Unit 11: Applications – Econometrics

Richard Foltyn *University of Glasgow*September 17, 2021

Contents

1	App	lications: Econometrics	1
	1.1	Preliminaries: Drawing bivariate samples	1
	1.2	Singular value decomposition (SVD) and principal components	2
	1.3	Ordinary least squares (OLS)	6
		OLS using housing data	

1 Applications: Econometrics

In this unit, we study a few more advanced examples of how to use Python to perform common econometric tasks. We will go beyond just calling existing functions that someone implemented, but we instead will implement these ourselves to understand the underlying concepts.

1.1 Preliminaries: Drawing bivariate samples

In most of the exercises below, we'll need to draw a random sample that serves as an input. We therefore first define a routine which returns a sample drawn from a bivariate normal distribution.

In line with what we learned in unit 10, we check arguments and raise an exception if a an invalid value is encountered.

```
[1]: import numpy as np
     from numpy.random import default_rng
     def draw_bivariate_sample(mean, std, rho, n, seed=123):
         Draw a bivariate normal random sample.
         Parameters
         mean : array_like
            Length-2 array of means
         std : array_like
            Length-2 array of standard deviations
         rho : float
             Correlation parameter
         n : int
             Sample size
         if not -1 <= rho <= 1:
             raise ValueError(f'Invalid correlation parameter: {rho}')
         if np.any(np.array(std) <= 0):</pre>
             raise ValueError(f'Invalid standard deviation: {std}')
```

1.2 Singular value decomposition (SVD) and principal components

Singular value decomposition is a matrix factorisation that is commonly used in econometrics and statistics. For example, we can use it to implement principal component analysis (PCA), principal component regression, OLS or Ridge regression.

Let $X \in \mathbb{R}^{m \times n}$ be a matrix. For our purposes, we will assume that $m \ge n$ since X will be the matrix containing the data with observations in rows and variables in column. The (compact) SVD of X is given by

$$\mathbf{X} = \mathbf{U} \mathbf{\Sigma} \mathbf{V}'$$

where $\mathbf{U} \in \mathbb{R}^{m \times n}$ and $\mathbf{V} \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times n}$ are orthogonal matrices, and $\Sigma \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times n}$ is a diagonal matrix

$$\Sigma = egin{bmatrix} \sigma_1 & & & & & \ & \sigma_2 & & & & \ & & \ddots & & & \ & & & \sigma_n & \end{bmatrix}$$

The elements σ_i are called singular values of **X**, and Σ is arranged such that $\sigma_1 \ge \sigma_2 \ge \cdots \ge \sigma_n$. Since **U** is not necessarily square, it's not truly orthogonal, but its columns are still orthogonal to each other.

These matrices satisfy the following useful properties:

$$\mathbf{U}'\mathbf{U} = \mathbf{I}_n$$
 $\mathbf{V}'\mathbf{V} = \mathbf{V}\mathbf{V}' = \mathbf{I}_n$
 $\mathbf{V}' = \mathbf{V}^{-1}$

In Python, we compute the SVD using the svd() function from numpy.linalg.

1.2.1 Example: Bivariate normal

Imagine we construct *X* as 200 random draws from a bivariate normal:


```
[2]: import numpy as np
import matplotlib.pyplot as plt
from numpy.random import default_rng
```

```
# Draw a bivariate normal sample using the function we defined above
mu = [0.0, 1.0]  # Vector of means
sigma = [0.5, 1.0]  # Vector of standard deviations
rho = 0.75  # Correlation coefficient
Nobs = 200  # Sample size
X = draw_bivariate_sample(mu, sigma, rho, Nobs)
x1, x2 = X.T

# Scatter plot of sample
plt.scatter(x1, x2, linewidths=0.75, c='none', edgecolors='steelblue')
plt.xlabel(r'$x_1$')
plt.ylabel(r'$x_2$')
plt.title('Draws from bivariate normal distribution')
```

[2]: Text(0.5, 1.0, 'Draws from bivariate normal distribution')

Draws from bivariate normal distribution



We can now perform the SVD as follows:

Finally, we can multiply the output of svd() to verify that the result is equal to X:

```
[8]: X_svd = U * S @ Vt

# Compute the max. absolute difference
diff = np.amax(np.abs(X - X_svd))
print(f"Max. absolute difference between X and USV': {diff:.2e}")
```

Max. absolute difference between X and USV': 2.89e-15

1.2.2 Example: Principal components

We use principal component analysis (PCA) as a dimension reduction technique, which allows us to identify an alternate set of axes along which the data in **X** varies the most. In machine learning, PCA is one of the most basic unsupervised learning techniques.

To perform the PCA, it is recommended to first demean the data:

```
[9]: X = draw_bivariate_sample(mu, sigma, rho, Nobs)

# Demean variables in X
Xmean = np.mean(X, axis=0)

# Matrix Z stores the demeaned variables
Z = X - Xmean[None]
```

We can now use the SVD factorisation to compute the principal components. Once we have computed the matrices U, Σ and V, the matrix of principal components (one in each column) is given by

$$PC = \mathbf{U}\Sigma$$

```
[10]: # Apply SVD to standardised values
U, S, Vt = svd(Z, full_matrices=False)

# Compute principal components
PC = U * S  # same as U @ np.diag(S)


# Variance is highest for first component
var_PC = np.var(PC, axis=0, ddof=1)
print(f'Principal component variances: {var_PC}')
```

Principal component variances: [1.17607859 0.09444617]

We can plot the principal component axes in the original data space (left columns). Moreover, the right column shows the data rotated and rescaled so that each axes corresponds to a principal component. Most of the variation clearly occurs along the first axis!

```
[11]: # Plot principal components
       # Scatter plot of sample
      fig, axes = plt.subplots(1, 2, figsize=(10,4))
      axes[0].scatter(X[:, 0], X[:, 1], linewidths=0.75, c='none', _
       →edgecolors='steelblue')
      axes[0].axis('equal')
      axes[0].set_xlabel(r'$x_1$')
      axes[0].set_ylabel(r'$x_2$')
      axes[0].axline(Xmean, Xmean + Vt[0], label='PC1', lw=1.0, c='black', zorder=1)
      axes[0].axline(Xmean, Xmean + Vt[1], label='PC2', lw=1.0, c='red', zorder=1)
      PC_arrows = Vt * np.sqrt(var_PC[:, None])
      for v in PC_arrows:
           # Scale up arrows by 3 so that they are visible!
          axes[0].annotate('', Xmean + v*3, Xmean, arrowprops=dict(arrowstyle='->', u
       →linewidth=2))
      axes[0].legend()
      # Plot in principal component coordinate system
      axes[1].scatter(PC[:, 0], PC[:, 1], linewidths=0.75, c='none', u
       ⇔edgecolors='steelblue')
      axes[1].set_xlabel('PC1')
      axes[1].set_ylabel('PC2')
      axes[1].axis('equal')
      axes[1].axvline(0.0, lw=1.0, c='red')
      axes[1].axhline(0.0, lw=1.0, c='black')
```

[11]: <matplotlib.lines.Line2D at 0x7fc5a4254580>



Of course, in real applications we don't need to manually compute the principal components, but can use a library such as scikit-learn to do it for us:

```
[12]: from sklearn.decomposition import PCA

# Draw the same sample as before
X = draw_bivariate_sample(mu, sigma, rho, Nobs)

# Create PCA with 2 components (which is the max, since we have only two
# variables)
pca = PCA(n_components=2)
```

```
# Perform PCA on input data
pca.fit(X)

# The attribute components_ can be used to retrieve the V' matrix
print("Principal components (matrix V'):")
print(pca.components_)

# The attribute explained_variance_ stores the variances of all PCs
print(f'Variance of each PC: {pca.explained_variance_}')

# Fraction of variance explained by each component:
print(f'Fraction of variance of each PC: {pca.explained_variance_ratio_}')
```

```
Principal components (matrix V'):
[[ 0.38420018     0.92324981]
     [ 0.92324981     -0.38420018]]
Variance of each PC: [1.17607859     0.09444617]
Fraction of variance of each PC: [0.92566365     0.07433635]
```

1.3 Ordinary least squares (OLS)

Consider the regression

$$y_i = \mathbf{x}_i' \boldsymbol{\beta} + u_i$$

where x_i is a vector of regressors (explanatory variables) that is assumed to include a constant. Recall that the OLS estimator $\hat{\beta}$ is given by

$$\widehat{\beta} = (\mathbf{X}'\mathbf{X})^{-1}\mathbf{X}'\mathbf{y}$$

where **X** is the regressor matrix that contains all stacked \mathbf{x}'_i , and **y** contains all observations of the dependent variable.

1.3.1 Example 1: Bivariate data

We first demonstrate how to run OLS using bivariate normal data. With only one regressor, the regression simplifies to

$$y_i = \alpha + \beta x_i + u_i$$

where α is the intercept and β is the slope coefficient. In this special case, the population coefficient β can be computed using the formula

$$\beta = \frac{E[(Y - \overline{Y})(X - \overline{X})]}{E[(X - \overline{X})]} = \frac{Cov(Y, X)}{Var(X)}$$

where the numerator contains the covariance of the random variables Y and X, and the denominator contains the variance of X. Given a sample of values, the estimator $\hat{\beta}$ is computed using the corresponding sample moments:

$$\widehat{\beta} = \frac{\widehat{Cov}(y, x)}{\widehat{Var}(x)}$$

```
[13]: import numpy as np
import matplotlib.pyplot as plt

mu = [-1.0, 1.0]  # Mean of X and Y
std = [0.5, 1.5]  # Std. dev. of X and Y
rho = -0.5  # Correlation coefficient
Nobs = 100  # Sample size

# We transpose the return value and unpack individual rows into X and Y
x, y = draw_bivariate_sample(mu, std, rho, Nobs).T


# Compute beta (slope coefficient) from distribution moments.
```

```
# This is the true underlying relationship given our data generating process.
cov = rho * np.prod(std)
beta = cov / std[0]**2.0
print(f'Slope of population regression line: {beta}')
# Compute beta from sample moments
# Sample variance-covariance matrix (ddof=1 returns the unbiased estimate)
cov_hat = np.cov(x, y, ddof=1)[0, -1]
var_x_hat = np.var(x, ddof=1)
beta_hat = cov_hat / var_x_hat
# Sample intercept
alpha_hat = np.mean(y) - beta_hat * np.mean(x)
print(f'Slope of sample regression line: {beta_hat}')
# Scatter plot of sample
plt.scatter(x, y, linewidths=0.75, c='none', edgecolors='steelblue')
plt.xlabel(r'$x$')
plt.ylabel(r'$y$')
plt.title('Draws from bivariate normal distribution')
plt.axline(mu, slope=beta, color='red', label='Regression line (population)')
plt.axline((0, alpha_hat), slope=beta_hat, color='black', label='Regression lineu
plt.legend()
```

Slope of population regression line: -1.5 Slope of sample regression line: -1.3889613032802288

[13]: <matplotlib.legend.Legend at 0x7fc5713f1eb0>

Draws from bivariate normal distribution



1.3.2 Example 2: OLS using matrix algebra

With more than one regressor, we need to use matrix algebra to perform the OLS estimation. For demonstration purposes, we continue using the bivariate data generated above, but now we write the OLS regression as

$$y_i = \mathbf{x}_i' \gamma + u_i$$

where $\gamma = (\alpha, \beta)$, and the regressors now contain a constant, $\mathbf{x_i} = (1, x_i)'$. As stated above, the OLS estimator is given by

$$\widehat{\gamma} = \left(\mathbf{X}' \mathbf{X} \right)^{-1} \mathbf{X}' \mathbf{y}$$

Naive solution You might be tempted to solve the above equation system by explicitly computing the inverse of X'X using NumPy's inv() like this:

```
# We transpose the return value and unpack individual rows into X and Y
x, y = draw_bivariate_sample(mu, std, rho, Nobs).T

# Create vector of ones (required to estimate the intercept)
ones = np.ones((len(x), 1))
# Prepend constant to vector of regressors to create regressor matrix X
X = np.hstack((ones, x[:, None]))

# Compute inverse of X'X
XXinv = inv(X.T @ X)

print("Explicitly computed (X'X)^(-1):")
print(XXinv)

# Compute naive estimate of gamma
gamma_naive = XXinv @ X.T @ y
print(f'Naive estimate of gamma. {gamma_naive}')
```

```
Explicitly computed (X'X)^(-1):
[[0.05633363 0.04521468]
[0.04521468 0.04412275]]
Naive estimate of gamma: [-0.20352351 -1.3889613]
```

This might seems like a straightforward way to implement OLS, but in practice you should *never* do this. Explicitly taking the inverse of a matrix to solve an equation system is rarely a good idea and numerically unstable, even though in this particular case it yields the same result!

Solving as a linear equation system One numerically acceptable way to run OLS is to view it as a linear equation system. Recall that a linear equation system can be written in matrix notation as

$$Az = b$$

where $\mathbf{A} \in \mathbb{R}^{k \times k}$ is a coefficient matrix of full rank, $\mathbf{b} \in \mathbb{R}^k$ is a vector, and $\mathbf{z} \in \mathbb{R}^k$ is a vector of k unknows we want to solve for. The OLS estimator can be written in this form if we set

$$\mathbf{A} = \mathbf{X}'\mathbf{X}$$
$$\mathbf{b} = \mathbf{X}'\mathbf{y}$$
$$\mathbf{z} = \widehat{\gamma}$$

so that we have

$$(\mathbf{X}'\mathbf{X})\widehat{\gamma} = \mathbf{X}'\mathbf{y}$$

We can use NumPy's solve () to find $\widehat{\gamma}$:

```
[15]: from numpy.linalg import solve

# Compute X'X
A = X.T @ X
# Compute X'y
b = X.T @ y

# Solve for coefficient vector
gamma_solve = solve(A, b)
print(f'Estimate of gamma using solve(): {gamma_solve}')
```

Estimate of gamma using solve(): [-0.20352351 -1.3889613]

Of course, running OLS (or equivalently: solving an overdetermined linear equation system) is a common task, so NumPy has the function lstsq() which allows you do to it without explicitly computing X'X or X'y:

```
[16]: from numpy.linalg import lstsq

# Estimate using lstsq(). Pass rcond=None to suppress a warning.
gamma_lstsq, *rest = lstsq(X, y, rcond=None)

print(f'Estimate of gamma using lstsq(): {gamma_lstsq}')
```

Estimate of gamma using lstsq(): [-0.20352351 -1.3889613]

1.3.3 Example 3: Implementing OLS yourself

NumPy's lstsq() uses SVD to compute the solution. Since we covered SVD in a previous exercise, we already have the tools to build our own implementation.

Recall that SVD factorises a regressor matrix **X** into three matrices,

$$\mathbf{X} = \mathbf{U} \mathbf{\Sigma} \mathbf{V}'$$

We can use the orthogonality properties of U and V described above to transform the OLS estimator. We will be using the fact that the transpose of X is

$$\mathbf{X}' = \mathbf{V} \mathbf{\Sigma}' \mathbf{U}' = \mathbf{V} \mathbf{\Sigma} \mathbf{U}'$$

which follows since Σ is a diagonal (and thus symmetric) matrix. The OLS estimator can then be expressed as follows:

$$\widehat{\gamma} = (\mathbf{X}'\mathbf{X})^{-1}\mathbf{X}'\mathbf{y}$$

$$= (\mathbf{V}\Sigma\mathbf{U}'\mathbf{U}\Sigma\mathbf{V}')^{-1}\mathbf{V}\Sigma\mathbf{U}'\mathbf{y}$$

$$= (\mathbf{V}\Sigma\mathbf{I}_k\Sigma\mathbf{V}')^{-1}\mathbf{V}\Sigma\mathbf{U}'\mathbf{y}$$

$$= (\mathbf{V}\Sigma^2\mathbf{V}')^{-1}\mathbf{V}\Sigma\mathbf{U}'\mathbf{y}$$

This follows since $\mathbf{U}'\mathbf{U} = \mathbf{I}_k$ is an identity matrix where k = 2 is the number of coefficients we are estimating. Next, we can compute the inverse using the orthogonality properties of \mathbf{V} ,

$$\mathbf{V}\mathbf{V}' = \mathbf{V}'\mathbf{V} = \mathbf{I}$$
$$\mathbf{V}' = \mathbf{V}^{-1}$$

Therefore,

$$\left(\mathbf{V}\Sigma^2\mathbf{V}'\right)^{-1} = (\mathbf{V}')^{-1}\Sigma^{-2}\mathbf{V}^{-1} = \mathbf{V}\Sigma^{-2}\mathbf{V}'$$

Plugging this into the expression for the OLS estimator, we see that

$$\widehat{\gamma} = \left(\mathbf{V}\Sigma^{2}\mathbf{V}'\right)^{-1}\mathbf{V}\Sigma\mathbf{U}'\mathbf{y}$$

$$= \mathbf{V}\Sigma^{-2}\mathbf{V}'\mathbf{V}\Sigma\mathbf{U}'\mathbf{y}$$

$$= \mathbf{V}\Sigma^{-2}\mathbf{I}_{k}\Sigma\mathbf{U}'\mathbf{y}$$

$$= \mathbf{V}\Sigma^{-1}\mathbf{U}'\mathbf{y}$$

Why is this preferable to the original expression? Since Σ is a diagonal matrix, its inverse is trivially computed as the element-wise inverse of its diagonal elements!

```
[17]: from numpy.linalg import svd

# Request "compact" SVD, we don't need the full matrix U.
U, S, Vt = svd(X, full_matrices=False)

# Note that S returned by svd() is a vector that contains the diagonal
# of the matrix Sigma.
gamma_svd = Vt.T * S**(-1) @ U.T @ y
print(f'Estimate of gamma using SVD: {gamma_svd}')
```

Estimate of gamma using SVD: [-0.20352351 -1.3889613]

1.3.4 Example 4: OLS standard errors

All of the above methods only computed the *point estimates*, i.e., the coefficient vector. Usually, we are interested in performing inference, i.e., testing some hypothesis, for example whether our estimate is significantly different from zero. To this end, we need to compute standard errors which reflect the sampling uncertainty of our estimates.

Under the assumption of homoskedastic errors, the variance-covariance matrix of the OLS estimator $\hat{\gamma}$ is given by the expression

$$Var(\widehat{\gamma}) = \widehat{\sigma}^2 (\mathbf{X}'\mathbf{X})^{-1}$$
$$\widehat{\sigma}^2 = \frac{1}{n-k} \sum_{i=1}^n \widehat{u}_i^2$$

where $\hat{\sigma}^2$ is the sample variance of the residuals (recall that we have included an intercept in the model, so the mean of \hat{u}_i is zero!). Note the degree-of-freedom correction in the denominator for a model with k parameters (including any intercept).

Luckily, we can directly use our insights from the previous section and instead of computing $(X'X)^{-1}$ directly (which is numerically undesirable), we can rewrite it using the SVD factorisation as follows:

$$(\mathbf{X}'\mathbf{X})^{-1} = (\mathbf{V}\Sigma\mathbf{U}'\mathbf{U}\Sigma\mathbf{V}')^{-1}$$
$$= (\mathbf{V}\Sigma\mathbf{I}_k\Sigma\mathbf{V}')^{-1}$$
$$= (\mathbf{V}\Sigma^2\mathbf{V}')^{-1}$$
$$= \mathbf{V}\Sigma^{-2}\mathbf{V}'$$

Extending the code from above, we can now compute the point estimate and the standard errors:

```
[18]: from numpy.linalg import svd

# Request "compact" SVD, we don't need the full matrix U.
U, S, Vt = svd(X, full_matrices=False)

# Compute point estimate as before
gamma = Vt.T * S**(-1) @ U.T @ y
```

```
# Compute (X'X)^-1
XXinv = Vt.T * S**(-2) @ Vt

# Residuals are given as u = y - X*gamma
residuals = y - X @ gamma

# Variance of residuals
k = X.shape[1]
var_u = np.var(residuals, ddof=k)

# Variance-covariance matrix of estimates
var_gamma = var_u * XXinv

# Standard errors are square roots of diagonal elements of Var(gamma)
gamma_se = np.sqrt(np.diag(var_gamma))

print(f'Point estimate of gamma: {gamma_se}')
print(f'Standard errors of gamma: {gamma_se}')
```

Point estimate of gamma: [-0.20352351 -1.3889613] Standard errors of gamma: [0.26527596 0.23477147]

1.3.5 Example 5: Complete OLS estimation routine

We can combine all our previous code and encapsulate it in a function called ols, which makes sure the input data are NumPy arrays and have the same number of observations. We also add the optional parameter add_const which allows callers to automatically include a constant in the model.

```
[19]: def ols(X, y, add_const=False):
          Run the OLS regression y = X * beta + u
          and return the estimated coefficients beta and their variance-covariance
          Parameters
          X : array_like
              Matrix (or vector) of regressors
          y : array_like
              Vector of observations of dependent variable
          add_const : bool, optional
              If True, prepend a constant to regressor matrix X.
          from numpy.linalg import svd
          # Make sure that y is a one-dimensional array
          y = np.atleast_1d(y)
          # Number of obs.
          Nobs = y.size
          # Make sure that X is a matrix and the leading dimension contains the
          # observations
          X = np.atleast_2d(X).reshape((Nobs, -1))
          # Check that arrays are of conformable dimensions, and raise an exception
          # if that is not the case
          if X.shape[0] != Nobs:
              raise ValueError('Non-conformable arrays X and y')
          # Check whether we need to prepend a constant
```

```
if add_const:
    ones = np.ones((Nobs, 1))
    X = np.hstack((ones, X))
# Request "compact" SVD, we don't need the full matrix U.
U, S, Vt = svd(X, full_matrices=False)
# Compute point estimate using SVD factorisation
beta = Vt.T * S**(-1) @ U.T @ y
# Compute (X'X)^{-1} using SVD factorisation
XXinv = Vt.T * S**(-2) @ Vt
# Residuals are given as u = y - X*beta
residuals = y - X @ beta
# Number of model parameters
k = X.shape[1]
# Variance of residuals
var_u = np.var(residuals, ddof=k)
# Variance-covariance matrix of estimates
var_beta = var_u * XXinv
return beta, var_beta
```

We can now draw our random sample and repeat the OLS estimation using only a few lines of code!

```
# Test our custom OLS function

# Draw random sample, split into x and y
x, y = draw_bivariate_sample(mu, std, rho, Nobs).T

# Call OLS estimator. Note that we don't need to manually add a constant!
beta, vcv = ols(x, y, add_const=True)

# Compute standard errors
beta_SE = np.sqrt(np.diag(vcv))

print(f'Point estimate: {beta}')
print(f'Standard errors: {beta_SE}')
```

Point estimate: [-0.20352351 -1.3889613] Standard errors: [0.26527596 0.23477147]

1.4 OLS using housing data

We now proceed to run a more meaningful regression using the ols() function developed above. To this end, we use monthly observations from the file HOUSING.csv which contains various variables related to the US housing market. In particular, we will take the number of housing unit construction starts (variable NHSTART) in a given month and regress it on the average sales price of new homes (variable ASPNHS) lagged by 3, 6 and 12 months. We run the regression in logs, so the estimated coefficient should be interpreted as elasticities.

If you are familiar with Stata, the regression we are trying to run will look like this:

. regress log_nhstart L3.log_aspnhs L6.log_aspnhs L12.log_aspnhs if year >= 2000

log_nhstart	Coef.	Std. Err.	t	P> t	[95% Conf.	Interval]
log_aspnhs						
L3.	2.293265	.5024764	4.56	0.000	1.303733	3.282797
L6.	.8001798	.5538507	1.44	0.150	2905242	1.890884
L12.	-1.94804	.4377757	-4.45	0.000	-2.810156	-1.085924
I						
_cons	-6.484041	2.783441	-2.33	0.021	-11.9655	-1.002581

.36042

1.4.1 Load and visually inspect the data

We first load and inspect the data using pandas's read_csv() function:

Total | 40.6330963 258 .157492621 Root MSE

```
[21]: import numpy as np
                       import pandas as pd
                       file = '../data/HOUSING.csv'
                       df = pd.read_csv(file)
                        # Inspect first and last rows of the DataFrame
                                                                                                                                                                                                                            ASPNHS
                                        Year Month NHSTART MORTGAGE30 CSHPRICE HSN1F
                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                   CPI
[21]:
                                      1975 1 1032.0 9.4 NaN 416.0 39500.0
1975 2 904.0 9.1 NaN 422.0 40600.0
1975 3 993.0 8.9 NaN 477.0 42100.0
1975 4 1005.0 8.8 NaN 543.0 42000.0
1975 5 1121.0 8.9 NaN 579.0 43200.0
                       0
                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                    52.3

      0
      1975
      1
      1032.0
      9.4
      NaN
      416.0
      39500.0
      52.3

      1
      1975
      2
      904.0
      9.1
      NaN
      422.0
      40600.0
      52.6

      2
      1975
      3
      993.0
      8.9
      NaN
      477.0
      42100.0
      52.8

      3
      1975
      4
      1005.0
      8.8
      NaN
      543.0
      42000.0
      53.0

      4
      1975
      5
      1121.0
      8.9
      NaN
      579.0
      43200.0
      53.1

      ...
      ...
      ...
      ...
      ...
      ...
      ...
      ...
      ...

      554
      2021
      3
      1725.0
      3.1
      245.5
      873.0
      414700.0
      264.8

      555
      2021
      4
      1514.0
      3.1
      249.8
      796.0
      434800.0
      266.8

      556
      2021
      5
      1594.0
      3.0
      254.4
      720.0
      442500.0
      268.6

      557
      2021
      6
      1650.0
      3.0
      259.0
      701.0
      429600.0
      271.0


      558
      2021

                                       HSUPPLY
                       0
                                                  9.9
                                               10.4
                       1
                       2
                                                   8.9
                       3
                                                     7.2
                       4
                                                     6.8
                       . .
                                                      . . .
                       554
                                                      4.2
                       555
                                                      4.8
                       556
                                                      5.5
                       557
                                                       6.0
                       558
                                                      6.2
                       [559 rows x 9 columns]
```

The data contains several variables which we won't be using in this analysis, such as the Case-Shiller house price index (CSHPRICE) which has missing values for some of the earlier dates (missing values are denoted as NaN).

Let's first plot the bivariate relationship between new house starts and the (concurrent) average sales price. The price is in current dollars, so we first need to deflate it (using the CPI) to make the values comparable across this 45-year period.

[22]: <AxesSubplot:xlabel='NHSTART', ylabel='ASPNHS'>



This scatter plot looks somewhat unexpected as there seems to be no clear relationship between housing supply and house prices. This might be because the relationship has not remained stable over the decades covered by our data.

To see this more clearly, we bin the time periods into five blocks and recreate the plots using different colours:

```
[23]: # Create 5 approximately equally-sized bins based on the calendar year
df['Year_bin'] = pd.cut(df['Year'], bins=5, labels=False)


# Plot each group of years using a different color
fig, ax = plt.subplots(1,1, figsize=(8,6))
colors = ['#e4lalc', '#377eb8', '#4daf4a', '#984ea3', '#ff7f00']

# Iterate over bins, plot each one separately
bins = df['Year_bin'].unique()
for bin in bins:
    # Restrict data set to relevant years
    df_i = df.loc[df['Year_bin'] == bin].copy()

# Extract initial and terminal year of this block
yfrom, yto = df_i['Year'].min(), df_i['Year'].max()

ax.scatter(df_i['NHSTART'], df_i['ASPNHS'],
    label=f'Years {yfrom:.0f}-{yto:.0f}',
```

```
edgecolors=colors[bin], color='none')
ax.set_xlabel('New housing units started (in thousand)')
ax.set_ylabel('Avg. new home sales price (in 1982-84 USD)')
ax.legend()
del df['Year_bin']
```



As you see, our suspicion was correct and there are clear changes across the sample of 45 years. At this point we could do something more elaborate, but for illustrative purposes we just restrict our analysis to the period after the year 2000, where we have an upwards-sloping relationship.

1.4.2 Prepare the data

Before we can call the function ols (), we need to pre-process the data so that we end up with NumPy arrays (the only type of data our function accepts).

```
[24]: # Keep only relevant variables, rest just clutters the DataFrame
  varlist = ['Year', 'Month', 'ASPNHS', 'NHSTART']
  df = df[varlist].copy()

# Create YYYY-MM date index
  df['Date'] = pd.PeriodIndex(year=df['Year'], month=df['Month'], freq='M')
  df = df.set_index('Date')

# Create 3-month, 6-month and 12-month lags of house prices
  lags = 3, 6, 12
  for lag in lags:
      df[f'L{lag}ASPNHS'] = df['ASPNHS'].shift(lag)

# Restrict data to year >= 2000
  df = df.loc[df['Year'] >= 2000].copy()
```

```
# Drop year, month, these are no longer needed
df = df.drop(columns=['Year', 'Month'])
# Plot first 13 rows, which clearly shows the lagged values
df.head(13)
```

```
ASPNHS NHSTART
                                         L3ASPNHS
                                                       L6ASPNHS
                                                                    L12ASPNHS
[24]:
      Date
      2000-01 118310.691081 1636.0 119095.776324 113437.312537 111050.394657
              117176.470588 1737.0 125593.824228
      2000-02
                                                  115559.545183 116211.293260
      2000-03 119824.561404 1604.0 119727.488152 116090.584029 114866.504854
      2000-04 121299.005266 1626.0 118310.691081 119095.776324 115852.923448
      2000-05 116822.429907 1575.0 117176.470588 125593.824228 113192.771084
      2000-06 114808.362369 1559.0 119824.561404 119727.488152 116807.228916
      2000-07 117081.644470 1463.0 121299.005266 118310.691081 113437.312537
      2000-08 115923.566879 1541.0 116822.429907 117176.470588 115559.545183
      2000-09 119988.479263 1507.0 114808.362369 119824.561404 116090.584029
      2000-10 123691.776883 1549.0 117081.644470 121299.005266 119095.776324
      2000-11 120952.927669 1551.0 115923.566879 116822.429907 125593.824228
      2000-12 119186.712486 1532.0 119988.479263 114808.362369 119727.488152
      2001-01 119020.501139 1600.0 123691.776883 117081.644470 118310.691081
```

Now that we have created all the lagged variables, we drop all rows with missing data and convert the relevant columns to NumPy arrays.

```
[25]: # List of variables to include in model
    var_X = [f'L{lag}ASPNHS' for lag in lags]
    var_y = 'NHSTART'

# Restrict to relevant variables
    df = df[var_X + [var_y]].copy()

# drop all rows with missing observations
    df = df.dropna()

# Extract raw data from data frame
    X = df[var_X].to_numpy()
    y = df[var_y].to_numpy()

# Estimate as elasticity in logs
    log_X = np.log(X)
    log_y = np.log(y)

# Print first 5 observations
    log_X[:5]
```

1.4.3 Estimating the model

We are now ready to run the OLS regression.

```
[26]: # Run our own ols() function. This returns the coefficient vector and the
# variance-covariance matrix.
coefs, vcv = ols(log_X, log_y, add_const=True)
```

```
# Compute standard errors from the VCV matrix
se = np.sqrt(np.diag(vcv))
print(f'Estimated coefficients: {coefs}')
print(f'Standard errors: {se}')
print(f'Number of obs: {len(log_y)}')
```

Estimated coefficients: [-6.48405842 2.2932615 0.80018127 -1.94803646] Standard errors: [2.78344134 0.50247618 0.55385034 0.43777545] Number of obs: 259

1.4.4 Running OLS using statsmodels

As you can imagine, estimating an OLS regression is a common task so there are packages which already implement this functionality for you. One such package is statsmodels, which we will now use to verify our results.

```
[27]: import statsmodels.api as sm
      # Explicitly augment the regressor matrix with a constant
      log_X1 = sm.add_constant(log_X)
      # Define the linear model
      model = sm.OLS(log_y, log_X1)
      # Estimate the model
      result = model.fit()
      # Print a summary of the results
      result.summary()
```

[27]: <class 'statsmodels.iolib.summary.Summary'>

-1.9480

OLS Regression Results

Dep. Variable:	У	R-squared:	0.185
Model:	OLS	Adj. R-squared:	0.175
Method:	Least Squares	F-statistic:	19.27
Date:	Fri, 17 Sep 2021	Prob (F-statistic):	2.72e-11
Time:	15:10:21	Log-Likelihood:	-101.18
No. Observations:	259	AIC:	210.4
Df Residuals:	255	BIC:	224.6
Df Model:	3		
Covariance Type:	nonrobust		

	coef	std err	t	P> t	[0.025	0.975]
const	-6.4841	2.783	-2.330	0.021	-11.966	-1.003
x1	2.2933	0.502	4.564	0.000	1.304	3.283
x2	0.8002	0.554	1.445	0.150	-0.291	1.891

0.438

Omnibus:	31.603	Durbin-Watson:	0.184
Prob(Omnibus):	0.000	Jarque-Bera (JB):	9.818
Skew:	-0.144	Prob(JB):	0.00738
Kurtosis:	2.090	Cond. No.	2.55e+03

-4.450

0.000

-2.810

-1.086

Notes:

x3

^[1] Standard Errors assume that the covariance matrix of the errors is correctly specified.

^[2] The condition number is large, 2.55e+03. This might indicate that there are

strong multicollinearity or other numerical problems. $\ensuremath{\text{\text{TIT}}}$

As you can see, the point estimates and standard errors are exactly the same as the ones we computed.

As for the interpretation, the regression says that a 1% increase in the average sales price is associated with a 2.3% increase in new house construction starts in three months time. The elasticity is only 0.8% if we consider a lag of 6 months (albeit not statistically significant), and even reverses its sign at a 12-month horizon. This interpretation of course assumes that prices are independent over time, which is not overly plausible.