

Complements of the discussion at Tokyo

Koshiroh Tsukiyama

November 22, 2010

1 Normal ordering stuffs

Let me first define the expression of the normal ordering and the contraction. Wick's theorem says

$$\begin{aligned} A_1 A_2 A_3 A_4 \cdots A_n &= \{A_1 A_2 A_3 A_4 \cdots A_n\} \\ &+ C_{12} \{A_3 A_4 \cdots A_n\} + \text{all possible single contractions} \\ &+ C_{12} C_{34} \{\cdots A_n\} + \text{all possible double contractions} \\ &+ \cdots \\ &+ (\text{all fully contracted terms}). \end{aligned} \quad (1)$$

Here, the C_{12} means the contraction, following the relation

$$C_{12} = \langle v | A_1 A_2 | v \rangle, \quad (2)$$

where $|v\rangle$ is the true vacuum or filled Fermi sea. As specific cases,

$$C_{i^\dagger j} = \langle v | a_i^\dagger a_j | v \rangle = \delta_{ij} n_i \quad (3)$$

$$C_{ij^\dagger} = \langle v | a_i a_j^\dagger | v \rangle = \delta_{ij} \bar{n}_i, \quad (4)$$

where

$$n_i \equiv \theta(\varepsilon_F - \varepsilon_i) \quad \bar{n}_i \equiv \theta(\varepsilon_i - \varepsilon_F) = 1 - n_i. \quad (5)$$

The ε_F means the Fermi energy. Thus if one takes the N-ordering with respect to the true vacuum, then always $n_i = 0$.

$$\{a_1^\dagger a_2\} = a_1^\dagger a_2 - C_{1+2} \quad (6)$$

Now, I will show the relation of N-ordering

$$\{a_3^\dagger a_1\} \{a_4^\dagger a_2\} = \{a_3^\dagger a_1 a_4^\dagger a_2\} + C_{3^\dagger 2} \{a_1 a_4^\dagger\} + C_{14^\dagger} \{a_3^\dagger a_2\} + C_{3^\dagger 2} C_{14^\dagger} \quad (7)$$

To prove the eq. (7), first consider the Wick's theorem for the operator

$$\begin{aligned} a_3^\dagger a_1 a_4^\dagger a_2 &= \{a_3^\dagger a_1 a_4^\dagger a_2\} + C_{3^\dagger 1} \{a_4^\dagger a_2\} + C_{4^\dagger 2} \{a_3^\dagger a_1\} + C_{3^\dagger 2} \{a_1 a_4^\dagger\} + C_{14^\dagger} \{a_3^\dagger a_2\} \\ &+ C_{3^\dagger 1} C_{4^\dagger 2} + C_{3^\dagger 2} C_{14^\dagger} \end{aligned} \quad (8)$$

$$\begin{aligned} &= \{a_3^\dagger a_1 a_4^\dagger a_2\} + C_{3^\dagger 1} (a_4^\dagger a_2 - C_{4^\dagger 2}) + C_{4^\dagger 2} (a_3^\dagger a_1 - C_{3^\dagger 1}) \\ &+ C_{3^\dagger 2} (a_1 a_4^\dagger - C_{14^\dagger}) + C_{14^\dagger} (a_3^\dagger a_2 - C_{3^\dagger 2}) \\ &+ C_{3^\dagger 1} C_{4^\dagger 2} + C_{3^\dagger 2} C_{14^\dagger} \end{aligned} \quad (9)$$

$$\begin{aligned} &= \{a_3^\dagger a_1 a_4^\dagger a_2\} + C_{3^\dagger 1} a_4^\dagger a_2 + C_{4^\dagger 2} a_3^\dagger a_1 + C_{3^\dagger 2} a_1 a_4^\dagger + C_{14^\dagger} a_3^\dagger a_2 \\ &- C_{3^\dagger 1} C_{4^\dagger 2} - C_{3^\dagger 2} C_{14^\dagger} \end{aligned} \quad (10)$$

Next consider

$$\begin{aligned} \{a_3^\dagger a_1\} \{a_4^\dagger a_2\} &= (a_3^\dagger a_1 - C_{3^\dagger 1}) (a_4^\dagger a_2 - C_{4^\dagger 2}) \\ &= a_3^\dagger a_1 a_4^\dagger a_2 - C_{4^\dagger 2} a_3^\dagger a_1 - C_{3^\dagger 1} a_4^\dagger a_2 + C_{3^\dagger 1} C_{4^\dagger 2} \end{aligned} \quad (11)$$

Inserting the eq. (10) into eq. (11) yields

$$\{a_3^\dagger a_1\}\{a_4^\dagger a_2\} = \{a_3^\dagger a_1 a_4^\dagger a_2\} + C_{3^\dagger 2} a_1 a_4^\dagger + C_{14^\dagger} a_3^\dagger a_2 - C_{14^\dagger} C_{3^\dagger 2} \quad (12)$$

$$= \{a_3^\dagger a_1 a_4^\dagger a_2\} + C_{3^\dagger 2} \{a_1 a_4^\dagger\} + C_{14^\dagger} \{a_3^\dagger a_2\} + C_{14^\dagger} C_{3^\dagger 2}. \quad (13)$$

This is what I wanted to show (eq. (7)). This is in fact general result and a specific case of the Wick's theorem. Regarding the products of 2 or more operator strings that are each N-ordered, we only need to take contractions between the 2 normal-ordered strings of operators that we started with.

2 IM-SRG wave function

The preceding results does not mean that the wave function obtained by the IM-SRG contains only linked contributions. The wave function $|\psi(s)\rangle$ can be given by

$$|\psi(s)\rangle = \mathcal{T}_s \exp \left(\int_0^s \eta(t) dt \right) |\phi\rangle. \quad (14)$$

At the second order in terms of η , it is (assuming η is one body)

$$|\psi^{[2]}(s)\rangle = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{ijkl} \int_0^s \left[\theta(t-t') \eta_{ij}(t) \{a_i^\dagger a_j\} \eta_{kl}(t') \{a_k^\dagger a_l\} + \theta(t'-t) \eta_{kl}(t') \{a_k^\dagger a_l\} \eta_{ij}(t) \{a_i^\dagger a_j\} \right] dt dt' |\phi\rangle. \quad (15)$$

The first term produces

$$\frac{1}{2} \sum_{ijkl} \int_0^s \theta(t-t') \eta_{ij}(t) \eta_{kl}(t') dt dt' \left(\{a_i^\dagger a_j a_k^\dagger a_l\} + C_{i^\dagger l} \{a_j a_k^\dagger\} + C_{jk^\dagger} \{a_i^\dagger a_l\} + C_{jk^\dagger} C_{i^\dagger l} \right) |\phi\rangle. \quad (16)$$

This clearly contains disconnected pieces. Regarding the wave function, the IM-SRG produces unlinked diagrams as other similarity transformation methods do, but there is no unlinked diagrams for evolved operators.

3 Conclusion

- a corollary of Wick's theorem

$$\{a_3^\dagger a_1\}\{a_4^\dagger a_2\} = \{a_3^\dagger a_1 a_4^\dagger a_2\} + C_{3^\dagger 2} \{a_1 a_4^\dagger\} + C_{14^\dagger} \{a_3^\dagger a_2\} + C_{3^\dagger 2} C_{14^\dagger} \quad (17)$$

is true.

- The im-medium SRG (or usual SRG) produces the unlinked wave functions, but does not for the operators, including Hamiltonians.