```
input race $ AZTuse $ yes no;
total = yes + no;
datalines;
w yes 14 93
w no 32 81
b yes 11 52
b no 12 43
;
proc logistic;
class race(ref='b') AZTuse(ref='no')/param=ref;
model yes/total=race AZTuse;
run;

proc genmod;
class race(ref='b') AZTuse(ref='no')/param=ref;
model yes/total=race AZTuse/dist=bin link=logit;
run;
```

data aids;



### The SAS System

### The LOGISTIC Procedure

Model Information		
Data Set	WORK.AIDS	
Response Variable (Events)	yes	
Response Variable (Trials)	total	
Model	binary logit	
Optimization Technique	Fisher's scoring	

Number of Observations Read	4
Number of Observations Used	4
Sum of Frequencies Read	338
Sum of Frequencies Used	338

Response Profile			
Ordered Value	Binary Outcome	Total Frequency	
1	Event	69	
2	Nonevent	269	

Class L	evel Inf	ormation
Class	Value	Design Variables
race	b	0
	w	1
AZTuse	no	0
	yes	1

# Model Convergence Status Convergence criterion (GCONV=1E-8) satisfied.

Model Fit Statistics					
Intercept and Covariates					
Criterion	Intercept Only	Log Likelihood	Full Log Likelihood		
AIC	344.118	341,151	24.860		
sc	347.941	352.620	36.329		
-2 Log L	342.118	335.151	18.860		

Testing Global Null Hypothesis: BETA=0					
Test Chi-Square DF Pr > C					
Likelihood Ratio	6.9664	2	0.0307		
Score	6.8957	2	0.0318		
Wald	6.7402	2	0.0344		

Type 3 Analysis of Effects				
Effect DF Chi-Square Pr > ChiS				
race	1	0.0370	0.8476	
AZTuse	1	6.6507	0.0099	

Analysis of Maximum Likelihood Estimates						
Parameter		DF	Estimate	Standard Error	Wald Chi-Square	Pr > ChiSq
Intercept		1	-1.0736	0.2629	16.6705	<.0001
race	w	1	0.0555	0.2886	0.0370	0.8476
AZTuse	yes	1	-0.7195	0.2790	6.6507	0.0099

Odds Ratio Estimates					
Effect	95% Wald Point Estimate Confidence Limi				
race w vs b	1.057	0.600	1,861		
AZTuse yes vs no	0.487	0.282	0.841		

Association of Predicted Probabilities and Observed Responses				
Percent Concordant	45.7	Somers' D	0.183	
Percent Discordant	27.5	Gamma	0.250	
Percent Tied	26.8	Tau-a	0.060	
Pairs	18561	C .	0.591	

## The SAS System

### The GENMOD Procedure

Model Information		
Data Set	WORK.AIDS	
Distribution	Binomial	
Link Function	Logit	
Response Variable (Events)	yes	
Response Variable (Trials)	total	

Number of Observations Read	4
Number of Observations Used	4
Number of Events	69
Number of Trials	338

Class Level Information				
Class	Value	Design Variables		
race	b	0		
	w	1		
AZTuse	no	0		
	yes	1		

	Response Profile	e	
Ordered Value	Binary Outcome	Total Frequency	
1	Event	69	
2	Nonevent	269	

Criteria For Assessing Goodness Of Fit				
Criterion	DF	Value	Value/DF	
Deviance	1	1.3835	1.3835	
Scaled Deviance	1	1.3835	1.3835	
Pearson Chi-Square	1	1.3910	1.3910	
Scaled Pearson X2	1	1.3910	1.3910	
Log Likelihood		-167.5756		
Full Log Likelihood		-9.4299		

AIC (smaller is better)	24.8598	
AICC (smaller is better)	190	***************************************
BIC (smaller is better)	23.0187	

Algorithm converged.

Analysis Of Maximum Likelihood Parameter Estimates								
Parameter Intercept		DF Estimate	Estimate	Standard Error	Wald 95% Confidence Limits		Wald Chi- Square 16.67	1
			0.2629	-1.5889	-0.5582			
race	w	1	0.0555	0.2886	-0.5102	0.6212	0.04	0.8476
AZTuse	yes	1	-0.7195	0.2790	-1.2662	-0.1727	6.65	0.0099
Scale		0	1.0000	0.0000	1.0000	1.0000		

Note: The scale parameter was held fixed.