

Optimal Inference After Model Selection (Fithian, Sun & Taylor 2017).

target: test | the test is performed. \Rightarrow broader than model selection.

$$P_{M, H_0}(\text{reject } H_0 | (M, H_0) \text{ selected}) \stackrel{\text{if}}{=} P_{M, H_0}(\text{reject } H_0)$$

selective inference
achieved by data splitting.

Q: use of M here for underlying probability measure?

selective inference (data carving): $\mathcal{F}_0 \subseteq \mathcal{F}(1_A(Y)) \subseteq \mathcal{F}(Y)$.

selection information inference

condition on more information = finer A (e.g. LASSO).
 \Downarrow
 longer interval, smaller power.

data splitting: $\mathcal{F}_0 \subseteq \mathcal{F}(Y_1) \subseteq \mathcal{F}(Y_1, Y_2)$.

selection inference

In reality, often use finer $S(Y)$ rather than $1_A(Y)$. [for computational benefit].

\Rightarrow leftover information (used for inference) $- E[\nabla^2 \ell(\theta; Y|S) | S]$ total information of θ in Y , excluding information of θ in $S(Y)$

$$= \nabla^2 \ell(\theta; Y) - \nabla^2 \ell(\theta; S).$$

Justification for controlling selective type I error rate:

- ① larger power than data splitting (especially when selection event has reasonable probability).
- ② better control of error rate than FWER. (meaning it has some information of θ .)
- ③ the assumption of true FEM. is of no problem.

since it's not asking for a parametric form of distribution.
 really

e.g. for linear regression, the model M has inference target $1_M^T Y$, the only thing needed is still just P_Y .

(note: the robustness considered in this paper refers to F is wrong, rather than $F \& M$).

Methodology & results.

Exponential Family $Y \sim f_{\theta}(y) = \exp \{ \theta^T(y) - \psi(\theta) \} f_0(y)$

$\Rightarrow Y | T \in A \sim \exp \{ \theta^T(y) - \psi_A(\theta) \} f_0(y) 1_A(y)$ same parameter space & sufficient stat

consider the framework of $\theta \begin{matrix} \nearrow \theta \\ \searrow \xi \end{matrix}$ nuisance parameters.

$$Y \sim f_{\theta, \xi}(y) = \exp \{ \theta^T(y) + \xi^T U(y) - \psi(\theta, \xi) \} f_0(y)$$

proposed method for arbitrary selection.

otherwise, rejection sampling.

one always needs this step as the existence of multiple parameters in selected model.

consider the law $L(T|U, A) \Rightarrow$ still exponential family. $U = P_0^T Y$.
 Gaussian, stepwise AIC ... the conditional law has closed form. (truncated normal).

Main result: under the assumed model, tests based on data splitting is inadmissible.
(in the sense that "better" power can be obtained from a selective test).

other questions left: ① connection / explanation to simultaneous coverage.

② intuition of randomized selection? how is it ~~also~~ dropping infinity length property?