting

We approximate a general measure  $\mathbb{P} \in \mathcal{W}(\mathcal{W}(M))$  with  $\mathbb{P}_j$ . After proving that the barycenter  $\overline{\mu}_j$  of  $\mathbb{P}_j$  is a.c, how to show that the barycenter  $\overline{\mu} = \lim \overline{\mu}_j$  of  $\mathbb{P}$  is also a.c?

#### Use displacement functionals $\mathcal G$ admitting finite values only for a.c measures

- 1. Assume G is in addition super-linear and convex, then  $\mathcal G$  is lower semi-continuous;
- 2. Bound  $\{\mathcal{G}(\overline{\mu}_j)\}_{j\geq 1}$  from above, for which we use the displacement inequality;
- 3. By choosing the sequence  $\mathbb{P}_j$  properly, it reduces to show that  $\mathbb{P}$  gives mass to a  $\mathrm{B}(G,L)$  set, the set of a.c measures whose values under  $\mathcal{G}$  are bounded by L>0;
- 4. Compact sets w.r.t. the  $\sigma(L^1, L^{\infty})$  topology are B(G, L) sets;
- 5. Souslin space theory implies that several different topologies of a.c measures generate the same Borel sets, on which  $\mathbb{P}$  is a Radon measure.

#### Reminder of the problem setting

We approximate a general measure  $\mathbb{P} \in \mathcal{W}(\mathcal{W}(M))$  with  $\mathbb{P}_j$ . After proving that the barycenter  $\overline{\mu}_j$  of  $\mathbb{P}_j$  is a.c, how to show that the barycenter  $\overline{\mu} = \lim \overline{\mu}_j$  of  $\mathbb{P}$  is also a.c?

#### Use displacement functionals $\mathcal G$ admitting finite values only for a.c measures

- 1. Assume G is in addition super-linear and convex, then  $\mathcal G$  is lower semi-continuous;
- 2. Bound  $\{\mathcal{G}(\overline{\mu}_j)\}_{j\geq 1}$  from above, for which we use the displacement inequality;
- 3. By choosing the sequence  $\mathbb{P}_j$  properly, it reduces to show that  $\mathbb{P}$  gives mass to a  $\mathrm{B}(G,L)$  set, the set of a.c measures whose values under  $\mathcal{G}$  are bounded by L>0;
- 4. Compact sets w.r.t. the  $\sigma(L^1, L^{\infty})$  topology are B(G, L) sets
- 5. Souslin space theory implies that several different topologies of a.c measures generate the same Borel sets, on which  $\mathbb{P}$  is a Radon measure.

#### Reminder of the problem setting

We approximate a general measure  $\mathbb{P} \in \mathcal{W}(\mathcal{W}(M))$  with  $\mathbb{P}_j$ . After proving that the barycenter  $\overline{\mu}_j$  of  $\mathbb{P}_j$  is a.c, how to show that the barycenter  $\overline{\mu} = \lim \overline{\mu}_j$  of  $\mathbb{P}$  is also a.c?

#### Use displacement functionals ${\cal G}$ admitting finite values only for a.c measures

- 1. Assume G is in addition super-linear and convex, then  $\mathcal G$  is lower semi-continuous;
- 2. Bound  $\{\mathcal{G}(\overline{\mu}_j)\}_{j\geq 1}$  from above, for which we use the displacement inequality;
- 3. By choosing the sequence  $\mathbb{P}_j$  properly, it reduces to show that  $\mathbb{P}$  gives mass to a  $\mathrm{B}(G,L)$  set, the set of a.c measures whose values under  $\mathcal{G}$  are bounded by L>0;
- 4. Compact sets w.r.t. the  $\sigma(L^1, L^{\infty})$  topology are B(G, L) sets;
- 5. Souslin space theory implies that several different topologies of a.c measures generate the same Borel sets, on which  $\mathbb{P}$  is a Radon measure.

#### Reminder of the problem setting

We approximate a general measure  $\mathbb{P} \in \mathcal{W}(\mathcal{W}(M))$  with  $\mathbb{P}_j$ . After proving that the barycenter  $\overline{\mu}_j$  of  $\mathbb{P}_j$  is a.c, how to show that the barycenter  $\overline{\mu} = \lim \overline{\mu}_j$  of  $\mathbb{P}$  is also a.c?

#### Use displacement functionals ${\cal G}$ admitting finite values only for a.c measures

- 1. Assume G is in addition super-linear and convex, then  $\mathcal G$  is lower semi-continuous;
- 2. Bound  $\{\mathcal{G}(\overline{\mu}_j)\}_{j\geq 1}$  from above, for which we use the displacement inequality;
- 3. By choosing the sequence  $\mathbb{P}_j$  properly, it reduces to show that  $\mathbb{P}$  gives mass to a  $\mathrm{B}(G,L)$  set, the set of a.c measures whose values under  $\mathcal{G}$  are bounded by L>0;
- 4. Compact sets w.r.t. the  $\sigma(L^1,L^\infty)$  topology are B(G,L) sets;
- 5. Souslin space theory implies that several different topologies of a.c measures generate the same Borel sets, on which  $\mathbb{P}$  is a Radon measure.

#### Reminder of the problem setting

We approximate a general measure  $\mathbb{P} \in \mathcal{W}(\mathcal{W}(M))$  with  $\mathbb{P}_j$ . After proving that the barycenter  $\overline{\mu}_j$  of  $\mathbb{P}_j$  is a.c, how to show that the barycenter  $\overline{\mu} = \lim \overline{\mu}_j$  of  $\mathbb{P}$  is also a.c?

#### Use displacement functionals $\mathcal G$ admitting finite values only for a.c measures

- 1. Assume G is in addition super-linear and convex, then  $\mathcal G$  is lower semi-continuous;
- 2. Bound  $\{\mathcal{G}(\overline{\mu}_j)\}_{j\geq 1}$  from above, for which we use the displacement inequality;
- 3. By choosing the sequence  $\mathbb{P}_j$  properly, it reduces to show that  $\mathbb{P}$  gives mass to a  $\mathrm{B}(G,L)$  set, the set of a.c measures whose values under  $\mathcal{G}$  are bounded by L>0;
- 4. Compact sets w.r.t. the  $\sigma(L^1, L^{\infty})$  topology are B(G, L) sets;
- 5. Souslin space theory implies that several different topologies of a.c measures generate the same Borel sets, on which  $\mathbb{P}$  is a Radon measure.

#### References



Cordero-Erausquin, D., McCann, R. J., and Schmuckenschläger, M. (2001). A Riemannian interpolation inequality à la Borell, Brascamp and Lieb. *Inventiones mathematicae*, 146(2):219–257.

Kim, Y.-H. and Pass, B. (2015). Multi-marginal optimal transport on Riemannian manifolds. *American Journal of Mathematics*, 137(4):1045–1060.

Kim, Y.-H. and Pass, B. (2017). Wasserstein barycenters over Riemannian manifolds. *Advances in Mathematics*, 307:640–683.

Le Gouic, T. and Loubes, J.-M. (2017). Existence and consistency of Wasserstein barycenters. *Probability Theory and Related Fields*, 168(3):901–917.

Ma, J. (2023). Absolute continuity of Wasserstein barycenters on manifolds with a lower Ricci curvature bound.

arXiv preprint arXiv:2310.13832.

$$\mathcal{G}(\overline{\mu}) \leq \sum_{i=1}^{k} \frac{\lambda_{i}}{\Lambda} \mathcal{G}(\mu_{i}) + \frac{L_{H}K}{2\Lambda} \mathbb{W}(\mathbb{P}, \delta_{\overline{\mu}})^{2} + \frac{L_{H}}{2\Lambda} (m^{2} + 2m)$$

#### Step 1, change of variables

Denote by  $F_i$  the optimal transport map from  $\overline{\mu}$  to  $\mu_i$ , by  $\operatorname{Jac} F_i$  the Jacobian of  $F_i$ . Since  $f = g(F_i) \operatorname{Jac} F_i$ ,  $\mathcal{G}(\mu_i) = \int_M H(\log f + l_i) \, \mathrm{d} \overline{\mu}$ , where  $l_i := -\log \operatorname{Jac} F_i$ .

$$\mathcal{G}(\overline{\mu}) \leq \sum_{i=1}^{k} \frac{\lambda_i}{\Lambda} \mathcal{G}(\mu_i) + \frac{L_H K}{2\Lambda} \mathbb{W}(\mathbb{P}, \delta_{\overline{\mu}})^2 + \frac{L_H}{2\Lambda} (m^2 + 2m)$$

#### Step 1, change of variables

Denote by  $F_i$  the optimal transport map from  $\overline{\mu}$  to  $\mu_i$ , by  $\operatorname{Jac} F_i$  the Jacobian of  $F_i$ . Since  $f = g(F_i) \operatorname{Jac} F_i$ ,  $\mathcal{G}(\mu_i) = \int_M H(\log f + l_i) \, \mathrm{d} \overline{\mu}$ , where  $l_i := -\log \operatorname{Jac} F_i$ .

By McCann-Brenier theorem,  $F_i = \exp(-\nabla \phi_i)$  with  $\phi_i$  a c-concave function.

$$\mathcal{G}(\overline{\mu}) \leq \sum_{i=1}^{k} \frac{\lambda_i}{\Lambda} \mathcal{G}(\mu_i) + \frac{L_H K}{2\Lambda} \mathbb{W}(\mathbb{P}, \delta_{\overline{\mu}})^2 + \frac{L_H}{2\Lambda} (m^2 + 2m)$$

#### Step 1, change of variables

Denote by  $F_i$  the optimal transport map from  $\overline{\mu}$  to  $\mu_i$ , by  $\operatorname{Jac} F_i$  the Jacobian of  $F_i$ . Since  $f = g(F_i) \operatorname{Jac} F_i$ ,  $\mathcal{G}(\mu_i) = \int_M H(\log f + l_i) \, \mathrm{d}\, \overline{\mu}$ , where  $l_i := -\log \operatorname{Jac} F_i$ . By McCann-Brenier theorem,  $F_i = \exp(-\nabla \phi_i)$  with  $\phi_i$  a c-concave function.

#### Step 2, apply Ricci curvature bound

Jacobi equation for  $\operatorname{dexp}(-\nabla\phi_i)$  implies  $l_i \geq \Delta\phi_i - K\|\nabla\phi_i\|^2/2$  for  $1 \leq i \leq k$ . Second variation formula implies  $m + m^2/2 \geq \Delta\phi_i - K\|\nabla\phi_i\|^2/2$ . [Cordero-Erausquin et al., 2001]

$$\mathcal{G}(\overline{\mu}) \leq \sum_{i=1}^{\kappa} \frac{\lambda_i}{\Lambda} \mathcal{G}(\mu_i) + \frac{L_H K}{2\Lambda} \mathbb{W}(\mathbb{P}, \delta_{\overline{\mu}})^2 + \frac{L_H}{2\Lambda} (m^2 + 2m)$$

#### Step 1, change of variables

Denote by  $F_i$  the optimal transport map from  $\overline{\mu}$  to  $\mu_i$ , by  $\operatorname{Jac} F_i$  the Jacobian of  $F_i$ . Since  $f=g(F_i)\operatorname{Jac} F_i$ ,  $\mathcal{G}(\mu_i)=\int_M H(\log f+l_i)\operatorname{d}\overline{\mu}$ , where  $l_i:=-\log\operatorname{Jac} F_i$ . By McCann-Brenier theorem,  $F_i=\exp(-\nabla\phi_i)$  with  $\phi_i$  a c-concave function.

#### Step 2, apply Ricci curvature bound

Jacobi equation for  $d\exp(-\nabla\phi_i)$  implies  $l_i \geq \Delta\phi_i - K\|\nabla\phi_i\|^2/2$  for  $1 \leq i \leq k$ . Second variation formula implies  $m + m^2/2 \geq \Delta\phi_i - K\|\nabla\phi_i\|^2/2$ . [Cordero-Erausquin et al., 2001]

## Step 3, apply assumptions on H

$$H(\log f + l_i) - H(\log f) \ge L_H(\Delta \phi_i - K \|\nabla \phi_i\|^2/2) - L_H(m + m^2/2).$$

$$\mathcal{G}(\overline{\mu}) \leq \sum_{i=1}^{k} \frac{\lambda_i}{\Lambda} \mathcal{G}(\mu_i) + \frac{L_H K}{2\Lambda} \mathbb{W}(\mathbb{P}, \delta_{\overline{\mu}})^2 + \frac{L_H}{2\Lambda} (m^2 + 2m)$$

#### Step 1, change of variables

Denote by  $F_i$  the optimal transport map from  $\overline{\mu}$  to  $\mu_i$ , by  $\operatorname{Jac} F_i$  the Jacobian of  $F_i$ . Since  $f = g(F_i) \operatorname{Jac} F_i$ ,  $\mathcal{G}(\mu_i) = \int_M H(\log f + l_i) d\overline{\mu}$ , where  $l_i := -\log \operatorname{Jac} F_i$ .

By McCann-Brenier theorem,  $F_i = \exp(-\nabla \phi_i)$  with  $\phi_i$  a c-concave function.

#### Step 2, apply Ricci curvature bound

Jacobi equation for  $d \exp(-\nabla \phi_i)$  implies  $l_i \geq \Delta \phi_i - K \|\nabla \phi_i\|^2 / 2$  for  $1 \leq i \leq k$ .

Second variation formula implies  $m+m^2/2 \geq \Delta\phi_i - K\|\nabla\phi_i\|^2/2$ . [Cordero-Erausquin et al., 2001]

#### Step 3, apply assumptions on H

$$H(\log f + l_i) - H(\log f) > L_H(\Delta \phi_i - K \|\nabla \phi_i\|^2/2) - L_H(m + m^2/2).$$

## Step 4, integrate and apply the Hessian equality

The Hessian equality  $\sum_{i=1}^{n} \lambda_i \operatorname{Hess}_x \phi_i = 0$  implies  $\sum_{i=1}^{n} \lambda_i \Delta \phi_i(x) = 0$ .