

Updated results:

Table 1 from our paper (<https://arxiv.org/abs/1611.05125>):

Numbers in brackets show no. of iterations. In case of 2 numbers, first number represents no. of incremental-label iterations, while the second number represents the no. of final-label finetuning iterations.

Dataset	Diving		Skating	Vault
Samples	100/59	300/70	100/70	120/56
Pose+DCT[7]	0.41	0.53	0.35	0.1
ConvISA[5]	0.19	-	0.45	-
ApEnFT[11]	0.45	-	-	-
C-S	0.74	0.748	0.53	0.66
C-L (F)	0.3606 (2.5K)	0.6400 (6K)	-	0.2913 (3K)
C-L (I)	0.3425 (2,0.5K)	0.6809 (3.5,0.4K)	-	0.4568 (2K)
C-L-S (F)	0.3640	0.6487	-	0.5834
C-L-S (I)	0.3410	0.7216	-	0.6312

Table 4 from our paper (<https://arxiv.org/abs/1611.05125>):

Split	Incremental-label training		Final-label training	
	C3D-LSTM	C3D-LSTM-SVR	C3D-LSTM	C3D-LSTM-SVR
1	0.5958	0.6043	0.6108	0.6269
2	0.3671	0.3832	0.3994	0.3928
3	0.4346	0.4798	0.4423	0.5100
4	0.5488	0.6270	0.5499	0.6032
5	0.4840	0.5245	0.5801	0.6004
6	0.4540	0.4575	0.5225	0.5153
AVG	0.4807	0.5127	0.5175	0.5414
STD	0.0820	0.0922	0.0816	0.0876