

§2 Solving systems of equations

Start with linear case

$$A \underline{x} = \underline{b}$$

$$A \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times n}$$

$$A = (a_{ij})$$

$$\underline{b} \in \mathbb{R}^n$$

A : invertible

$$AB = BA = I$$

$$= \begin{pmatrix} 1 & & \\ & \ddots & \\ & & 1 \end{pmatrix}$$

$$B = A^{-1}$$

§2.1 Iterative method

$$A \underline{x} = \underline{b}$$

$$\underline{x}^{(k)} \rightarrow \underline{x}^{(k+1)}$$

Example 1:

$$\begin{cases} 3u + v = 5 & \dots \text{1st eqn} \\ u + 2v = 5 & \dots \text{2nd eqn} \end{cases}$$

matrix - vector form

$$A = \begin{bmatrix} 3 & 1 \\ 1 & 2 \end{bmatrix} \quad \underline{b} = \begin{bmatrix} 5 \\ 5 \end{bmatrix}$$

u : 1st
 v : 2nd

$$A \underline{x} = \underline{b}$$

\uparrow unknown

start with an initial

$$\underline{x}^{(0)} = \begin{bmatrix} u^{(0)} \\ v^{(0)} \end{bmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}$$

Jacobi method

idea: solve the i th unknown
from i th equation.

$$u = \frac{5-v}{3} \quad v = \frac{5-u}{2}$$

— — — — —

$$\begin{cases} u^{(1)} = \frac{5 - v^{(0)}}{3} = \frac{5}{3} \\ v^{(1)} = \frac{5 - u^{(0)}}{2} = \frac{5}{2} \end{cases}$$

$$\text{then } \underline{\gamma}^{(1)} = \begin{pmatrix} \frac{5}{3} \\ \frac{5}{2} \end{pmatrix}$$

$$\begin{cases} u^{(2)} = \frac{5 - v^{(1)}}{3} = \frac{5 - \frac{5}{2}}{3} = \frac{5}{6} \\ v^{(2)} = \frac{5 - u^{(1)}}{2} = \frac{5 - \frac{5}{3}}{2} = \frac{5}{3} \end{cases}$$

$$\text{then } \underline{\gamma}^{(2)} = \begin{pmatrix} \frac{5}{6} \\ \frac{5}{3} \end{pmatrix}$$

$$\underline{\gamma}^{(k)} \rightarrow \underline{\gamma} = \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 2 \end{bmatrix} \text{ as } k \rightarrow \infty$$

'converge'

Example 2.

$$u + 2v = 5$$

1st eqn

$$3u + v = 5$$

2nd eqn

Apply Jacobi method

$$\text{with } \underline{x}^{(0)} = \begin{pmatrix} u^{(0)} \\ v^{(0)} \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}$$

$$u = 5 - 2v, \quad v = 5 - 3u$$

$$\Rightarrow \underline{x}^{(1)} = \begin{pmatrix} u^{(1)} \\ v^{(1)} \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 5 - 2v^{(0)} \\ 5 - 3u^{(0)} \end{pmatrix}$$

$$= \begin{pmatrix} 5 \\ 5 \end{pmatrix}$$

$$\underline{x}^{(2)} = \begin{pmatrix} u^{(2)} \\ v^{(2)} \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 5 - 2v^{(1)} \\ 5 - 3u^{(1)} \end{pmatrix}$$

$$= \begin{pmatrix} 5 - 2 \cdot 5 \\ 5 - 3 \cdot 5 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} -5 \\ -10 \end{pmatrix}$$

$\underline{x}^{(k)}$ diverges as $k \rightarrow \infty$.

matrix- vector form

$$A = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 2 \\ 3 & 1 \end{bmatrix} \quad \underline{b} = \begin{bmatrix} 5 \\ 5 \end{bmatrix}$$

$$A\underline{x} = \underline{b}$$

Observation.

Example 1: matrix π
diagonally dominant.
↑
a reason to make
the method
work

Definition, $A = (a_{ij}) \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times n}$, is
strictly diagonally dominant if
for each $1 \leq i \leq n$,

$$|a_{ii}| > \sum_{j \neq i} |a_{ij}|$$

That is, each diagonal entry
dominates its row in the sense
that it is greater in magnitude
than the sum of magnitude
of the remainder of the entries
in its row.

Jacobi method converges
if A is strictly diagonally
dominant.
Sufficient condition,

Express Jacobi method in
matrix - vector form

$$A = \begin{pmatrix} a_{11} & a_{12} \\ a_{21} & a_{22} \end{pmatrix} \quad \underline{b} = \begin{pmatrix} b_1 \\ b_2 \end{pmatrix}$$

$$\underline{x} = \begin{pmatrix} u \\ v \end{pmatrix}$$

Scheme :

$$\begin{cases} a_{11} u^{(k)} + a_{12} v^{(k-1)} = b_1 \\ a_{21} u^{(k-1)} + a_{22} v^{(k)} = b_2 \end{cases}$$

$$\Leftrightarrow \underbrace{\begin{bmatrix} a_{11} & 0 \\ 0 & a_{22} \end{bmatrix}}_D \begin{bmatrix} u^{(k)} \\ v^{(k)} \end{bmatrix} \overset{\text{red}}{\rightarrow} \underline{x}^{(k)} = - \underbrace{\begin{bmatrix} 0 & a_{12} \\ a_{21} & 0 \end{bmatrix}}_{L+U} \begin{bmatrix} u^{(k-1)} \\ v^{(k-1)} \end{bmatrix} \overset{\text{red}}{\leftarrow} \underline{x}^{(k-1)} + \underline{b}$$

$$\Leftrightarrow D \underline{x}^{(k)} = \underline{b} - (L+U) \underline{x}^{(k-1)}$$

$$A = \begin{pmatrix} a_{11} & 0 \\ 0 & a_{22} \end{pmatrix} + \begin{pmatrix} 0 & a_{12} \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} + \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ a_{21} & 0 \end{pmatrix}$$

$$= D + U + L$$

$$A \underline{x} = \underline{b} \quad \leftarrow \dots \text{originally} \dots$$

$$\Leftrightarrow (D + U + L) \underline{x} = \underline{b}$$

$$\Leftrightarrow D \underline{x} = \underline{b} - (U + L) \underline{x}$$

Assume D is invertible

$$\Rightarrow \underline{x} = D^{-1} (\underline{b} - (U + L) \underline{x})$$

$$= G(\underline{x}) \quad \leftarrow \text{fixed point problem,}$$

Fixed point iteration

$$\underline{x}^{(k+1)} = G(\underline{x}^{(k)})$$

Specially:

$$\underline{x}^{(k+1)} = D^{-1} (\underline{b} - (U + L) \underline{x}^{(k)})$$

\hookrightarrow Jacobi method is
a fixed point iteration

Jacobi method (general form)

To solve $A\underline{x} = \underline{b}$ for $\underline{x} \in \mathbb{R}^n$,

where $A \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times n}$, $\underline{b} \in \mathbb{R}^n$,

and $A = \underset{\substack{\uparrow \\ \text{diagonal}}}{D} + L + \underset{\substack{\nwarrow \\ \text{upper-triangle}}}{U}$,

Jacobi method is

$$D\underline{x}^{(k)} = (\underline{b} - (L+U)\underline{x}^{(k-1)}).$$

or

$$\begin{aligned} \dots \quad \underline{x}^{(k)} &= D^{-1}(\underline{b} - (L+U)\underline{x}^{(k-1)}) \\ \underline{x} &= D^{-1}(\underline{b} - (L+U)\underline{x}) \\ &= G(\underline{x}) \end{aligned} \quad \text{when } D \text{ is invertible.}$$

Idea: to get the k -th iterate $\underline{x}^{(k)}$,

Solve the i th unknown from the i th equation, based on $\underline{x}^{(k-1)}$.

Example

Apply Jacobi method to $A\underline{x} = \underline{b}$

where $A = \begin{bmatrix} 3 & 1 & -1 \\ 2 & -5 & 2 \\ 1 & 6 & 8 \end{bmatrix}$

$$\underline{x} = \begin{bmatrix} u \\ v \\ w \end{bmatrix} \quad \underline{b} = \begin{bmatrix} 4 \\ 1 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix}$$

$$\begin{pmatrix} u^{(k+1)} \\ v^{(k+1)} \\ w^{(k+1)} \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} \frac{4 - v^{(k)} + w^{(k)}}{3} \\ \frac{-2u^{(k)} - 2w^{(k)}}{-5} \\ \frac{-u^{(k)} - 6v^{(k)}}{8} \end{pmatrix}$$

Example

Apply Gauss-Seidel method to $A\underline{x} = \underline{b}$ where

$$A = \begin{bmatrix} 3 & 1 & -1 \\ 2 & -5 & 2 \\ 1 & 6 & 8 \end{bmatrix}$$

$$\underline{x} = \begin{pmatrix} u \\ v \\ w \end{pmatrix} \quad \underline{b} = \begin{pmatrix} 4 \\ 1 \\ 1 \end{pmatrix}$$

$$\begin{pmatrix} u^{(k+1)} \\ v^{(k+1)} \\ w^{(k+1)} \end{pmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} \frac{4 - v^{(k)} + w^{(k)}}{3} \\ \frac{1 - 2u^{(k+1)} - 2w^{(k)}}{-5} \\ \frac{1 - u^{(k+1)} - 6v^{(k+1)}}{8} \end{bmatrix}$$

Gauss-Seidel method

idea: solve i th unknown from the i th equation, using the most recently updated values of the unknowns.

Revisit Example 1

$$\begin{cases} 3u + v = 5 \\ u + 2v = 5 \end{cases}$$

$$u = \frac{5-v}{3}$$

$$v = \frac{5-u}{2}$$

$$\begin{pmatrix} u^{(0)} \\ v^{(0)} \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}$$

$$\begin{cases} u^{(1)} = \frac{5 - v^{(0)}}{3} = \frac{5 - 0}{3} = \frac{5}{3} \\ v^{(1)} = \frac{5 - u^{(1)}}{2} = \frac{5 - \frac{5}{3}}{2} = \frac{5}{3} \end{cases}$$

$$\begin{cases} u^{(2)} = \frac{5 - v^{(1)}}{3} = \frac{5 - \frac{5}{3}}{3} = \frac{10}{9} \\ v^{(2)} = \frac{5 - u^{(2)}}{2} = \frac{5 - \frac{10}{9}}{2} = \frac{35}{18} \end{cases}$$

matrix-vector form of GS

$$\begin{cases} a_{11} u^{(k)} + a_{12} v^{(k-1)} = b_1 \\ a_{21} u^{(k)} + a_{22} v^{(k)} = b_2 \end{cases}$$

$$\Leftrightarrow \begin{pmatrix} a_{11} & 0 \\ a_{21} & a_{22} \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} u^{(k)} \\ v^{(k)} \end{pmatrix}$$

$\underbrace{\hspace{1.5cm}}_{D+L} \quad \underbrace{\hspace{1.5cm}}_{\underline{x}^{(k)}}$

$$= \underline{b} - \begin{pmatrix} 0 & a_{12} \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} u^{(k-1)} \\ v^{(k-1)} \end{pmatrix}$$

$\underbrace{\hspace{1.5cm}}_U \quad \underbrace{\hspace{1.5cm}}_{\underline{x}^{(k-1)}}$

$$\Leftrightarrow (D+L) \underline{x}^{(k)} = \underline{b} - U \underline{x}^{(k-1)}$$

Fixed point problem

1+)
FPI
leads to
GS.

$$\begin{cases} (D+L) \underline{x} = \underline{b} - U \underline{x} \\ \underline{x} = (D+L)^{-1} (\underline{b} - U \underline{x}) \\ \quad = G(\underline{x}) \end{cases}$$

Gauss-Seidel method

To solve $A\underline{x} = \underline{b}$ for $\underline{x} \in \mathbb{R}^n$.

where $A \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times n}$, $\underline{b} \in \mathbb{R}^n$

and $A = D + L + U$.

Gauss-Seidel method is

$$(D + L)\underline{x}^{(k)} = (\underline{b} - U\underline{x}^{(k-1)})$$

when D is invertible

$$\underline{x}^{(k)} = D^{-1}(\underline{b} - U\underline{x}^{(k-1)} + \underbrace{L\underline{x}^{(k)}}_{-})$$

Idea: to solve the i th unknown from the i th equation, using the most recently updated unknowns.

$$\underline{A} \underline{x} = \underline{b}$$

$A \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times n}$, $b \in \mathbb{R}^n$
are given

$$A = M - N$$

M : invertible

\uparrow a splitting of A

$$A \underline{x} = \underline{b} \Leftrightarrow M \underline{x} = N \underline{x} + \underline{b}$$

$$\Leftrightarrow \underline{x} = M^{-1}(N \underline{x} + \underline{b}) \\ = G(\underline{x})$$

For Jacobi method

$$M = D, \quad N = -(L + U)$$

Gauss-Seidel

$$M = D + L, \quad N = -U$$

fixed point iteration for
the related $\underline{x} = G(\underline{x})$.

General form of these two methods,

Problem $\underline{x} = B \underline{x} + \underline{d}$ (1)

Numerical method

$$\underline{x}(k) = B \underline{x}(k-1) + \underline{d} \dots (2)$$

Question on convergence ..

$$\underline{x}(k) \rightarrow \underline{x} \text{ as } k \rightarrow \infty$$

$$(2) - (1)$$

$$\underline{x}(k) - \underline{x} = B (\underline{x}(k-1) - \underline{x})$$

$$\underline{z}(k) = B \underline{z}(k-1)$$

$$= B^2 \underline{z}(k-2)$$

$$= B^k \underline{z}(0)$$

$$\underline{x}^{(k)} - \underline{x} = B (\underline{x}^{(k-1)} - \underline{x})$$

$$\underline{z}^{(k)} = B \underline{z}^{(k-1)}$$

$$\vdots$$

$$= B^k \underline{z}^{(0)} \quad \dots (3)$$

A special case

$$B = \begin{pmatrix} \lambda_1 & & \\ & \ddots & \\ & & \lambda_n \end{pmatrix}$$

$$B^k = \begin{pmatrix} \lambda_1^k & & \\ & \ddots & \\ & & \lambda_n^k \end{pmatrix}$$

$$(3) \Rightarrow \begin{pmatrix} z_1^{(k)} \\ z_2^{(k)} \\ \vdots \\ z_n^{(k)} \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} \lambda_1^k & & \\ & \lambda_2^k & \\ & & \ddots \\ & & & \lambda_n^k \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} z_1^{(0)} \\ z_2^{(0)} \\ \vdots \\ z_n^{(0)} \end{pmatrix}$$

$$\Leftrightarrow \begin{cases} z_1^{(k)} = \lambda_1^k z_1^{(0)} \\ z_2^{(k)} = \lambda_2^k z_2^{(0)} \\ \vdots \\ z_n^{(k)} = \lambda_n^k z_n^{(0)} \end{cases}$$

$$z_i(k) \rightarrow 0 \text{ as } k \rightarrow \infty$$

if and if only

$$|\lambda_i| < 1$$

That is, when $B = \begin{pmatrix} \lambda_1 & & \\ & \ddots & \\ & & \lambda_n \end{pmatrix}$.

$$\text{then } \underline{x}(k) = B \underline{x}(k) + \underline{d}$$

converges if and if only

$$|\lambda_i| < 1 \text{ for any } i$$

In general: for general $B \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times n}$

$$\underline{x}(k) = B \underline{x}(k-1) + \underline{d}$$

it converges to \underline{x}

(the solution of

$$\underline{x} = B \underline{x} + \underline{d})$$

if all eigenvalues of B

are bounded by 1

in their absolute values.

spectral radius of B

$$= \max_{1 \leq i \leq n} |\lambda_i|$$

$1 \leq i \leq n$

λ_i is an eigenvalue of B

$$= \rho(B)$$

convergence $\Leftrightarrow \rho(B) < 1$

Theorem. Let $B \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times n}$.

then $\underline{x}^{(k+1)} = B \underline{x}^{(k)} + \underline{d}$

converges to a solution of

$$\underline{x} = B \underline{x} + \underline{d}$$

for any given \underline{d} and any

initial $\underline{x}^{(0)}$ if and only

if the spectral radius

$$\rho(B) < 1$$

$$\rho(B) = \max_{1 \leq j \leq n} |\lambda_j|$$

$$1 \leq j \leq n$$

λ_j is eigenvalue
of B

$$\underline{A} \underline{x} = \underline{b}$$

Theorem Given $A \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times n}$.

If A is strictly diagonally dominant, then

- 1) A is invertible
- 2) Jacobi and Gauss-Seidel methods applied to

$\underline{A} \underline{x} = \underline{b}$ converges to the

unique solution for

any $\underline{b} \in \mathbb{R}^n$, and with

any initial $\underline{x}^{(0)}$

For t_k related: $\underline{x}^{(k+1)} = B \underline{x}^{(k)} + \underline{d}$

$$\rho(B) < 1$$

Review: matrix multiplication

$$A, B \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times n}$$

$$A = (a_{ij})$$

$$B = (b_{ij})$$

$$AB = C = (c_{ij})$$

$$c_{ij} = \sum_{k=1}^n a_{ik} b_{kj}$$

a special case

$$B = \begin{pmatrix} \lambda_1 & & \\ & \ddots & \\ & & \lambda_n \end{pmatrix}$$

$$B^2 = \begin{pmatrix} \lambda_1 & & \\ & \ddots & \\ & & \lambda_n \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} \lambda_1 & & \\ & \ddots & \\ & & \lambda_n \end{pmatrix}$$

$$= \begin{pmatrix} \lambda_1^2 & & \\ & \ddots & \\ & & \lambda_n^2 \end{pmatrix}$$

$$B^k = \begin{pmatrix} \lambda_1^k & & \\ & \ddots & \\ & & \lambda_n^k \end{pmatrix}$$

Review: Given.

$$B \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times n}$$

if you can find a pair
 $\lambda \in \mathbb{R}$

$0 \neq \underline{x} \in \mathbb{R}^n$ such that

$$B \underline{x} = \lambda \underline{x}$$

then λ is an eigenvalue of A
and \underline{x} is an eigenvector