Notes on MIT lectures on Effective Field Theory

Sean B.S. Miller

January 24, 2017

Abstract

abstract-text

1 Lecture 2

Consider a scalar-field action:

$$S[\phi] = \int d^d x \left[\frac{1}{2} \partial_{\mu} \phi \partial^{\mu} \phi - \frac{1}{2} m^2 \phi^2 - \lambda \frac{1}{4!} \phi^4 - \tau \frac{1}{6!} \phi^6 + \dots \right]$$
 (1)

where the values have mass dimensions:

$$[\phi] = \frac{d-2}{2} \quad [d^d x] = -d \quad [m^2] = 2$$
 (2)

$$[\lambda] = 4 - d \quad [\tau] \qquad = 6 - 2d \quad \dots \tag{3}$$

Say we want to study the interaction matrix element $\langle \phi(x_1) \dots \phi(x_n) \rangle$, at a long distance $x^{\mu} = Sx^{\prime \mu}$ where

$$S \to \infty$$

$$x' \to \text{fixed} \tag{4}$$

Let

$$\phi(x) = S^{\frac{2-d}{2}}\phi'(x') \tag{5}$$

$$S'[\phi'] = \int d^d x' \left[\frac{1}{2} \partial_\mu \phi' \partial^\mu \phi' - \frac{1}{2} m^2 S^2 \phi'^2 - \lambda \frac{S^{4-d}}{4!} \phi'^4 - \tau \frac{S^{6-2d}}{6!} \phi'^6 + \dots \right]$$
 (6)

Now:

$$\langle \phi(S'x_1) \dots \phi(Sx'_n) \rangle = S^{\frac{n(2-d)}{2}} \langle \phi'(x'_1) \dots \phi'(x'_n) \rangle \tag{7}$$

We know x' is invariant with S, so the interaction elements in invariant under S. Assume d=4, when $S\to\infty$ we have the following properties:

- The m^2 -term becomes increasingly important.
- The τ -term becomes less important.
- The λ -term remains just as important.

Therefore:

- ϕ^2 is relevant
- ϕ^4 is marginal
- ϕ^6 is irrelevant

For finite (large) *S*, the dimension of parameters (or operators) tells us their importance. Use the mass scale of parameters:

$$m^2 \sim \Lambda_{new}^{(2)} \tag{8}$$

$$\lambda \sim \Lambda_{new}^{(0)} \tag{9}$$

$$\tau \sim \Lambda_{new}^{(-2)} \tag{10}$$

Since large distances means small momenta, i.e. $p \ll \Lambda_{new}$.

1.1 Divergences

Take now m = 0, or small such that $m^2 S^2 \sim 1$.

$$\sim \lambda^2 \int d^d k \left(\frac{1}{k^2 - m^2 + i\epsilon} \right) \left(\frac{1}{(k+p)^2 - m^2 + i\epsilon} \right) \tag{11}$$

which diverges as Λ^{d-4} , and d-4 is the degree of divergences.

$$d = 4 \sim \int \frac{d^d k}{k^4} \sim \int \frac{dk}{k} \sim \ln(\Lambda) \leftrightarrow \epsilon^{-1}$$
 (12)

This renormalizes the $\lambda \phi^4$ interaction vertex.

The loop diagrams with a λ and τ vertex is similar to:

$$\lambda \tau \int \frac{d^d k}{(\ldots)(\ldots)} \tag{13}$$

which renormalizes the $\tau \phi^6$ -vertex.

The loop diagrams with two τ vertices is similar to:

$$\tau^2 \int \frac{d^d k}{(\ldots)(\ldots)} \tag{14}$$

which renormalizes the ϕ^8 -vertex.

Since ϕ^8 is not in $S[\phi]$ (unless we consider the $(+\dots)$ terms), the theory is not renormalized in the traditional sense. However, if $\tau \sim \Lambda_{new}^{(-2)}$ is small $(p^2\tau \ll 1)$, then the theory can be renormalized order by order in $(\Lambda_{new}^{(-1)})$. To include all corrections up to $\Lambda_{new}^{(-r)}$ or S^{-r} , we include all operators with dimensions $[O] \leq d+r$. Here we assume power counting is synonymous with dimensions (i.e. $x_i^\mu = Sx_i^{\prime\mu}$ where $S_i = S \ \forall \ i$, meaning S is universal). For the standard model (SM), $\mathcal{L}^{(0)}$, all operators are of order $[O] \leq 4$, and that it is renormalizable in the traditional sense. For SM correlations, $\mathcal{L}^{(1)}$, we add

$$\mathcal{L}^{(1)} = \frac{C}{\Lambda_{\text{new}}} O_5 \quad , \tag{15}$$

where $[O_5] = 5$ and [C] = 0, meaning $C \sim 1$, and we have $made \Lambda_{new}$ explicit. Since nothing in $\mathcal{L}^{(0)}$ constrains Λ_{new} , we're to take $\Lambda_{new} \gg m_t$, m_W by as much as we want.

 $^{^1\}mathrm{Here},\,m_t$ and m_W are the top quark and $W\text{-}\mathrm{boson}$ masses, respectively.

1.2 Corrections to $\mathcal{L}^{(0)}$

$$\mathcal{L}^{(0)} = \mathcal{L}^{(0)}_{\Lambda_{new}^{(0)}} + \mathcal{L}^{(1)}_{new} + \mathcal{L}^{(2)}_{new} + \dots \quad \text{for} \quad p^2 \sim m_t^2, \ m_t \ll \Lambda_{new}$$
(16)

- Assume the Lorentz and gauge invariances are unbroken. Each $\mathcal{L}^{(i)}$ is invariant under Lorentz and $SU(3) \times SU(2) \times U(1)$ transforms.
- Construct $\mathcal{L}^{(i)}$ from the same degrees of freedom as $\mathcal{L}^{(0)}$ and assume the Higgs vacuum expectation value (v = 246 GeV) holds.
- Assume no new particle produced at p, only at Λ_{new} .

Equation 1:

$$\mathcal{L}^{(1)} = \frac{C_5}{\Lambda_5} \epsilon_{ij} \bar{L}_L^{c_j} H^j \epsilon_{kl} L_L^k H^l \tag{17}$$

where $\bar{L}_L^{c_j} \equiv (\bar{L}_L^j)^T C$, $H = \begin{pmatrix} h^+ \\ h^- \end{pmatrix}$, and $L_L = \begin{pmatrix} v_L \\ e_L \end{pmatrix}$. This term is the *only* dimension -5 operator consistent with symmetries.

Replacing $H \to \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ \nu \end{pmatrix}$ gives Majorana mass terms for observed ν :

$$\frac{1}{2}m_{\nu}v_{L}^{a}v_{L}^{b}\epsilon_{ab} + h.c. \quad \text{where} \quad m_{\nu} = \frac{C_{5}v^{2}}{2\Lambda_{new}}$$
(18)

Knowing that $m_{\nu} \leq 0.5$ eV leads us to believe $\Lambda_{new} \gtrsim 6 \times 10^{14}$ GeV for $C_5 \sim 1$.

Equation 2: Dimension -6 operators exists that violate baryon number.

Equation 3: With lepton and baryon number imposed, there are 80 operators with dimension -6:

$$\mathcal{L}^{(2)} = \sum_{i=1}^{80} \frac{c_i}{\Lambda_{new}^2} O_i^{(6)} \tag{19}$$

There are two points to remark:

- 1. For any observable, only a "few" terms contribute.
- 2. For any new theory at Λ_{new} , a particular pattern of c_i 's are expected.