1

Page Replacement Policies

PAGE REPLACEMENT — NAIVE

step 1: save/clear victim page:

- drop page if fetched from disk and clean
- dirty: write back modifications if from disk and dirty (unless MAP_COPY)
- non-dirty: write page file/swap partition otherwise (e.g., stack, heap memory)

step 2: unmap page from old AS: invalidate PTE, flush cache

step 3: prepare new page: null page or load new contents

step 4: map page frame into new AS: invalidate PTE, flush cache

PAGE REPLACEMENT — BUFFERING

problem: naive page replacement encompasses two I/O transfers

ightarrow both operations block page fault from completing

goal: reduce I/O from critical page fault path to speed up page faults

idea: keep pool of free page frames (pre-cleaning):

- on page fault: use page frame from free pool
- $-\ cleaning$: daemon cleans, reclaims and scrubs pages for free pool in background
- \rightarrow smooths out I/O, speeds up paging significantly

remaining problem: which pages to select as victims?

- goal: identify page that has left working set of its processes, add to free pool
- success metric: low overall page fault rate

PAGE REPLACEMENT — FIFO

idea: evict oldest fetched page in system

Belady's Anomaly: using FIFO, for every number n of page frames you can construct a reference string that performs worse with n+1 frames

 \rightarrow with FIFO it is possible to get more page faults with more page frames!

PAGE REPLACEMENT — ORACLE

= optimal replacement strategy: replace page whose next reference is furthest in future

problem: future unpredictable

however: good metric to check how well other algorithms perform

PAGE REPLACEMENT — LRU

goal: approximate oracle page replacement

idea: past often predicts future well

assumption: page used furthest in past is used furthest in future

cycle counter implementation:

- $-\,$ have MMU write CPU's time stamp counter to PTE on every access
- page fault: scan all PTEs to find oldest counter value
- advantage: cheap at access if done in HW
- $-\ \textit{disadvantage} \colon \mathsf{memory}\ \mathsf{traffic}\ \mathsf{for}\ \mathsf{scanning}$

stack implementation:

- keep doubly linked list of all page frames
- $-\ \mbox{move}$ each referenced page to tail of list
- advantage: can find replacement victim in O(1)
- disadvantage: need to change 6 pointers at every access

→ no silver bullet:

- $-\ observation$: predicting future based on past is not precise
- conclusion: relax requirements maybe perfect LRU isn't needed? \Rightarrow approximate LRU

LRU APPROXIMATION — CLOCK PAGE REPLACEMENT

aka second chance page replacement

precondition: MMU sets reference bit in PTE

- supported natively by most hardware
- can easily emulate in systems with software managed TLB (e.g., MIPS)

store: keep all pages in circular FIFO list

searching for victim: scan pages in FIFO's order

- if reference bit = 0 \rightarrow use page as victim and advance
- if reference bit = 1 \rightarrow set to 0, continue scanning

problem: large memory → most pages referenced before scanned

- solution: use 2 arms, leading arm clears reference bit, trailing arm selects victim

REPLACEMENT STRATEGIES — OTHER

random eviction: pick random victim

- dirt simple
- not overly horrible in reality

larger counter: use n-bit reference counter instead of reference bit

- least frequently used: rarely used page not in a working set \rightarrow replace page with smallest count
- most frequently used: page with smallest count probably just brought in \to replace page with largest count