

Linear regression with horseshoe prior sampling: The nitty gritty details

Måns Magnusson

June 29, 2015

1 The MCMC sampler

Below are derivations for a bayesian linear regression model with the horseshoe prior in details. I would like to use the horseshoe prior in one in my own models and had a hard time finding how the MCMC sampler was derived in details. Hopefully these derivation can help others.

The linear model with the standard horseshoe prior can be expressed as follows.

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{y}|\beta, \sigma^2, \mathbf{X} &\sim \text{MVN}(\mathbf{X}\beta, \sigma^2 \mathbf{I}_n) \\ \beta_i|\tau, \lambda_i, \sigma &\sim \text{N}(0, \lambda_i^2 \tau^2 \sigma^2) \\ \sigma^2 &\sim \text{IG}(a_n, b_n) \\ \tau &\sim C^+(0, 1) \\ \lambda_i &\sim C^+(0, 1) \end{aligned}$$

where IG is the inverse-Gamma distribution, C^+ is the positive truncated Cauchy distribution and MVN is the multivariate normal distribution.

The joint posterior can be expressed as

$$\begin{aligned} p(\beta, \sigma^2, \lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_p, \tau | \mathbf{y}, \mathbf{X}) &\propto p(\mathbf{y} | \mathbf{X}, \beta, \sigma^2) \cdot p(\beta, \sigma^2, \lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_p, \tau) \\ &= p(\mathbf{y} | \mathbf{X}, \beta, \sigma^2) \cdot p(\beta | \sigma^2, \lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_p, \tau) \cdot p(\sigma^2) \cdot p(\tau) \cdot \prod_i^p p(\lambda_i) \end{aligned}$$

1.1 Sampling the regression coefficients

The prior for β is

$$\Sigma_\beta = \sigma^2 \Lambda_0^{-1} = \sigma^2 \tau^2 \begin{pmatrix} \lambda_1^2 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & \ddots & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & \lambda_i^2 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & \ddots & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & \lambda_p^2 \end{pmatrix}$$

and based on this we use the updates for the ordinary bayesian linear regression.

$$\begin{aligned}
p(\beta|\sigma^2, \lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_p, \tau, \mathbf{y}, \mathbf{X}) &\propto p(\mathbf{y}|\mathbf{X}, \beta, \sigma^2) \cdot p(\beta|\sigma^2, \lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_p, \tau) \\
&\propto \exp\left(-\frac{1}{2\sigma^2}(\mathbf{y} - \mathbf{X}\beta)^T(\mathbf{y} - \mathbf{X}\beta)\right) \cdot \exp\left(-\frac{1}{2}\beta^T \Sigma_\beta^{-1} \beta\right) \\
&= \exp\left(-\frac{1}{2\sigma^2} \left[(\mathbf{y} - \mathbf{X}\beta)^T(\mathbf{y} - \mathbf{X}\beta) + \sigma^2 \beta^T \Sigma_\beta^{-1} \beta\right]\right) \\
&= \exp\left(-\frac{1}{2\sigma^2} \left[\mathbf{y}^T \mathbf{y} - \mathbf{y}^T \mathbf{X}\beta - \beta^T \mathbf{X}^T \mathbf{y} + \beta^T \mathbf{X}^T \mathbf{X}\beta + \beta^T \Lambda_0 \beta\right]\right) \\
&\propto \exp\left(-\frac{1}{2\sigma^2} \left[\beta^T \Lambda_n \beta - \mathbf{y}^T \mathbf{X}\beta - \beta^T \mathbf{X}^T \mathbf{y}\right]\right) \\
&= \exp\left(-\frac{1}{2\sigma^2} \left[\beta^T \Lambda_n \beta - \mu_n^T \Lambda_n \beta - \beta^T \Lambda_n \mu_n\right]\right) \\
&\propto \exp\left(-\frac{1}{2\sigma^2} \left[\beta^T \Lambda_n \beta - \mu_n^T \Lambda_n \beta - \beta^T \Lambda_n \mu_n + \mu_n^T \Lambda_n \mu_n\right]\right) \\
&= \exp\left(-\frac{1}{2\sigma^2} \left[(\beta - \mu_n)^T \Lambda_n (\beta - \mu_n)\right]\right)
\end{aligned}$$

where

$$\mu_n = \Lambda_n^{-1} \mathbf{X}^T \mathbf{y}$$

and

$$\Lambda_n = (\mathbf{X}^T \mathbf{X} + \Lambda_0)$$

And hence

$$\beta \sim MVN(\mu_n, \sigma^2 \Lambda_n^{-1})$$

1.2 Sampling of σ

Samling of sigma with an invers gamma prior follows the standard approach in bayesian linear regression. The posterior distribution of σ^2 is

$$\sigma^2 \sim \text{IG}(a_n, b_n)$$

where

$$\begin{aligned}
a_n &= a_0 + \frac{n}{2} \\
b_n &= b_0 + \frac{1}{2} (\mathbf{y}^T \mathbf{y} - \mu_n^T \Lambda_n \mu_n)
\end{aligned}$$

1.3 Sampling of τ

$$\begin{aligned}
p(\tau|\lambda, \sigma, \beta) &\propto p(\beta|\lambda, \tau, \sigma) \cdot p(\tau) \\
&= \frac{1}{\sqrt{(2\pi)^p |\Sigma_\beta|}} \exp\left(-\frac{1}{2}(\beta^T \Sigma_\beta^{-1} \beta)\right) \cdot \frac{2}{\pi} \cdot \frac{1}{1+\tau^2} \\
&\quad \frac{1}{\sqrt{(2\pi)^p \sigma^{2p} \tau^{2p} \lambda_1^2 \dots \lambda_i^2 \dots \lambda_p^2}} \exp\left(-\frac{1}{2}(\beta^T \Sigma_\beta^{-1} \beta)\right) \cdot \frac{2}{\pi} \cdot \frac{1}{1+\tau^2} \\
&\propto \frac{1}{\tau^p} \exp\left(-\frac{1}{2}(\beta^T \Sigma_\beta^{-1} \beta)\right) \cdot \frac{1}{1+\tau^2} \\
&= \frac{1}{\tau^p} \exp\left(-\frac{1}{2\sigma^2 \tau^2} \left(\sum_i \frac{\beta_i^2}{\lambda_i^2}\right)\right) \cdot \frac{1}{1+\tau^2}
\end{aligned}$$

We then set $\gamma = \frac{1}{\tau^2}$ implies $\tau = \gamma^{-\frac{1}{2}}$ with

$$\begin{aligned}
p(\gamma_i) &\propto \gamma^{\frac{p}{2}} \exp\left(-\frac{1}{2\sigma^2} \left(\sum_i \frac{\beta_i^2}{\lambda_i^2}\right) \gamma\right) \cdot \frac{1}{1+\gamma^{-1}} \left| \frac{d}{d\gamma} \gamma_i^{-\frac{1}{2}} \right| \\
&= \exp\left(-\frac{1}{2\sigma^2} \left(\sum_i \frac{\beta_i^2}{\lambda_i^2}\right) \gamma\right) \cdot \frac{\gamma^{\frac{p}{2}}}{\gamma+1} \left| -\frac{1}{2} \gamma^{-\frac{3}{2}} \right| \\
&= \exp\left(-\frac{1}{2\sigma^2} \left(\sum_i \frac{\beta_i^2}{\lambda_i^2}\right) \gamma\right) \cdot \frac{\gamma^{\frac{p+2}{2}}}{\gamma+1} \frac{1}{2} \gamma^{-\frac{3}{2}} \\
&\propto \exp\left(-\frac{1}{2\sigma^2} \left(\sum_i \frac{\beta_i^2}{\lambda_i^2}\right) \gamma\right) \cdot \frac{1}{\gamma+1} \gamma^{\frac{p-1}{2}}
\end{aligned}$$

In Scott (2010, p. 6f.) they sample from this (and τ^2) with slice sampling by defining $\gamma = \frac{1}{\tau^2}$ (called η_i in Scott (2009, p. 6f.)) and $\hat{\mu}^2 = \sum_p^P \left(\frac{\beta_p}{\lambda_p}\right)^2 / \sigma^2 = \sum_p^P \left(\frac{\beta_p}{\lambda_p \sigma}\right)^2$ with

$$p(\gamma|\lambda_i, \hat{\mu}) \propto \exp\left(-\frac{1}{2} \hat{\mu}^2 \gamma\right) \gamma^{\frac{p-1}{2}} \frac{1}{1+\gamma}$$

To sample τ we use the algorithm of using the same slice sampling procedure as in Damlen et al. (1999, section 3.2). Using this approach we get

$$\begin{aligned}
l(\gamma) &= \frac{1}{1+\gamma} \\
\pi(\gamma) &= \exp\left(-\frac{1}{2} \hat{\mu}^2 \gamma\right) \gamma^{\frac{p-1}{2}}
\end{aligned}$$

so π is a gamma distribution with $\alpha = (p+1)/2$ and $\beta = \hat{\mu}^2$ we sample

$$\begin{aligned} u &\sim U(0, (1+\gamma)^{-1}) \\ \gamma &\sim G\left(\alpha = \frac{1}{2}(p+1), \beta = \frac{1}{2}\hat{\mu}^2\right) I(\gamma < (1-u)/u) \end{aligned}$$

where $I()$ indicates the truncation region.

After sampling we transform back to τ by $\tau = \gamma^{-\frac{1}{2}}$.

1.4 Sampling of λ_i

$$\begin{aligned} p(\beta|\lambda, \tau, \sigma) \cdot p(\lambda_i) &= \frac{1}{\sqrt{(2\pi)^p |\Sigma_\beta|}} \exp\left(-\frac{1}{2}(\beta^T \Sigma_\beta^{-1} \beta)\right) \cdot \frac{2}{\pi} \cdot \frac{1}{1+\lambda_i^2} \\ &\quad \frac{1}{\sqrt{(2\pi)^p \sigma^2 \tau^{2p} \lambda_1^2 \dots \lambda_i^2 \dots \lambda_p^2}} \exp\left(-\frac{1}{2}(\beta^T \Sigma_\beta^{-1} \beta)\right) \cdot \frac{2}{\pi} \cdot \frac{1}{1+\lambda_i^2} \\ &\propto \frac{1}{\lambda_i} \exp\left(-\frac{1}{2\tau^2 \sigma^2} \left(\sum_i \frac{\beta_i^2}{\lambda_i^2}\right)\right) \cdot \frac{1}{1+\lambda_i^2} \\ &\propto \frac{1}{\lambda_i} \exp\left(-\frac{\beta_i^2}{2\sigma^2 \tau^2 \lambda_i^2}\right) \cdot \frac{1}{1+\lambda_i^2} \end{aligned}$$

We then set $\gamma_i = \frac{1}{\lambda_i^2}$ with $\lambda_i = \gamma_i^{-\frac{1}{2}}$ with

$$\begin{aligned} p(\gamma_i) &\propto \gamma_i^{\frac{1}{2}} \exp\left(-\frac{\beta_i^2}{2\sigma^2 \tau^2 \gamma_i^{-1}}\right) \cdot \frac{1}{1+\gamma_i^{-1}} \left| \frac{d}{d\gamma} \gamma_i^{-\frac{1}{2}} \right| \\ &= \exp\left(-\frac{\beta_i^2}{2\sigma^2 \tau^2} \gamma_i\right) \cdot \frac{\gamma_i^{\frac{1}{2}}}{\frac{\gamma_i+1}{\gamma_i}} \left| -\frac{1}{2} \gamma_i^{-\frac{3}{2}} \right| \\ &= \exp\left(-\frac{\beta_i^2}{2\sigma^2 \tau^2} \gamma_i\right) \cdot \frac{\gamma_i^{\frac{3}{2}}}{\gamma_i+1} \frac{1}{2} \gamma_i^{-\frac{3}{2}} \\ &\propto \exp\left(-\frac{\beta_i^2}{2\sigma^2 \tau^2} \gamma_i\right) \cdot \frac{1}{\gamma_i+1} \end{aligned}$$

In Scott (2009, p. 9f.) they sample from this (and τ^2) with slice sampling by defining $\gamma_i = \frac{1}{\lambda_i^2}$ (called η_i in Scott (2009, p. 9f.)) and $\hat{\mu}_i = \frac{\beta_i}{\tau\sigma}$ with

$$p(\gamma_i|\tau, \hat{\mu}_i) \propto \exp\left(-\frac{1}{2}\hat{\mu}_i^2 \gamma_i\right) \frac{1}{1+\gamma_i}$$

To sample λ_i we use the algorithm of using the same slice sampling procedure as in Damlen et al. (1999, section 3.2). Using this approach we get

$$\begin{aligned} l(\gamma) &= \frac{1}{1+\gamma_i} \\ \pi(\gamma) &\propto \exp\left(-\frac{1}{2}\hat{\mu}_i^2 \gamma_i\right) \end{aligned}$$

so we sample

$$\begin{aligned} u &\sim U(0, (1 + \gamma_i)^{-1}) \\ \gamma &\sim \text{Exp}\left(\frac{1}{2}\hat{\mu}_i^2\right) I(\gamma < (1 - u)/u) \end{aligned}$$

where $I()$ indicates the truncation region. After sampling γ_i we convert back to λ_i with $\lambda_i = \gamma_i^{-\frac{1}{2}}$.

References

- Damien, P., Wakefield, J., Walker, S., 1999. Gibbs sampling for bayesian non-conjugate and hierarchical models by using auxiliary variables. *Journal of the Royal Statistical Society: Series B (Statistical Methodology)* 61 (2), 331–344.
- Scott, J. G., 2009. Flexible learning on the sphere via adaptive needlet shrinkage and selection. Tech. rep.
- Scott, J. G., 2010. Parameter expansion in local-shrinkage models. arXiv preprint arXiv:1010.5265.