

HW5_561

October 14, 2018

0.1 Jingrong Tian

1 Since $(f \circ \gamma)'(t) = \nabla f(\gamma(t))^T \gamma'(t) = \frac{\partial f}{\partial x}(\gamma(t)) \gamma'_1(t) + \frac{\partial f}{\partial y}(\gamma(t)) \gamma'_2(t)$
we can get $\nabla(f \circ g)(x, y) = \frac{\partial f}{\partial x}(g(x, y)) g'_1(x, y) + \frac{\partial f}{\partial y}(g(x, y)) g'_2(x, y)$

$$\begin{aligned} &= (g'_1(x, y) \quad g'_2(x, y)) \begin{pmatrix} \frac{\partial f}{\partial x}(g(x, y)) \\ \frac{\partial f}{\partial y}(g(x, y)) \end{pmatrix} \\ &= \begin{pmatrix} g'_1(x, y) \\ g'_2(x, y) \end{pmatrix}^T \begin{pmatrix} \frac{\partial f}{\partial x}(g(x, y)) \\ \frac{\partial f}{\partial y}(g(x, y)) \end{pmatrix} \\ &= Dg(x, y)^T \nabla f(g(x, y)) \end{aligned}$$

2.(a) Part 1 We denote, $y = \begin{pmatrix} y_1 \\ y_2 \\ \dots \\ y_{10} \end{pmatrix}$,

$$x = \begin{pmatrix} 1, x_1 \\ 1, x_2 \\ \dots \\ 1, x_{10} \end{pmatrix},$$

$$\beta = \begin{pmatrix} \beta_0 \\ \beta_1 \end{pmatrix}$$

The original function then becomes

$$\begin{aligned} f(\beta) &= \frac{1}{10} (y - x\beta)^{-1} (y - x\beta) \\ &= \frac{1}{10} (y^T y - 2y^T x\beta + \beta^T x^T x\beta) \\ \frac{\partial f}{\partial \beta_0}(\beta) &= \frac{1}{10} (-2(1, 0)x^T y + 2(1, 0)x^T x\beta) \\ &= \frac{2}{10} ((1, 0)(x^T x\beta - x^T y)) = (1, 0) \frac{1}{5} (x^T x\beta - x^T y) \\ \frac{\partial f}{\partial \beta_1} &= (0, 1) \frac{1}{5} (x^T x\beta - x^T y) \end{aligned}$$

$$\nabla f = \frac{1}{5}(x^T x \beta - x^T y)$$

$$\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial \beta_0}\right) \nabla f = \frac{1}{5}(x^T x \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix})$$

$$\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial \beta_0}\right) \nabla f = \frac{1}{5}(x^T x \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 1 \end{pmatrix})$$

$$\nabla^2 f = \frac{1}{5} \begin{pmatrix} 10, 1 \\ 1, 7 \end{pmatrix}$$

Since the determinant is greater than zero and hessian has all its eigenvalues as positive, thus hessian is positive definite. Therefore, the function is strictly convex.

2a Part 2 The function is strictly convex. As proved by the sufficient condition for convexity, this objective has a unique minizer (β_0^*, β_1^*) when $\frac{\partial f}{\partial \beta_0^*} = \frac{\partial f}{\partial \beta_1^*} = 0$

$$\nabla f = \frac{1}{5}(x^T x \beta - x^T y) = \mathbf{0}$$

Gives the result $(\beta_0^*, \beta_1^*) = (\frac{-5}{69}, \frac{50}{69})$

2(b) Part 1 Define $x = (x_1, x_2, \dots, x_{10})$ $y = (y_1, y_2, \dots, y_{10})$ $\beta = (\beta_0, \beta_1)$

$$f(\beta) = -\log P(y|X, \beta) = \sum_{i=1}^{10} \log(1 + \exp(-y_i x_i \beta))$$

To show that the negative log like function is convex, we consider the partial derivatives. Define $g(z) = \frac{1}{1+e^{-z}}$ (logit function). Note that $1 - g(z) = \frac{e^{-z}}{1+e^{-z}}$ and $\frac{\partial g(z)}{\partial z} = -g(z)(1 - g(z))$

$$\frac{\partial f(\beta)}{\partial \beta_i} = - \sum_{i=1}^{10} y_i x_i (1 - g(y_i \beta^T x_i))$$

$$\frac{\partial^2 f(\beta)}{\partial \beta_i \partial \beta_j} = \sum_{i=1}^{10} y_i^2 x_i g(y_i \beta^T x_i) (1 - g(y_i \beta^T x_i))$$

To show that the objective is convex, we first show that the Hessian is PSD. Choose any vector a (dimension as 1×2).

Denote $p_i = g(y_i \beta^T x_i) (1 - g(y_i \beta^T x_i))$

$$a^T \nabla^2 a = \sum_{i=1}^{10} a^T p_i p_i^T a \geq 0$$

Since $a^T p_i p_i^T a = (a^T p_i)^2$

Hence the Hessian is PSD.

While β is L2 regularized in this question $c = \frac{1}{10}$ is added as a regularizer, the hessian therefore is positive definite and hence the objective is strictly convex.

2b Part(2) Sufficient Condition If the following two equations hold,

$$\frac{\partial f}{\partial \beta_0} = \frac{1}{10}(1 + \exp(-y_i \beta_1 x_i) \exp(-y_i \beta_0))^{-1}(-y_i) \exp(-y_i \beta_0) \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix} = 0$$

$$\frac{\partial f}{\partial \beta_1} = \frac{1}{10}(1 + \exp(-y_i \beta_1 x_i) \exp(-y_i \beta_0))^{-1}(-y_i x_i) \exp(-y_i \beta_1 x_i) \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 1 \end{pmatrix} = 0$$

Then the solution vector to these two equations $\beta = (\beta_0^*, \beta_1^*)$ is the minimizer to the objective

Necessary Condition If (β_0^*, β_1^*) is known to be the minimizer to this objective, then the following two equations naturally holds

$$\frac{\partial f}{\partial \beta_0} = \frac{1}{10}(1 + \exp(-y_i \beta_1^* x_i) \exp(-y_i \beta_0^*))^{-1}(-y_i) \exp(-y_i \beta_1^*) \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix} = 0$$

$$\frac{\partial f}{\partial \beta_1^*} = \frac{1}{10}(1 + \exp(-y_i \beta_1^* x_i) \exp(-y_i \beta_0^*))^{-1}(-y_i x_i) \exp(-y_i \beta_1^* x_i) \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 1 \end{pmatrix} = 0$$

3

$$A = \begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ b & c \end{pmatrix}$$

$$x = (x, y)^T$$

$$f(x, y) = \max \frac{1}{2} x^T A x = \frac{1}{2} (ax^2 + 2bxy + cy^2)$$

$$\text{constrain: } g(x, y) = x^2 + y^2 - 1$$

$$\nabla f(x, y) = \begin{pmatrix} ax + by \\ bx + cy \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ b & c \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} x \\ y \end{pmatrix} = Ax$$

$$\nabla g(x, y) = 2 \begin{pmatrix} x \\ y \end{pmatrix}$$

$$\begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ b & c \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} x \\ y \end{pmatrix} = 2\lambda \begin{pmatrix} x \\ y \end{pmatrix}$$

Thus x is both the eigenvector of A and the solution to f(x,y) subject to $x^2 + y^2 = 1$

In []: