Homework 6

0. (a) I implemented the Quick pairwise GCD finding algorithm taught on class.

The most serious problem I ran into, is that: at first, I calculated the product with all the moduli Ns with naive method: multiplying them one by one. This takes a lot of time.

Later, I realized that doing so is really not wise, I guess that's because sage uses FFT(fast fourier transform) algorithm to multiply big int, so in my naive method's time complexity is T(n,m) = T(n-1,m) + O(mnlog(mn)), $T(n,m) = O(n^2mlog(mn))$ for multiplying n m-bits integers.

I modified it to the method like a tree, that is, first calculate N_1N_2 , N_3N_4 , N_5N_6 , N_7N_8 , ... then calculate $N_1N_2N_3N_4$, $N_5N_6N_7N_8$, ..., then calculate step by step until finally calculating the product of all numbers.

Here, time complexity is $T(n,m) = 2T(\frac{n}{2},m) + O(mnlog(mn))$, T(n,m) = O(mnlog(mn)log(n)), much faster than the naive method.

- (b) there are 2 more problems.
- 1. the moduli N used to encrypt the AES key, N-1 can be factored into smaller primes' procuft.
- 2. the AES with n bytes of n at end, may be vunerable to padding oracle attack.
- 1. (a) let $b_i = P_x(2^{i-1})$ $(i \ge 1)$

meaning of b_i is whether $x - x_{prev} > \frac{N}{2^i}$

after querying P_x with $2, 4, 8, ..., 2^{\lceil log_2 N \rceil}$

we get $b_1, b_2, ..., b_{\lceil log_2 N \rceil}$

we can then compute x: $x = \sum_{i=1}^{\lceil log_2 N \rceil} rounding(\frac{N}{2^i})$

(b) name the oracle function we can query to be f, that is to say

f(z) = 1 if $[z^{\frac{1}{e}} \mod N] \ge \frac{N}{2}$ else 0 and we can query f as we like.

we want to get $[c^{\frac{1}{e}} \mod N]$,

let $x = [c^{\frac{1}{e}} \mod N]$, and we want to get x.

we construct a function P so that $P(r) = f((r^e \cdot c) \mod n)$

$$P(r) = f((r^e \cdot c) \bmod n)$$

$$= 1 if \left[(r^e \cdot c)^{\frac{1}{e}} \bmod N \right] \ge \frac{N}{2} else 0$$

$$=1 \ if \ [r \cdot c^{\frac{1}{e}} \ mod \ N] \ge \frac{N}{2} \ else \ 0$$

= 1 if
$$r \cdot x \ge \frac{N}{2}$$
 else 0

as you can see, P behaves the same as P_x in (a), and we can use the method in (a) to solve x.