

Anankastic Conditionals are Modal Subordination

Jingyi Chen

University of Maryland

The problem with anankastic conditionals

1) Anankastic conditionals (Sæbø2001)

If you want to go to Harlem, you have to take the A train

hypothetical desire means of achievement

(2) Ordinary want-conditionals

If you want to scratch your eyes, you have to get tested for monkey pox.

Want in (1) seems vacuous:

- $(1) \rightarrow \text{to go to Harlem, you have to take the A train.}$
- (2) \rightarrow to scratch your eyes, you have to get tested for monkey pox.

Standard analysis of modals and conditionals derive the wrong truth conditions for (1).

(3) a. In all worlds compatible with your desires and where you want to go to Harlem, you take the A train.

Contrary to intuition, (3) is predicted false when the addressee actually wants to go to Hoboken, and the only way to get to Hoboken is via the PATH train.

Previous approaches

- **I. Covert-purpose clause construction** (von Fintel & latridou (2015), von Stechow, Krasikova & Penka (2006)).
- (1) is argued to be semantically equivalent to:
 - (4) a. If you want to go to Harlem, you have to take the A train to go to Harlem.
- II. Special semantics for want (Condoravdi & Laurer (2016))
- C & L: want is ambiguous. Unlike regular want which expresses pure desire, the want involved in anankastic conditionals involves practical preferences, and guarantees that the desire expressed outranks all others.

The proposal: anankastic conditionals are modal subordination

(5) Modal subordination

 A^i wolf might^v come in. It_i would_v eat you first. (Roberts (1989)) ...in those worlds w' where a wolf comes in, that wolf eats you first in w'.

The would claim quantifies over worlds introduced by might where there is already a wolf.

6) Anankastic conditional

If you $want^i$ to go to Harlem, you have to_i take the A train. ...in all of those worlds w'' compatible with your desires in w' in which you go to Harlem in w'', you take the A train in w''.

- Two sets of worlds available for anaphora:
- -If-worlds: worlds where **you want to** go to Harlem.
- -want-worlds: worlds compatible with your desires in w' and where **you go to** Harlem.
- Have to can select its domain of quantification anaphorically:
- -Anankastic reading: have to selects want-worlds.
- -Non-anankastic reading: have to selects if-worlds.

Beyond anankastics

A novel observation: The problem seen in anankastic conditional is more general, and can be replicated with other modal flavors.

More than want

(7) a. If you hope/intend/plan/would like to to go to Harlem, you have to take the A train.

More than desire

- Epistemic modality
- (8) a. If we think the crime was committed at 6pm, John must be the culprit.
 - b. One reading: if the crime was committed at 6pm, then John must $_{epis}$ be the culprit.
 - c. Standard modal and conditional account: in all w' compatible with our beliefs and where we believe in w' that the crime was committed at 6pm, John is the culprit. (False if we don't actually think the crime was committed at 6pm)
- Deontic modality
- (9) a. If the law states that street cleaning is on Thursdays, she has to move her car.
 - b. One reading: if street cleaning is on Thursdays, then she has $to_{deontic}$ move her car.
 - c. Standard modal and conditional account: In all w' compatible with the laws, and where the laws in w' state that street cleaning is on Thursdays, she has to move a car. (False if actual laws don't state that street cleaning is on Thursdays.)

The implementation

Framework: Brasoveanu (2010)'s dynamic system.

Update steps:

- (i) Store in p the set of all worlds in the context set where you want to go to Harlem.
- (ii) Store in p' all the p-worlds (where you want to go to Harlem) all worlds where you go to Harlem; test whether the p' worlds include all the desirable p worlds.
- (iii) Store in p" all the p' worlds (you go to Harlem) where you take the A train; test whether the p" worlds include all of the teleologically ideal worlds among those desirable p' worlds where you go to Harlem.

Lexical entries:

- if $p \leadsto \lambda P_{st.} \max^p (p(P(p)))$
- $must^{p'\subseteq p} \leadsto \lambda P_{st} \lambda q_s. max^{p'\subseteq p}(p', (P(p'))); [NEC_{q,\beta} \omega \{p,p'\}]$
- $want^{p'\subseteq p} \leadsto \lambda P_{st} \lambda q_s . max^{p'\subseteq p}(p') (P(p')); [WANT_q.\{p,p'\}]$
- (10) a. If p you want $p' \subseteq p$ to go to Harlem, you have to $p'' \subseteq p'$ take the A train.
 - b. $\operatorname{ind}_{p}*([[\operatorname{If}^{p}(\operatorname{want}^{p'\subseteq p}(\operatorname{you}\operatorname{go}\operatorname{to}\operatorname{Harlem})][\operatorname{have}\operatorname{to}^{p''\subseteq p'}(\operatorname{you}\operatorname{take}\operatorname{the}\operatorname{A})]])$
 - c. sing (p*); max^p; max^p' \subseteq p (p' [you go to Harlem]); [WANT {p, p'}]; max^p'' \subseteq p' (p" (you take the A train); NEC_p*, β , ω {p', p"}

Further issues

Some argue that not all modals can be subordinated (Kletcha (2011)). If anankastic conditionals are modal subordination, why can't we use *have to* in other canonical cases of modal subordination as in (11a)?

- (11) a. A wolf might come in. It would eat you first
 - b. A wolf might come in. ? It has to eat you first

Tentative answer: All modals including *must* and *have to* can be subordinated (Roberts 2019). However, subordination needs to be marked via either mood marking (with subjunctive-marked *would*, *could*, *should*), or in a conditional.

References

[1] Condoravdi, C, and Sven L. "Anankastic Conditionals Are Just Conditionals." Semantics and Pragmatics 9, no. 8 (2016): 1–69.
[2] Brasoveanu, A. "Decomposing Modal Quantification." J. Semant. 27 (2010): 437-527.
[3] Sæbø, K. 2001. Necessary conditions in a natural language. In Caroline Fery & Wolfgang Sternefeld (eds.), Audiatur vox sapientiae: 427–449.
[4] Roberts, C. 1989. More download options Modal subordination and pronominal anaphora in discourse. Linguistics and Philosophy 12 (6):683 - 721
[5] von Fintel, K & Sabine, I. 2005. What to do if you want to go to Harlem: Anankastic conditionals and related matters.

MACSIM, UPenn 2023 jchen7@umd.edu