Evaluation Instruction Sheet

Evaluators were instructed to assess whether a generated API summary could be directly integrated into official HarmonyOS documentation without requiring further revision. The assessment focuses on the correctness, clarity, completeness, and stylistic conformity of the generated summary with respect to established internal documentation standards. If the automatically generated comment is deemed acceptable, evaluators are instructed to select **"Accept"** in the **Decision** field and leave the **Reason for Accept / Reject** section blank. If the generated comment does not meet the required quality threshold, evaluators should select **"Reject"** and explicitly specify the identified issues in the **Reason for Accept / Reject** field. Where applicable, evaluators are encouraged to provide concise and constructive suggestions for improvement. All evaluations are conducted independently to minimize bias and ensure objectivity.

Anonymous Statistical Description of Experts

The expert panel consisted of four professional documentation engineers, all of whom are employed in the HarmonyOS Documentation Department. The experts are primarily responsible for the authoring, maintenance, and review of official project documentation. Each expert possesses a minimum of five years of professional experience in large-scale industrial documentation review and has extensive familiarity with HarmonyOS APIs, internal coding conventions, and documentation standards. The experts participated anonymously, and no personally identifiable information was collected or disclosed during the evaluation process.