

Bernoulli Symbol and Multiple Zeta Function at Non-negative Integers

Lin Jiu



第一届多重 zeta 值及相关领域国际研讨会

August 8th, 2022

Acknowledgment



Victor H. Moll



Diane Yahui Shi,
Tianjin University



Dr. Christophe Vignat



Tanay Wakhare

Bernoulli Polynomials, Numbers

Definition

Bernoulli numbers B_n and Bernoulli polynomials $B_n(x)$ are defined by their generating functions

$$\frac{t}{e^t - 1} = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} B_n \frac{t^n}{n!} \quad \text{and} \quad \frac{te^{xt}}{e^t - 1} = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} B_n(x) \frac{t^n}{n!}$$

Bernoulli Polynomials, Numbers

Definition

Bernoulli numbers B_n and Bernoulli polynomials $B_n(x)$ are defined by their generating functions

$$\frac{t}{e^t - 1} = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} B_n \frac{t^n}{n!} \quad \text{and} \quad \frac{te^{xt}}{e^t - 1} = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} B_n(x) \frac{t^n}{n!}$$

Zeta Values

For $n \in \mathbb{N}$,

$$\zeta(2n) = (-1)^{n+1} \frac{(2\pi)^{2n}}{2(2n)!} B_{2n}$$

Bernoulli Polynomials, Numbers

Definition

Bernoulli numbers B_n and Bernoulli polynomials $B_n(x)$ are defined by their generating functions

$$\frac{t}{e^t - 1} = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} B_n \frac{t^n}{n!} \quad \text{and} \quad \frac{te^{xt}}{e^t - 1} = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} B_n(x) \frac{t^n}{n!}$$

Zeta Values

For $n \in \mathbb{N}$,

$$\zeta(2n) = (-1)^{n+1} \frac{(2\pi)^{2n}}{2(2n)!} B_{2n} \quad \zeta(-n) = -\frac{B_{n+1}}{n+1}$$

Bernoulli Polynomials, Numbers

Definition

Bernoulli numbers B_n and Bernoulli polynomials $B_n(x)$ are defined by their generating functions

$$\frac{t}{e^t - 1} = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} B_n \frac{t^n}{n!} \quad \text{and} \quad \frac{te^{xt}}{e^t - 1} = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} B_n(x) \frac{t^n}{n!}$$

Zeta Values

For $n \in \mathbb{N}$,

$$\zeta(2n) = (-1)^{n+1} \frac{(2\pi)^{2n}}{2(2n)!} B_{2n} \quad \zeta(-n) = -\frac{B_{n+1}}{n+1} \quad \zeta(0) = -\frac{1}{2}.$$

Bernoulli Polynomials, Numbers

Definition

Bernoulli numbers B_n and Bernoulli polynomials $B_n(x)$ are defined by their generating functions

$$\frac{t}{e^t - 1} = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} B_n \frac{t^n}{n!} \quad \text{and} \quad \frac{te^{xt}}{e^t - 1} = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} B_n(x) \frac{t^n}{n!}$$

Zeta Values

For $n \in \mathbb{N}$,

$$\zeta(2n) = (-1)^{n+1} \frac{(2\pi)^{2n}}{2(2n)!} B_{2n} \quad \zeta(-n) = -\frac{B_{n+1}}{n+1} \quad \zeta(0) = -\frac{1}{2}.$$

$$B_1 = -\frac{1}{2} \quad \text{and} \quad B_{2n+1} = 0$$

Bernoulli Polynomials, Numbers

Definition

Bernoulli numbers B_n and Bernoulli polynomials $B_n(x)$ are defined by their generating functions

$$\frac{t}{e^t - 1} = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} B_n \frac{t^n}{n!} \quad \text{and} \quad \frac{te^{xt}}{e^t - 1} = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} B_n(x) \frac{t^n}{n!}$$

Zeta Values

For $n \in \mathbb{N}$,

$$\zeta(2n) = (-1)^{n+1} \frac{(2\pi)^{2n}}{2(2n)!} B_{2n} \quad \zeta(-n) = -\frac{B_{n+1}}{n+1} \quad \zeta(0) = -\frac{1}{2}.$$

$$B_1 = -\frac{1}{2} \quad \text{and} \quad B_{2n+1} = 0 \Rightarrow \quad \zeta(-m) = -\frac{(-1)^m B_{m+1}}{m+1}, m = 0, 1, 2, \dots$$

Bernoulli Symbol

Definition

Bernoulli symbol \mathcal{B} is defined by the evaluation rule $\mathcal{B}^n = B_n$.

Bernoulli Symbol

Definition

Bernoulli symbol \mathcal{B} is defined by the evaluation rule $\mathcal{B}^n = B_n$.

Umbral Calculus

Bernoulli Symbol

Definition

Bernoulli symbol \mathcal{B} is defined by the evaluation rule $\mathcal{B}^n = B_n$.

Umbral Calculus

$$B_n(x) = \sum_{k=0}^n \binom{n}{k} B_k x^{n-k}$$

Bernoulli Symbol

Definition

Bernoulli symbol \mathcal{B} is defined by the evaluation rule $\mathcal{B}^n = B_n$.

Umbral Calculus

$$B_n(x) = \sum_{k=0}^n \binom{n}{k} B_k x^{n-k} = \sum_{k=0}^n \binom{n}{k} \mathcal{B}^k x^{n-k} = (\mathcal{B} + x)^n.$$

Bernoulli Symbol

Definition

Bernoulli symbol \mathcal{B} is defined by the evaluation rule $\mathcal{B}^n = B_n$.

Umbral Calculus

$$B_n(x) = \sum_{k=0}^n \binom{n}{k} B_k x^{n-k} = \sum_{k=0}^n \binom{n}{k} \mathcal{B}^k x^{n-k} = (\mathcal{B} + x)^n.$$

Theorem (A. Dixit, V. H. Moll, and C. Vignat)

$\mathcal{B} \sim iL_B - 1/2$, where $i^2 = -1$ and $L_B \sim \pi \operatorname{sech}^2(\pi t)/2$

Bernoulli Symbol

Definition

Bernoulli symbol \mathcal{B} is defined by the evaluation rule $\mathcal{B}^n = B_n$.

Umbral Calculus

$$B_n(x) = \sum_{k=0}^n \binom{n}{k} B_k x^{n-k} = \sum_{k=0}^n \binom{n}{k} \mathcal{B}^k x^{n-k} = (\mathcal{B} + x)^n.$$

Theorem (A. Dixit, V. H. Moll, and C. Vignat)

$\mathcal{B} \sim iL_B - 1/2$, where $i^2 = -1$ and $L_B \sim \pi \operatorname{sech}^2(\pi t)/2$

$$B_n = \mathcal{B}^n = \mathbb{E} [\mathcal{B}^n] = \frac{\pi}{2} \int_{\mathbb{R}} \left(\iota t - \frac{1}{2} \right)^n \operatorname{sech}^2(\pi t) dt.$$

$$B_n(x) = (\mathcal{B} + x)^n = \frac{\pi}{2} \int_{\mathbb{R}} \left(x + \iota t - \frac{1}{2} \right)^n \operatorname{sech}^2(\pi t) dt.$$

Bernoulli Polynomials of Higher-order

Definition

Bernoulli polynomial of order p is defined by

$$\left(\frac{t}{e^t - 1} \right)^p e^{tx} = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} B_n^{(p)}(x) \frac{t^n}{n!}$$

Bernoulli Polynomials of Higher-order

Definition

Bernoulli polynomial of order p is defined by

$$\left(\frac{t}{e^t - 1} \right)^p e^{tx} = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} B_n^{(p)}(x) \frac{t^n}{n!} \Leftrightarrow B_n^{(p)} = \left(\underbrace{B_1 + \cdots + B_p}_{\text{i. i. d.}} + x \right)^n$$

Bernoulli Polynomials of Higher-order

Definition

Bernoulli polynomial of order p is defined by

$$\left(\frac{t}{e^t - 1} \right)^p e^{tx} = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} B_n^{(p)}(x) \frac{t^n}{n!} \Leftrightarrow B_n^{(p)} = \left(\underbrace{B_1 + \cdots + B_p}_{\text{i. i. d.}} + x \right)^n$$

Theorem (Lucas, 1878)

$$B_n^{(p+1)} = B_n^{(p)}(0)$$

Bernoulli Polynomials of Higher-order

Definition

Bernoulli polynomial of order p is defined by

$$\left(\frac{t}{e^t - 1} \right)^p e^{tx} = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} B_n^{(p)}(x) \frac{t^n}{n!} \Leftrightarrow B_n^{(p)} = \left(\underbrace{B_1 + \cdots + B_p}_{\text{i. i. d.}} + x \right)^n$$

Theorem (Lucas, 1878)

$$B_n^{(p+1)} = B_n^{(p)}(0) = \left(1 - \frac{n}{p}\right) B_n^{(p)} - n B_{n-1}^{(p)} = (-1)^p p \binom{n}{p} \beta^{n-p} (\beta)_p,$$

Bernoulli Polynomials of Higher-order

Definition

Bernoulli polynomial of order p is defined by

$$\left(\frac{t}{e^t - 1} \right)^p e^{tx} = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} B_n^{(p)}(x) \frac{t^n}{n!} \Leftrightarrow B_n^{(p)} = \left(\underbrace{B_1 + \cdots + B_p}_{\text{i. i. d.}} + x \right)^n$$

Theorem (Lucas, 1878)

$$B_n^{(p+1)} = B_n^{(p)}(0) = \left(1 - \frac{n}{p}\right) B_n^{(p)} - n B_{n-1}^{(p)} = (-1)^p p \binom{n}{p} \beta^{n-p} (\beta)_p,$$

where $(\beta)_p = \beta(\beta+1)\cdots(\beta+p-1)$ is the Pochhammer symbol and

Bernoulli Polynomials of Higher-order

Definition

Bernoulli polynomial of order p is defined by

$$\left(\frac{t}{e^t - 1} \right)^p e^{tx} = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} B_n^{(p)}(x) \frac{t^n}{n!} \Leftrightarrow B_n^{(p)} = \left(\underbrace{B_1 + \cdots + B_p}_{\text{i. i. d.}} + x \right)^n$$

Theorem (Lucas, 1878)

$$B_n^{(p+1)} = B_n^{(p)}(0) = \left(1 - \frac{n}{p}\right) B_n^{(p)} - n B_{n-1}^{(p)} = (-1)^p p \binom{n}{p} \beta^{n-p} (\beta)_p,$$

where $(\beta)_p = \beta(\beta+1)\cdots(\beta+p-1)$ is the Pochhammer symbol and

$$\beta^n = \frac{B_n}{n}.$$

MZV and Analytic Continuation

Theorem (B. Sadaoui, 2014)

Based on Raabe's identity, and by linking

$$Y_a(n) = \int_{[1,\infty)^r} \frac{dx}{(x_1 + a_1) \cdots (x_1 + a_1 + \cdots + x_r + a_r)^{nr}}$$
$$Z(n, z) = \sum_{k_1, \dots, k_r > 0} \frac{1}{(k_1 + z_1)^{n_1} \cdots (k_1 + z_1 + \cdots + k_r + z_r)^{nr}}$$

by

$$Y_0(n) = \int_{[0,1]^r} Z(n, z) dz,$$

for positive integers n_1, \dots, n_r ,

$$\zeta_r(-n_1, \dots, -n_r) = (-1)^r \sum_{k_2, \dots, k_r} \frac{1}{\bar{n} + r - \bar{k}} \prod_{j=2}^r \frac{\binom{\sum_{i=j}^r n_i - \sum_{i=j+1}^n k_i + r - j + 1}{k_j}}{\sum_{i=j}^r n_i - \sum_{i=j}^n k_i + r - j + 1}$$
$$\times \sum_{l_1, \dots, l_r} \binom{\bar{n} + r - \bar{k}}{l_1} \binom{k_2}{l_2} \cdots \binom{k_r}{l_r} B_{l_1} \cdots B_{l_r},$$

$$\bar{n} = \sum_{j=1}^n n_j, \quad \bar{k} = \sum_{j=2}^r k_j, \quad k_2, \dots, k_r \geq 0, \quad l_j \leq k_j \text{ for } 2 \leq j \leq r \text{ and } l_1 \leq \bar{n} + r + \bar{k}.$$

MZV and the \mathcal{C} -symbol

Theorem (**LJ**, V. H. Moll, and C. Vignat)

$$\zeta_r(-n_1, \dots, -n_r) = \prod_{k=1}^r (-1)^{n_k} \mathcal{C}_{1, \dots, k}^{n_k + 1},$$

MZV and the \mathcal{C} -symbol

Theorem (LJ, V. H. Moll, and C. Vignat)

$$\zeta_r(-n_1, \dots, -n_r) = \prod_{k=1}^r (-1)^{n_k} \mathcal{C}_{1, \dots, k}^{n_k + 1},$$

where

$$\mathcal{C}_1^n = \frac{\mathcal{B}_1^n}{n}, \mathcal{C}_{1,2}^n = \frac{(\mathcal{C}_1 + \mathcal{B}_2)^n}{n}, \dots, \mathcal{C}_{1, \dots, k+1}^n = \frac{(\mathcal{C}_{1, \dots, k} + \mathcal{B}_{k+1})^n}{n}$$

MZV and the \mathcal{C} -symbol

Theorem (LJ, V. H. Moll, and C. Vignat)

$$\zeta_r(-n_1, \dots, -n_r) = \prod_{k=1}^r (-1)^{n_k} \mathcal{C}_{1, \dots, k}^{n_k + 1},$$

where

$$\mathcal{C}_1^n = \frac{\mathcal{B}_1^n}{n}, \mathcal{C}_{1,2}^n = \frac{(\mathcal{C}_1 + \mathcal{B}_2)^n}{n}, \dots, \mathcal{C}_{1, \dots, k+1}^n = \frac{(\mathcal{C}_{1, \dots, k} + \mathcal{B}_{k+1})^n}{n}$$

$$\zeta_2(-n, 0)$$

MZV and the \mathcal{C} -symbol

Theorem (LJ, V. H. Moll, and C. Vignat)

$$\zeta_r(-n_1, \dots, -n_r) = \prod_{k=1}^r (-1)^{n_k} \mathcal{C}_{1, \dots, k}^{n_k+1},$$

where

$$\mathcal{C}_1^n = \frac{\mathcal{B}_1^n}{n}, \mathcal{C}_{1,2}^n = \frac{(\mathcal{C}_1 + \mathcal{B}_2)^n}{n}, \dots, \mathcal{C}_{1, \dots, k+1}^n = \frac{(\mathcal{C}_{1, \dots, k} + \mathcal{B}_{k+1})^n}{n}$$

$$\zeta_2(-n, 0) = (-1)^n \mathcal{C}_1^{n+1} \cdot (-1)^0 \mathcal{C}_{1,2}^{0+1}$$

MZV and the \mathcal{C} -symbol

Theorem (LJ, V. H. Moll, and C. Vignat)

$$\zeta_r(-n_1, \dots, -n_r) = \prod_{k=1}^r (-1)^{n_k} \mathcal{C}_{1, \dots, k}^{n_k+1},$$

where

$$\mathcal{C}_1^n = \frac{\mathcal{B}_1^n}{n}, \mathcal{C}_{1,2}^n = \frac{(\mathcal{C}_1 + \mathcal{B}_2)^n}{n}, \dots, \mathcal{C}_{1, \dots, k+1}^n = \frac{(\mathcal{C}_{1, \dots, k} + \mathcal{B}_{k+1})^n}{n}$$

$$\zeta_2(-n, 0) = (-1)^n \mathcal{C}_1^{n+1} \cdot (-1)^0 \mathcal{C}_{1,2}^{0+1} = (-1)^n \frac{\mathcal{C}_1 + \mathcal{B}_2}{1} \cdot \mathcal{C}_1^{n+1}$$

MZV and the \mathcal{C} -symbol

Theorem (LJ, V. H. Moll, and C. Vignat)

$$\zeta_r(-n_1, \dots, -n_r) = \prod_{k=1}^r (-1)^{n_k} \mathcal{C}_{1, \dots, k}^{n_k+1},$$

where

$$\mathcal{C}_1^n = \frac{\mathcal{B}_1^n}{n}, \mathcal{C}_{1,2}^n = \frac{(\mathcal{C}_1 + \mathcal{B}_2)^n}{n}, \dots, \mathcal{C}_{1, \dots, k+1}^n = \frac{(\mathcal{C}_{1, \dots, k} + \mathcal{B}_{k+1})^n}{n}$$

$$\begin{aligned}\zeta_2(-n, 0) &= (-1)^n \mathcal{C}_1^{n+1} \cdot (-1)^0 \mathcal{C}_{1,2}^{0+1} = (-1)^n \frac{\mathcal{C}_1 + \mathcal{B}_2}{1} \cdot \mathcal{C}_1^{n+1} \\ &= (-1)^n (\mathcal{C}_1^{n+2} + \mathcal{B}_2 \mathcal{C}_1^{n+1})\end{aligned}$$

MZV and the \mathcal{C} -symbol

Theorem (LJ, V. H. Moll, and C. Vignat)

$$\zeta_r(-n_1, \dots, -n_r) = \prod_{k=1}^r (-1)^{n_k} \mathcal{C}_{1, \dots, k}^{n_k+1},$$

where

$$\mathcal{C}_1^n = \frac{\mathcal{B}_1^n}{n}, \mathcal{C}_{1,2}^n = \frac{(\mathcal{C}_1 + \mathcal{B}_2)^n}{n}, \dots, \mathcal{C}_{1, \dots, k+1}^n = \frac{(\mathcal{C}_{1, \dots, k} + \mathcal{B}_{k+1})^n}{n}$$

$$\begin{aligned}\zeta_2(-n, 0) &= (-1)^n \mathcal{C}_1^{n+1} \cdot (-1)^0 \mathcal{C}_{1,2}^{0+1} = (-1)^n \frac{\mathcal{C}_1 + \mathcal{B}_2}{1} \cdot \mathcal{C}_1^{n+1} \\ &= (-1)^n (\mathcal{C}_1^{n+2} + \mathcal{B}_2 \mathcal{C}_1^{n+1}) = (-1)^n \left[\frac{\mathcal{B}_{n+2}}{n+2} - \frac{1}{2} \frac{\mathcal{B}_{n+1}}{n+1} \right].\end{aligned}$$

MZV and the \mathcal{C} -symbol

Theorem (LJ, V. H. Moll, and C. Vignat)

$$\zeta_r(-n_1, \dots, -n_r) = \prod_{k=1}^r (-1)^{n_k} \mathcal{C}_{1, \dots, k}^{n_k+1},$$

where

$$\mathcal{C}_1^n = \frac{\mathcal{B}_1^n}{n}, \mathcal{C}_{1,2}^n = \frac{(\mathcal{C}_1 + \mathcal{B}_2)^n}{n}, \dots, \mathcal{C}_{1, \dots, k+1}^n = \frac{(\mathcal{C}_{1, \dots, k} + \mathcal{B}_{k+1})^n}{n}$$

$$\begin{aligned}\zeta_2(-n, 0) &= (-1)^n \mathcal{C}_1^{n+1} \cdot (-1)^0 \mathcal{C}_{1,2}^{0+1} = (-1)^n \frac{\mathcal{C}_1 + \mathcal{B}_2}{1} \cdot \mathcal{C}_1^{n+1} \\ &= (-1)^n (\mathcal{C}_1^{n+2} + \mathcal{B}_2 \mathcal{C}_1^{n+1}) = (-1)^n \left[\frac{\mathcal{B}_{n+2}}{n+2} - \frac{1}{2} \frac{\mathcal{B}_{n+1}}{n+1} \right].\end{aligned}$$

$$\zeta_1(-n)$$

MZV and the \mathcal{C} -symbol

Theorem (LJ, V. H. Moll, and C. Vignat)

$$\zeta_r(-n_1, \dots, -n_r) = \prod_{k=1}^r (-1)^{n_k} \mathcal{C}_{1, \dots, k}^{n_k+1},$$

where

$$\mathcal{C}_1^n = \frac{\mathcal{B}_1^n}{n}, \mathcal{C}_{1,2}^n = \frac{(\mathcal{C}_1 + \mathcal{B}_2)^n}{n}, \dots, \mathcal{C}_{1, \dots, k+1}^n = \frac{(\mathcal{C}_{1, \dots, k} + \mathcal{B}_{k+1})^n}{n}$$

$$\begin{aligned}\zeta_2(-n, 0) &= (-1)^n \mathcal{C}_1^{n+1} \cdot (-1)^0 \mathcal{C}_{1,2}^{0+1} = (-1)^n \frac{\mathcal{C}_1 + \mathcal{B}_2}{1} \cdot \mathcal{C}_1^{n+1} \\ &= (-1)^n (\mathcal{C}_1^{n+2} + \mathcal{B}_2 \mathcal{C}_1^{n+1}) = (-1)^n \left[\frac{\mathcal{B}_{n+2}}{n+2} - \frac{1}{2} \frac{\mathcal{B}_{n+1}}{n+1} \right].\end{aligned}$$

$$\zeta_1(-n) = (-1)^n \mathcal{C}^{n+1}$$

MZV and the \mathcal{C} -symbol

Theorem (LJ, V. H. Moll, and C. Vignat)

$$\zeta_r(-n_1, \dots, -n_r) = \prod_{k=1}^r (-1)^{n_k} \mathcal{C}_{1, \dots, k}^{n_k+1},$$

where

$$\mathcal{C}_1^n = \frac{\mathcal{B}_1^n}{n}, \mathcal{C}_{1,2}^n = \frac{(\mathcal{C}_1 + \mathcal{B}_2)^n}{n}, \dots, \mathcal{C}_{1, \dots, k+1}^n = \frac{(\mathcal{C}_{1, \dots, k} + \mathcal{B}_{k+1})^n}{n}$$

$$\begin{aligned}\zeta_2(-n, 0) &= (-1)^n \mathcal{C}_1^{n+1} \cdot (-1)^0 \mathcal{C}_{1,2}^{0+1} = (-1)^n \frac{\mathcal{C}_1 + \mathcal{B}_2}{1} \cdot \mathcal{C}_1^{n+1} \\ &= (-1)^n (\mathcal{C}_1^{n+2} + \mathcal{B}_2 \mathcal{C}_1^{n+1}) = (-1)^n \left[\frac{\mathcal{B}_{n+2}}{n+2} - \frac{1}{2} \frac{\mathcal{B}_{n+1}}{n+1} \right].\end{aligned}$$

$$\zeta_1(-n) = (-1)^n \mathcal{C}^{n+1} = (-1)^n \frac{\mathcal{B}^{n+1}}{n+1} = (-1)^n \frac{\mathcal{B}_{n+1}}{n+1}.$$

MZV and the \mathcal{C} -symbol

Theorem (LJ, V. H. Moll, and C. Vignat)

$$\zeta_r(-n_1, \dots, -n_r) = \prod_{k=1}^r (-1)^{n_k} \mathcal{C}_{1, \dots, k}^{n_k+1},$$

where

$$\mathcal{C}_1^n = \frac{\mathcal{B}_1^n}{n}, \mathcal{C}_{1,2}^n = \frac{(\mathcal{C}_1 + \mathcal{B}_2)^n}{n}, \dots, \mathcal{C}_{1, \dots, k+1}^n = \frac{(\mathcal{C}_{1, \dots, k} + \mathcal{B}_{k+1})^n}{n}$$

$$\begin{aligned}\zeta_2(-n, 0) &= (-1)^n \mathcal{C}_1^{n+1} \cdot (-1)^0 \mathcal{C}_{1,2}^{0+1} = (-1)^n \frac{\mathcal{C}_1 + \mathcal{B}_2}{1} \cdot \mathcal{C}_1^{n+1} \\ &= (-1)^n (\mathcal{C}_1^{n+2} + \mathcal{B}_2 \mathcal{C}_1^{n+1}) = (-1)^n \left[\frac{\mathcal{B}_{n+2}}{n+2} - \frac{1}{2} \frac{\mathcal{B}_{n+1}}{n+1} \right].\end{aligned}$$

$$\zeta_1(-n) = (-1)^n \mathcal{C}^{n+1} = (-1)^n \frac{\mathcal{B}^{n+1}}{n+1} = (-1)^n \frac{\mathcal{B}_{n+1}}{n+1}.$$

Quasi-shuffle Identity

Theorem (Quasi-shuffle)

for positive integers n_1 and n_2 ,

$$\zeta_2(n_1, n_2) + \zeta_2(n_2, n_1) + \zeta_1(n_1 + n_2) = \zeta_1(n_1)\zeta(n_2).$$

Quasi-shuffle Identity

Theorem (Quasi-shuffle)

for positive integers n_1 and n_2 ,

$$\zeta_2(n_1, n_2) + \zeta_2(n_2, n_1) + \zeta_1(n_1 + n_2) = \zeta_1(n_1)\zeta(n_2).$$

Theorem (LJ, V. H. Moll, and C. Vignat)

Sadaoui's analytic continuation used does not preserve the quasi-shuffle identity, but the correction term is simple.

Quasi-shuffle Identity

Theorem (Quasi-shuffle)

for positive integers n_1 and n_2 ,

$$\zeta_2(n_1, n_2) + \zeta_2(n_2, n_1) + \zeta_1(n_1 + n_2) = \zeta_1(n_1)\zeta(n_2).$$

Theorem (LJ, V. H. Moll, and C. Vignat)

Sadaoui's analytic continuation used does not preserve the quasi-shuffle identity, but the correction term is simple.

$$\begin{aligned} \zeta_2(-n_1, -n_2) + \zeta_2(-n_2, -n_1) + \zeta_1(-n_1 - n_2) - \zeta_1(-n_1)\zeta(-n_2) \\ = \frac{(-1)^{n_1+1} n_1! n_2!}{(n_1 + n_2 + 2)!} B_{n_1+n_2+2}. \end{aligned}$$

Quasi-shuffle Identity

Theorem (Quasi-shuffle)

for positive integers n_1 and n_2 ,

$$\zeta_2(n_1, n_2) + \zeta_2(n_2, n_1) + \zeta_1(n_1 + n_2) = \zeta_1(n_1)\zeta(n_2).$$

Theorem (LJ, V. H. Moll, and C. Vignat)

Sadaoui's analytic continuation used does not preserve the quasi-shuffle identity, but the correction term is simple.

$$\begin{aligned} \zeta_2(-n_1, -n_2) + \zeta_2(-n_2, -n_1) + \zeta_1(-n_1 - n_2) - \zeta_1(-n_1)\zeta(-n_2) \\ = \frac{(-1)^{n_1+1} n_1! n_2!}{(n_1 + n_2 + 2)!} B_{n_1+n_2+2}. \end{aligned}$$

When $n_1 + n_2$ is odd, the Bernoulli number $B_{n_1+n_2+2} = 0$, so that the quasi-shuffle identity holds as expected, since the depth-2 multiple zeta function is holomorphic at these points.

Quasi-shuffle Identity

Theorem (Quasi-shuffle)

for positive integers n_1 and n_2 ,

$$\zeta_2(n_1, n_2) + \zeta_2(n_2, n_1) + \zeta_1(n_1 + n_2) = \zeta_1(n_1)\zeta(n_2).$$

Theorem (LJ, V. H. Moll, and C. Vignat)

Sadaoui's analytic continuation used does not preserve the quasi-shuffle identity, but the correction term is simple.

$$\begin{aligned} \zeta_2(-n_1, -n_2) + \zeta_2(-n_2, -n_1) + \zeta_1(-n_1 - n_2) - \zeta_1(-n_1)\zeta(-n_2) \\ = \frac{(-1)^{n_1+1} n_1! n_2!}{(n_1 + n_2 + 2)!} B_{n_1+n_2+2}. \end{aligned}$$

When $n_1 + n_2$ is odd, the Bernoulli number $B_{n_1+n_2+2} = 0$, so that the quasi-shuffle identity holds as expected, since the depth-2 multiple zeta function is holomorphic at these points.

MZV: Another Analytic Continuation

MZV: Another Analytic Continuation

Theorem (S.Akiyama and Y.Tanigawa, 2002)

By using Euler-Maclaurin summation formula, for positive integers n_1, \dots, n_r ,

$$\begin{aligned}\zeta_r(-n_1, \dots, -n_r) &= -\frac{\zeta_{r-1}(-n_1, \dots, -n_{r-2}, -n_{r-1} - n_r - 1)}{1 + n_r} \\ &\quad - \frac{\zeta_{r-1}(-n_1, \dots, -n_{r-2}, -n_{r-1} - n_r)}{2} \\ &\quad + \sum_{q=1}^{n_r} (-n_r)_q a_q \zeta_{r-1}(-n_1, \dots, -n_{r-2}, -n_{r-1} - n_r + q),\end{aligned}$$

MZV: Another Analytic Continuation

Theorem (S.Akiyama and Y.Tanigawa, 2002)

By using Euler-Maclaurin summation formula, for positive integers n_1, \dots, n_r ,

$$\begin{aligned}\zeta_r(-n_1, \dots, -n_r) &= -\frac{\zeta_{r-1}(-n_1, \dots, -n_{r-2}, -n_{r-1} - n_r - 1)}{1 + n_r} \\ &\quad - \frac{\zeta_{r-1}(-n_1, \dots, -n_{r-2}, -n_{r-1} - n_r)}{2} \\ &\quad + \sum_{q=1}^{n_r} (-n_r)_q a_q \zeta_{r-1}(-n_1, \dots, -n_{r-2}, -n_{r-1} - n_r + q),\end{aligned}$$

where $a_q = B_{q+1}/(q+1)!$.

MZV: Another Analytic Continuation

Theorem (S.Akiyama and Y.Tanigawa, 2002)

By using Euler-Maclaurin summation formula, for positive integers n_1, \dots, n_r ,

$$\begin{aligned}\zeta_r(-n_1, \dots, -n_r) &= -\frac{\zeta_{r-1}(-n_1, \dots, -n_{r-2}, -n_{r-1} - n_r - 1)}{1 + n_r} \\ &\quad - \frac{\zeta_{r-1}(-n_1, \dots, -n_{r-2}, -n_{r-1} - n_r)}{2} \\ &\quad + \sum_{q=1}^{n_r} (-n_r)_q a_q \zeta_{r-1}(-n_1, \dots, -n_{r-2}, -n_{r-1} - n_r + q),\end{aligned}$$

where $a_q = B_{q+1}/(q+1)!$.

Remark

$$B_1 = -\frac{1}{2} \text{ and } (-n)_{-1} = -\frac{1}{n+1}$$

MZV: Another Analytic Continuation

Theorem (S.Akiyama and Y.Tanigawa, 2002)

By using Euler-Maclaurin summation formula, for positive integers n_1, \dots, n_r ,

$$\begin{aligned}\zeta_r(-n_1, \dots, -n_r) &= -\frac{\zeta_{r-1}(-n_1, \dots, -n_{r-2}, -n_{r-1} - n_r - 1)}{1 + n_r} \\ &\quad - \frac{\zeta_{r-1}(-n_1, \dots, -n_{r-2}, -n_{r-1} - n_r)}{2} \\ &\quad + \sum_{q=1}^{n_r} (-n_r)_q a_q \zeta_{r-1}(-n_1, \dots, -n_{r-2}, -n_{r-1} - n_r + q),\end{aligned}$$

where $a_q = B_{q+1}/(q+1)!$.

Remark

$$B_1 = -\frac{1}{2} \text{ and } (-n)_{-1} = -\frac{1}{n+1}$$

Theorem (LJ, V. H. Moll, and C. Vignat)

$$\zeta_r(-n_1, \dots, -n_r) = \prod_{k=1}^r (-1)^{n_k} \mathcal{C}_{1, \dots, k}^{n_k + 1}.$$

Harmonic Sums

Definition

$$S_{a_1, \dots, a_k}(N) = \sum_{N \geq n_1 \geq \dots \geq n_k \geq 1} \frac{\operatorname{sgn}(a_1)^{n_1}}{n_1^{|a_1|}} \times \dots \times \frac{\operatorname{sgn}(a_k)^{n_k}}{n_k^{|a_k|}}, \quad N \in \mathbb{N}$$

$$H_{a_1, \dots, a_k}(N) = \sum_{N > n_1 > \dots > n_k \geq 1} \frac{\operatorname{sgn}(a_1)^{n_1}}{n_1^{|a_1|}} \times \dots \times \frac{\operatorname{sgn}(a_k)^{n_k}}{n_k^{|a_k|}}. \quad N \in \mathbb{N}$$

Harmonic Sums

Definition

$$S_{a_1, \dots, a_k}(N) = \sum_{N \geq n_1 \geq \dots \geq n_k \geq 1} \frac{\operatorname{sgn}(a_1)^{n_1}}{n_1^{|a_1|}} \times \dots \times \frac{\operatorname{sgn}(a_k)^{n_k}}{n_k^{|a_k|}}, \quad N \in \mathbb{N}$$

$$H_{a_1, \dots, a_k}(N) = \sum_{N > n_1 > \dots > n_k \geq 1} \frac{\operatorname{sgn}(a_1)^{n_1}}{n_1^{|a_1|}} \times \dots \times \frac{\operatorname{sgn}(a_k)^{n_k}}{n_k^{|a_k|}}. \quad N \in \mathbb{N}$$

Theorem (LJ, and D. Y. Shi)

We give an alternative proof, by a random walk model, on showing

$$\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \underbrace{S_{1, 1, \dots, 1}}_{k \text{ copies}}(N)$$

Harmonic Sums

Definition

$$S_{a_1, \dots, a_k}(N) = \sum_{N \geq n_1 \geq \dots \geq n_k \geq 1} \frac{\operatorname{sgn}(a_1)^{n_1}}{n_1^{|a_1|}} \times \dots \times \frac{\operatorname{sgn}(a_k)^{n_k}}{n_k^{|a_k|}}, \quad N \in \mathbb{N}$$

$$H_{a_1, \dots, a_k}(N) = \sum_{N > n_1 > \dots > n_k \geq 1} \frac{\operatorname{sgn}(a_1)^{n_1}}{n_1^{|a_1|}} \times \dots \times \frac{\operatorname{sgn}(a_k)^{n_k}}{n_k^{|a_k|}}. \quad N \in \mathbb{N}$$

Theorem (LJ, and D. Y. Shi)

We give an alternative proof, by a random walk model, on showing

$$\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \underbrace{S_{1, 1, \dots, 1}}_{k \text{ copies}}(N) = \lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \sum_{N \geq n_1 \geq \dots \geq n_k \geq 1} \frac{1}{n_1 \cdots n_k}$$

Harmonic Sums

Definition

$$S_{a_1, \dots, a_k}(N) = \sum_{N \geq n_1 \geq \dots \geq n_k \geq 1} \frac{\operatorname{sgn}(a_1)^{n_1}}{n_1^{|a_1|}} \times \dots \times \frac{\operatorname{sgn}(a_k)^{n_k}}{n_k^{|a_k|}}, \quad N \in \mathbb{N}$$

$$H_{a_1, \dots, a_k}(N) = \sum_{N > n_1 > \dots > n_k \geq 1} \frac{\operatorname{sgn}(a_1)^{n_1}}{n_1^{|a_1|}} \times \dots \times \frac{\operatorname{sgn}(a_k)^{n_k}}{n_k^{|a_k|}}. \quad N \in \mathbb{N}$$

Theorem (LJ, and D. Y. Shi)

We give an alternative proof, by a random walk model, on showing

$$\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \underbrace{S_{1, 1, \dots, 1}}_{k \text{ copies}}(N) = \lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \sum_{N \geq n_1 \geq \dots \geq n_k \geq 1} \frac{1}{n_1 \cdots n_k} = N.$$

Harmonic Sums

Definition

$$S_{a_1, \dots, a_k}(N) = \sum_{N \geq n_1 \geq \dots \geq n_k \geq 1} \frac{\operatorname{sgn}(a_1)^{n_1}}{n_1^{|a_1|}} \times \dots \times \frac{\operatorname{sgn}(a_k)^{n_k}}{n_k^{|a_k|}}, \quad N \in \mathbb{N}$$

$$H_{a_1, \dots, a_k}(N) = \sum_{N > n_1 > \dots > n_k \geq 1} \frac{\operatorname{sgn}(a_1)^{n_1}}{n_1^{|a_1|}} \times \dots \times \frac{\operatorname{sgn}(a_k)^{n_k}}{n_k^{|a_k|}}. \quad N \in \mathbb{N}$$

Theorem (LJ, and D. Y. Shi)

We give an alternative proof, by a random walk model, on showing

$$\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \underbrace{S_{1, 1, \dots, 1}}_{k \text{ copies}}(N) = \lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \sum_{N \geq n_1 \geq \dots \geq n_k \geq 1} \frac{1}{n_1 \cdots n_k} = N.$$

Random Walk



Random Walk



- ▶ one can only jump to sites that are NOT to the right of the current site, with equal probabilities;
- ▶ steps are independent

Random Walk



- ▶ one can only jump to sites that are NOT to the right of the current site, with equal probabilities;
- ▶ steps are independent e.g., $\mathbb{P}(6 \rightarrow 6) = \dots = \mathbb{P}(6 \rightarrow 1) = 1/6$.

Random Walk



- ▶ one can only jump to sites that are NOT to the right of the current site, with equal probabilities;
- ▶ steps are independent e.g., $\mathbb{P}(6 \rightarrow 6) = \cdots = \mathbb{P}(6 \rightarrow 1) = 1/6$.

STEP 1: walk $N \rightarrow n_1 (\leq N)$ with $\mathbb{P}(N \rightarrow n_1) = \frac{1}{N}$;

Random Walk



- ▶ one can only jump to sites that are NOT to the right of the current site, with equal probabilities;
- ▶ steps are independent e.g., $\mathbb{P}(6 \rightarrow 6) = \dots = \mathbb{P}(6 \rightarrow 1) = 1/6$.

STEP 1: walk $N \rightarrow n_1 (\leq N)$ with $\mathbb{P}(N \rightarrow n_1) = \frac{1}{N}$;

STEP 2: walk $n_1 \rightarrow n_2 (\leq n_1)$, with $\mathbb{P}(n_1 \rightarrow n_2) = \frac{1}{n_1}$;

Random Walk



- ▶ one can only jump to sites that are NOT to the right of the current site, with equal probabilities;
- ▶ steps are independent e.g., $\mathbb{P}(6 \rightarrow 6) = \dots = \mathbb{P}(6 \rightarrow 1) = 1/6$.

STEP 1: walk $N \rightarrow n_1 (\leq N)$ with $\mathbb{P}(N \rightarrow n_1) = \frac{1}{N}$;

STEP 2: walk $n_1 \rightarrow n_2 (\leq n_1)$, with $\mathbb{P}(n_1 \rightarrow n_2) = \frac{1}{n_1}$;

...

STEP $k+1$: walk $n_k \mapsto n_{k+1} (\leq n_k)$, with $\mathbb{P}(n_k \rightarrow n_{k+1}) = \frac{1}{n_k}$.

Random Walk



- ▶ one can only jump to sites that are NOT to the right of the current site, with equal probabilities;
- ▶ steps are independent e.g., $\mathbb{P}(6 \rightarrow 6) = \dots = \mathbb{P}(6 \rightarrow 1) = 1/6$.

STEP 1: walk $N \rightarrow n_1 (\leq N)$ with $\mathbb{P}(N \rightarrow n_1) = \frac{1}{N}$;

STEP 2: walk $n_1 \rightarrow n_2 (\leq n_1)$, with $\mathbb{P}(n_1 \rightarrow n_2) = \frac{1}{n_1}$;

...

STEP $k+1$: walk $n_k \mapsto n_{k+1} (\leq n_k)$, with $\mathbb{P}(n_k \rightarrow n_{k+1}) = \frac{1}{n_k}$.

$$\mathbb{P}(n_{k+1} = 1) =$$

Random Walk



- ▶ one can only jump to sites that are NOT to the right of the current site, with equal probabilities;
- ▶ steps are independent e.g., $\mathbb{P}(6 \rightarrow 6) = \dots = \mathbb{P}(6 \rightarrow 1) = 1/6$.

STEP 1: walk $N \rightarrow n_1 (\leq N)$ with $\mathbb{P}(N \rightarrow n_1) = \frac{1}{N}$;

STEP 2: walk $n_1 \rightarrow n_2 (\leq n_1)$, with $\mathbb{P}(n_1 \rightarrow n_2) = \frac{1}{n_1}$;

...

STEP $k+1$: walk $n_k \mapsto n_{k+1} (\leq n_k)$, with $\mathbb{P}(n_k \rightarrow n_{k+1}) = \frac{1}{n_k}$.

$\underbrace{s_{1, \dots, 1}}_k(N)$

$$\mathbb{P}(n_{k+1} = 1) = \sum_{N \geq n_1 \geq \dots \geq n_k \geq 1} \frac{1}{N n_1 \cdots n_k} = \frac{k}{N}.$$

Random Walk

$$\begin{array}{ccccccccc} \bullet & \bullet & \bullet & \cdots & \bullet & \bullet \\ 1 & 2 & 3 & & N-1 & N \end{array}$$

- ▶ one can only jump to sites that are NOT to the right of the current site, with equal probabilities;
- ▶ steps are independent e.g., $\mathbb{P}(6 \rightarrow 6) = \dots = \mathbb{P}(6 \rightarrow 1) = 1/6$.

STEP 1: walk $N \rightarrow n_1 (\leq N)$ with $\mathbb{P}(N \rightarrow n_1) = \frac{1}{N}$;

STEP 2: walk $n_1 \rightarrow n_2 (\leq n_1)$, with $\mathbb{P}(n_1 \rightarrow n_2) = \frac{1}{n_1}$;

$$\dots \quad \dots \quad \dots \quad \dots \quad \dots \quad \dots$$

STEP $k+1$: walk $n_k \mapsto n_{k+1} (\leq n_k)$, with $\mathbb{P}(n_k \rightarrow n_{k+1}) = \frac{1}{n_k}$.

$$\mathbb{P}(n_{k+1} = 1) = \sum_{N \geq n_1 \geq \dots \geq n_k \geq 1} \frac{1}{N n_1 \cdots n_k} = \frac{\underbrace{s_{1, \dots, 1}}_k(N)}{N}.$$

$$\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \underbrace{s_{1, 1, \dots, 1}}_k(N) = N \Leftrightarrow \lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \mathbb{P}(n_{k+1} = 1) = 1.$$

Matrix Representations

Theorem (LJ, and D. Y. Shi)

Define

$$\mathcal{S}(f_1, \dots, f_k; N, m) := \sum_{N \geq n_1 \geq \dots \geq n_k \geq m} f_1(n_1) \cdots f_k(n_k),$$

$$\mathcal{A}(f_1, \dots, f_k; N, m) := \sum_{N > n_1 > \dots > n_k \geq m} f_1(n_1) \cdots f_k(n_k),$$

and three matrices

$$\mathbf{P}_N = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 & \cdots & 0 \\ 1 & 1 & 0 & \cdots & 0 \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ 1 & 1 & 1 & \cdots & 1 \end{pmatrix}, \quad \mathbf{S}_{N|f_I} := \begin{pmatrix} f_I(1) & 0 & 0 & \cdots & 0 \\ f_I(2) & f_I(2) & 0 & \cdots & 0 \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ f_I(N) & f_I(N) & f_I(N) & \cdots & f_I(N) \end{pmatrix}$$

and

$$\mathbf{A}_{N|f_I} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 & \cdots & 0 & 0 \\ f_I(1) & 0 & 0 & \cdots & 0 & 0 \\ f_I(2) & f_I(2) & 0 & \cdots & 0 & 0 \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots & \vdots \\ f_I(N-1) & f_I(N-1) & f_I(N-1) & \cdots & f_I(N-1) & 0 \end{pmatrix}.$$

Then,

$$\mathcal{S}(f_1, \dots, f_k; N, m) = \left(\mathbf{P}_N \cdot \prod_{l=1}^k \mathbf{S}_{N|f_l} \right)_{N, m} \quad \text{and} \quad \mathcal{A}(f_1, \dots, f_k; N, m) = \left(\mathbf{P}_N \cdot \prod_{l=1}^k \mathbf{A}_{N|f_l} \right)_{N, m}.$$

$$N\rightarrow \infty$$

$$\mathcal{S}(i_1, \dots, i_k) := \mathcal{S}\left(\frac{1}{x^{i_1}}, \dots, \frac{1}{x^{i_k}}; \infty, 1\right) = \sum_{n_1 \geq \dots \geq n_k \geq 1} \frac{1}{n_1^{i_1} \cdots n_k^{i_k}}$$

$$\mathcal{A}(i_1, \dots, i_k) := \mathcal{A}\left(\frac{1}{x^{i_1}}, \dots, \frac{1}{x^{i_k}}; \infty, 1\right) \sum_{n_1 > \dots > n_k \geq 1} \frac{1}{n_1^{i_1} \cdots n_k^{i_k}}$$

$$N\rightarrow \infty$$

$$\begin{aligned} S(i_1,\dots,i_k) &:= \mathcal{S}\left(\frac{1}{x^{i_1}},\dots,\frac{1}{x^{i_k}};\infty,1\right)=\sum_{n_1\geq\dots\geq n_k\geq 1}\frac{1}{n_1^{i_1}\cdots n_k^{i_k}}\\ A(i_1,\dots,i_k) &:= \mathcal{A}\left(\frac{1}{x^{i_1}},\dots,\frac{1}{x^{i_k}};\infty,1\right)\sum_{n_1>\dots>n_k\geq 1}\frac{1}{n_1^{i_1}\cdots n_k^{i_k}} \end{aligned}$$

$$S(2,1)=A(3)=\zeta(3) \text{ and } S(i_1,i_2)=A(i_1,i_2)+A(i_1+i_2).$$

$N \rightarrow \infty$

$$S(i_1, \dots, i_k) := \mathcal{S} \left(\frac{1}{x^{i_1}}, \dots, \frac{1}{x^{i_k}}; \infty, 1 \right) = \sum_{n_1 \geq \dots \geq n_k \geq 1} \frac{1}{n_1^{i_1} \cdots n_k^{i_k}}$$

$$A(i_1, \dots, i_k) := \mathcal{A} \left(\frac{1}{x^{i_1}}, \dots, \frac{1}{x^{i_k}}; \infty, 1 \right) \sum_{n_1 > \dots > n_k \geq 1} \frac{1}{n_1^{i_1} \cdots n_k^{i_k}}$$

$$S(2, 1) = A(3) = \zeta(3) \text{ and } S(i_1, i_2) = A(i_1, i_2) + A(i_1 + i_2).$$

Theorem (LJ, and D. Y. Shi)

$$\begin{aligned} S(f, g; N - 1, m) &= A(f, g; N, m) + A(fg; N, m) \\ S(f, g, h; N - 1, m) &= A(f, g, h; N, m) + A(fg, h; N, m) \\ &\quad + A(f, gh; N, M) + A(fgh; N, m) \end{aligned}$$

\mathcal{V} and \mathcal{H} Symbols

Definition

The \mathcal{H} symbol is defined by

$$(\mathcal{H}(N))^n := 1^n + 2^n + \cdots + (N-1)^n =: H_{-n}(N),$$

where

$$H_{-n_1, \dots, -n_r}(N) = \sum_{N > i_1 > \cdots > i_r > 0} i_1^{n_1} \cdots i_r^{n_r}.$$

\mathcal{V} and \mathcal{H} Symbols

Definition

The \mathcal{H} symbol is defined by

$$(\mathcal{H}(N))^n := 1^n + 2^n + \cdots + (N-1)^n =: H_{-n}(N),$$

where

$$H_{-n_1, \dots, -n_r}(N) = \sum_{N > i_1 > \cdots > i_r > 0} i_1^{n_1} \cdots i_r^{n_r}.$$

And the \mathcal{V} symbol is defined by the integration operator, for polynomial $P(x)$

$$P(x + \mathcal{V}(z)) = \int_0^z P(x + v) dv.$$

\mathcal{V} and \mathcal{H} Symbols

Definition

The \mathcal{H} symbol is defined by

$$(\mathcal{H}(N))^n := 1^n + 2^n + \cdots + (N-1)^n =: H_{-n}(N),$$

where

$$H_{-n_1, \dots, -n_r}(N) = \sum_{N > i_1 > \cdots > i_r > 0} i_1^{n_1} \cdots i_r^{n_r}.$$

And the \mathcal{V} symbol is defined by the integration operator, for polynomial $P(x)$

$$P(x + \mathcal{V}(z)) = \int_0^z P(x + v) dv.$$

Theorem (LJ, C. Vignat and T. Wakhare)

$$H_{-n_1, \dots, -n_r}(N) = \prod_{k=1}^r \mathcal{H}_{1, \dots, k}^{n_k} = \prod_{k=1}^r (\mathcal{B}_k + \mathcal{V}_{1, \dots, k})^{n_k}.$$

where $\mathcal{H}_1 = \mathcal{H}(N)$, $\mathcal{V}_1 = \mathcal{V}(N)$ and recursively $\mathcal{H}_{1, \dots, k} = \mathcal{H}(\mathcal{H}_{1, \dots, k-1})$ and $\mathcal{V}_{1, \dots, k} = \mathcal{V}(\mathcal{B}_{k-1} + \mathcal{V}_{1, \dots, k-1})$.

\mathcal{V} and \mathcal{H} Symbols

Definition

The \mathcal{H} symbol is defined by

$$(\mathcal{H}(N))^n := 1^n + 2^n + \cdots + (N-1)^n =: H_{-n}(N),$$

where

$$H_{-n_1, \dots, -n_r}(N) = \sum_{N > i_1 > \cdots > i_r > 0} i_1^{n_1} \cdots i_r^{n_r}.$$

And the \mathcal{V} symbol is defined by the integration operator, for polynomial $P(x)$

$$P(x + \mathcal{V}(z)) = \int_0^z P(x + v) dv.$$

Theorem (LJ, C. Vignat and T. Wakhare)

$$H_{-n_1, \dots, -n_r}(N) = \prod_{k=1}^r \mathcal{H}_{1, \dots, k}^{n_k} = \prod_{k=1}^r (\mathcal{B}_k + \mathcal{V}_{1, \dots, k})^{n_k}.$$

where $\mathcal{H}_1 = \mathcal{H}(N)$, $\mathcal{V}_1 = \mathcal{V}(N)$ and recursively $\mathcal{H}_{1, \dots, k} = \mathcal{H}(\mathcal{H}_{1, \dots, k-1})$ and $\mathcal{V}_{1, \dots, k} = \mathcal{V}(\mathcal{B}_{k-1} + \mathcal{V}_{1, \dots, k-1})$.

$$\lim_{N \rightarrow \infty} \mathcal{H} = \mathcal{C}.$$

End

Thank you for your listening!