Proximal Algorithms. Neal Parikh 2013. setting: f: R" > RUltay closed proper convex. => epif nonempty closed convex. Prox f(V) = argmin (fix) + \frac{1}{2} || x-V||_2) | prox f(V) = argmin (fix) + \frac{1}{2\ldots} || x-V||_2) = argmin (Afix) + \frac{1}{2} || x-V||_2) 在中間近最小社会的、色 V 的社移:距离情如和 fin 增加的 tradeoff. Gradient descent perspective broxt (N) => N- Yatia. Stepsize important property: link with fixed point theory: proxy(x*) = x* iff x* minimize fix) properties & definitions of proximal operators.: $V, \chi \in \mathbb{R}^{n}$. $f(x) = \sum_{i=1}^{n} f_{i}(x_{i}) \Rightarrow (prox_{f}(V))_{i} = prox_{f} prox_{f}(x_{i})$ O fix) = αφιχ+b. α>0 => proxxf(V) = proxxxy(V) fix) = & (fixx+p) a to => buxxt(n)= 7 (bux 0,30 (an+p)-p) 3 orthogonal a, fix= (ax) => proxx(1) = a proxx(av) (fix = q(x) + atx +b. =) proxy (v) = proxy (v- Aa). (1) $f(x) = Q(x) + \frac{\rho}{2} ||x - \alpha||_{2}^{2}$ $\implies prox_{2}(v) = prox_{2}(\sqrt{\frac{\gamma}{2}}) v + (\rho \tilde{\chi}) a)$ $\tilde{\chi} = \frac{\gamma}{1 + \gamma \rho}$ Fixed point algorithms; defs: ① contraction: f Lipschitz continuous with K=1 df(x), f(y)) < K d(x,y). O non-expansive: f Lipschitz continuous with K=1 d(fix), fiy) & d(x,y) 3 firmly nonexpansive: f tipschite P dis (fix), fiy) = x-y) [fix)-fiy) [to be lipschite-1 @ averaged operator: At N is nonexpansive: T=(1-a) I + N. 1 Contraction can find fixed point fixex by xlow fixe) O overaged operator con also find fixed point using O 3. Firmly nonexpansive operators are indeed. I overaged up operator. YT firm >> 27-I nonexpansive @ averaged operators are closed under composition, but firmly nonexpansives are mt. (5) proximal operators are firmly expansive operator

(def: proximal average of fire-fm. closed proper convex 9 5.1. In proximal everage).

Moteon decomposition: $V = \text{prox}_{f(V)} + \text{prox}_{f^{*}(V)} + \text{pro$

"Smooth" approximation perspective of proximal operator.

Infinal convolution: fogue inf [fixt g(v-x)] v 6 dunt + dom g.

Moreau evenuelope: Muf the folillis) = a inf [fixt the v-x|]; if define h(x,v) = fixt the v-x|].

I Moreau Tosida

Must (v) & f(x) have the same minimizers.

Always nove domain Rⁿ

Always have domain Rⁿ

Establish a approximation relationship between Maf(x) and fro:

Mf is a smoothed version of f.

Property $(f \Box g)^* = f^*\Box g^* \longrightarrow Mf^* = f^* + (\frac{1}{2} || \cdot ||_2)^* \longrightarrow Mf^* = f^* + \frac{1}{2} || \cdot ||_2^*$ Mf = Mf

Mf = Mf

Mf = $(f^* + \frac{1}{2} || \cdot ||_2^*)^*$ dual \rightarrow regularize \rightarrow dual. (it's smooth)

(get strong convex)

Maj differentiable, so we can take derivative.

 $M_{\text{effectiv}}(x) = \frac{1}{\text{Mat}(x)} = \frac{1}{\text{$

proximal operator is the resolvent of subdifferential operator.

Proxy = (I +22f) = What's nontrivial here: (I + 22f) be comes single-valued mapping.

A subgradient of f

More perspective from gradient descent:

- 1) proxy (x) = X- ADMy (x).
- D if $\nabla f(x)$ exists, first-order approximation $\hat{f}_{v}^{(i)}(x) = f(x) + \nabla f(x)^{T}(x-v)$. then $P^{(i)}(v) = V - \lambda \nabla f(x)$ explain: minimize first-order approximation of f(x) at $P^{(i)}(v) = V$.
- result in a gradient descent step from V.

 D if $\nabla^2 f(x)$ exists, second-order approximation $\hat{f}_v^{(0)}(x) = f(v) + vf(v)(x-v) + \frac{1}{2}(x-v)^T v^2 f(v)(x-v)$ then $P^{(0)}(x) = V (\nabla^2 f(v) + \frac{1}{2} L)^T v^2 f(v)$ explain: similar, but 2-order, result in a Levenberg-Marquardt step.

Trust Region problem perspective. proximal problem: trust region phoblem: min fix + 1/22 ||x-v||2 5.T. ||X-V||, 5 P. relationship: solution for some per is a solution muconstrained minimizer of f / solution for some x Above is the interpretation of proximal operator. Algorithms. [1] Direct poximal mim minimization $x^{kH} = p_{0} x_{sf}(x^{k})$. Quarantee Convergence with $\lambda_{k} > 0$, $\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} x^{k} = \infty$. application: ill-conditioned of [we add a quadratic term to be strong canvex] porspective: $X^{R+1} = argmin f(x) + \frac{1}{2\lambda_R} || x - x_L x^R ||^2$ regularization gets smaller as $x \to x^*$. the impact of the term disappears with iterations. e.g. of application: iterative refinement. $f(x) = \frac{1}{2}x^7Ax - b^7x$. ill-conditioned. A. $b_{M} \times^{M} (X_{k}) = (A + \frac{\gamma}{1})_{1} (P + \frac{\gamma}{1} X_{k})$ $= x^{k} + (A + \frac{1}{\lambda} I)^{-1} (b - A \times^{k})$ A. iteratively compensating for Â-A. difference. [2] Proximal gradient Method. min f(x)+g(x). f(x) differentiable. both closed proper convex, g(x) can be non-smooth. $\chi^{k+1} = p \mapsto \chi_{k} q \left(\chi^{k} - \lambda^{k} \nabla f(\chi^{k}) \right) \qquad \qquad \chi^{k} - \lambda^{k} \nabla f(\chi^{k}) - \lambda^{k} \nabla M_{\lambda^{k}} q^{(\chi^{k})}. \quad \approx \chi^{k} - \lambda^{k} \nabla (f(\chi^{k}) + q)(\chi^{k}).$ Convergence: ∇f Lipschitz - L $\Rightarrow \lambda^k = \lambda \in \mathcal{B}(0, \frac{1}{L}]$, Converge with $O(\frac{1}{k})$. L hot known: back-tracking line" search. parameter \$6(0.1). \(\lambda = \lambda^{k_1} \) Beck & Teboulle. \Rightarrow 3 = phox_{ng} ($X^k - \lambda \nabla f(x^k)$) if f(z) > fx (z,x), x = px fx(x,y) = fix.y fiy) + ofiy) (x-y) + 1 ||x-y||2 perspective (Majorization - minimization, (like EM algrithm) Consider himimizing $\varphi(x)$. [surrogate] Step 1: majorization: find convex upper bound $\hat{\varphi}$ of $\hat{\varphi}$ tight at x^k : $\hat{\varphi}(x, x^k) \ge \varphi(x)$. step >: minimization: $X^{hH} = \operatorname{argmin} \hat{\varphi}(x, x^{h})$. FOR for Far, a surrogate is fixy EM alg with will give precisely gradient descent. For fix)+g(x). &(x,y) = fx(x,y)+g(x) > proximal gradient.

perspective @ Solution is a fixed point for proxag((I-ADF)(x)) = (I+ADG))'(I-AF).

[3] Accelerated proximal gradient.

$$V_{k+1} = V_k + v_k (X_k - X_{k-1})$$

$$X_{k+1} = \sum_{k \in \mathcal{K}} v_k (X_k - X_{k-1})$$

recommend. Wk = k+3

convergence: $\lambda^k = \lambda = O(0, \frac{1}{L})$, rate $O(\frac{1}{k^2})$.

If L not know, again Beck & Tebrulle search but use you yk

[4] ADMM version. of proximal gradient.

min
$$f(x) + g(x)$$
. \Longrightarrow min $f(x) + g(z)$
 $s.t. x = 3$

both f, g can be non-smooth.

recall ADMM (scaled) $X^{k+1} = \frac{\text{Algnin}}{\text{Cargmin}} \frac{f(x)}{g(x)} + \frac{e}{g(x)} \frac{1}{g(x)} \frac{1$

$$Z^{k+1} = P_{N} \times_{A} (Z^{k} - U^{k})$$

$$Z^{k+1} = P_{N} \times_{A} (X^{k+1} + U^{k}). \qquad \lambda = \frac{1}{P}.$$

$$V^{k+1} = V^{k} + X^{k+1} - Z^{k+1}.$$

an interesting in sight: prove the anvergence of ADMM => fixed point algorithm of a firmly nonexpansive operator.

[5]. Linearized ADMM.

Original ADMM.

$$X^{k+1} = \operatorname{argmin} f(x) + \frac{e}{3} \frac{e^{k} (Ax - z^k) + \frac{e}{3} ||Ax - z^k||_{2}^{2}}{||Ax - z^k||_{2}^{2}}$$
 $Z^{k+1} = \operatorname{argmin} g(z) + e^{k} (Ax^{k+1} - z) + \frac{e}{3} ||Ax^{k+1} - z||_{2}^{2}$
 $U^{k+1} = U^k + Ax^{k+1} - z^{k+1}$

only modify x step:

replace \(\frac{1}{2} ||Ax - 2k||^2 \) \(\frac{1}{2} ||A^T A x - A^T 2k| \) \(\frac{1}{2} ||A^T A x - A^T 2k| \) \(\frac{1}{2} ||A^T A x - A^T 2k| \) \(\frac{1}{2} ||A^T A x - A^T 2k| \) \(\frac{1}{2} ||A^T A x - A^T 2k| \) \(\frac{1}{2} ||A^T A x - A^T 2k| \) \(\frac{1}{2} ||A^T A x - A^T 2k| \) \(\frac{1}{2} ||A^T A x - A^T 2k| \) \(\frac{1}{2} ||A^T A x - A^T 2k| \) \(\frac{1}{2} ||A^T A x - A^T 2k| \) \(\frac{1}{2} ||A^T A x - A^T 2k| \) \(\frac{1}{2} ||A^T A x - A^T 2k| \) \(\frac{1}{2} ||A^T A x - A^T 2k| \) \(\frac{1}{2} ||A^T A x - A^T 2k| \) \(\frac{1}{2} ||A^T A x - A^T 2k| \) \(\frac{1}{2} ||A^T A x - A^T 2k| \) \(\frac{1}{2} ||A^T A x - A^T 2k| \) \(\frac{1}{2} ||A^T A x - A^T 2k| \) \(\frac{1}{2} ||A^T A x - A^T 2k| \) \(\frac{1}{2} ||A^T A x - A^T 2k| \) \(\frac{1}{2} ||A^T A x - A^T 2k| \) \(\frac{1}{2} ||A^T A x - A^T 2k| \) \(\frac{1}{2} ||A^T A x - A^T 2k| \) \(\frac{1}{2} ||A^T A x - A^T 2k| \) \(\frac{1}{2} ||A^T A x - A^T 2k| \) \(\frac{1}{2} ||A^T A x - A^T 2k| \) \(\frac{1}{2} ||A^T A x - A^T 2k| \) \(\frac{1}{2} ||A^T A x - A^T 2k| \) \(\frac{1}{2} ||A^T A x - A^T 2k| \) \(\frac{1}{2} ||A^T A x - A^T 2k| \) \(\frac{1}{2} ||A^T A x - A^T 2k| \) \(\frac{1}{2} ||A^T A x - A^T 2k| \) \(\frac{1}{2} ||A^T A x - A^T 2k| \) \(\frac{1}{2} ||A^T A x - A^T 2k| \) \(\frac{1}{2} ||A^T A x - A^T 2k| \) \(\frac{1}{2} ||A^T A x - A^T 2k| \) \(\frac{1}{2} ||A^T A x - A^T 2k| \) \(\frac{1}{2} ||A^T A x - A^T 2k| \) \(\frac{1}{2} ||A^T A x - A^T 2k| \) \(\frac{1}{2} ||A^T A x - A^T 2k| \) \(\frac{1}{2} ||A^T A x - A^T 2k| \) \(\frac{1}{2} ||A^T A x - A^T 2k| \) \(\frac{1}{2} ||A^T A x - A^T 2k| \) \(\frac{1}{2} ||A^T A x - A^T 2k| \) \(\frac{1}{2} ||A^T A x - A^T 2k| \) \(\frac{1}{2} ||A^T A x - A^T 2k| \) \(\frac{1}{2} ||A^T A x - A^T 2k| \) \(\frac{1}{2} ||A^T A x - A^T 2k| \) \(\frac{1}{2} ||A^T A x - A^T 2k| \) \(\frac{1}{2} ||A^T A x - A^T 2k| \) \(\frac{1}{2} ||A^T A x - A^T 2k| \) \(\frac{1}{2} ||A^T A x - A^T 2k| \) \(\frac{

then new algorithm:

$$X^{k+1} = \text{Re } P^{n \times x} \left(X^{k} - \frac{\mu}{\lambda} A^{T} (A X^{k} - z^{k} + u^{k}) \right)$$

$$Z^{k+1} = P^{n \times x} \left(A X^{k+1} + u^{k} \right)$$

$$U^{k+1} = U^{k} + A X^{k+1} - z^{k+1}.$$