Simulation Result Analysis

Jinyu Luo

2024 - 04 - 25

Coverage Probability

Full Model

The coverage probabilities for estimates of the interaction effect all achieved at least 92%. Probabilities from simulated data with dropouts are one percent lower than the results based on the full data, but these probabilities were improved to around 94% (similar to the results of the full data without adjusting for SEs) after adjusting for standard errors.

Table 1: True Parameter Coverage Rate from Full Model with 1000 Simulations

	Unadjusted Standard Error					Adjusted Standard Error								
	Intercept	BAV	Visit	Age	Male	BSA	BAV:Visit	Intercept	BAV	Visit	Age	Male	BSA	BAV:Visit
Without Drop	out													
Independent	0.921	0.939	0.941	0.917	0.921	0.918	0.943	0.934	0.948	0.950	0.929	0.939	0.927	0.952
Exchangeable	0.919	0.939	0.940	0.923	0.924	0.917	0.942	0.937	0.949	0.950	0.928	0.940	0.930	0.950
AR1	0.920	0.936	0.940	0.924	0.922	0.917	0.941	0.935	0.950	0.951	0.933	0.936	0.931	0.951
With Drop out:	s													
Independent	0.903	0.929	0.933	0.914	0.924	0.916	0.929	0.918	0.944	0.948	0.922	0.942	0.928	0.943
Exchangeable	0.904	0.931	0.935	0.910	0.925	0.914	0.929	0.918	0.944	0.946	0.922	0.939	0.932	0.939
AR1	0.899	0.929	0.936	0.914	0.926	0.915	0.927	0.916	0.945	0.948	0.923	0.937	0.929	0.940

Reduced Model

There is no significant difference in coverage probabilities between results from the full data and results from data with dropouts.

Table 2: True Parameter Coverage Rate from Reduced Model with $1000~\mathrm{Simulations}$


	Unad	ljusted S	Standard	l Error	Adjusted Standard Error					
	Intercept	BAV	Visit	BAV:Visit	Intercept	BAV	Visit	BAV:Visit		
Without Drop out										
Independent	0.010	0.936	0.941	0.942	0.010	0.948	0.947	0.946		
Exchangeable	0.010	0.936	0.941	0.940	0.010	0.948	0.947	0.945		
AR1	0.011	0.936	0.940	0.937	0.012	0.941	0.946	0.944		
With Drop out	With Drop outs									
Independent	0.028	0.939	0.929	0.943	0.033	0.943	0.936	0.948		
Exchangeable	0.026	0.940	0.928	0.943	0.030	0.947	0.939	0.947		
AR1	0.026	0.940	0.932	0.944	0.029	0.945	0.939	0.952		

Check Convergence

Visualize the distribution standard errors

Titles with $_\mathtt{d}$ followed the correlation structure name indicates that dropout data.


Full Model



The density plot suggests that

- 1. Non-convergence occurred in exchangeable correlation structure for both full data and with dropouts.
- 2. Standard errors are distributed from 0 to 0.5.

Reduced Model



The density plot suggests that

- 1. Non-convergence occurred in AR1 correlation structure for data with dropouts.
- 2. Standard errors are distributed from 0 to 0.5.

Convergence Selection

```
## $independence
##
   integer(0)
##
## $exchangeable
   [1] 732
##
##
## $ar1
##
   integer(0)
##
## $independence_d
## integer(0)
##
## $exchangeable_d
   [1] 869
##
##
## $ar1_d
## integer(0)
```

One non-converged simulation was detected in GEE fit with Exchangeable correlation structure from both full data and dropout data.

```
## $independence
## integer(0)
##
## $exchangeable
## integer(0)
##
## $ar1
## integer(0)
## $independence_d
## integer(0)
##
## $exchangeable_d
## integer(0)
##
## $ar1_d
## [1] 201
```


One non-converged simulation was detected in GEE fit with AR1 correlation from data with dropouts. n Remove non-converged simulations and check the standard error distributions.

```
corrstr <- c("Independent", "Exchangeable", "AR1")</pre>
for (i in 1:6) {
  # Adjusted for Control Covariates
  if(length(bad_full[[i]]) != 0){
    if(i > 3){
      cor idx <- i-3
      fullmod_resdo[[cor_idx]] <- lapply(fullmod_resdo[[cor_idx]],</pre>
                                           function(x) x[-bad_full[[i]], ])
      se <- density(fullmod_resdo[[cor_idx]]$SE[,7])</pre>
      plot(se, main="Density of Standard Errors Full model with dropouts",
           sub = corrstr[cor idx])
      } else{
      fullmod_res[[i]] <- lapply(fullmod_res[[i]], function(x) x[-bad_full[[i]],])</pre>
      se <- density(fullmod_res[[i]]$SE[,7])</pre>
      plot(se, main="Density of Standard Errors Full model without dropouts",
           sub = corrstr[i])
    }
  }
  # Unadjusted for Control Covariates
  if(length(bad_red[[i]]) != 0){
    if(i > 3){
      cor idx <- i-3
      redmod_resdo[[cor_idx]] <- lapply(redmod_resdo[[cor_idx]],</pre>
                                           function(x) x[-bad_red[[i]], ])
      se <- density(redmod_resdo[[cor_idx]]$SE[,4])</pre>
      plot(se,main="Density of Standard Errors Reduced model with dropouts",
           sub = corrstr[cor_idx])
      } else{
```


Density of Standard Errors Full model without dropouts

N = 1029 Bandwidth = 0.008092 Exchangeable

Density of Standard Errors Full model with dropouts



Density of Standard Errors Reduced model with dropouts



The standard error plots suggests that non-converged simulations are all removed.

Estimation Results

Adjusted for Control Covariates

Without Dropouts

Table 3: Estimation Results for Full Model without dropout from 1000 Simulations

	Intercept	BAV	Visit	Age	Male	BSA	BAV:Visit
	шенсері	DAV	V 1510	Age	Maie	DSA	DAV.VISIU
True Value	-3.815	-1.938	0.114	-0.036	1.196	1.955	0.345
Independent							
Mean Estimate	-3.846	-2.045	0.117	-0.037	1.240	1.967	0.362
SD(Estimate)	1.748	0.909	0.129	0.021	0.384	0.688	0.231
Mean Std. Error	1.546	0.838	0.125	0.018	0.353	0.616	0.216
SD(Std. Error)	0.310	0.174	0.021	0.004	0.051	0.127	0.041
Mean Bias	0.008	0.055	0.031	0.031	0.037	0.006	0.050
Mean MSE	3.054	0.837	0.017	0.000	0.149	0.473	0.053
Exchangeable							
Mean Estimate	-3.850	-2.044	0.118	-0.037	1.242	1.969	0.362
SD(Estimate)	1.742	0.909	0.129	0.020	0.383	0.687	0.231
Mean Std. Error	1.546	0.839	0.125	0.018	0.353	0.615	0.216
SD(Std. Error)	0.307	0.175	0.021	0.004	0.051	0.125	0.041
Mean Bias	0.009	0.055	0.033	0.032	0.038	0.007	0.049
Mean MSE	3.034	0.838	0.017	0.000	0.149	0.472	0.053
AR1							
Mean Estimate	-3.845	-2.046	0.118	-0.037	1.242	1.967	0.363
SD(Estimate)	1.753	0.913	0.130	0.020	0.384	0.690	0.232
Mean Std. Error	1.547	0.839	0.125	0.018	0.353	0.616	0.216
SD(Std. Error)	0.311	0.174	0.021	0.004	0.051	0.127	0.040
Mean Bias	0.008	0.056	0.032	0.033	0.038	0.006	0.051
Mean MSE	3.072	0.845	0.017	0.000	0.150	0.476	0.054

Observations:

- 1. Exchangeable and independent working correlation had the same estimate for the interaction effect.
- 2. Estimates for main effects of BAV and Visits are all similar across the three working correlations and similar to true values.
- 3. The mean bias and mean MSE are similar, around 0.05, regardless of working correlation structure.

With Dropouts

Table 4: Estimation Results for Full Model with Drop outs from $1000 \ \mathrm{Simulations}$

	Intercept	BAV	Visit	Age	Male	BSA	BAV:Visit
True Value	-3.815	-1.938	0.114	-0.036	1.196	1.955	0.345
Independent							
Mean Estimate	-3.911	-2.069	0.112	-0.038	1.260	2.019	0.356
SD(Estimate)	2.285	1.079	0.200	0.027	0.503	0.904	0.348
Mean Std. Error	1.946	0.971	0.186	0.023	0.450	0.784	0.319
SD(Std. Error)	0.429	0.230	0.041	0.005	0.080	0.179	0.074
Mean Bias	0.025	0.068	-0.018	0.063	0.054	0.033	0.031
Mean MSE	5.227	1.181	0.040	0.001	0.256	0.821	0.121
Exchangeable							
Mean Estimate	-3.917	-2.071	0.112	-0.038	1.259	2.021	0.356
SD(Estimate)	2.276	1.074	0.198	0.027	0.502	0.903	0.346
Mean Std. Error	1.946	0.967	0.184	0.023	0.449	0.783	0.316
SD(Std. Error)	0.427	0.230	0.041	0.005	0.079	0.178	0.075
Mean Bias	0.027	0.069	-0.020	0.061	0.053	0.034	0.033
Mean MSE	5.184	1.171	0.039	0.001	0.256	0.820	0.119
AR1							
Mean Estimate	-3.913	-2.071	0.112	-0.038	1.259	2.021	0.356
SD(Estimate)	2.290	1.081	0.199	0.027	0.502	0.904	0.348
Mean Std. Error	1.948	0.969	0.186	0.023	0.450	0.784	0.318
SD(Std. Error)	0.430	0.229	0.040	0.005	0.080	0.179	0.074
Mean Bias	0.026	0.068	-0.013	0.064	0.053	0.034	0.033
Mean MSE	5.247	1.186	0.040	0.001	0.255	0.820	0.121

Observations:

- 1. Exchangeable working correlation provided the closest estimates for all coefficients.
- 2. Estimates are similar between independent and AR1 working correlations for all coefficients.
- 3. Mean biases and mean MSEs are similar, at around 0.03 and 0.120, respectively.

Without Adjusting for Control Covariates

Without Dropouts

Table 5: Estimate Results for Reduced Model without dropouts from 1000 Simulations

	Intercept	BAV	Visit	BAV:Visit
True Value	-3.815	-1.938	0.114	0.345
Independent				
Mean Estimate	-1.596	-1.872	0.103	0.336
SD(Estimate)	0.439	0.834	0.113	0.209
Mean Std. Error	0.417	0.797	0.110	0.196
SD(Std. Error)	0.065	0.163	0.019	0.038
Mean Bias	-0.582	-0.034	-0.098	-0.025
Mean MSE	5.118	0.700	0.013	0.044
Exchangeable				
Mean Estimate	-1.596	-1.870	0.103	0.336
SD(Estimate)	0.439	0.833	0.113	0.209
Mean Std. Error	0.417	0.798	0.110	0.196
SD(Std. Error)	0.065	0.166	0.019	0.039
Mean Bias	-0.582	-0.035	-0.098	-0.026
Mean MSE	5.118	0.698	0.013	0.044
AR1				
Mean Estimate	-1.599	-1.873	0.103	0.336
SD(Estimate)	0.443	0.841	0.114	0.211
Mean Std. Error	0.421	0.802	0.111	0.198
SD(Std. Error)	0.064	0.165	0.019	0.038
Mean Bias	-0.581	-0.033	-0.094	-0.026
Mean MSE	5.107	0.710	0.013	0.044

${\bf Observations:}$

The three working correlations provided the exact same estimate for the interaction effect and the main effect of Visit, but the independent working correlation had slightly larger mean bias, at -0.025.

With Dropouts

Table 6: Estimate Results for Reduced Model with dropout from 1000 Simulations

	Intercept	BAV	Visit	BAV:Visit
True Value	-3.815	-1.938	0.114	0.345
Independent				
Mean Estimate	-1.588	-1.891	0.093	0.337
SD(Estimate)	0.500	0.981	0.182	0.326
Mean Std. Error	0.479	0.920	0.172	0.299
SD(Std. Error)	0.081	0.215	0.036	0.068
Mean Bias	-0.584	-0.024	-0.186	-0.025
Mean MSE	5.210	0.964	0.033	0.106
Exchangeable				
Mean Estimate	-1.591	-1.887	0.096	0.335
SD(Estimate)	0.493	0.969	0.176	0.315
Mean Std. Error	0.472	0.911	0.165	0.290
SD(Std. Error)	0.082	0.220	0.037	0.073
Mean Bias	-0.583	-0.026	-0.162	-0.030
Mean MSE	5.191	0.941	0.031	0.099
AR1				
Mean Estimate	-1.592	-1.890	0.095	0.336
SD(Estimate)	0.499	0.975	0.180	0.319
Mean Std. Error	0.478	0.918	0.169	0.296
SD(Std. Error)	0.079	0.219	0.035	0.069
Mean Bias	-0.583	-0.025	-0.164	-0.026
Mean MSE	5.191	0.951	0.033	0.102

Observations:

Three working correlations provided similar estimates.