major chan challenges: O positive definite constraints. 2) P(P4) parameters to estimate grows expinentially quadratically with p. P>>n what happens to sample covarionce matrix? Stein 1951. When Also when I large. Johnstone 2001

The estimator. Si distarted: Amox A. Solution: shrinkage Shrink eigenvalues of S.

Stein family: Reigenvalues of S stay the same. I shrink S to some pre-specified structure: diagonal fouto regressive When Σ' is of more interest: $\Sigma \xrightarrow{O(p')} \Sigma^{-1}$ and we want sparsity. Meinshauson & Buhlmann 2006. solution: fit a separate LASSO to each voriable ~ other variables. ? Why is this a solution: consider Y~ X,+Xz. Y=x, p,+ X, b+E, and X, X, are then why does \$ 1 = 0 represents? Cou (Y, X,) = Cov (X, f, + x, f, + E, X) = f, Vor(X,) + f, Cov (X, x,) Cov (Y, X, | X2) = Cov (X, f, + X2 f2+E, X, | X2) = Cov (X, f, , X,) = B, Var(X) $\beta = 0 \Rightarrow X_1 \perp Y \mid X_2$. in the context, Σ corresponding entres of $\Sigma^{-1} = 0$. ? Itow does it deal with symmetry of 5-1? (heed to read) this idea inspired: penalized. Likelihood approach with L. penalty Than & Lin 2007 Banerjee. 2008 Friedman 2008: glasso read this __ Banerjee proved it guaren too. to be positive definet. 2000 - Zolo: emerging regression-theme on Covoriance estimation. (i) PCA -> regression. Jong & Kots 1989/ Zou, Hostie& Tibshirani 2006 (ii) regression of cholesky decomposition. Pourahmadi 1999. 201/ Bilmes 2000/ Huong 2001/ Rothuma 2010 invariant for T lii)/(iv)/a) something about glasso. (may e.g. time sories) why? [Look int.] other not per permutation-invariant methods: tapering Furrer & Bengtsson 3.7 bonding : Bickel X Levina Zeo 4, 2008a. / Wull Pourahmad; 2003/200 permutation - invariant methods: (thresholding individual entrees). Bickel & Levina 2003 b / El Karoui 3034, 2036/ Pothno. L 2007