./figure//cover.jpg

Dynamic Programming and Optimal Control

Study Note

Author: Jinyi Liu

Institute: Haslam Business School, UTK

Date: October 27, 2022

Bio: A first-year Ph.D. student in Business Analytics.

Contents

Chapter 1 The Dynamic Programming Algorithm

1.1 Introduction

1.1.1 General Structure of Finite Horizon Optimal Control Problems

Our finite horizon model has two principal features: (1) a *discrete-time dynamic system*, and (2) a *cost function that is additive over time*. The system has the form

$$x_{k+1} = f_k(x_k, u_k, w_k), \quad k = 0, 1, \dots, N-1,$$

where

$$egin{array}{c|c} x_k & \text{state variable} \\ u_k & \text{control variable} \\ w_k & \text{random parameter,} \\ \end{array}$$

and f_k is a function the describes the system.

The cost function is additive. The total cost is

$$g_N(x_N) + \sum_{i=0}^{N-1} g_k(x_k, u_k, w_k).$$

Since w_k is random, we formulate the problem as an optimization of the expected cost

$$E\left\{g_N(x_N) + \sum_{i=0}^{N-1} g_k(x_k, u_k, w_k)\right\}.$$

1.2 The Basic Problem

Basic Problem

We are given a discrete-time dynamic system

$$x_{k+1} = f_k(x_k, u_k, w_k), \quad k = 0, 1, \dots, N-1,$$

where the state $x_k \in S_k$, the control $u_k \in C_k$ and the random "disturbance" w_k is an element of a space D_k .

The control u_k is constrained to be $u_k \in U_k(x_k) \subset C_k$ for all $x_k \in S_k$ and k.

 w_k is characterized by a probability distribution $P_k(\cdot|x_k,u_k)$ that may explicitly on x_k and u_k but not on values of prior disturbances w_{k-1},\ldots,w_0 .

We consider the class of polices

$$\pi = \{\mu_0, \dots, \mu_{N-1}\}$$

, where μ_k maps x_k into controls $u_k = \mu_k(x_k)$ and is such that $\mu_k(x_k) \in U_k(x_k)$ for all $x_k \in S_k$. Such polices will be called *admissible*.

Given x_0 and admissible π , we have

$$x_{k+1} = f_k(x_k, \mu_k(x_k), w_k), \quad k = 0, 1, \dots, N-1$$
 (1.1)

Thus, for given function g_k , we have the expected cost of π starting at x_0 :

$$J_{\pi}(x_0) = E\left\{g_N(x_N) + \sum_{i=0}^{N-1} g_k(x_k, \mu_k(x_k), w_k)\right\}$$

where the expectation is taken over x_k and w_k . An optimal policy π^* is one such that

$$J_{\pi^*}(x_0) = \min_{\pi \in \Pi} J_{\pi}(x_0).$$

The Role and Value of Information

Encoding Risk in the Cost Function

1.3 The Dynamic Programming Algorithm

The DP algorithm rests on the *principle of optimality*.

The DP Algorithm

Proposition 1.3.1

For every initial state x_0 , the optimal cost $J^*(x_0)$ of the basic problem is equal to $J_0(x_0)$, given by the last step of the following algorithm, which proceeds backward in time from period N-1 to period 0:

$$J_{N}(x_{N}) = g_{N}(x_{N}),$$

$$J_{k}(x_{k}) = \min_{u_{k} \in U_{k}(x_{k})} E_{w_{k}} \{g_{k}(x_{k}, u_{k}, w_{k}) + J_{k+1}(f_{k}(x_{k}, u_{k}, w_{k}))\}$$

$$k = 0, 1, \dots, N-1,$$

where the expectation is taken with respect to the probability distribution of w_k , which depends on x_k and u_k . Furthermore, if $u_k^* = \mu_k^*(x_k)$ minimizes the right side of Eq. (1.6) for each x_k and k, the policy $\pi^* = \{\mu_0^*, \dots, \mu_{N-1}^*\}$ is optimal.

1.4 State Augmentation and Other Reformulations

The general guideline in state augmentation is to include in the enlarged state at time k all the information that is known to the controller at time k and can be used with advantage in selecting u_k .

Time Delays

1.5 Some Mathematical Issues

Well-defined random variables.

1.6 Dynamic Programming and Minimax Control

Consider a triplet (Π, W, J) , where π is the set of policies under consideration, W is the set in which the uncertain quantities are known to belong, and $J: \Pi \times W \to [-\infty, +\infty]$ is a given cost function. The objective is to

$$\min \max_{w \in W} J(\pi, w)$$

over all $\pi \in \Pi$.

Lemma 1.6.1

Let $f: W \to X$ be a function, and M be the set of all functions $\mu: X \to U$, where W, X, and U are some sets. Then for any functions $G_0: W \to (-\infty, \infty]$ and $G_1: X \times U \to (-\infty, \infty]$ such that

$$\min_{u \in U} G_1(f(w), u) > -\infty, \quad \text{for all } w \in W,$$

we have

$$\min_{\mu \in M} \max_{w \in W} \left[G_0(w) + G_1(f(w), \mu(f(w))) \right] = \max_{w \in W} \left[G_0(w) + \min_{u \in U} G_1(f(w), u) \right].$$

Chapter 2 Deterministic Systems and the Shortest Path Problem

In this chapter we focus on deterministic problems, i.e., w_k can take only one value. In contrast with stochastic problems, using feedback results in no advantage in terms of cost reduction.

2.1 Finite-State Systems and Shortest Paths

The DP algorithm takes the form

$$J_N(i) = a_{it}^N, \quad i \in S_N, \tag{2.1}$$

$$J_k(i) = \min_{j \in S_{k+1}} \left[a_{ij}^k + J_{k+1}(j) \right], \quad i \in S_k, \quad k = 0, 1, \dots, N - 1.$$
 (2.2)

A Forward DP Algorithm for Shortest Path Problems

An optimal path from s to t is also an optimal path from t to s in a "reverse" shortest path problem. It is given by

$$\tilde{J}_N(j) = a_{sj}^0, \quad j \in S_1,$$
 (2.3)

$$\tilde{J}_k(j) = \min_{i \in S_{N-k}} \left[a_{ij}^{N-k} +_{k+1}(i) \right], \quad j \in S_{N-k+1}, \quad k = 1, 2, \dots, N-1.$$
(2.4)

The optimal cost is

$$\tilde{J}_0(t) = \min_{i \in S_N} \left[a_{ij}^N + \tilde{J}_1(i) \right].$$