Project Final Report

For CS 569: Static Analysis and Model Checking for Dynamic

Analysis

Xiao Han

June 6, 2016

I. Introduction

Random testing has been known as serval strengths: cheap to use, does not have any bias, and quick to find bugs. However, there are some weaknesses of common random testing. The test cases are generated randomly by the generator. There could have some meaningless test cases in unguided random testing. In this way, some think that random testing is not effective as systematic testing. There are some enhanced random testing algorithm. Adaptive Random Testing is one way to decrease the meaningless test cases by trying to generate test cases more evenly. In the paper "Feedback-directed Random Test Generation" [1], the authors find another way to improve the efficacy of random testing. The technique that authors present is improving random test generation by taking care of the feedback obtained from the input test cases that the generator created before [1]. If some of the input test cases, which have been tested, make no sense, the generator should avoid create a new test case with the same values.

II. Algorithm

The authors give the algorithm of Feedback-directed Random Test. There are three sequences: error sequence, non-error sequence, and new sequence. Error sequence and non-error sequence are used to store the cases that have been tested. New sequence is used to store the new case that generator creates. First of all, the generator will create a test case and put it into new sequence. Second, checking whether the new test case, which is stored in the new sequence, has been tested before. If the new test case has been

tested then create a new case. Third, executing the new sequence and check the feedback. If the feedback has no error then store the new sequence into non-error sequence. If the feedback has error then store the new sequence into error sequence. This algorithm will avoid lots of non-sense test cases. The authors implement the algorithm in RANDOOP. RANDOOP is a unit test generator for Java. It will automatically create unit tests for your classes in Junit format [2]. I want to implement Feedback-directed Random Test in TSTL. In the same time, let my random tester could deal with more SUTs and have a higher efficacy than unguided random test algorithm.

```
GenerateSequences (classes, contracts, filters, timeLimit)
   errorSeqs ← {} // Their execution violates a contract.
1
2
   nonErrorSeqs ← {} // Their execution violates no contract.
3
   while timeLimit not reached do
4
       // Create new sequence.
5
        m (T1...Tk) ← randomPublicMethod (classes)
6
        (segs, vals) ← randomSegsAndVals (nonErrorSegs, T1 . . . Tk)
7
        newSeq ← extend(m, seqs, vals)
8
       // Discard duplicates.
9
        if newSeq ∈ nonErrorSeqs ∪ errorSeqs then
10
            continue
        end if
11
       // Execute new sequence and check contracts.
12
        (o, violated) ← execute(newSeq, contracts)
13
14
       // Classify new sequence and outputs.
        if violated = true then
15
            errorSeqs ← errorSeqs ∪ {newSeq}
16
17
        else
```

```
nonErrorSeqs ← nonErrorSeqs ∪ {newSeq}

setExtensibleFlags (newSeq, filters, o) // Apply filters.

end if

end while

return (nonErrorSeqs, errorSeqs)
```

III. My tester1.py

This is the basic implementation of a novel test generation algorithm using the TSTL API. My program meets the basic requirement and support all of required command line. Define a function which is called parse args(). I'm using the same function as randomtester.py [2]. This function will add command line parameters arguments and set input sys.argv into this parameters. Define a function which is called make_config(pargs, parser). This function is also the same as randomtester.py [2]. This function will return a dictionary which will let me using command line easily by calling config.xxx. Define a function which is called This will get check action(). function random action sut.randomEnabled(random seed) and check whether the action is safely by using sut.safely(action). If command line running is 1, then give the output as required. If the action is not ok then there is a bug. Output the failure massage. If command line fault is 1 then save different failure massages into different files. My tester1.py using the algorithm of "Feedback-directed Random Test Generation". There are three list: news, error, and nonerror. news will using to store sut.newStatements(). error will store the sut.currStatements() which find a bug. nonerror will store sut.currStatments() which don't contain a bug. When the algorithm generate a new statements, the algorithm will check whether the statements have been tested. If statements have been tested then generate a new statements. My tester1.py allow user give a time how long will the tester run.

IV. My tester2.py

In my tester2.py, the mainly job that I have done are fixing some bugs and improving the efficiency of tester1.py. First of all, when the width becomes large, tester1.py could not control running time. In this way, there will be time out for tester1.py. I fix this bug in tester2.py. Second, the output of bugs doesn't use saveTest in tester1.py. At last, my tester2.py changes the insert function which improve the efficiency of tester1.py. In tester1.py will insert new state that needs to be test into the beginning of states. In tester2.py will insert new state into the end of the list.

Result of tester1.py run with 30 1 100 1 1 1 1:

TSTL BRANCH COUNT: 180

TSTL STATEMENT COUNT: 135

Bugs 0

Running time: 30.0018610954

Result of tester2.py run with 30 1 100 1 1 1 1:

TSTL BRANCH COUNT: 191

TSTL STATEMENT COUNT: 141

Bugs 1

Running time: 30.0021259785

v. Related Work

Before I write my random tester, I read TSTL randomtester.py which are written by Professor Alex Groce. In my random tester file, I use same structure and lots of same function from TSTL randomtester.py. In the same time, I use sut functions in my tester.py. All the functions from sut are produced by TSTL.

VI. Future Work

TSTL randomtester is more powerful and more efficient than my tester. TSTL randomtester could deal more parameters. My tester could only deal with parameters such as timeout, seed, depth, width, faults, coverage, and running. In the future, there will be more parameters could be accepted by my tester. For example, my tester could compare all

failing tests.

References

[1] Pacheco, Carlos; Shuvendu K. Lahiri; Michael D. Ernst; Thomas Ball (May 2007). "Feedback-directed random test generation". ICSE '07: Proceedings of the 29th International Conference on Software Engineering (IEEE Computer Society): 75–84. [2] https://github.com/randoop/randoop