## Concentration Inequalities

Jiuzhou Miao

March 5, 2025

*Proof of Proposition* 1. We first prove (1). One has that the moment generating function of X is

$$\mathbb{E}(e^{\lambda X}) = e^{\lambda \mu + \lambda^2 \sigma^2 / 2}.$$

implies that the moment generating function of  $X - \mu$  is  $\varphi(\lambda) = e^{\lambda^2 \sigma^2/2}$ . Then

$$\inf_{\lambda \in [0,b]} \left\{ -\lambda t + \log \varphi(\lambda) \right\} = \inf_{\lambda \in [0,b]} \left\{ -\lambda t + \lambda^2 \sigma^2 / 2 \right\} = -\frac{t^2}{2\sigma^2}.$$

By Chernoff's inequality, one has that for all t > 0,

$$\log \mathbb{P}(X - \mu \ge t) \le \inf_{\lambda \in [0, b]} \left\{ -\lambda t + \log \varphi(\lambda) \right\} = -\frac{t^2}{2\sigma^2}$$

and

$$\mathbb{P}(X \ge \mu + t) \le e^{-\frac{t^2}{2\sigma^2}}.$$

(1) holds.

Note that

$$\mathbb{P}(|X - \mu| \ge t) = \mathbb{P}(X - \mu \ge t) + \mathbb{P}(X - \mu \le -t),$$

then (2) holds by symmetry.

Proofs of Proposition 5. I will provide the proofs for both cases that  $\sigma = b - a$  and  $\sigma = (b-a)/2$ . We firstly prove that X is sub-Gaussian with parameter  $\sigma = b - a$ . Let X' be an independent copy of X and  $\varepsilon$  be a Rademacher random variable independent with X and X'. In the following, the notation  $\mathbb{E}_{\star}$  means that take expectation with respect to the random variables placed in the position of  $\star$ . Then one has that

$$\mathbb{E}(e^{\lambda X}) = \mathbb{E}_{X} \left[ e^{\lambda \left\{ X - \mathbb{E}_{X'}(X') \right\}} \right]$$

$$= \mathbb{E}_{X} \left[ e^{\mathbb{E}_{X'}} \left\{ \lambda(X - X') \right\} \right]$$

$$\leq \mathbb{E}_{X,X'} \left\{ e^{\lambda(X - X')} \right\}$$

$$= \mathbb{E}_{X,X'} \left[ \mathbb{E}_{\varepsilon} \left\{ e^{\lambda \varepsilon(X - X')} \right\} \right]$$

$$\leq \mathbb{E}_{X,X'} \left\{ e^{\lambda^{2}(X - X')^{2}/2} \right\}$$

$$< e^{\lambda^{2}(b - a)^{2}/2}.$$

where the first " $\leq$ " by using Jensen's inequality, the second " $\leq$ " by using  $\varepsilon$  is sub-Gaussian with parameter  $\sigma = 1$ , and the last " $\leq$ " by using  $|X - X'| \leq b - a$ .

Then we prove that X is sub-Gaussian with parameter  $\sigma = (b-a)/2$ . Denote  $\psi(\lambda) = \log \mathbb{E}(e^{\lambda X})$ . One can easily obtain that

$$\psi^{(1)}(\lambda) = \frac{\mathbb{E}(Xe^{\lambda X})}{\mathbb{E}(e^{\lambda X})},$$

$$\psi^{(2)}(\lambda) = \frac{\mathbb{E}(X^2e^{\lambda X})\mathbb{E}(e^{\lambda X}) - \{\mathbb{E}(Xe^{\lambda X})\}^2}{\{\mathbb{E}(e^{\lambda X})\}^2}$$

$$= \frac{\mathbb{E}(X^2e^{\lambda X})}{\mathbb{E}(e^{\lambda X})} - \{\psi^{(1)}(\lambda)\}^2,$$

and  $\psi(0) = \psi^{(1)}(0) = 0$ . Define another probability measure  $\mathbb{Q}$  which satisfies that

$$\frac{\mathrm{d}\mathbb{Q}}{\mathrm{d}\mathbb{P}} = \frac{e^{\lambda X}}{\mathbb{E}(e^{\lambda X})},$$

then one has that

$$\psi^{(1)}(\lambda) = \int X \frac{e^{\lambda X}}{\mathbb{E}(e^{\lambda X})} d\mathbb{P} = \int X d\mathbb{Q} = \mathbb{E}_{X \sim \mathbb{Q}}(X),$$

$$\psi^{(2)}(\lambda) = \int X^2 \frac{e^{\lambda X}}{\mathbb{E}(e^{\lambda X})} d\mathbb{P} - \left\{\psi^{(1)}(\lambda)\right\}^2 = \int X^2 d\mathbb{Q} - \left\{\psi^{(1)}(\lambda)\right\}^2 = \operatorname{Var}_{X \sim \mathbb{Q}}(X),$$

and

$$\sup_{\lambda \in \mathbb{R}} |\psi^{(2)}(\lambda)| \le (b-a)^2/4.$$

Hence

$$\mathbb{E}(e^{\lambda X}) = e^{\psi(\lambda)} = \exp\left\{\int_0^{\lambda} \int_0^{\mu} \psi^{(2)}(t) \mathrm{d}t \mathrm{d}\mu\right\} \le \exp\left\{2^{-1} \lambda^2 \sup_{\lambda \in \mathbb{R}} \left|\psi^{(2)}(\lambda)\right|\right\} \le e^{\lambda^2 (b-a)^2/8}.$$

Proof of Proposition 7. By using the sub-Exponential properties of  $X_i$ , one has that

$$\mathbb{E}\left\{e^{\lambda \sum_{i=1}^{n} (X_i - \mu_i)}\right\} = \prod_{i=1}^{n} \mathbb{E}\left\{e^{\lambda (X_i - \mu_i)}\right\} \le e^{\frac{\lambda^2 \sum_{i=1}^{n} \nu_i^2}{2}} = e^{\frac{\nu_*^2 \lambda^2}{2}},$$

for  $|\lambda| < \min_{1 \le i \le n} \alpha_i^{-1} = \alpha_*^{-1}$ . Then by Chernoff's inequality, one has that

$$\log \mathbb{P}\Big\{\sum_{i=1}^{n} (X_i - \mu_i) \ge t\Big\} \le \inf_{\lambda \in [0, \alpha_*^{-1}]} \{-\lambda t + 2^{-1}\nu_*^2 \lambda^2\}$$
$$\le \begin{cases} -\frac{t^2}{2\nu_*^2} &, 0 < t \le \frac{\nu_*^2}{\alpha} \\ -\frac{t}{2\alpha_*} &, t > \frac{\nu_*^2}{\alpha_*} \end{cases}.$$

Then the two-sided inequality can be obtained by symmetry.

Proof of Theorem 8. For any  $\mathbf{u} = (u_1, \dots, u_d)^{\mathsf{T}} \in \mathbb{R}^d$ , we construct F by  $F(\mathbf{u}) = m^{-1/2}\mathbf{X}\mathbf{u}$ , where  $\mathbf{X} = (X_{jk})_{m \times d}$  with  $\{X_{jk}\}_{j,k=1}^{m,d}$  are independent  $\mathcal{N}(0,1)$  random variables. Then one has that for any  $\mathbf{u} \neq \mathbf{0}$ ,

$$\frac{\|F(\boldsymbol{u})\|_{2}^{2}}{\|\boldsymbol{u}\|_{2}^{2}} = \frac{\|\mathbf{X}\boldsymbol{u}\|_{2}^{2}}{m\|\boldsymbol{u}\|_{2}^{2}} = m^{-1} \sum_{j=1}^{m} \underbrace{\left(\sum_{k=1}^{d} X_{jk} u_{k}\right)^{2}}_{\text{Denote by } Y_{i}}$$

and  $\{Y_j\}_{j=1}^m$  are independent Chi-square random variables with 1 degree of freedom. By using Proposition 7,

$$\mathbb{P}\left\{\left|\frac{\left\|F(\boldsymbol{u})\right\|_{2}^{2}}{\|\boldsymbol{u}\|_{2}^{2}}-1\right| \geq \delta\right\} = \mathbb{P}\left\{\left|\sum_{j=1}^{m}(Y_{j}-1)\right| \geq m\delta\right\} \leq 2e^{-\frac{m\delta^{2}}{8}}.$$

For  $u_i \neq u_j$ , one has that

$$\frac{\|F(\boldsymbol{u}_i) - F(\boldsymbol{u}_j)\|_2^2}{\|\boldsymbol{u}_i - \boldsymbol{u}_i\|_2^2} = \frac{\|\mathbf{X}(\boldsymbol{u}_i - \boldsymbol{u}_j)\|_2^2}{m\|\boldsymbol{u}_i - \boldsymbol{u}_j\|_2^2} = \frac{\|F(\boldsymbol{u}_i - \boldsymbol{u}_j)\|_2^2}{\|\boldsymbol{u}_i - \boldsymbol{u}_i\|_2^2}.$$

Hence, one has that the probability of the opposite event of

$$(1 - \delta) \|\boldsymbol{u}_i - \boldsymbol{u}_j\|_2^2 \le \|F(\boldsymbol{u}_i) - F(\boldsymbol{u}_j)\|_2^2 \le (1 + \delta) \|\boldsymbol{u}_i - \boldsymbol{u}_j\|_2^2$$

for all pairs  $u_i \neq u_j$  can be bounded by

$$2\binom{N}{2}e^{-\frac{m\delta^2}{8}} \le N^2e^{-\frac{m\delta^2}{8}}.$$

.  $\square$ 

Proof of Theorem 10. By using Taylor's expansion, one has that

$$\left| \mathbb{E} \left\{ e^{\lambda(X_i - \mu_i)} \right\} - 1 - 2^{-1} \lambda^2 \sigma_i^2 \right| \le \sum_{k=3}^{\infty} \frac{|\lambda|^k}{k!} \left| \mathbb{E} \left\{ (X_i - \mu_i)^k \right\} \right| \\
\le \sum_{k=3}^{\infty} \frac{|\lambda|^k}{k!} 2^{-1} k! \sigma_i^2 b^{k-2} \\
= 2^{-1} \lambda^2 \sigma_i^2 \sum_{k=3}^{\infty} \left( |\lambda| b \right)^{k-2} \\
= \frac{2^{-1} \lambda^2 \sigma_i^2 |\lambda| b}{1 - |\lambda| b}$$

for  $|\lambda| < 1/b$ , then one has that

$$\mathbb{E}\left\{e^{\lambda(X_i - \mu_i)}\right\} \le 1 + 2^{-1}\lambda^2 \sigma_i^2 + \frac{2^{-1}\lambda^2 \sigma_i^2 |\lambda| b}{1 - |\lambda| b} = 1 + \frac{2^{-1}\lambda^2 \sigma_i^2}{1 - |\lambda| b} \le \exp\left\{\frac{2^{-1}\lambda^2 \sigma_i^2}{1 - |\lambda| b}\right\}$$

and

$$\mathbb{E}\left\{e^{\lambda \sum_{i=1}^{n} (X_i - \mu_i)}\right\} \le \exp\left\{\frac{2^{-1} \lambda^2 \sum_{i=1}^{n} \sigma_i^2}{1 - |\lambda| b}\right\},\,$$

(1) holds.

By using Chernoff's inequality, one has that for all t > 0,

$$\mathbb{P}\Big\{\sum_{i=1}^{n} (X_i - \mu_i) \ge t\Big\} \le e^{-\lambda t} \mathbb{E}\Big\{e^{\lambda \sum_{i=1}^{n} (X_i - \mu_i)}\Big\} \le \exp\Big\{-\lambda t + \frac{2^{-1} \lambda^2 \sum_{i=1}^{n} \sigma_i^2}{1 - |\lambda| b}\Big\}.$$

By taking

$$\lambda = \frac{t}{bt + \sum_{i=1}^{n} \sigma_i^2} \in [0, b^{-1}),$$

one has that

$$\mathbb{P}\Big\{\sum_{i=1}^{n} (X_i - \mu_i) \ge t\Big\} \le \exp\Big\{-\frac{t^2}{2(bt + \sum_{i=1}^{n} \sigma_i^2)}\Big\}.$$

Then (2) can be obtained by symmetry.

*Proof of Theorem* 14. We only prove (1). By tower property, one has that for  $|\lambda| < \alpha_n^{-1}$ 

$$\mathbb{E}(e^{\lambda \sum_{k=1}^{n} D_k}) = \mathbb{E}\left\{\mathbb{E}(e^{\lambda \sum_{k=1}^{n} D_k} | \mathcal{A}_{n-1})\right\}$$
$$= \mathbb{E}\left\{e^{\lambda \sum_{k=1}^{n-1} D_k} \mathbb{E}(e^{\lambda D_n} | \mathcal{A}_{n-1})\right\}$$
$$\leq e^{\nu_n^2 \lambda^2 / 2} \mathbb{E}(e^{\lambda \sum_{k=1}^{n-1} D_k}).$$

Iterating this procedure yields the bound

$$\mathbb{E}(e^{\lambda \sum_{k=1}^{n} D_k}) \le e^{\nu_*^2 \lambda^2 / 2}$$

for all 
$$|\lambda| < \alpha_*^{-1}$$
.

Proof of Theorem 15. We only need to prove that  $\mathbb{E}(e^{\lambda D_k}|\mathcal{A}_{k-1}) \leq e^{\lambda^2(b_k-a_k)^2/8}$  almost surely. This argument is similar to the proof of Proposition 5.

Proof of Theorem 17. Let

$$D_k = \mathbb{E}\{f(\mathbf{X})|X_1,\ldots,X_{k-1},X_k\} - \mathbb{E}\{f(\mathbf{X})|X_1,\ldots,X_{k-1}\},\$$

and

$$A_{k} = \inf_{x} \mathbb{E}\{f(\mathbf{X}) | X_{1}, \dots, X_{k-1}, X_{k} = x\} - \mathbb{E}\{f(\mathbf{X}) | X_{1}, \dots, X_{k-1}\},$$
  

$$B_{k} = \sup_{x} \mathbb{E}\{f(\mathbf{X}) | X_{1}, \dots, X_{k-1}, X_{k} = x\} - \mathbb{E}\{f(\mathbf{X}) | X_{1}, \dots, X_{k-1}\}.$$

Note that

$$D_k - A_k = \mathbb{E}\{f(\mathbf{X}) | X_1, \dots, X_{k-1}, X_k\} - \inf_x \mathbb{E}\{f(\mathbf{X}) | X_1, \dots, X_{k-1}, X_k = x\} \ge 0,$$
  

$$B_k - D_k = \sup_x \mathbb{E}\{f(\mathbf{X}) | X_1, \dots, X_{k-1}, X_k = x\} - \mathbb{E}\{f(\mathbf{X}) | X_1, \dots, X_{k-1}, X_k\} \ge 0,$$

Then one has that  $D_k \geq A_k$  and  $D_k \leq B_k$  almost surely. Then we will show that  $B_k - A_k \leq L_k$  almost surely. By using the independence of  $\sigma(X_{k+1}^n)$  and  $\sigma(X_1^k)$ , one has that

$$B_{k} - A_{k} = \sup_{x} \mathbb{E} \{ f(\mathbf{X}) | X_{1}, \dots, X_{k-1}, X_{k} = x \} - \inf_{x} \mathbb{E} \{ f(\mathbf{X}) | X_{1}, \dots, X_{k-1}, X_{k} = x \}$$

$$= \sup_{x,x'} \left| \mathbb{E} \{ f(\mathbf{X}) | X_{1}, \dots, X_{k-1}, X_{k} = x \} - \mathbb{E} \{ f(\mathbf{X}) | X_{1}, \dots, X_{k-1}, X_{k} = x' \} \right|$$

$$= \sup_{x,x'} \left| \mathbb{E}_{X_{k+1}^{n}} \{ f(X_{1}^{k-1}, x, X_{k+1}^{n}) \} - \mathbb{E}_{X_{k+1}^{n}} \{ f(X_{1}^{k-1}, x', X_{k+1}^{n}) \} \right|$$

$$< L_{k}$$

almost surely. Then the inequality can be obtained by using Theorem 15.

**Lemma 1.** Suppose that  $f : \mathbb{R}_n \to \mathbb{R}$  is differentiable. Then for any convex function  $\phi : \mathbb{R} \to \mathbb{R}$ , one has that

$$\mathbb{E}\left\{\phi\left(f(\mathbf{X}) - \mathbb{E}\left\{f(\mathbf{X})\right\}\right)\right\} \le \mathbb{E}\left\{\phi\left(\frac{\pi}{2}\langle\nabla f(\mathbf{X}), \mathbf{Y}\rangle\right)\right\},\,$$

where X and Y are independent  $\mathcal{N}(\mathbf{0}, \mathbf{I}_n)$  random vectors.

*Proof.* By symmetry and Jensen's inequality, one has that

$$\mathbb{E}\left\{\phi\Big(f(\mathbf{X}) - \mathbb{E}\left\{f(\mathbf{X})\right\}\Big)\right\} = \mathbb{E}_{\mathbf{X}}\left\{\phi\Big(f(\mathbf{X}) - \mathbb{E}_{\mathbf{Y}}\left\{f(\mathbf{Y})\right\}\Big)\right\}$$
$$= \mathbb{E}_{\mathbf{X}}\left\{\phi\Big(\mathbb{E}_{\mathbf{Y}}\left\{f(\mathbf{X}) - f(\mathbf{Y})\right\}\Big)\right\}$$
$$\leq \mathbb{E}_{\mathbf{X},\mathbf{Y}}\left\{\phi\Big(f(\mathbf{X}) - f(\mathbf{Y})\Big)\right\}$$
$$= \mathbb{E}\left\{\phi\Big(f(\mathbf{X}) - f(\mathbf{Y})\Big)\right\}.$$

Let  $\mathbf{Z}(\theta) = \{Z_1(\theta), \dots, Z_n(\theta)\}^\mathsf{T}$ , where  $Z_k(\theta) = X_k \sin \theta + Y_k \cos \theta$ . One has that

$$f(\mathbf{X}) - f(\mathbf{Y}) = f(\mathbf{Z}(\pi/2)) - f(\mathbf{Z}(0))$$

$$= \int_0^{\pi/2} \frac{\mathrm{d}f(\mathbf{Z}(\theta))}{\mathrm{d}\theta} \mathrm{d}\theta$$

$$= \int_0^{\pi/2} \sum_{k=1}^n \frac{\partial f(\mathbf{Z}(\theta))}{\partial x_k} \frac{\mathrm{d}Z_k(\theta)}{\mathrm{d}\theta} \mathrm{d}\theta$$

$$= \int_0^{\pi/2} \left\langle \nabla f(\mathbf{Z}(\theta)), \nabla \mathbf{Z}(\theta) \right\rangle \mathrm{d}\theta$$

$$= \frac{2}{\pi} \int_0^{\pi/2} \frac{\pi}{2} \left\langle \nabla f(\mathbf{Z}(\theta)), \nabla \mathbf{Z}(\theta) \right\rangle \mathrm{d}\theta,$$

and

$$\mathbb{E}\left\{\phi\left(f(\mathbf{X}) - \mathbb{E}\left\{f(\mathbf{X})\right\}\right)\right\} \leq \mathbb{E}\left\{\phi\left(f(\mathbf{X}) - f(\mathbf{Y})\right)\right\} \\
= \mathbb{E}\left\{\phi\left(\frac{2}{\pi} \int_{0}^{\pi/2} \frac{\pi}{2} \left\langle\nabla f\left(\mathbf{Z}(\theta)\right), \nabla \mathbf{Z}(\theta)\right\rangle d\theta\right)\right\} \\
\leq \frac{2}{\pi} \int_{0}^{\pi/2} \mathbb{E}\left\{\phi\left(\frac{\pi}{2} \left\langle\nabla f\left(\mathbf{Z}(\theta)\right), \nabla \mathbf{Z}(\theta)\right\rangle\right)\right\} d\theta.$$

Note that for  $\theta \in [0, \pi/2]$ ,  $(Z_k(\theta), Z_k^{(1)}(\theta))^\mathsf{T}$  is jointly Gaussian with mean vector  $(0, 0)^\mathsf{T}$  and covariance matrix  $\mathbf{I}_2$ , then one has that

$$\frac{2}{\pi} \int_{0}^{\pi/2} \mathbb{E} \left\{ \phi \left( \frac{\pi}{2} \left\langle \nabla f(\mathbf{Z}(\theta)), \nabla \mathbf{Z}(\theta) \right\rangle \right) \right\} d\theta = \frac{2}{\pi} \int_{0}^{\pi/2} \mathbb{E} \left\{ \phi \left( \frac{\pi}{2} \left\langle \nabla f(\tilde{\mathbf{X}}), \tilde{\mathbf{Y}} \right\rangle \right) \right\} d\theta \\
= \mathbb{E} \left\{ \phi \left( \frac{\pi}{2} \left\langle \nabla f(\tilde{\mathbf{X}}), \tilde{\mathbf{Y}} \right\rangle \right) \right\},$$

where  $\tilde{\mathbf{X}}$  and  $\tilde{\mathbf{Y}}$  are independent  $\mathcal{N}(\mathbf{0}, \mathbf{I}_n)$  random vectors.

Proof of Theorem 19. In Lemma 1, take  $\phi(t) = e^{\lambda t}$ . One has that

$$\begin{split} \mathbb{E} \Bigg[ \exp \bigg\{ \lambda \Big[ f(\mathbf{X}) - \mathbb{E} \big\{ f(\mathbf{X}) \big\} \Big] \bigg\} \Bigg] &\leq \mathbb{E}_{\mathbf{X}, \mathbf{Y}} \Bigg[ \exp \bigg\{ \frac{\pi \lambda}{2} \Big\langle \nabla f(\mathbf{X}), \mathbf{Y} \Big\rangle \bigg\} \Bigg] \\ &\leq \mathbb{E}_{\mathbf{X}} \Bigg[ \exp \bigg\{ \frac{\lambda^2 \pi^2}{8} \big\| \nabla f(\mathbf{X}) \big\|_2^2 \Big\} \Bigg] \\ &\leq \exp \big\{ \lambda^2 \pi^2 L^2 / 8 \big\}. \end{split}$$