

# correlaid\_text\_analysis

*Xiang XU, Jing(Mira) Tang, Ningze(Summer) ZU, Jianhao(Miller) Yan*


*November 3, 2018*

Scraping webpages

tidy text

look at single word frequency and visualize

first at doc1



plotting and comparing the three articles




```
##
## Pearson's product-moment correlation
##
## data: proportion and doc1
## t = -0.90635, df = 800, p-value = 0.365
## alternative hypothesis: true correlation is not equal to 0
## 95 percent confidence interval:
## -0.10103135 0.03728257
## sample estimates:
##      cor
## -0.03202772

##
## Pearson's product-moment correlation
##
## data: proportion and doc1
## t = -1.3419, df = 800, p-value = 0.18
## alternative hypothesis: true correlation is not equal to 0
## 95 percent confidence interval:
## -0.11623528 0.02191054
## sample estimates:
##      cor
## -0.04738898
```


*As we saw in the plots, the word frequencies have little frequencies in three articles.*

# Sentiment analysis

bing sentiment analysis




## Comparing the three sentiment dictionaries




## Most common sentiment words


```
## # A tibble: 79 x 3
##   word      sentiment    n
##   <chr>      <chr>    <int>
## 1 significant positive    15
## 2 correct    positive     7
## 3 misconceptions negative    7
## 4 fall        negative     6
## 5 error        negative     4
## 6 false        negative     4
## 7 happy        positive     4
## 8 plot         negative     4
## 9 confusing    negative     3
## 10 deviation   negative     3
## # ... with 69 more rows
```



## Wordclouds




# negative




# positive

## Chapter 3 tf-idf




```
## (Intercept) log10(rank)
## -1.0057750 -0.8284333
```





### tf-idf function

```
## # A tibble: 960 x 6
##   article word      n    tf   idf tf_idf
##   <chr>   <chr>  <int> <dbl> <dbl> <dbl>
## 1 doc2    block     53 0.0742 1.10 0.0815
## 2 doc2    proof     31 0.0434 1.10 0.0477
## 3 doc1    true      38 0.0432 1.10 0.0475
## 4 doc2    blockchain 26 0.0364 1.10 0.0400
## 5 doc1    hypothesis 30 0.0341 1.10 0.0375
## 6 doc1    difference 28 0.0319 1.10 0.0350
## 7 doc2    hash      22 0.0308 1.10 0.0339
## 8 doc1    model     26 0.0296 1.10 0.0325
## 9 doc2    previous  21 0.0294 1.10 0.0323
## 10 doc3    wave      14 0.0264 1.10 0.0290
## # ... with 950 more rows
```



## Chapter 4 n-grams and correlations

We use `unnest_tokens` function to tokenize the articles into consecutive sequences of words, called n-grams. Here we focus on bigrams, aka two consecutive words.

As one might expect, a lot of the most common bigrams are pairs of common (uninteresting) words, such as of the and to be: what we call “stop-words”. This is a useful time to use tidy’s `separate()` and `unite()`, which splits a column into multiple based on a delimiter and reunite them. In this process we can remove cases where either is a stop-word.

Also, we clean the bigrams by `str_extract()` and `filter()` function to remove cases where either is NA, space or non-letter word.

Then we look at `tf_idf` of bigrams and visualize them.

```
## # A tibble: 10 x 6
##   article bigram          n    tf   idf tf_idf
##   <chr>   <chr>      <int> <dbl> <dbl> <dbl>
## 1 doc1   null hypothesis    21 0.0843  1.10 0.0927
## 2 doc1   null model        15 0.0602  1.10 0.0662
## 3 doc2   previous block     13 0.0478  1.10 0.0525
## 4 doc1   alternative model    7 0.0281  1.10 0.0309
## 5 doc2   previous hash       7 0.0257  1.10 0.0283
## 6 doc1   omniscient jones     6 0.0241  1.10 0.0265
## 7 doc1   sample size         6 0.0241  1.10 0.0265
## 8 doc1   significant result   6 0.0241  1.10 0.0265
```

```
## 9 doc3      wikatab eng          6 0.0231  1.10 0.0254
## 10 doc1     alternative hypothesis 5 0.0201  1.10 0.0221
```




Figure: The 12 bigrams with the highest tf-idf

There are advantages and disadvantages to examining the tf-idf of bigrams rather than individual words. Pairs of consecutive words might capture structure that isn't present when one is just counting single words, and may provide context that makes tokens more understandable. However, the per-bigram counts are also sparser: a typical two-word pair is rarer than either of its component words. Thus, bigrams can be more useful when we have a larger text dataset.

- Using bigrams to provide context in sentiment analysis

```
## # A tibble: 0 x 4
## # ... with 4 variables: word1 <chr>, word2 <chr>, score <int>, nn <int>
```

For these are three academic articles and there are not many bigrams with negative terms. So we can skip this part.

- Visualizing a network of bigrams with ggraph

```
## # A tibble: 6 x 3
##   word1      word2      n
##   <chr>      <chr>    <int>
## 1 null      hypothesis    21
## 2 null      model      15
## 3 previous  block       13
## 4 alternative model      7
## 5 previous  hash       7
## 6 omniscient jones      6

## IGRAPH 137685d DN-- 82 62 --
## + attr: name (v/c), n (e/n)
## + edges from 137685d (vertex names):
## [1] null      ->hypothesis    null      ->model
## [3] previous  ->block        alternative->model
## [5] previous  ->hash        omniscient->jones
## [7] sample    ->size        significant->result
## [9] wikatab    ->eng        alternative->hypothesis
## [11] blog       ->post        genesis    ->block
```

[illegible]

- ```
## article word
## 1 doc1 skip
## 2 doc1 main
## 3 doc1 content
## 4 doc1 toggle
## 5 doc1 navigation
## 6 doc1 menu

## # A tibble: 294,912 x 3
## item1 item2 n
## <chr> <chr> <dbl>
## 1 main skip 3
## 2 content skip 3
## 3 toggle skip 3
## 4 navigation skip 3
## 5 menu skip 3
## 6 zur skip 3
## 7 zu skip 3
## 8 correlaid skip 3
## 9 blog skip 3
## 10 values skip 3
```

```
## # ... with 294,902 more rows
```

- Pairwise correlation


Find the phi coefficient between words based on how often they appear in the same article.

```
## # A tibble: 132 x 3
##   item1      item2      correlation
##   <chr>      <chr>      <dbl>
## 1 true      hypothesis      1.000
## 2 difference hypothesis      1.000
## 3 model      hypothesis      1.000
## 4 hypothesis true          1.000
## 5 difference true          1.000
## 6 model      true          1.000
## 7 hypothesis difference      1.000
## 8 true      difference      1.000
## 9 model      difference      1.000
## 10 hypothesis model          1.000
## # ... with 122 more rows

## [1] "true"      "difference" "model"      "hypothesis" "block"
## [6] "previous"  "hash"      "proof"      "blockchain" "null"
## [11] "data"      "values"

## [1] "hypothesis" "true"      "difference" "model"      "blockchain"
## [6] "block"      "previous"  "hash"      "proof"      "null"
## [11] "values"     "data"
```

Let's pick particular interesting words and find the other words most associated with them.



Visualize the correlations and clusters of words.

