

Course: CS569

Name: Kai Shi

Competitive Milestone 1

## Improved Random Test Generation

### Introduction

Random testing is a good way to test programs. Random testing is also called “fuzzing”[1]. Random testing is highly effective in industry testing development. However, it will cause some problems. It will generate some meaningless cases in limited testing time, which will lower the testing efficiency. Also, some branches are easy to be run and some are not. So, before half of testing time, some branches are run many times, and some are still unexecuted. Then, in rest half time, situation still unchanged, which will cause some of branches are tested too many times but some of them are only tested 1 or 2 times. This will be a problem of random tester. Therefore, I plan to improve this situation of random test in this project. If we divide testing time into two parts: situation1 and situation 2. Situation 1 is normal testing, and situation2 will make some changes.

### Algorithm

In this project, I came up with a new algorithm according to NewCover.py in our class. I divided first input <TIMEOUT> into two phases. Phase1 runs normally. In phase2 first finding the median coverageCount and the branches tested less than this median will be tested in phase 2. These branches have been tested more than median times will not be executed. This algorithm will avoid only test some of branches and ignore some of others.

First, I spend  $t/2$  time to run randomAction() as normal. After doing this, I just print the coverage. Then in the rest  $t/2$  time, calling a function findMid(). Then, I used the idea from BFS algorithm to append the current state into Queue list if it's in the belowMid list, which returns from findMid() function. Then, adding queue list into current statements. Last, still using randomAction() to test current statements. Also, printing the how many statements are below median coverage out of total statements. It should be half size of the total statements.

Phase 1:

```
start = time.time()
print "Testing for half ", TIMEOUT/2, "time..."
while start is less than Timeout/2:
    Doing randomAction();
    Computing CoverageCount;
printCoverage()
```

Phase 2:

```
start2 = time.time()
```

```
while current time - start2 < TIMEOUT/2:
```

```
    findMid()    → This step will return a Mid coverage and queue list of  
                  branches below Mid
```

```
    Appending the current state into Queue[];
```

```
    Adding queue list into current statements;
```

```
    Doing randomAction();
```

```
    Computing new CoverageCount;
```

```
printCoverage()
```

Printing other results, bug reports, etc.

For the findMid() function. First sorting the results of phase1 by their coverageCount. Then, let ss be the half length of sorted list. Then, coverageCount[s] means current state's executed times, and coverageCount[sortedCov[ss]] means the tested times Median branches we found. If coverageCount[s] < coverageCount[sortedCov[ss]], add current state s into belowMid list.

```
belowMid = set([])
```

```
    sortedCov = sorted(coverageCount.keys(), key=lambda x: coverageCount[x])
```

```
    ss = len(sortedCov)/2
```

```
    for s in sortedCov:
```

```
        if coverageCount[s] < coverageCount[sortedCov[ss]]:  
            belowMid.add(s)
```

```
        else:
```

```
            break
```

```
    print len(belowMid), "STATEMENTS BELOW MID COVERAGE OUT  
OF", len(coverageCount)
```

## Next Plan

This project is discussed with Xiang Li, and Chiaoy Yang. We are one group and our group plan to use the (FAR) algorithm, which in my proposal later. This algorithm is similar to current algorithm. Current algorithm is finding the belowMid, and FAR is finding the farthest branches. I think it's similar, so we plan to implement FAR algorithm to improve the efficiency of random testing if possible.

## Reference:

[1] W.Howden, "Systems Testing and Statistical Test Data Coverage", COMPSAC '97, Washington, DC, August 1997, p. 500-504.