

# Problem Solving and Search in AI

Partik Marschik(???)  
Martin Schwengerer (0625209)

May 31, 2011

## 1 Main Algorithm

We decided to use a Greedy Randomized Adaptive Search Procedure (GRASP). For the local search, we first want to implement a *tabu* search, testing different neighborhoods (for more details, see below). In a subsequent step, depending on the results of our approach, we may think about a combination with a Variable Neighborhood Search (VNS) or a Variable Neighborhood Descent (VND).

### 1.1 Construction Phase

TODO?

### 1.2 Local Search

In our first prototype, we will implement a tabu search as local search.

## 2 Neighborhood

For our heuristic, we will implement and test different neighborhoods we discovered. Some of these are based on neighborhoods used in other heuristics (like [GS07, RBK08]). For our final solution, it may be that not all of these neighborhoods are used. Moreover, we would like to evaluate the usability of these different neighborhoods with respect to size, computational effort, feasibility (does it contain only valid solutions for our hard- and soft constraints), the existence of a (simple) delta function, etc.

In detail, we will consider the following neighborhoods:

**swapping home/visitor** Swapping the home/visitor state of the two games of two teams

**swapping two teams in a season** (swapping teams in all games) exchanging all games of two teams

**swapping rounds** swapping two complete rounds

**shifting rounds** shifting a complete round to a different day (position)

**partial swap rounds** swapping the games of a team in different rounds, [GS07, HV06, Pc]  
needs repair chain

**partial swap games** swapping the games of two team one rounds [GS07, HV06], needs repair chain

### 3 Problem Relaxing

In order to expand the search space, we decided to relax some problem constraints by allowing illegal solutions in combination with a penalty system. Therefore, we divide the problem constraints into *hard* and *soft* constraints. The hard constraints are that each team plays twice against each other team, once at home and once as visitor and that the result is a round-robin tour. The soft constraint deals with the home-away-patterns, as each team has limitations about the number of consequent home (or away)-games.

We will test a constant penalty as well as a dynamic penalty (called *shifting penalty*, changing the weight according to the frequencies of feasible and infeasible configurations. Depending if the previous iterations result in an (not) allowed schedule, the penalty decreases (increases). This dynamic penalty mechanism is used in several other, very successful heuristics, like those by [AMHV06] or [GS07].

### References

- [AMHV06] A. Anagnostopoulos, L. Michel, P. Van Hentenryck, and Y. Vergados. A simulated annealing approach to the traveling tournament problem. *J. of Scheduling*, 9:177–193, April 2006.
- [GS07] Luca Di Gaspero and Andrea Schaerf. A composite-neighborhood tabu search approach to the travelling tournament problem. *Journal of Heuristics*, 13:189–207, 2007.
- [HV06] Pascal Van Hentenryck and Yannis Vergados. Traveling tournament scheduling: A systematic evaluation of simulated annealing. In *CPAIOR*, pages 228–243, 2006.
- [Pc] Chen Pai-chun. An ant based hyper-heuristic for the travelling tournament problem.
- [RBK08] F. Ryckbosch, G. Vanden Berghe, and G. Kendall. A heuristic approach for the travelling tournament problem using optimal travelling salesman tours. In E.K. Burke and M. Gendreau, editors, *Proceedings of the 7th International Conference on the Practice and Theory of Automated Timetabling (PATAT 2008)*, 18-22 August 2008, Montreal, Canada 2008. This was published in the proceedings as an abstract (not a full paper).