

Lecture 15 – Parameter Passing

J. Zarnett

jzarnett@uwaterloo.ca

Department of Electrical and Computer Engineering
University of Waterloo

July 21, 2016

Acknowledgments: W.D. Bishop

Part I

Optional Parameters

Last time, we examined function overloading as a way of having a default value for a function.

```
double calculate( double x, double y )  
{  
    return calculate( x, y, true );  
}
```

It is also possible to specify in a function's signature that one or more parameters are optional.

```
double compute( double a, double b, double c = 1.0d )  
{  
    return a * b / c;  
}
```

In the previous example, the parameter `c` is optional:

If the caller provides a value, that value is used.

Otherwise, the default value of 1 is used.

Thus, both of these function calls are valid:

```
compute(5, 9, 2.5);
```

```
compute(45, 12);
```

This is like having an overloaded function, except in this case the compiler generates it for you. If we wrote it explicitly, it would be:

```
double compute( double a, double b )  
{  
    return compute( a, b, 1.0d );  
}
```

We may have multiple optional parameters in the same function.

```
double compute( double a, double b = 2d, double c = 1.0d )
```

When default values are added, they always start at the last parameter and move right to left.

This avoids developer and compiler confusion.

The following are errors:

```
compute( double a = 2d, double b, double c )
```

```
compute( double a = 2d, double b, double c = 1.0d )
```

Part II

Pass-By-Value, Pass-By-Reference

Consider the function shown below:

```
void change_value_to_99 ( int number )  
{  
    number = 99;  
}
```

And suppose this code appears in main:

```
int score = 0;  
change_value_to_99( score );  
cout << score << endl;
```

What do you expect the output will be?

Why did score stay at 0 and not get changed to 99?

Answer: because the default behaviour is **pass-by-value**.

When `change_value_to_99()` is called, the parameter `number` is created and initialized with 0; the same value as `score` holds.

However, `number` is its own copy, so a change made to `number` is not reflected in `score`. Two separate boxes in memory.

By default, all simple types and `struct` are passed by value.
A copy is made when the function is called.

Is there an alternative to pass-by-value? Yes: **pass-by-reference**.

First, we need to define a **reference**.

Recall our model for a variable x : it is a box labelled x .

This box is located somewhere in memory.

The computer will keep track of the memory address of x .

When the code uses x , the computer knows where it is.

A reference is, quite simply, a memory address.

A reference to x is the location of where to find x in memory.

Suppose a function has a formal parameter `y` and we provide an actual parameter `x`, both of type `int`.

When we pass `x` by value to a function, `y` is created as a copy of `x`; variables `x` and `y` have different memory addresses.

If we pass `x` by reference, instead of making a copy, we instead tell the function, “here’s where `x` is” and `y` gets the same location.

Because `x` and `y` refer to the same thing, a change made to `y` is automatically a change to `x`.

Rules for Passing By Reference

The syntax in C++ for passing by reference is **&**.

The **&** operator on a function's formal parameter causes the parameter to be passed by reference.

The **&** operator must appear in the declaration of the function.

Consider the modified function shown below:

```
void change_value_to_99 ( int &number )  
{  
    number = 99;  
}
```

And suppose this code appears in main:

```
int score = 0;  
change_value_to_99( score );  
cout << score << endl;
```

What do you expect the output will be now?

Why is score now 99 rather than 0?

When we passed score by reference, no copy of it was made.

Instead, `number` was initialized in such a way that it is the same box in memory as `score`.

Thus a change to `number` is automatically a change to `score`.

Consider the function shown below:

```
void set_first_entry_to_one ( int[] numbers )  
{  
    numbers[0] = 1;  
}
```

And suppose this code appears in main:

```
int[] scores = new int[10];  
scores[0] = 0;  
set_first_entry_to_one( scores );  
cout << scores[0] << endl;
```

What do you expect the output will be?

We did not make use of the `&` operator, so why did the value of `scores[0]` change to 1?

Unlike an `int`, `double`, or `struct`, in C++ an array is a **pointer** (a reference).

`int[] scores` is a reference to a memory location for the array.
It's directions telling us how to get to a memory location.
Think of it like written directions on how to get to a restaurant.

When we access `scores[0]`, the computer looks up the location of the array, goes to the location, and then takes the first entry there.

scores is passed by value, but this just makes a copy of the reference.
In other words, `numbers` contains a copy of the address information.
Extending the analogy: write out another copy of the directions.

Thus, `numbers[0]` is the same location in memory as `scores[0]`.
Both sets of directions lead us to the same place.
Once there, examine the first entry: it's the same memory address.

Consider the revised function shown below:

```
void set_first_entry_to_one ( int[] numbers )
{
    int new_array[10]
    numbers = new_array;
    numbers[0] = 1;
}
```

And suppose this code appears in main:

```
int[10] scores;
scores[0] = 0;
set_first_entry_to_one( scores );
cout << scores[0] << endl;
```

What do you expect the output will be?

This time `scores[0]` is not changed. Why?

In `set_first_entry_to_one()` the `numbers` reference changed.
We erased the `directions` and wrote new `directions`.

Thus when we follow the `directions` to `numbers` we don't end up at the same place as we would if we followed the `directions` to `scores`.

Because we made another copy of the `directions`, the original `directions` are not affected.

Thus `scores` still contains `directions` to the original memory location.

Now let's add & to the array example:

```
void set_first_entry_to_one ( int[] &numbers )  
{  
    int[10] new_array;  
    numbers = new_array;  
    numbers[0] = 1;  
}
```

And suppose this code appears in main:

```
int[] scores = new int[10];  
scores[0] = 0;  
set_first_entry_to_one( scores );  
cout << scores[0] << endl;
```

What do you expect the output will be?

Well, now we have a problem.

This time the reference scores was passed by reference.

Instead of two copies of the directions, there is only one copy.

Thus when the statement `numbers = new int[10];` changes the directions to numbers, the directions to score are changed too.

Except the variable `new_array` is local to the function and goes away when the function returns!

Comments on Parameter Passing

Passing parameters by reference can be useful when swapping things.
Example: exchange two integer values (see the next slide).

Passing parameters by reference allows a software designer to build a function that returns several results or changes several variables.

In reality, this is rarely necessary since you can always create more functions to produce more results.

Passing by reference should be avoided unless absolutely necessary.

We still need to examine pass by reference vs. pass by value because of the behaviour of arrays when used as function parameters.

Example: Swapping Two Integers

```
void swap ( int &r1, int &r2 )  
{  
    int tmp = r1;  
    r1 = r2;  
    r2 = tmp;  
}
```

```
int main( )  
{  
    int a = 5;  
    int b = 12;  
  
    cout << a << endl;  
    cout << b << endl;  
  
    swap( a, b );  
  
    cout << a << endl;  
    cout << b << endl;  
    return 0;  
}
```