Page Replacement Policies

Page Replacement — naive

step 1: save/clear victim page:
- drop page if fetched from disk and clean
- *dirty*: write back modifications if from disk and dirty (unless `MAP_COPY`)
- *non-dirty*: write page file/swap partition otherwise (e.g., stack, heap memory)

step 2: unmap page from old AS: invalidate PTE, flush cache

step 3: prepare new page: null page or load new contents

step 4: map page frame into new AS: invalidate PTE, flush cache

Page Replacement — buffering

problem: naive page replacement encompasses two I/O transfers
→ both operations block page fault from completing

goal: reduce I/O from critical page fault path to speed up page faults

idea: keep pool of free page frames (*pre-cleaning*):
- *on page fault*: use page frame from free pool
- *cleaning*: daemon cleans, reclaims and scrubs pages for free pool in background

→ smooths out I/O, speeds up paging significantly

remaining problem: which pages to select as victims?
- *goal*: identify page that has left working set of its processes, add to free pool
- *success metric*: low overall page fault rate

Page Replacement — FIFO

idea: evict oldest fetched page in system

Belady's Anomaly: using FIFO, for every number n of page frames you can construct a reference string that performs worse with $n + 1$ frames
→ with FIFO it is possible to get more page faults with more page frames!

Page Replacement — oracle

= optimal replacement strategy: replace page whose next reference is furthest in future

problem: future unpredictable

however: good metric to check how well other algorithms perform

Page Replacement — LRU

goal: approximate oracle page replacement

idea: past often predicts future well

assumption: page used furthest in past is used furthest in future

cycle counter implementation:
- have MMU write CPU's time stamp counter to PTE on every access
- *page fault*: scan all PTEs to find oldest counter value
- *advantage*: cheap at access if done in HW
- *disadvantage*: memory traffic for scanning

stack implementation:
- keep doubly linked list of all page frames
- move each referenced page to tail of list
- *advantage*: can find replacement victim in $O(1)$
- *disadvantage*: need to change 6 pointers at every access

⇝ **no silver bullet**:
- *observation*: predicting future based on past is not precise
- *conclusion*: relax requirements — maybe perfect LRU isn't needed? ⇒ approximate LRU

LRU Approximation — clock page replacement

aka *second chance page replacement*

precondition: MMU sets reference bit in PTE
- supported natively by most hardware
- can easily emulate in systems with software managed TLB (e.g., MIPS)

store: keep all pages in circular FIFO list

searching for victim: scan pages in FIFO's order
- if reference bit = 0 → use page as victim and advance
- if reference bit = 1 → set to 0, continue scanning

problem: large memory → most pages referenced before scanned
- *solution*: use 2 arms, leading arm clears reference bit, trailing arm selects victim

Replacement Strategies — other

random eviction: pick random victim
- dirt simple
- not overly horrible in reality

larger counter: use n-bit reference counter instead of reference bit
- *least frequently used*: rarely used page not in a working set → replace page with smallest count
- *most frequently used*: page with smallest count probably just brought in → replace page with largest count
- neither LFU nor MDU are common (no such hardware, not that great)

Summary

victim page frame needs to be selected by OS when handling page faults
- evicting page frame after page fault happens = not a good idea
- page buffering keeps eviction out of critical path

different victim selection policies exist
- FIFO → Belady's Anomaly
- Oracle → cannot predict the future
- Random → unpredictable, never great but rarely very bad
- LRU → hard to implement efficiently