Course: CS 569

Instructor: Alex Groce Name: Ruizhi Guo Date: 05.01.2016

Email: guoru@oregonstate.edu

### Part 2 Project Milestone 1 Random Test Generation in TSTL

#### 1. Instruction

Nowadays, random test is a useful way in debugging software. But it still has some disadvantages, so some software experts spend a lot of their time on find some way to improve the random testing. As we know that random testing is very convenient to use and it could save a lot of time to people. But in some special cases, the random testing could not provide an efficient solution. So in my project, I could read some related paper in order to find a way to improve the random testing. In these papers, "Feedback-directed Random Test Generation" which write by Pacheco, Carlos; Michael D. Ernst; Shuvendu K. Lahiri; and Tomsa Ball provides some ingenious idea for me to do this project. In the authors' opinion, their technique would improve the efficacy of random testing. The authors improving the random test generation by look after the feedback obtained from the input test cases that the generator created before. In this situation, the generator could avoid some unuseful cases, then we make the random testing more efficacy.

# 2. Algorithm

```
GenerateSequences(classes, contracts, filters, timeLimit)
    _1 errorSeqs \leftarrow {} // Their execution violates a contract.
    2 nonErrorSeqs ← {} // Their execution violates no contract.
    3 while timeLimit not reached do
         // Create new sequence.
         m(T_1 \dots T_k) \leftarrow randomPublicMethod(classes)
          \langle segs, vals \rangle \leftarrow randomSegsAndVals(nonErrorSegs, T_1 \dots T_k)
         newSeq \leftarrow extend(m, seqs, vals)
         // Discard duplicates.
         if newSeq \in nonErrorSeqs \cup errorSeqs then
             continue
   10
         end if
   11
         // Execute new sequence and check contracts.
   12
          \langle \vec{\mathbf{o}}, violated \rangle \leftarrow execute(newSeq, contracts)
         // Classify new sequence and outputs.
   14
         if violated = true then
   15
             errorSegs \leftarrow errorSegs \cup \{newSeg\}
   16
   17
             nonErrorSeqs \leftarrow nonErrorSeqs \cup \{newSeq\}
             setExtensibleFlags(newSeq, filters, \vec{\mathbf{o}}) // Apply filters.
         end if
   20
   21 end while
22 return (nonErrorSegs, errorSegs)
```

This picture is from the article "Feedback-directed Random Test Generation", it clearly shows that how the algorithm worked. According to the paper, the authors presents a technique that improves random test generation by incorporating feedback obtained from executing test inputs as they created. The authors provide that their technique builds input incrementally by randomly selecting a method call to apply and finding arguments from among previously-constructed inputs. As soon as an input is built, it is executed and checked against a set of contracts and

filters. The author also believed that the result of the execution determines whether the input is redundant, illegal, contract-violating, or useful for generating more inputs. So this method generates more useful input for random test and it reduce the time of work. In the algorithm provided by the authors, there are three different sequences, they are non-error sequence, error sequence and the new sequence. This algorithm would improve the random testing by the following steps, the first step is the generator create a test case, then checking whether this case has been test, and check the feed back, if the feed back has error then put it in the error sequence other put it in the non error sequence. So this step improves the algorithm.

## 3. What I did in the tester1.py

In this part, I use the file written by Professor as an example and do my work to meet the requirement of this assignment. As the requirement by professor Agroce I make my file support the required command line. The first is "timeout", it provides the running time of the test in seconds. Second is "Seed", it provides the seed for Python Random. random object used for random number generation in my code. Third is "Depth", it provides the maximum length of a test generated by my algorithm. Forth is "Width", it provides the maximum memory/Brs queue/other parameter that is basically a search width. The algorithm is my tester1 is coming from the "Feedback-directed Random Test Generation" and it check whether the input is already test. So it would avoid the same input check again.

#### 4. Future works

In the future, I would do more research on the algorithm, because I think this algorithm is interesting and it could be better. I would try to add more functions to improve the current file.

### 5. Reference

- [1] J. W. Duran and S. C. Ntafos. An evaluation of random test. IEEE TSE, 10(4): 438-444, July. 1984.
- [2] K. Yatoh, K. Sakamoto, F. Ishikawa, S, Honiden. Feedback-Controlled Random Test Genreation. In ISSTA 2015
- [3] Pacheco, Carlos, et al. "Feedback-directed random test feneration." Software Engineering, 2007. ICSE 2007. 29<sup>th</sup> International Conference on. IEEE, 2007.
- [4] R. Ferguson and B. Korel. The chaining approach for software test data generation. ACM TOSEM, 5(1): 63-86, Jan. 1996.