Page Replacement Policies

Page Replacement — Naive

- step 1: save/clear victim page:
 - o drop page if fetched from disk and clean
 - o dirty: write back modifications if from disk and dirty (unless MAP_COPY)
- o non-dirty: write page file/swap partition otherwise (e.g., stack, heap memory)
- step 2: unmap page from old AS: invalidate PTE, flush cache
- · step 3: prepare new page: null page or load new contents
- step 4: map page frame into new AS: invalidate PTE, flush cache

Page Replacement — Buffering

- Problem: naive page replacement encompasses two I/O transfers
- → both operations block page fault from completing
- Goal: reduce I/O from critical page fault path to speed up page faults
- Idea: keep pool of free page frames (pre-cleaning):
 - o n page fault: use page frame from free pool
 - o cleaning: daemon cleans, reclaims and scrubs pages for free pool in background
- $\,\rightarrow\,$ smooths out I/O, speeds up paging significantly
- · Remaining problem: which pages to select as victims?
- o goal: identify page that has left working set of its processes, add to free pool
- o success metric: low overall page fault rate

Page Replacement — FIFO

- · Idea: evict oldest fetched page in system
- Belady's Anomaly: using FIFO, for every number n of page frames you can construct a reference string that performs worse with n+1 frames
- → with FIFO it is possible to get more page faults with more page frames!

Page Replacement — oracle

- = optimal replacement strategy: replace page with next reference furthest in future
- · Problem: future unpredictable
- However: good metric to check how well other algorithms perform

Page Replacement — LRU

- Goal: approximate oracle page replacement
- Idea: past often predicts future well
- Assumption: page used furthest in past is used furthest in future
- Cycle counter implementation:
 - have MMU write CPU's time stamp counter to PTE on every access
 - o page fault: scan all PTEs to find oldest counter value
 - o advantage: cheap at access if done in HW
- \circ disadvantage: memory traffic for scanning
- Stack implementation:
- keep doubly linked list of all page frames
- $\circ \;$ move each referenced page to tail of list
- \circ advantage: can find replacement victim in O(1)
- o disadvantage: need to change 6 pointers at every access
- → No silver bullet:
- o observation: predicting future based on past is not precise
- conclusion: relax requirements maybe perfect LRU isn't needed? ⇒ approximate LRU

LRU Approximation — Clock page replacement

- · aka second chance page replacement
- Precondition: MMU sets reference bit in PTE
- o supported natively by most hardware
- o can easily emulate in systems with software managed TLB (e.g., MIPS)
- Store: keep all pages in circular FIFO list
- · Searching for victim: scan pages in FIFO's order
- o if reference bit = $0 \rightarrow$ use page as victim and advance
- if reference bit = $1 \rightarrow$ set to 0, continue scanning
- **Problem**: large memory \rightarrow most pages referenced before scanned
 - o solution: use 2 arms, leading arm clears reference bit, trailing arm selects victim

Replacement Strategies — other

- Random Eviction: pick random victim
- dirt simple
- o not overly horrible in reality
- Larger counter: use n-bit reference counter instead of reference bit

- least frequently used: rarely used page not in a working set → replace page with smallest count
- > most frequently used: page with smallest count probably just brought in → replace page with largest count
- o neither LFU nor MDU are common (no such hardware, not that great)

Summary

- · victim page frame needs to be selected by OS when handling page faults
 - o evicting page frame after page fault happens = not a good idea
- o page buffering keeps eviction out of critical path
- · different victim selection policies exist
- o FIFO → Belady's Anomaly
- o Oracle → cannot predict the future
- o Random → unpredictable, never great but rarely very bad
- LRU → hard to implement efficiently