

ch 5

standard optimization problem.

$$\begin{aligned} \min & f_0(x) \\ \text{s.t.} & f_i(x) \leq 0 \quad i=1, \dots, m. \\ & h_i(x) = 0 \quad i=1, \dots, p. \end{aligned}$$

Prime Problem 原问题.

Lagrangian:  $L(x, \lambda, \nu) = f_0(x) + \sum_{i=1}^m \lambda_i f_i(x) + \sum_{i=1}^p \nu_i h_i(x)$ . Affine for  $(\lambda, \nu)$ .  $\lambda, \nu$ : Lagrange multiplier. 拉格朗日函数

Lagrange dual function:  $g(\lambda, \nu) = \inf_{x \in D} L(x, \lambda, \nu)$  ———> concave for  $(\lambda, \nu)$ .

$\forall \lambda \geq 0, \nu$ :  $g(\lambda, \nu) \leq p^*$

dual feasible  $(\lambda, \nu)$ :  $\lambda \geq 0$  s.t.  $g(\lambda, \nu) > -\infty$ .  $\Rightarrow$  getting a valid lower bound for  $p^*$  if take feasible  $(\lambda, \nu)$ .

Lagrange dual problem:  $\max_{\lambda \geq 0} g(\lambda, \nu)$   $\Rightarrow (\lambda^*, \nu^*)$  dual optimal.  $\Rightarrow$  optimal value  $d^* = g(\lambda^*, \nu^*)$   
 convex problem.

Weak duality:  $d^* \leq p^*$  [always holds even]  $\left\{ \begin{array}{l} p^* = -\infty \Rightarrow d^* = -\infty \text{ dual problem infeasible.} \\ d^* = +\infty \Rightarrow p^* = +\infty \text{ primal problem infeasible.} \end{array} \right.$

optimal dual gap:  $p^* - d^* \geq 0$   $d^*$  给出  $p^*$  的一个最佳下界.

strong duality:  $d^* = p^*$  [best bound obtained through dual function is tight ??]

凸问题的强对偶性:

$$\begin{aligned} \min & f_0(x) \\ \text{s.t.} & f_i(x) \leq 0 \quad i=1, \dots, m \\ & Ax = b \end{aligned} \quad \left\{ \begin{array}{l} \text{convex} \\ \text{通常但不一定有强对偶} \end{array} \right.$$

A sufficient condition: (Slater's condition):  $\exists x \in \text{relint} D$  s.t.  $f_i(x) < 0 \quad i=1, \dots, m, Ax=b$   
 domain of  $f_i$  &  $h_i$

① 凸问题也有无强对偶的.

② 非凸问题也有有强对偶的

Saddle Point interpretation for duality 鞍点解释.

$$\left\{ \begin{array}{l} p^* = \inf_x \sup_{\lambda \geq 0} L(x, \lambda) \\ d^* = \sup_{\lambda \geq 0} \inf_x L(x, \lambda) \end{array} \right. \Rightarrow \left\{ \begin{array}{l} \text{weak} \\ \text{strong} \end{array} \right. \quad \begin{array}{l} \sup \inf \leq \inf \sup \\ \inf \sup = \sup \inf \end{array}$$

$\uparrow$  desired.

Von Neumann's minimax thm: domain ~~is~~  $X, Y$  compact convex

a sufficient condition for strong ~~convexity~~ duality [no specific restriction on convexity].

$(x^*, (\lambda^*, \nu^*))$  is a saddle point for  $L(x, \lambda, \nu)$

$$\begin{aligned} f: X \times Y &\rightarrow \mathbb{R} \text{ Convex-concave} \\ \Rightarrow \min_{x \in X} \max_{y \in Y} f(x, y) &= \max_{y \in Y} \min_{x \in X} f(x, y) \end{aligned}$$

鞍点:  $f(x^*, y) \leq f(x^*, y^*) \leq f(x, y^*)$ .

duality gap. [对偶间隙]  $f_0(x) - p^* = f_0(x) - g(\lambda, \nu)$ . 要求  $x$  可行,  $(\lambda, \nu)$  对偶可行.

if  $f_0(x) - g(\lambda, \nu) = \varepsilon \Rightarrow x$  is  $\varepsilon$ -suboptimal.  $\Rightarrow (\lambda, \nu)$  dual  $\varepsilon$ -suboptimal.

$$\Rightarrow p^*, d^* \in [g(\lambda, \nu), f_0(x)].$$

can be used to decide terminate condition.

$$\text{let } f_0(x^{(k)}) - g(\lambda^{(k)}, \nu^{(k)}) \leq \varepsilon_{abs}$$

$$\text{or relatively: } \frac{f_0(x^{(k)}) - g(\lambda^{(k)}, \nu^{(k)})}{g(\lambda^{(k)}, \nu^{(k)})} \leq \varepsilon_{rel} \text{ when } g(\cdot) > 0$$

$$\frac{f_0(x^{(k)}) - g(\lambda^{(k)}, \nu^{(k)})}{-f_0(x^{(k)})} \leq \varepsilon_{rel} \text{ when } f_0(x^{(k)}) < 0$$

$$\Rightarrow \frac{f(x^{(k)}) - p^*}{|p^*|} \leq \varepsilon_{rel}$$

KKT condition 形式:  $f_i(x^*) \leq 0 \quad i=1, \dots, m$

$$h_i(x^*) = 0 \quad i=1, \dots, p$$

$$\lambda_i^* \geq 0 \quad i=1, \dots, m.$$

$$\lambda_i^* f_i(x^*) = 0 \quad i=1, \dots, m. \text{ complementary slackness. } \begin{cases} \lambda_i^* > 0 \Rightarrow f_i(x^*) = 0 \\ f_i(x^*) < 0 \Rightarrow \lambda_i^* = 0 \end{cases}$$

$$\nabla f_0(x^*) + \sum_{i=1}^m \lambda_i^* \nabla f_i(x^*) + \sum_{i=1}^p \nu_i^* \nabla h_i(x^*) = 0 \Rightarrow (x^*, \lambda^*, \nu^*) \text{ optimize Lagrangian.}$$

KKT 条件的用处:

differentiable  $\oplus$

Convex problem  $\oplus$  strong duality: optimal solution  $\Leftrightarrow$  KKT.

necessary & sufficient.

any kind of problem: ~~KKT is necessary~~ optimal solution  $\Rightarrow$  KKT.

necessary but not sufficient.

differentiable  $\oplus$

$\Rightarrow$  sometimes, solving KKT gives the optimal solution.

sensitivity analysis of constraints:

原问题:  $\min f_0(x)$

$$\text{s.t. } f_i(x) \leq 0 \quad i=1, \dots, m.$$

$$h_i(x) = 0 \quad i=1, \dots, p.$$

$$\Downarrow$$

$$p^*$$

$\Rightarrow$  perturbed problem.

$\min f_0(x)$

$$\text{s.t. } f_i(x) \leq u_i \quad i=1, \dots, m.$$

$$h_i(x) = v_i \quad i=1, \dots, p.$$

$$\Downarrow$$

$$p^*(u, v).$$

$u_i > 0$ , relaxed  
 $u_i < 0$  tightened.

$$\longleftarrow p^* = p^*(0, \dots, 0)$$

① original problem convex  $\Rightarrow p^*(u, v)$  convex function.

② strong duality  $\oplus (x^*, \lambda^*, \nu^*)$  is optimal for the dual of original problem.

$$\Rightarrow p^*(u, v) \geq \cancel{\lambda^{*T} u} p^*(0, 0) - \lambda^{*T} u - \nu^{*T} v$$

we would know  $p^*(0, \dots, 0)$ ,  $\lambda^*$ ,  $\nu^*$ , so conduct sensitivity analysis based on them. & this is a lower bound for  $p^*(u, v)$ .