## Research Statement

Jishen Du

October 29, 2025

## 1 Background

### 1.1 CFTs and VOAs

Quantum field theory (QFT) has become a unifying framework in modern mathematics, providing deep conceptual bridges between geometry, topology, analysis, representation theory, and tensor category theory, which has been more and more significant in modern physics and mathematics. Topological field theory (TFT) and two-dimensional conformal field theory (CTF, 'two-dimensional' will be omitted hereafter) are two types of QFT that are mathematically well-defined and well-studied. In 1987, Kontsevich and Segal independently gave a precise definition of full CFT using the properties of path integrals as axioms. Segal further introduced modular functors and weak conformal field theories. In 1988, Moore and Seiberg formulated certain basic hypotheses for rational CFT and derived some important consequences in [MS]. It has been a long-standing open problem to construct chiral and full CFTs in the sense of Kontsevich and Segal.

Just as vector space, Frobenius algebra, and modular tensor category are the underlying algebraic/categorical structure of one, two, and three dimensional TFT, algebra of **intertwining operators** among modules of **vertex operator algebra** (VOA) is the underlying algebraic structure of the two dimensional chiral CFT. Therefore, the study of CFTs can be largely converted to the study of VOAs and their representation theory. Systematic study of VOAs and their representation theory started from Frenkel, Lepowsky, Meurman ([FLM3]), and Brocherds ([B]).

Based on the results in [HL2], [HL3], [HL4], [H1], [H3], [H4], and [H5], Huang proved the following theorem in [H6].

**Theorem 1.1.** Let V be a simple vertex operator algebra satisfying the following conditions:

- 1. For n < 0,  $V_{(n)} = 0$  and  $V_{(0)} = \mathbb{C}\mathbf{1}$  and as a V-module, V is equivalent to its contragredient V-module V'.
- 2. Every lower-bounded generalized V-module is completely reducible.
- 3. V is  $C_2$ -cofinite.

Then the category of V-modules has a natural structure of modular tensor category in the sense of Turaev [Tu1].

(Remark: Without having VOA and a precise definition of modular tensor category, modular tensor categories associated to conformal field theories were discovered first in physics by Moore and Seiberg [MS].)

## 1.2 Orbifold conformal field theory

It is natural to expect that Theorem 1.1 has generalizations in orbifold CFT.

Orbifold CFTs are CFTs constructed from known theories and their automorphisms. The first example of orbifold CFTs is the the moonshine module constructed by Frenkel, Lepowsky and Meurman [FLM1] [FLM2] [FLM3] in mathematics. In string theory, the systematic study of orbifold CFTs was started by Dixon, Harvey, Vafa and Witten [DHVW1] [DHVW2]. See [H14] for an exposition on general results, conjectures and open problems in the construction of two-dimensional orbifold conformal field theories using the approach of the representation theory of vertex operator algebras.

In [K3], Kirillov Jr. stated that the category of g-twisted modules for a vertex operator algebra V for g in a finite subgroup G of the automorphism group of V is a G-equivariant fusion category (G-crossed braided (tensor) category in the sense of Turaev [Tu2]). For general V, this is certainly not true. The vertex operator algebra V must satisfy certain conditions. Here is a precise conjecture formulated by Huang in [H9]:

**Conjecture 1.2.** Let V be a vertex operator satisfying the three conditions in Theorem 1.1 and let G be a finite group of automorphisms of V. Then the category of g-twisted V-modules for all  $g \in G$  is a G-crossed braided tensor category.

We also conjecture that the category of g-twisted V-modules for all  $g \in G$  is a G-crossed modular tensor category in a suiable sense. Since the definitions of G-crossed modular tensor category in [K3] and [Tu2] are different, more work needs to be done to find out which definition is the correct one for the category of twisted modules for a vertex operator algebra. But we do believe that this stronger G-crossed modular tensor category conjecture should be true in a suitable sense.

In the case that G is trivial (the group containing only the identity), Conjecture 1.2 and even the stronger G-crossed modular tensor category conjecture is true by Theorem 1.1. Thus the G-crossed modular tensor category conjecture is a natural generalization of Theorem 1.1 to the category of category of g-twisted V-modules for  $g \in G$ .

In the case that the fixed point subalgebra  $V^G$  of V under G satisfies the conditions in Theorem 1.1 above, the category of  $V^G$ -modules is a modular tensor category. In this case, Conjecture 1.2 can be proved using the modular tensor category structure on the category of  $V^G$ -modules and the results on tensor categories by Kirillov Jr. [K1] [K2] [K3] and Müger [Mü1] [Mü2]. In the special case that G is a finite cyclic group and V satisfies the conditions in Theorem 1.1, Carnahan-Miyamoto [CM] proved that  $V^G$  also satisfies the conditions in Theorem 1.1. In the case that G is a finite cyclic group and V is in addition a holomorphic vertex operator algebra (meaning that the only irreducible V-module is V itself), Conjecture 1.2 can be obtained as a consequence of the results of van Ekeren-Möller-Scheithauer [EMS] and Möller [Mö] on the modular tensor category of  $V^G$ -modules. Assuming that G is a

finite group containing the parity involution and that the category of grading-restricted  $V^G$ modules has a natural structure of vertex tensor category structure in the sense of [HL1],
McRae [Mc] constructed a nonsemisimple G-crossed braided tensor category structure on
the category of grading-restricted (generalized) g-twisted V-modules.

For general finite group G, the conjecture that the fixed point subalgebra  $V^G$  of V under G also satisfies the conditions in Theorem 1.1 is still open and seems to be a difficult problem. On the other hand, using twisted modules and twisted intertwining operators to construct G-crossed braided tensor categories seems to be a more conceptual and direct approach. If this approach works, we expect that the category of  $V^G$ -modules can also be studied using the G-crossed braided tensor category structure on the category of twisted V-modules.

In the case that the vertex operator algebra V does not satisfy the three conditions in Theorem 1.1 and/or the group G is not finite, it is not even clear what should be the precise conjecture. This was proposed as an open problem in [H9].

## 2 What I have done

In brief, I proved the associativity of twisted intertwining operators, under some convergence and extension assumption. This is equivalent to a construction of the associativity isomorphism in the *G*-crossed vertex/braided tensor category, which is a main difficulty in proving Conjecture 1.2. (Another main difficulty is to prove the assumptions I need, see [Ta].)

To achieve this, I have done the following.

# • 2.1 Systematically developed a complex analytic approach of VOA theory (in [DH] and [D])

Started from Frenkel, Lepowsky, Meurman ([FLM3]), classical study of VOAs and their representation theory is based on an algebraic approach - formal power series (most generally, the exponent can be any element in a field  $\mathbb{F}$ ). This algebraic approach has been fully developed in last 40 years and been used to successfully solve many problems. The *Jacobi identity* (in the definition of VOA),

$$x_0^{-1}\delta\left(\frac{x_1 - x_2}{x_0}\right) Y(u, x_1) Y(v, x_2) - x_0^{-1}\delta\left(\frac{-x_2 + x_1}{x_0}\right) Y(v, x_2) Y(u, x_1)$$

$$= x_2^{-1}\delta\left(\frac{x_1 - x_0}{x_2}\right) Y(Y(u, x_0) v, x_2), \qquad (2.1)$$

is powerful enough to derived many useful results. Importantly, not only VOA itself, (generalized) modules of VOA and the notion of *intertwining operators* among (generalized) modules also can be defined using a Jacobi identity similar to (2.1). The Jacobi identity is the algebraic expression which is equivalent to the fact that the sum of residues of a meromorphic 1-form on Riemmann sphere  $\hat{\mathbb{C}}$  at all singularities is zero (Cauchy formula).

In classical (i.e. untwisted) theory, the algebraic formulation is not enough to study products and iterates of more than one intertwining operators, for example,  $\mathcal{Y}_1(w_1, z_1)\mathcal{Y}_2(w_2, z_2)$  and  $\mathcal{Y}_3(\mathcal{Y}_4(w_1, z_1 - z_2)w_2, z_2)$ . The study of these objects is indispensable and vital for construction of the vertex (therefore braided) tensor category. Essentially, we can no longer have a Jacobi identity in this case, because the correlation functions are multivalued in both varibles  $z_1$  and  $z_2$ , which means one can no longer get a single valued meromorphic 1-form on  $\hat{\mathbb{C}}$ . Therefore, coefficients in expansions at different singularities cannot have a relation (Jacobi identity) by simply using the Cauchy formula. This is where Huang ([H1]) and Huang, Lepowsky, Zhang ([HLZ4]) had to introduce some complex analytic assumptions (convergence and extension properties) to go further. These assumptions must be satisfied to have their result (i.e. the vertex tensor category and in particular, the braided tensor category structure on the module category), and also were proved (for nice VOAs and  $C_1$ -cofinite modules) using regular singular differential equation theory by Huang ([H3]).

Despite the involveness of these complex analytic assumptions, Huang, Lepowsky's and Huang, Lepowsky, Zhang's work mainly used the algebraic approach. This is natural - one should always use algebraic approach whenever "they can", i.e., when there is a Jacobi identity to use, because althrough often lenthy and technical, formal calculus is rather mechanical - one can get results by direct and standard computation.

However, in the study of orbifold CFT, a systematic complex analytic approach inevitably need to be developed. This is because even for the vertex operator  $Y_W(-,x)$  acting on modules, there are non-integer powers of x (and  $\log x$  for g-twisted modules with infinite order automorphim g). This leads to an extra multivalueness, which makes it impossible to write down a Jacobi identity as the definition of twisted intertwining operators (i.e. intertwining operator among three twisted modules). Geometrically speaking, it means we cannot have a single valued meromorphic 1-form on  $\hat{\mathbb{C}}$  even for product like  $Y_W(v, z_1)\mathcal{Y}(w_1, z_2)w_2$ . Instead, we have to use duality as the definition of twisted intertwining operator, which is a complex analytic statement.

The "definition" of the "complex analytic approach of VOA (orbifold theory)" could be

Started from the duality version of definitions of (twisted) module and (twisted) intertwining operator to develop the (twisted) representation theory of VOA, without using delta function, Jacobi identity, and Cauchy formula.

Under this definition, because of the inevitability in the study of orbifold theory, we systematically developed the complex analytic approach.

# • 2.2 Introduced the most general notion of twisted intertwining operator (in [DH] and [D])

Intertwining operators among twisted modules associated to commuting automorphisms of finite orders appeared implicitly in the work [FFR] of Feingold, Frenkel and Ries and were introduced explicitly by Xu in [X] in terms of a generalization of the Jacobi identity for twisted modules, which works because in [X], only modules twisted by automorphisms in a *finite abelian group* are considered.

In [H8], Huang introduced a definition of twisted intertwining operators among modules twisted by noncommuting automorphisms. In this definition, the correlation functions obtained from the products/iterates of a twisted intertwining operators and twisted vertex operators are required to be of a special explicit form. It turns out that this definition is not general enough to study orbifold theory associated to a nonabelian group of automorphisms.

We introduced the most general notion of twisted intertwining operator, where no explicit form need to be satisfied. In this definition, the correlation functions are multivalued function whose single valued branches are indexed by elements in fundamental group of some configuration space, and are determined only by the image of an anti-homomorphism from the fundamental group to the group of automorphisms  $\langle g_1, g_2 \rangle$  (if the twisted intertwining operator is of type  $\binom{g_1g_2}{g_1 \ g_2}$ ). This definition of twisted intertwining operator is general enough for studying the orbifold theory associated to nonabelian group of automorphisms. To give the correct notion of P(z)-tensor product of twisted modules, we need to use the most general twisted intertwining operators. If we use only certain special set of twisted intertwining operators as in [H8] to define and construct the P(z)-tensor products, we would obtain a quotient of the correct P(z)-tensor products.

Moreover, based on our definition of twisted intertwining operator, we proved some properties about twisted intertwining operator which are essential for the construction of G-braided vertex tensor category. For example, we constructed the skew symmetry isomorphism  $\Omega_{\pm}$  and contragredient isomorphism  $A_{\pm}$  between spaces of twisted intertwining operators. Althrough these isomorphisms are classical, since we used the most general notion of intertwining operator introduced by us, the proof of their existence was new and used complex analytic approach.

# • 2.3 Construction and an equivalent condition of P(z) tensor product $-\boxtimes_{P(z)}$ – (in [DH] and [D])

For any  $z \in \mathbb{C}^{\times}$ , we gave the definition of the P(z) tensor product  $W_1 \boxtimes_{P(z)} W_2$  of two twisted modules  $W_1$  and  $W_2$  using universal property. We also gave an explicit construction of  $W_1 \boxtimes_{P(z)} W_2$  by using twisted intertwining operator. After having a suitable definition of twisted intertwining operator, the definition and the explicit construction of  $W_1 \boxtimes_{P(z)} W_2$  are just a straightforward generalization of their untwisted version in Huang, Lepowsky [HL2], [HL3], [HL4].

Based on the explicit construction of  $W_1 \boxtimes_{P(z)} W_2$  mentioned above, in [DH], we found an equivalent condition of a functional  $\lambda \in (W_1 \otimes W_2)^*$  being contained in  $(W_1 \boxtimes_{P(z)} W_2)'$ . If the module category considered is the category of grading restricted generalized g-twisted module for  $g \in G$  (where  $G \leq \operatorname{Aut}(V)$  is any finite group), then the statement is the following.

**Theorem 2.1.** Let the module category considered be the category of grading restricted generalized g-twisted modules for  $g \in G$  (where  $G \leq \operatorname{Aut}(V)$  is any finite group). Suppose  $\lambda \in (W_1 \otimes W_2)^*$ . Then  $\lambda \in (W_1 \boxtimes_{P(z)} W_2)'$  if and only if  $\lambda$  satisfies both P(z)-compatibility condition and P(z)-locally-grading-restriction condition.

(Remark:  $W_1 \boxtimes_{P(z)} W_2$  is dependent on the module category that is considered.)

We note that in the untwisted case, a P(z)-compatibility condition and a P(z)-grading-restriction condition (see [HL4] and [HLZ3]) play an important role in the proof of associativity (operator product expansion) of intertwining operators and in the construction of the associativity isomorphisms for the vertex tensor category structure (see [H1] and [HLZ5]).

Generalizing their idea of proving the associativity in the untwisted situation to our twisted case has many obstructions. It is mainly because the untwisted intertwining operator is defined using Jacobi identity, which is algebraic. The P(z)-compatibility condition in [HLZ3] is a purely algebraic statement, which is invalid under our notion of twisted intertwining operator and the complex analytic setting. To solve this problem, we introduced a new formulation of P(z)-compatibility condition, which is a complex analytic statement. It looks very different from the algebraic version of P(z)-compatibility condition in [HLZ3]. Whether they are equivalent when twisted modules considered are actually untwisted is still unclear.

The complex analytic version of P(z)-compatibility condition serves the same function as the algebraic one, in the sense that we still can prove Theorem 2.1 under our complex analytic setting (See [DH]). Again, since our notions of twisted intertwining operator and P(z)-compatibility condition are very different, the method of proving Theorem 2.1 is entirely new.

In [D], we introduced a P(z)-C-embeddability condition, where C is the category of twisted V-modules. Then we have

**Theorem 2.2.** Denote by C the module category that is considered. Suppose  $\lambda \in (W_1 \otimes W_2)^*$ . Then  $\lambda \in (W_1 \boxtimes_{P(z)} W_2)'$  if and only if  $\lambda$  satisfies both P(z)-compatibility condition and P(z)-C-embeddability condition.

Theorem 2.1/2.2 are crucial for proving the associativity of twisted intertwining operators. It is because it offers a feasible way to determine whether a functional  $\lambda \in (W_1 \otimes W_2)^*$  is contained in the space  $(W_1 \boxtimes_{P(z)} W_2)'$ .

### • 2.4 Proof of associativity of twisted intertwining operators (in [D])

Using P(z)-compatibility, Theorem 2.1/2.2, and all other tools that had been developed, I proved the associativity of twisted intertwining operators. The statement is roughly the following.

**Theorem 2.3.** Fix  $z_1, z_2 \in \mathbb{C}$  satisfying

$$0 < |z_1 - z_2| < |z_2| < |z_1|, \tag{2.2}$$

$$\left|\arg(z_1) - \arg(z_2)\right| < \frac{\pi}{2}, \ \left|\arg(z_1 - z_2) - \arg(z_1)\right| < \frac{\pi}{2}.$$
 (2.3)

Suppose that  $G \leq \operatorname{Aut}(V)$ , and  $\mathcal{C}$  is a category of g-twisted generalized V-modules for  $g \in G$ . If  $\mathcal{C}$  satisfies **some conditions**, then for any  $g_1, g_2, g_3 \in G$ , and  $g_1$ -,  $g_2$ -,  $g_3$ -,  $g_1g_2g_3$ -,  $g_2g_3$ -twisted modules  $W_1$ ,  $W_2$ ,  $W_3$ ,  $W_4$ ,  $M_1$  in  $\mathcal{C}$ , and twisted intertwining operators  $\mathcal{Y}_1$ ,  $\mathcal{Y}_2$  of type  $\binom{W_4}{W_1M_1}$ ,  $\binom{M_1}{W_2W_3}$ , there exist a  $g_1g_2$ -twisted module  $M_2$  in  $\mathcal{C}$ , and twisted intertwining operators  $\mathcal{Y}_3$ ,  $\mathcal{Y}_4$  of type  $\binom{W_4}{M_2W_3}$ ,  $\binom{M_2}{W_1W_2}$ , such that

$$\langle w_4', \mathcal{Y}_1(w_1, z_1)\mathcal{Y}_2(w_2, z_2)w_3 \rangle = \langle w_4', \mathcal{Y}_3(\mathcal{Y}_4(w_1, z_1 - z_2)w_2, z_2)w_3 \rangle,$$
 (2.4)  
holds for any  $w_1 \in W_1, w_2 \in W_2, w_3 \in W_3, w_4' \in W_4'.$ 

Note that for the associtivity of untwisted intertwining operator, the restriction (2.3) is not needed. However, due to the multivalueness nature of orbifold theory, (2.3) is needed. When (2.3) does not hold, one still can find some twisted intertwining operators  $\mathcal{Y}_3$ ,  $\mathcal{Y}_4$  such that (2.4) holds. But their types could be  $\binom{\phi_{h_4}(W_4)}{M_2 \phi_{h_3}(W_3)}$ ,  $\binom{M_2}{\phi_{h_1}(W_1) \phi_{h_2}(W_2)}$ , for some  $h_i \in G$ , i = 1, 2, 3, 4.

Theorem (2.3) directly lead to the associativity isomorphism in the vertex tensor category.

Corollary 2.4. Fix  $z_1, z_2 \in \mathbb{C}$  satisfying

$$0 < |z_1 - z_2| < |z_2| < |z_1|,$$
  
$$|\arg(z_1) - \arg(z_2)| < \frac{\pi}{2}, \ |\arg(z_1 - z_2) - \arg(z_1)| < \frac{\pi}{2}.$$

Suppose  $\mathbb{C}$  is a category satisfying the conditions mentioned in Theorem 2.3. For any  $g_1, g_2, g_3 \in G$ , and  $g_1$ -,  $g_2$ -,  $g_3$ -twisted modules  $W_1$ ,  $W_2$ ,  $W_3$  in  $\mathcal{C}$ , we have the isomorphism

$$W_1 \boxtimes_{P(z_1)} (W_2 \boxtimes_{P(z_2)} W_3) \longrightarrow (W_1 \boxtimes_{P(z_1 - z_2)} W_2) \boxtimes_{P(z_2)} W_3$$
 (2.5)

Moreover, it is functorial in all three positions.

Together with the parallel transport isomorphism introduced in [HLZ7], we have the associativity isomorphism in the G-crossed bairded tensor category.

**Corollary 2.5.** Suppose  $\mathbb{C}$  is a category satisfying the conditions mentioned in Theorem 2.3. For any  $g_1, g_2, g_3 \in G$ , and  $g_1$ -,  $g_2$ -,  $g_3$ -twisted modules  $W_1$ ,  $W_2$ ,  $W_3$  in  $\mathcal{C}$ , we have the isomorphism

$$W_1 \boxtimes_{P(1)} (W_2 \boxtimes_{P(1)} W_3) \longrightarrow (W_1 \boxtimes_{P(1)} W_2) \boxtimes_{P(1)} W_3$$

$$(2.6)$$

Moreover, it is functorial in all three positions.

## 3 Future Research Plan

#### • 3.1 Finish the construction of G-crossed vertex/braided tensor category

To be a G-crossed vertex/braided tensor category, not only the ingredients - associativity isomorphism, G-action & grading, G-crossed braiding isomorphism, etc - are needed, some compatibility axioms including pentagon/hexagon/triangle axioms also need to be satisfied. After Corollary 2.4 and 2.5 have been proved, we have all the ingredients. The next step is to prove these compatibility axioms.

We plan to complete this work in the near future as a joint project with my advisor Yi-Zhi Huang, and Daniel Tan.

#### • 3.2 A generalized Jacobi identity for twisted intertwining operator

As mentioned in Section 2.1, unlike the untwisted case, when studying correlation function induced by  $\langle w_3', Y(v, z_1)\mathcal{Y}(w_1, z_2)w_2\rangle$ , because of the multivalueness of  $Y(v, z_1)$ , one cannot get a **single valued** meromorphic 1-form on  $\hat{\mathbb{C}}$ . This is the geometric obstruction for getting a Jacobi identity using Cauchy theorem. However, one can have a branched covering space E of  $\hat{\mathbb{C}}$ , such that the multivalued function (1-form) on  $\hat{\mathbb{C}}$  can be lifted to a single valued 1-form on E.

$$\begin{array}{c} E \\ \downarrow \text{ single valued lifting} \\ \\ \hat{\mathbb{C}} \xrightarrow{\text{multivalued}} \mathbb{C} \end{array}$$

As a generalization of Cauchy theorem, in a compact Riemann surface, one has the following theorem.

**Theorem 3.1** (Globle Residue Theorem). Let M be a compact Riemann surface, and  $S \subset M$  be a finite set of points in M. Let  $\omega$  be a holomorphic 1-form on M-S. Then, we have

$$\sum_{p \in S} \operatorname{Res}_p(\omega) = 0. \tag{3.7}$$

Suppose  $\mathcal{Y}$  is of type  $\binom{W_3}{W_1W_2}$ , where  $W_1$ ,  $W_2$ ,  $W_3$ , are  $g_1$ -,  $g_2$ -,  $g_1g_2$ -twisted modules. If  $\langle g_1, g_2 \rangle \leq \operatorname{Aut}(V)$  is a finite group, to study  $\langle w_3', Y(v, z_1)\mathcal{Y}(w_1, z_2)w_2 \rangle$ , the branched covering space E can be taken to be a compact Riemann surface. This means that we can get a single valued correlation function on a compact Riemann surface to use Theorem 3.1. In this way, one can get a "generalized" Jacobi identity for twisted intertwining operator for finite automorphism group  $\langle g_1, g_2 \rangle$ .

However, although this idea looks clear and feasible, some difficulties seem to occur when  $\langle g_1, g_2 \rangle$  is nonabelian. Details still need to be written down to examine the feasibility.

The importance of this work is that many results in untwisted VOA representation theory are proved using algebraic approach. The proof of these results under our complex analytic setting is yet to be found. Once this work is done, it will be helpful for us to prove more result in the complex analytic setting.

For the one most related to my program among these results, see Section 3.3.

#### • 3.3 Proof of the convergence assumption

The product/iterate of untwisted intertwining operators among  $C_1$ -cofinite modules are absolutely convergent and regular singular, which was proved by Huang in [H3] using algebraic approach and regular singular differential equation theory. This is essentially the assumption mentioned in Theorem 2.3.

The original proof by Huang heavily relies on the Jacobi identity, which means it is impossible to directly generalize to our twisted case. Once the work mentioned in Section 3.2 is done, the convergence assumption will be hopeful to be proved.

Remark 3.2. If it goes well, this work will be done by my colleague and friend, Daniel Tan. If it turns out to be much more difficult than expected, I will be interested in doing this.

For finite abelian group of automorphisms, Tan already had this result due to the fact that a Jacobi identity can be derived in this case. The more difficult and also more meaningful case is finite (not necessarily abelian) group case.

### • 3.4 Explore explicit examples of orbiford theory

Applying this whole theory to explicit examples will be very meaningful. In [H11], Huang came up with a construction theorem of twisted modules, used this theorem to construct a Verma-type twisted module  $\widehat{M}_B^{[g]}$  (By "Verma-type", we means that it satisfies some universal property similar to classical Verma module). Moreover, under some suitable condition, this module has a unique maximal submodule and an irreducible quotient (See [H12]). These theorems make it possible to study twisted intertwining operators among this twisted modules.

The first explicit example worth trying would be affine VOA  $V_{\hat{\mathfrak{g}}}(l,0)$ . In [H13], Huang explicit write down these Verma-type twisted modules for affine vertex (operator) algebras. Moreover, Huang proved that these modules are equivalent to suitable induced modules of the corresponding twisted affine Lie algebra or quotients of such induced modules by explicitly given submodules. Therefore, we have many useful tools to start explicitly studying the orbifold theory of affine VOA, which is hopeful to be inspiring to the study of general theory.

Also, we need to mainly explore non-abelian orbifold theories, since our theory works for modules twisted by a non-abelian group. Gemünden and Keller studied some orbifolds of holomorphic lattice vertex operator algebras for non-Abelian finite automorphism groups G in [GK]. Their work on these examples offers a place where we can apply our theory.

### • 3.5 Uniqueness conjecture of the moonshine module $V^{\natural}$

Once Conjecture 1.2 is proved, it offers a strategy to study the uniqueness conjecture of the moonshine module VOA, which is a famous conjecture having a history of over 40 years, and also is the last piece of the classification program of holomorphic VOAs with central charge 24. This conjecture is the following.

Conjecture 3.3 (Uniqueness conjecture of  $V^{\natural}$ ). Let V be a VOA satisfying the following three conditions:

- 1. V is the only irreducible module for itself.
- 2.  $V_1 = 0$ .
- 3. The central charge of V is 24.

Then  $V \cong V^{\natural}$  (as VOAs).

As a weaker version of this conjecture, we have

**Conjecture 3.4.** Let V be a VOA satisfying the following conditions:

- 1. V satisfies conditions 1,2,3 in Conjecture 3.3.
- 2. V satisfies conditions 1,2,3 in Theorem 1.1 (and also Conjecture (1.2)).

Then  $V \cong V^{\natural}$  (as VOAs).

The analogy between even lattices and (lattice) VOAs came up in one of the earliest literature [FLM3] in VOA theory, which was generalized to the analogy between (positive definite) lattices and completely-extendable conformal intertwining algebras (intertwining operator algebra) by Huang in [H15]. Under the philosophy of this analogy, Conway's proof of the uniqueness theorem of Leech lattice  $\Lambda$  is a natural place to find inspiration. Conway's proof was based on the essential fact that we have a natural embedding  $\Lambda \hookrightarrow \mathbb{R}^{24}$  (actually one has  $\Lambda \hookrightarrow \mathbb{Q}^{24}$ ) from the Lattice to Euclidean space. Therefore, one can work within the Euclidean space rather than just the lattice. The space  $\mathbb{R}^{24}$ , as the ambient space of  $\Lambda$ , played a significant role in Conway's proof. To vaguely follow the same strategy as Conway, the first step is to find the ambient structure of V which plays a similar role as the ambient space  $\mathbb{R}^{24}$  in Conway's proof. Then, we should explore whether we can do some similar things as Conway did.

However, what makes the uniqueness conjecture difficult to handle is its nature of "No (easy) ambient structure".

First, the philosophy "adding modules to enlarge the algebra" is invalid now - every module is just direct sum of copies of V itself. If we regards the vertex tensor category V-Mod (or one can say the corresponding intertwining operator algebra) as an ambient structure of V, this ambient structure is as small as V itself.

Second, suppose that V is a VOA such that  $V_n = 0$  if n < 0, and  $V_0 = \mathbb{C}\mathbf{1}$  (condition 1. in Conjecture 1.2). If  $V_1 \neq 0$ , then  $V_1$  with  $[a,b] := a_0 b$  forms a Lie algebra  $\mathfrak{g}$ , and the

equation  $a_1b = \langle a, b \rangle_V \mathbf{1}$  defines a invariant bilinear form, from which the affine Lie algebra  $\hat{\mathfrak{g}}$  is induced. Moreover, there is a homomorphism

$$V_{\hat{\mathfrak{g}}}(\ell,0) \to V$$

where  $V_{\hat{\mathfrak{g}}}(\ell,0)$  is the affine VOA induced by  $\mathfrak{g}$  with level  $\ell$ , and  $\ell$  is some particular number determined by V. Therefore, we know that V is a  $V_{\hat{\mathfrak{g}}}(\ell,0)$ -module, which means the well-studied representation category,  $V_{\hat{\mathfrak{g}}}(\ell,0)$ -Mod (or the corresponding intertwining operator algebra), can be regarded as an ambient structure of V. We can use affine VOA (and its representation theory) to study V. This has been a powerful tool in prove the uniqueness theorem of the other 70 VOAs in the classification conjecture of holomorphic VOAs with central charge 24. (The classification conjecture says that there are 71 such VOAs. All other 70, except for  $V^{\natural}$ , have been proved to satisfy some uniqueness theorem.) It is useful because for other 70 VOAs, their weight 1 space is nonzero, i.e.  $V_1 \neq 0$ . However, in Conjecture 3.3 and 3.4, we have  $V_1 = 0$ , which makes this strategy invalid.

To overcome the difficulty mentioned above, our strategy is the following. Roughly speaking, we want to use the category of twisted modules of V as the ambient structure. Although the category V-Mod is trivial now, the category of q-twisted modules for some  $q \in$  $G \leq \operatorname{Aut}(V)$  should be a nontrivial G-crossed vertex tensor category. Since the moonshine module  $V^{\natural} = V_{\Lambda}^+ \oplus (V_{\Lambda}^T)^+$  is constructed using Leech lattice VOA  $V_{\Lambda}$  and its twisted module  $V_{\Lambda}^{T}$ , if we consider the category of g-twisted  $V^{\natural}$ -modules for  $g \in \mathbb{M} = \operatorname{Aut}(V^{\natural})$ , it should contain  $V_{\Lambda}$ , by a particular procedure of orbifolding. Therefore, if we start with an abstract VOA V satisfying conditions in Conjecture 3.4, the first step is to try to recover  $V_{\Lambda}$  in the M-crossed vertex tensor category garenteed by Conjecture 1.2. This is hopeful to be done by using the uniqueness theorem of Leech lattice VOA (Any VOA satisfying conditions 1,2,3 in Theorem 1.1, conditions 1,3 in Conjecture 3.4, and also the condition that  $V_1$  forms an abelian Lie algebra of rank 24, is isomorphic to  $V_{\Lambda}$ ). In this way, it is possible to get, from the abstract VOA  $V_{\Lambda}$  an explicit VOA  $V_{\Lambda}$  using twisted V-modules. After realizing  $V_{\Lambda}$  using the twisted V-module category, V is possible to be realized using twisted  $V_{\Lambda}$ -modules by reversing the orbifolding procedure mentioned above. Then it is hopeful to use the wellunderstood orbifold theory of  $V_{\Lambda}$  to study the uniqueness conjecture 3.4.

## References

- [B] R.E. Borcherds, Vertex algebras, Kac-Moody algebras, and the Monster, *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA* 83 (10) (1986), 3068-3071.
- [CM] S. Carnahan, M. Miyamoto, Regularity of fixed-point vertex operator subalgebras, to appear; arXiv:1603.05645.
- [DHVW1] L. Dixon, J. Harvey, C. Vafa and E. Witten, Strings on orbifolds, *Nucl. Phys.* **B261** (1985), 678–686.

- [DHVW2] L. Dixon, J. Harvey, C. Vafa and E. Witten, Strings on orbifolds, II, Nucl. Phys. **B274** (1986), 285–314.
- [D] J. Du, Ph.D. thesis, Rutgers University, in preparation.
- [DH] J. Du, Y.-Z. Huang, Twisted intertwining operators and tensor products of (generalized) twisted modules. arXiv:2501.15003
- [EMS] J. van Ekeren, S. Möller, N. R. Scheithauer, Construction and classification of holomorphic vertex operator algebras. J. Reine Angew. Math. **759** (2020), 61–99.
- [FFR] A. Feingold, I. Frenkel, J. Ries, Spinor Construction of Vertex Operator Algebras, Triality, and  $E_8^{(1)}$ , Contemp. Math., vol. 121, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, 1991.
- [FLM1] I. Frenkel, J. Lepowsky and A. Meurman, A natural representation of the Fischer-Griess Monster with the modular function J as character, Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA 81 (1984), 3256–3260.
- [FLM2] I. Frenkel, J. Lepowsky and A. Meurman, Vertex operator calculus, in: Mathematical Aspects of String Theory, Proc. 1986 Conference, San Diego, ed. by S.-T. Yau, World Scientific, Singapore, 1987, 150–188.
- [FLM3] I. B. Frenkel, J. Lepowsky and A. Meurman, *Vertex Operator Algebras and the Monster*, Pure and Appl. Math., Vol. 134, Academic Press, Boston, 1988.
- [H1] Y.-Z. Huang, A theory of tensor products for module categories for a vertex operator algebra, IV, J. Pure Appl. Alg. 100 (1995) 173–216.
- [H2] Y.-Z. Huang, Generalized rationality and a Jacobi identity for intertwining operator algebras, *Selecta Math.* **6** (2000), 225–267.
- [H3] Y.-Z. Huang, Differential equations and intertwining operators, *Comm. Contemp. Math.* **7** (2005), 375–400.
- [H4] Y.-Z. Huang, Differential equations, duality and modular invariance, *Comm. Contemp. Math.* **7** (2005), 649–706.
- [H5] Y.-Z. Huang, Vertex operator algebras and the Verlinde conjecture, *Comm. Contemp. Math.* **10** (2008), 103–154.
- [H6] Y.-Z. Huang, Rigidity and modularity of vertex tensor categories, *Comm. Contemp. Math.* **10** (2008), 871–911.
- [H7] Y.-Z. Huang, Generalized twisted modules associated to general automorphisms of a vertex operator algebra, *Comm. Math. Phys.* **298** (2010), 265–292.
- [H8] Y.-Z. Huang, Intertwining operators among twisted modules associated to not-necessarily-commuting automorphisms, *J. Alg.* **493** (2018), 346–380.

- [H9] Y.-Z. Huang, Some open problems in mathematical two-dimensional conformal field theory, in: Proceedings of the Conference on Lie Algebras, Vertex Operator Algebras, and Related Topics, held at University of Notre Dame, Notre Dame, Indiana, August 14-18, 2015, ed. K. Barron, E. Jurisich, H. Li, A. Milas, K. C. Misra, Contemp. Math, Vol. 695, American Mathematical Society, Providence, RI, 2017, 123–138.
- [H10] Y.-Z. Huang, Twist vertex operators for twisted modules, J. Alg. **539** (2019), 53–83.
- [H11] Y.-Z. Huang, A construction of lower-bounded generalized twisted modules for a grading-restricted vertex (super)algebra, *Comm. Math. Phys.* **377** (2020), 909-945.
- [H12] Y.-Z. Huang, Generators, spanning sets and existence of twisted modules for a grading-restricted vertex (super)algebra, *Selecta Math.* **26** (2020), Paper no. 62.
- [H13] Y.-Z. Huang, Lower-bounded and grading-restricted geralized twisted modules for affine vertex (operator) algebras, *J. Pure Appl. Alg.* **225** (2021), Paper no. 106618.
- [H14] Y.-Z. Huang, Representation theory of vertex operator algebras and orbifold conformal field theory, in: *Lie groups, number theory, and vertex algebras*, ed. by D. Adamovic, A. Dujella, A. Milas and P. Pandzic, Contemp. Math., Vol. 768, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI, 2021, 221–252.
- [H15] Y.-Z. Huang, Conformal-field-theoretic analogues of codes and lattices, in: Kac-Moody Lie Algebras and Related Topics, Proc. Ramanujan International Symposium on Kac-Moody Lie algebras and applications, ed. N. Sthanumoorthy and K. C. Misra, Contemp. Math., Vol. 343, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, 2004, 131–145.
- [HL1] Y.-Z. Huang and J. Lepowsky, Tensor products of modules for a vertex operator algebras and vertex tensor categories, in: *Lie Theory and Geometry, in honor of Bertram Kostant*, ed. R. Brylinski, J.-L. Brylinski, V. Guillemin, V. Kac, Birkhäuser, Boston, 1994, 349–383.
- [HL2] Y.-Z. Huang and J. Lepowsky, A theory of tensor products for module categories for a vertex operator algebra, I, *Selecta Mathematica (New Series)* 1 (1995), 699–756.
- [HL3] Y.-Z. Huang and J. Lepowsky, A theory of tensor products for module categories for a vertex operator algebra, II, *Selecta Mathematica*, *New Series*, 1 (1995), 757–786.

- [HL4] Y.-Z. Huang and J. Lepowsky, A theory of tensor products for module categories for a vertex operator algebra, III, J. Pure. Appl. Alq., 100 (1995), 141–171.
- [HLZ1] Y.-Z. Huang, J, Lepowsky and L. Zhang, Logarithmic tensor category theory, II: Logarithmic formal calculus and properties of logarithmic intertwining operators, arXiv:1012.4196.
- [HLZ2] Y.-Z. Huang, J, Lepowsky and L. Zhang, Logarithmic tensor category theory for generalized modules for a conformal vertex algebra, III: Intertwining maps and tensor product bifunctors, arXiv: 1012.4197.
- [HLZ3] Y.-Z. Huang, J, Lepowsky and L. Zhang, Logarithmic tensor category theory for generalized modules for a conformal vertex algebra, IV: Constructions of tensor product bifunctors and the compatibility conditions, arXiv:1012.4198.
- [HLZ4] Y.-Z. Huang, J, Lepowsky and L. Zhang, Logarithmic tensor category theory for generalized modules for a conformal vertex algebra, V: Convergence condition for intertwining maps and the corresponding compatibility condition, arXiv:1012.4199.
- [HLZ5] Y.-Z. Huang, J, Lepowsky and L. Zhang, Logarithmic tensor category theory for generalized modules for a conformal vertex algebra, VI: Expansion condition, associativity of logarithmic intertwining operators, and the associativity isomorphisms, arXiv:1012.4202.
- [HLZ6] Y.-Z. Huang, J, Lepowsky and L. Zhang, Logarithmic tensor category theory, VII: Convergence and extension properties and applications to expansion for intertwining maps, arXiv:1110.1929.
- [HLZ7] Y.-Z. Huang, J, Lepowsky and L. Zhang, Logarithmic tensor category theory, VIII: Braided tensor category structure on categories of generalized modules for a conformal vertex algebra, arXiv:1110.1931.
- [GK] T. Gemünden, C. Keller, Non-Abelian Orbifolds of Lattice Vertex Operator Algebras, J. Algebra **585** (2021), 656–696.
- [K1] A. Kirillov, Jr., Modular categories and orbifold models, *Comm. Math. Phys.* **229** (2002), 183–227.
- [K2] A. Kirillov, Jr., On modular categories and orbifold models II; arXiv:math/0110221.
- [K3] A. Kirillov, Jr, On G-equivariant modular categories; arXiv:math/0401119.
- [Mc] R. McRae, Twisted modules and G-equivariantization in logarithmic conformal field theory, Comm. in Math. Phys. 383 (2021), 1939–2019.

- [Mö] S. Möller. A cyclic orbifold theory for holomorphic vertex operator algebras and applications, Ph.D. thesis, Technische Universität Darmstadt, 2016; arXiv:1704.00478.
- [MS] G. Moore and N. Seiberg, Classical and quantum conformal field theory, *Comm. Math. Phys.* **123** (1989), 177–254.
- [Mü1] M. Müger, Galois extensions of braided tensor categories and braided crossed G-categories, J. Algebra 277 (2004), 256–281.
- [Mü2] M. Müger, Conformal orbifold theories and braided crossed G-categories, Comm. Math. Phys. **260** (2005), 727–762.
- [RT] N. Reshetikhin and V. Turaev, Invariants of 3-manifolds via link polynomials and quantum groups, *Invent. Math.* **103** (1991), 547–598.
- [Ta] D. Tan, Differential equations for intertwining operators among untwisted and twisted modules, arXiv:2510.14860.
- [Tu1] V. Turaev, Modular categories and 3-manifold invariants, Int. J. Mod. Phys. B6 (1992), 1807–1824.
- [Tu2] V. Turaev, Homotopy field theory in dimension 3 and crossed group-categories, arxiv:math.GT/0005291.
- [X] X. Xu, Intertwining operators for twisted modules for a colored vertex operator superalgebra, J. Algebra 175 (1995), 241–273.