



Dynamic Programming and Optimal Control

Study Note

Author: Jinyi Liu

Institute: Haslam Business School, UTK

Date: October 28, 2022

Bio: A first-year Ph.D. student in Business Analytics.

Victory won't come to us unless we go to it.

Contents

Chapter 1 The Dynamic Programming Algorithm	1
1.1 Introduction	1
1.2 The Basic Problem	1
1.3 The Dynamic Programming Algorithm	2
1.4 State Augmentation and Other Reformulations	2
1.5 Some Mathematical Issues	3
1.6 Dynamic Programming and Minimax Control	3
Chapter 2 Deterministic Systems and the Shortest Path Problem	4
2.1 Finite-State Systems and Shortest Paths	4
Bibliography	5

Chapter 1 The Dynamic Programming Algorithm

1.1 Introduction

1.1.1 General Structure of Finite Horizon Optimal Control Problems

Our finite horizon model has two principal features: (1) a *discrete-time dynamic system*, and (2) a *cost function that is additive over time*. The system has the form

$$x_{k+1} = f_k(x_k, u_k, w_k), \quad k = 0, 1, \dots, N-1,$$

where

x_k	state variable
u_k	control variable
w_k	random parameter,

and f_k is a function that describes the system.

The cost function is additive. The total cost is

$$g_N(x_N) + \sum_{i=0}^{N-1} g_i(x_i, u_i, w_i).$$

Since w_k is random, we formulate the problem as an optimization of the *expected cost*

$$E \left\{ g_N(x_N) + \sum_{i=0}^{N-1} g_i(x_i, u_i, w_i) \right\}.$$

1.2 The Basic Problem

Basic Problem

We are given a discrete-time dynamic system

$$x_{k+1} = f_k(x_k, u_k, w_k), \quad k = 0, 1, \dots, N-1,$$

where the state $x_k \in S_k$, the control $u_k \in C_k$ and the random "disturbance" w_k is an element of a space D_k .

The control u_k is constrained to be $u_k \in U_k(x_k) \subset C_k$ for all $x_k \in S_k$ and k .

w_k is characterized by a probability distribution $P_k(\cdot | x_k, u_k)$ that may explicitly on x_k and u_k but not on values of prior disturbances w_{k-1}, \dots, w_0 .

We consider the class of policies

$$\pi = \{\mu_0, \dots, \mu_{N-1}\}$$

, where μ_k maps x_k into controls $u_k = \mu_k(x_k)$ and is such that $\mu_k(x_k) \in U_k(x_k)$ for all $x_k \in S_k$. Such policies will be called *admissible*.

Given x_0 and admissible π , we have

$$x_{k+1} = f_k(x_k, \mu_k(x_k), w_k), \quad k = 0, 1, \dots, N-1 \quad (1.1)$$

Thus, for given function g_k , we have the expected cost of π starting at x_0 :

$$J_\pi(x_0) = E \left\{ g_N(x_N) + \sum_{i=0}^{N-1} g_i(x_i, \mu_i(x_i), w_i) \right\}$$

where the expectation is taken over x_k and w_k . An optimal policy π^* is one such that

$$J_{\pi^*}(x_0) = \min_{\pi \in \Pi} J_\pi(x_0).$$

The Role and Value of Information

Encoding Risk in the Cost Function

1.3 The Dynamic Programming Algorithm

The DP algorithm rests on the *principle of optimality*.

The DP Algorithm

Proposition 1.3.1

For every initial state x_0 , the optimal cost $J^*(x_0)$ of the basic problem is equal to $J_0(x_0)$, given by the last step of the following algorithm, which proceeds backward in time from period $N-1$ to period 0 :

$$\begin{aligned} J_N(x_N) &= g_N(x_N), \\ J_k(x_k) &= \min_{u_k \in U_k(x_k)} E \{ g_k(x_k, u_k, w_k) + J_{k+1}(f_k(x_k, u_k, w_k)) \} \\ k &= 0, 1, \dots, N-1, \end{aligned}$$

where the expectation is taken with respect to the probability distribution of w_k , which depends on x_k and u_k . Furthermore, if $u_k^* = \mu_k^*(x_k)$ minimizes the right side of Eq. (1.6) for each x_k and k , the policy $\pi^* = \{\mu_0^*, \dots, \mu_{N-1}^*\}$ is optimal.



1.4 State Augmentation and Other Reformulations

The general guideline in *state augmentation* is to include in the enlarged state at time k all the information that is known to the controller at time k and can be used with advantage in selecting u_k .

Time Delays

1.5 Some Mathematical Issues

Well-defined random variables.

1.6 Dynamic Programming and Minimax Control

Consider a triplet (Π, W, J) , where Π is the set of policies under consideration, W is the set in which the uncertain quantities are known to belong, and $J : \Pi \times W \rightarrow [-\infty, +\infty]$ is a given cost function. The objective is to

$$\min_{\pi \in \Pi} \max_{w \in W} J(\pi, w)$$

over all $\pi \in \Pi$.

Lemma 1.6.1

Let $f : W \rightarrow X$ be a function, and M be the set of all functions $\mu : X \rightarrow U$, where W, X , and U are some sets. Then for any functions $G_0 : W \rightarrow (-\infty, \infty]$ and $G_1 : X \times U \rightarrow (-\infty, \infty]$ such that

$$\min_{u \in U} G_1(f(w), u) > -\infty, \quad \text{for all } w \in W,$$

we have

$$\min_{\mu \in M} \max_{w \in W} [G_0(w) + G_1(f(w), \mu(f(w)))] = \max_{w \in W} \left[G_0(w) + \min_{u \in U} G_1(f(w), u) \right].$$



Chapter 2 Deterministic Systems and the Shortest Path Problem

In this chapter we focus on deterministic problems, i.e., w_k can take only one value. In contrast with stochastic problems, *using feedback results in no advantage in terms of cost reduction.*

2.1 Finite-State Systems and Shortest Paths

The DP algorithm takes the form

$$J_N(i) = a_{it}^N, \quad i \in S_N, \quad (2.1)$$

$$J_k(i) = \min_{j \in S_{k+1}} [a_{ij}^k + J_{k+1}(j)], \quad i \in S_k, \quad k = 0, 1, \dots, N-1. \quad (2.2)$$

A Forward DP Algorithm for Shortest Path Problems

An optimal path from s to t is also an optimal path from t to s in a "reverse" shortest path problem. It is given by

$$\tilde{J}_N(j) = a_{sj}^0, \quad j \in S_1, \quad (2.3)$$

$$\tilde{J}_k(j) = \min_{i \in S_{N-k}} [a_{ij}^{N-k} + \tilde{J}_{k+1}(i)], \quad j \in S_{N-k+1}, \quad k = 1, 2, \dots, N-1. \quad (2.4)$$

The optimal cost is

$$\tilde{J}_0(t) = \min_{i \in S_N} [a_{it}^N + \tilde{J}_1(i)].$$

Bibliography

Bertsekas, D. (2012). *Dynamic Programming and Optimal Control: Volume I*. Athena scientific optimization and computation series v. 1. Athena Scientific. ISBN: 9781886529434. URL: <https://books.google.com/books?id=qVBEEAAAQBAJ>.