

Concentration Inequalities

Jiuzhou Miao

School of Statistics and Mathematics, Zhejiang Gongshang University

March 5, 2025

- 1 Introduction
- 2 Classical bounds
- 3 Martingale-based methods
- 4 Lipschitz functions of Gaussian variables

- 1 Introduction
- 2 Classical bounds
- 3 Martingale-based methods
- 4 Lipschitz functions of Gaussian variables

Materials and resources

- Textbook: *High-Dimensional Statistics: A Non-Asymptotic Viewpoint*, Martin J. Wainwright. Cambridge. 2019.
- Contents: 2, 4, 5, 6, 7, 8, 12, 13, 15
- Notes and slides: <https://jiuzhoumiao.github.io/>

Overview

- Tools and techniques:
 - ① Concentration inequalities (Chapter 2).
 - ② Uniform laws of large number (Chapter 4).
 - ③ Metric entropy (Chapter 5).
 - ④ Reproducing kernel Hilbert spaces (Chapter 12).
 - ⑤ Minimax lower bounds (Chapter 15).
- Models and estimators:
 - ① Random matrices and covariance estimation (Chapter 6).
 - ② High dimensional sparse linear models (Chapter 7).
 - ③ High dimensional principal component analysis (Chapter 8).
 - ④ Nonparametric least squares (Chapter 13).

Three regimes

- Let n be the sample size and d be the dimension of parameters.

Three regimes

- Let n be the sample size and d be the dimension of parameters.
- Classical asymptotics: n tends to infinity, but d is fixed.

Three regimes

- Let n be the sample size and d be the dimension of parameters.
- Classical asymptotics: n tends to infinity, but d is fixed.
- High-dimensional asymptotics: The pair (n, d) tends to infinity simultaneously while for some scaling function Ψ , the sequence $\Psi(n, d)$ remains fixed, or converges to some value $\alpha \in [0, \infty]$, e.g., $\Psi(n, d) = d/n$.

Three regimes

- Let n be the sample size and d be the dimension of parameters.
- Classical asymptotics: n tends to infinity, but d is fixed.
- High-dimensional asymptotics: The pair (n, d) tends to infinity simultaneously while for some scaling function Ψ , the sequence $\Psi(n, d)$ remains fixed, or converges to some value $\alpha \in [0, \infty]$, e.g., $\Psi(n, d) = d/n$.
- Non-asymptotics: The pair (n, d) , as well as other problem parameters, are viewed as fixed, and high-probability statements are made as a function of them.

- 1 Introduction
- 2 Classical bounds**
- 3 Martingale-based methods
- 4 Lipschitz functions of Gaussian variables

From Markov to Chernoff

- Markov's inequality: For a non-negative random variable X with finite mean, one has that for all $t > 0$,

$$\mathbb{P}(X \geq t) \leq t^{-1}\mathbb{E}(X).$$

From Markov to Chernoff

- Markov's inequality: For a non-negative random variable X with finite mean, one has that for all $t > 0$,

$$\mathbb{P}(X \geq t) \leq t^{-1}\mathbb{E}(X).$$

- Chebyshev's inequality: For a random variable X with finite variance, one has that for all $t > 0$,

$$\mathbb{P}\{|X - \mu| \geq t\} \leq t^{-2}\text{Var}(X),$$

where $\mu = \mathbb{E}(X)$.

From Markov to Chernoff

- Markov's inequality: For a non-negative random variable X with finite mean, one has that for all $t > 0$,

$$\mathbb{P}(X \geq t) \leq t^{-1}\mathbb{E}(X).$$

- Chebyshev's inequality: For a random variable X with finite variance, one has that for all $t > 0$,

$$\mathbb{P}\{|X - \mu| \geq t\} \leq t^{-2}\text{Var}(X),$$

where $\mu = \mathbb{E}(X)$.

- If X has a central moment of order k , then Markov's inequality yields a more sharp upper bound $t^{-k}\mathbb{E}\{|X - \mu|^k\}$.

From Markov to Chernoff

- Let $\varphi(\lambda) = \mathbb{E}\{e^{\lambda(X-\mu)}\}$ be the moment generating function of $X - \mu$. Assume that there is a constant $b > 0$ such that $\varphi(\lambda)$ exists for all $|\lambda| \leq b$.

From Markov to Chernoff

- Let $\varphi(\lambda) = \mathbb{E}\{e^{\lambda(X-\mu)}\}$ be the moment generating function of $X - \mu$. Assume that there is a constant $b > 0$ such that $\varphi(\lambda)$ exists for all $|\lambda| \leq b$.
- For any $\lambda \in [0, b]$, Markov's inequality can be applied to the random variable $e^{\lambda(X-\mu)}$. i.e., for all $t > 0$,

$$\mathbb{P}(X - \mu \geq t) = \mathbb{P}\{e^{\lambda(X-\mu)} \geq e^{\lambda t}\} \leq e^{-\lambda t} \varphi(\lambda).$$

From Markov to Chernoff

- Let $\varphi(\lambda) = \mathbb{E}\{e^{\lambda(X-\mu)}\}$ be the moment generating function of $X - \mu$. Assume that there is a constant $b > 0$ such that $\varphi(\lambda)$ exists for all $|\lambda| \leq b$.
- For any $\lambda \in [0, b]$, Markov's inequality can be applied to the random variable $e^{\lambda(X-\mu)}$. i.e., for all $t > 0$,

$$\mathbb{P}(X - \mu \geq t) = \mathbb{P}\{e^{\lambda(X-\mu)} \geq e^{\lambda t}\} \leq e^{-\lambda t} \varphi(\lambda).$$

- Chernoff's inequality: For all $t > 0$,

$$\log \mathbb{P}(X - \mu \geq t) \leq \inf_{\lambda \in [0, b]} \{-\lambda t + \log \varphi(\lambda)\}.$$

From Markov to Chernoff

Proposition 1 (Gaussian tail bounds)

Let $X \sim \mathcal{N}(\mu, \sigma^2)$, then one has that for all $t > 0$,

(1) *One-sided inequality:*

$$\mathbb{P}(X \geq \mu + t) \leq e^{-\frac{t^2}{2\sigma^2}}.$$

(2) *Two-sided inequality:*

$$\mathbb{P}(|X - \mu| \geq t) \leq 2e^{-\frac{t^2}{2\sigma^2}}.$$

Sub-Gaussian random variables

Definition 2 (Sub-Gaussian random variable)

A random variable X with mean $\mu = \mathbb{E}(X)$ is sub-Gaussian if there is a positive number σ such that for all $\lambda \in \mathbb{R}$,

$$\mathbb{E}\{e^{\lambda(X-\mu)}\} \leq e^{\sigma^2 \lambda^2 / 2}.$$

- $-X$ is sub-Gaussian if and only if X is sub-Gaussian.

Sub-Gaussian random variables

Definition 2 (Sub-Gaussian random variable)

A random variable X with mean $\mu = \mathbb{E}(X)$ is sub-Gaussian if there is a positive number σ such that for all $\lambda \in \mathbb{R}$,

$$\mathbb{E}\{e^{\lambda(X-\mu)}\} \leq e^{\sigma^2\lambda^2/2}.$$

- $-X$ is sub-Gaussian if and only if X is sub-Gaussian.
- If $X \sim \mathcal{N}(\mu, \sigma^2)$, then X is sub-Gaussian.

Sub-Gaussian random variables

Definition 2 (Sub-Gaussian random variable)

A random variable X with mean $\mu = \mathbb{E}(X)$ is sub-Gaussian if there is a positive number σ such that for all $\lambda \in \mathbb{R}$,

$$\mathbb{E}\{e^{\lambda(X-\mu)}\} \leq e^{\sigma^2\lambda^2/2}.$$

- $-X$ is sub-Gaussian if and only if X is sub-Gaussian.
- If $X \sim \mathcal{N}(\mu, \sigma^2)$, then X is sub-Gaussian.
- If X_1 and X_2 are independent sub-Gaussian variables with parameters σ_1 and σ_2 , then $X_1 + X_2$ is sub-Gaussian with parameter $\sqrt{\sigma_1^2 + \sigma_2^2}$.

Sub-Gaussian tail bounds

Proposition 3 (Sub-Gaussian tail bounds)

Let X be a sub-Gaussian random variable with mean $\mu = \mathbb{E}(X)$ and sub-Gaussian parameter σ , then one has that for all $t > 0$,

$$\mathbb{P}(|X - \mu| \geq t) \leq 2e^{-\frac{t^2}{2\sigma^2}}.$$

Hoeffding's inequality

Theorem 4 (Hoeffding's inequality)

Let $\{X_i\}_{i=1}^n$ are independent sub-Gaussian random variables with mean $\mu_i = \mathbb{E}(X_i)$ and sub-Gaussian parameter σ_i , then one has that for all $t > 0$,

$$\mathbb{P}\left\{\sum_{i=1}^n (X_i - \mu_i) \geq t\right\} \leq \exp\left\{-\frac{t^2}{2\sum_{i=1}^n \sigma_i^2}\right\}.$$

Rademacher random variables

- Let ε be a random variable with

$$\mathbb{P}(\varepsilon = 1) = \mathbb{P}(\varepsilon = -1) = 1/2.$$

ε is called as Rademacher random variables.

Rademacher random variables

- Let ε be a random variable with

$$\mathbb{P}(\varepsilon = 1) = \mathbb{P}(\varepsilon = -1) = 1/2.$$

ε is called as Rademacher random variables.

- ε is sub-Gaussian with parameter $\sigma = 1$.

Rademacher random variables

- Let ε be a random variable with

$$\mathbb{P}(\varepsilon = 1) = \mathbb{P}(\varepsilon = -1) = 1/2.$$

ε is called as Rademacher random variables.

- ε is sub-Gaussian with parameter $\sigma = 1$.
- By taking expectations and using Taylor's expansion

$$\mathbb{E}(e^{\lambda\varepsilon}) = \frac{e^{\lambda} + e^{-\lambda}}{2} = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{\lambda^{2k}}{(2k)!} \leq 1 + \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{\lambda^{2k}}{2^k k!} = e^{\lambda^2/2}.$$

Bounded random variables

Proposition 5 (Bounded random variables are sub-Gaussian)

Let X be a zero-mean and supported on some interval $[a, b]$, then one has that X is sub-Gaussian with parameter $\sigma = (b - a)/2$.

- I will provide the proofs for both cases that $\sigma = b - a$ and $\sigma = (b - a)/2$.

Sub-Exponential random variables

Definition 6 (Sub-Exponential random variable)

A random variable X with mean $\mu = \mathbb{E}(X)$ is sub-Exponential if there are non-negative parameters (ν, α) such that for all $|\lambda| < \alpha^{-1}$,

$$\mathbb{E}\{e^{\lambda(X-\mu)}\} \leq e^{\nu^2 \lambda^2 / 2}.$$

- Sub-Gaussian variable (with parameter σ) is sub-Exponential $(\nu, \alpha) = (\sigma, 0)$, $1/0 = \infty$.

Sub-Exponential random variables

Definition 6 (Sub-Exponential random variable)

A random variable X with mean $\mu = \mathbb{E}(X)$ is sub-Exponential if there are non-negative parameters (ν, α) such that for all $|\lambda| < \alpha^{-1}$,

$$\mathbb{E}\{e^{\lambda(X-\mu)}\} \leq e^{\nu^2 \lambda^2 / 2}.$$

- Sub-Gaussian variable (with parameter σ) is sub-Exponential $(\nu, \alpha) = (\sigma, 0)$, $1/0 = \infty$.
- However, the converse statement is not true.

Sub-Exponential but not sub-Gaussian

- Let $Z \sim \mathcal{N}(0, 1)$ and $X = Z^2$. X is a Chi-square random variable with 1 degree of freedom.

Sub-Exponential but not sub-Gaussian

- Let $Z \sim \mathcal{N}(0, 1)$ and $X = Z^2$. X is a Chi-square random variable with 1 degree of freedom.
- For $\lambda < 1/2$, one has that

$$\mathbb{E}\{e^{\lambda(X-1)}\} = \frac{e^{-\lambda}}{\sqrt{1-2\lambda}},$$

but for $\lambda \geq 1/2$, the moment generating function is infinite, which reveals that X is not sub-Gaussian.

Sub-Exponential but not sub-Gaussian

- Let $Z \sim \mathcal{N}(0, 1)$ and $X = Z^2$. X is a Chi-square random variable with 1 degree of freedom.
- For $\lambda < 1/2$, one has that

$$\mathbb{E}\{e^{\lambda(X-1)}\} = \frac{e^{-\lambda}}{\sqrt{1-2\lambda}},$$

but for $\lambda \geq 1/2$, the moment generating function is infinite, which reveals that X is not sub-Gaussian.

- Following some calculus, one has that for $|\lambda| < 1/4$,

$$\frac{e^{-\lambda}}{\sqrt{1-2\lambda}} \leq e^{2\lambda^2} = e^{4\lambda^2/2},$$

which shows that X is sub-Exponential with parameters $(\nu, \alpha) = (2, 4)$.

Sub-Exponential tail bounds

Proposition 7 (Sub-Exponential tail bounds)

Let $\{X_i\}_{i=1}^n$ be independent sub-Exponential random variables with mean $\mu_i = \mathbb{E}(X_i)$ and sub-Exponential parameters (ν_i, α_i) , then one has that $\sum_{i=1}^n (X_i - \mu_i)$ is sub-Exponential with parameters (ν_*, α_*) , where $\nu_* = \left(\sum_{i=1}^n \nu_i^2\right)^{1/2}$, $\alpha_* = \max_{1 \leq i \leq n} \alpha_i$, and

$$\mathbb{P}\left\{\left|\sum_{i=1}^n (X_i - \mu_i)\right| \geq t\right\} \leq \begin{cases} 2e^{-\frac{t^2}{2\nu_*^2}} & , 0 < t \leq \frac{\nu_*^2}{\alpha_*} \\ 2e^{-\frac{t}{2\alpha_*}} & , t > \frac{\nu_*^2}{\alpha_*} \end{cases}.$$

Chi-square random variables

- Let $\{Z_i\}_{i=1}^n$ be independent $\mathcal{N}(0, 1)$ random variables. We have shown that Z_i^2 is sub-Exponential with parameters $(\nu, \alpha) = (2, 4)$ for each i .

Chi-square random variables

- Let $\{Z_i\}_{i=1}^n$ be independent $\mathcal{N}(0, 1)$ random variables. We have shown that Z_i^2 is sub-Exponential with parameters $(\nu, \alpha) = (2, 4)$ for each i .
- By using Proposition 7, one has that $\sum_{i=1}^n (Z_i^2 - 1)$ is sub-Exponential with parameters $(\nu_*, \alpha_*) = (2\sqrt{n}, 4)$ and

$$\begin{aligned}\mathbb{P}\left\{\left|n^{-1} \sum_{i=1}^n Z_i^2 - 1\right| \geq t\right\} &= \mathbb{P}\left\{\left|\sum_{i=1}^n (Z_i^2 - 1)\right| \geq nt\right\} \\ &\leq \begin{cases} 2e^{-\frac{nt^2}{8}} & , 0 < t \leq 1 \\ 2e^{-\frac{nt}{8}} & , t > 1 \end{cases}.\end{aligned}$$

Johnson–Lindenstrauss embedding

- Let $\{u_1, \dots, u_N\}$, $N \geq 2$ be given distinct vectors, with each vector lying in \mathbb{R}^d . If d is large, then it might be expensive to store and manipulate the dataset.

Johnson–Lindenstrauss embedding

- Let $\{u_1, \dots, u_N\}$, $N \geq 2$ be given distinct vectors, with each vector lying in \mathbb{R}^d . If d is large, then it might be expensive to store and manipulate the dataset.
- The idea of dimensionality reduction is to construct a mapping $F : \mathbb{R}^d \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^m$, with the projected dimension m substantially smaller than d , that preserves some “essential” features of the dataset.

Johnson–Lindenstrauss embedding

- Let $\{\mathbf{u}_1, \dots, \mathbf{u}_N\}$, $N \geq 2$ be given distinct vectors, with each vector lying in \mathbb{R}^d . If d is large, then it might be expensive to store and manipulate the dataset.
- The idea of dimensionality reduction is to construct a mapping $F: \mathbb{R}^d \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^m$, with the projected dimension m substantially smaller than d , that preserves some “essential” features of the dataset.
- We consider that F can preserve pairwise distances, or equivalently norms and inner products. i.e., for some $\delta \in (0, 1)$, F satisfies that for all pairs $\mathbf{u}_i \neq \mathbf{u}_j$,

$$(1 - \delta) \|\mathbf{u}_i - \mathbf{u}_j\|_2^2 \leq \|F(\mathbf{u}_i) - F(\mathbf{u}_j)\|_2^2 \leq (1 + \delta) \|\mathbf{u}_i - \mathbf{u}_j\|_2^2.$$

Johnson–Lindenstrauss embedding

Theorem 8 (Johnson-Lindenstrauss embedding)

For N vectors $\{\mathbf{u}_1, \dots, \mathbf{u}_N\}$, $N \geq 2$ and some $\delta \in (0, 1)$, there is a mapping $F : \mathbb{R}^d \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^m$ which satisfies that

$$(1 - \delta) \|\mathbf{u}_i - \mathbf{u}_j\|_2^2 \leq \|F(\mathbf{u}_i) - F(\mathbf{u}_j)\|_2^2 \leq (1 + \delta) \|\mathbf{u}_i - \mathbf{u}_j\|_2^2,$$

for all pairs $\mathbf{u}_i \neq \mathbf{u}_j$ with probability at least $1 - N^2 e^{-m\delta^2/8}$.

Bernstein's condition

Definition 9 (Bernstein's condition)

Given a random variable X with mean $\mu = \mathbb{E}(X)$ and variance $\sigma^2 = \mathbb{E}(X^2) - \mu^2$, we say that Bernstein's condition with parameter b holds if for $k = 2, 3, \dots$,

$$\left| \mathbb{E}\{(X - \mu)^k\} \right| \leq 2^{-1} k! \sigma^2 b^{k-2}.$$

- One sufficient condition for Bernstein's condition to hold is that X be bounded.

Bernstein's condition

Definition 9 (Bernstein's condition)

Given a random variable X with mean $\mu = \mathbb{E}(X)$ and variance $\sigma^2 = \mathbb{E}(X^2) - \mu^2$, we say that Bernstein's condition with parameter b holds if for $k = 2, 3, \dots$,

$$\left| \mathbb{E}\{(X - \mu)^k\} \right| \leq 2^{-1} k! \sigma^2 b^{k-2}.$$

- One sufficient condition for Bernstein's condition to hold is that X be bounded.
- In particular, if $|X - \mu| \leq b$, then it is straightforward to verify that Bernstein's condition holds.

Bernstein's inequality

Theorem 10 (Bernstein's inequality)

Let $\{X_i\}_{i=1}^n$ are independent random variables with mean $\mu_i = \mathbb{E}(X_i)$ and variance $\sigma_i^2 = \mathbb{E}(X_i^2) - \mu_i^2$. If X_i satisfies Bernstein's condition with parameter b for $1 \leq i \leq n$, one has that:

(1) For all $|\lambda| < 1/b$,

$$\mathbb{E}\left\{e^{\lambda \sum_{i=1}^n (X_i - \mu_i)}\right\} \leq \exp\left\{\frac{2^{-1}\lambda^2 \sum_{i=1}^n \sigma_i^2}{1 - |\lambda|b}\right\}.$$

(2) For all $t > 0$,

$$\mathbb{P}\left\{\left|\sum_{i=1}^n (X_i - \mu_i)\right| \geq t\right\} \leq 2 \exp\left\{-\frac{t^2}{2(bt + \sum_{i=1}^n \sigma_i^2)}\right\}.$$

- 1 Introduction
- 2 Classical bounds
- 3 Martingale-based methods**
- 4 Lipschitz functions of Gaussian variables

Motivations

- Let $\{X_k\}_{k=1}^n$ be i.i.d. random variables and $f : \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$. We want to derive the bounds of

$$\mathbb{P}\left[\left|f(X_1, \dots, X_n) - \mathbb{E}\{f(X_1, \dots, X_n)\}\right| \geq t\right]$$

for all $t > 0$.

Motivations

- Let $\{X_k\}_{k=1}^n$ be i.i.d. random variables and $f : \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$. We want to derive the bounds of

$$\mathbb{P}\left[\left|f(X_1, \dots, X_n) - \mathbb{E}\{f(X_1, \dots, X_n)\}\right| \geq t\right]$$

for all $t > 0$.

- Denote $Y_0 = \mathbb{E}\{f(X_1, \dots, X_n)\}$, $Y_n = f(X_1, \dots, X_n)$ and

$$Y_k = \mathbb{E}\{f(X_1, \dots, X_n) | X_1, \dots, X_k\}$$

for $k = 1, \dots, n-1$.

Motivations

- Then one can rewrite $f(X_1, \dots, X_n) - \mathbb{E}\{f(X_1, \dots, X_n)\}$ by

$$Y_n - Y_0 = \sum_{k=1}^n (Y_k - Y_{k-1}) = \sum_{k=1}^n D_k.$$

Motivations

- Then one can rewrite $f(X_1, \dots, X_n) - \mathbb{E}\{f(X_1, \dots, X_n)\}$ by

$$Y_n - Y_0 = \sum_{k=1}^n (Y_k - Y_{k-1}) = \sum_{k=1}^n D_k.$$

- Here, $\{Y_k\}_{k=1}^n$ is a particular example of a martingale sequence, whereas $\{D_k\}_{k=1}^n$ is an example of a martingale difference sequence.

Martingale

Definition 11 (Filtration)

Let $\{\mathcal{A}_k\}_{k=1}^\infty$ be a sequence of σ -fields. We say that $\{\mathcal{A}_k\}_{k=1}^\infty$ is a filtration if $\mathcal{A}_k \subseteq \mathcal{A}_{k+1}$ for all $k \geq 1$. For a sequence of random variables $\{Y_k\}_{k=1}^\infty$, we say that $\{Y_k\}_{k=1}^\infty$ is adapted to the filtration $\{\mathcal{A}_k\}_{k=1}^\infty$ if Y_k is measurable with respect to \mathcal{A}_k for all $k \geq 1$.

Definition 12 (Martingale)

Let $\{Y_k\}_{k=1}^\infty$ be a sequence of random variables adapted to the filtration $\{\mathcal{A}_k\}_{k=1}^\infty$. We say that $(Y_k, \mathcal{A}_k)_{k=1}^\infty$ is a martingale if for all $k \geq 1$, $\mathbb{E}(|Y_k|) < \infty$ and almost surely

$$\mathbb{E}(Y_{k+1} | \mathcal{A}_k) = Y_k.$$

Two special cases

- If $\mathcal{A}_k = \sigma(Y_1, \dots, Y_k)$ in Definition 12, we say simply that $\{Y_k\}_{k=1}^\infty$ is a martingale.

Two special cases

- If $\mathcal{A}_k = \sigma(Y_1, \dots, Y_k)$ in Definition 12, we say simply that $\{Y_k\}_{k=1}^\infty$ is a martingale.
- Let $\{X_k\}_{k=1}^\infty$ be another sequence of random variables. If $\mathcal{A}_k = \sigma(X_1, \dots, X_k)$ in Definition 12, we say that $\{Y_k\}_{k=1}^\infty$ is a martingale with respect to $\{X_k\}_{k=1}^\infty$.

Partial sums as martingales

- Let $\{X_k\}_{k=1}^{\infty}$ be a sequence of i.i.d. random variables with mean zero. Denote $S_k = \sum_{i=1}^k X_i$ and $\mathcal{A}_k = \sigma(X_1, \dots, X_k)$.

Partial sums as martingales

- Let $\{X_k\}_{k=1}^{\infty}$ be a sequence of i.i.d. random variables with mean zero. Denote $S_k = \sum_{i=1}^k X_i$ and $\mathcal{A}_k = \sigma(X_1, \dots, X_k)$.
- One has that for all $k \geq 1$,

$$\mathbb{E}(|S_k|) \leq \sum_{i=1}^k \mathbb{E}(|X_i|) < \infty,$$

and almost surely

$$\begin{aligned}\mathbb{E}(S_{k+1}|\mathcal{A}_k) &= \mathbb{E}(S_k + X_{k+1}|X_1, \dots, X_k) \\ &= S_k + \mathbb{E}(X_{k+1}|X_1, \dots, X_k) \\ &= S_k.\end{aligned}$$

Doob construction

- Let $\{X_k\}_{k=1}^n$ be i.i.d. random variables and $f : \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$.

Doob construction

- Let $\{X_k\}_{k=1}^n$ be i.i.d. random variables and $f : \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$.
- For short hand, denote $X_1^k = (X_1, \dots, X_k)$ for $1 \leq k \leq n$.
Denote $Y_0 = \mathbb{E}\{f(X_1^n)\}$, $Y_n = f(X_1^n)$ and
 $Y_k = \mathbb{E}\{f(X_1^n) | X_1^k\}$ for $k = 1, \dots, n-1$.

Doob construction

- Let $\{X_k\}_{k=1}^n$ be i.i.d. random variables and $f: \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$.
- For short hand, denote $X_1^k = (X_1, \dots, X_k)$ for $1 \leq k \leq n$.
Denote $Y_0 = \mathbb{E}\{f(X_1^n)\}$, $Y_n = f(X_1^n)$ and
 $Y_k = \mathbb{E}\{f(X_1^n) | X_1^k\}$ for $k = 1, \dots, n-1$.
- Suppose that $\mathbb{E}\{|f(X_1^n)|\} < \infty$, then one has that for all $k \geq 1$,

$$\mathbb{E}(|Y_k|) \leq \mathbb{E}\left[\mathbb{E}\{|f(X_1^n)| | X_1^k\}\right] = \mathbb{E}\{|f(X_1^n)|\} < \infty,$$

and almost surely

$$\begin{aligned}\mathbb{E}(Y_{k+1} | X_1^k) &= \mathbb{E}\left[\mathbb{E}\{f(X_1^n) | X_1^{k+1}\} | X_1^k\right] \\ &= \mathbb{E}\{f(X_1^n) | X_1^k\} \\ &= Y_k.\end{aligned}$$

Likelihood ratio

- Let f and g be two mutually absolutely continuous density functions and $\{X_k\}_{k=1}^{\infty}$ be a sequence of random variables drawn i.i.d. according to density function f .

Likelihood ratio

- Let f and g be two mutually absolutely continuous density functions and $\{X_k\}_{k=1}^{\infty}$ be a sequence of random variables drawn i.i.d. according to density function f .
- For each $k \geq 1$, denote $Y_k = \prod_{i=1}^k \{g(X_i)/f(X_i)\}$.

Likelihood ratio

- Let f and g be two mutually absolutely continuous density functions and $\{X_k\}_{k=1}^\infty$ be a sequence of random variables drawn i.i.d. according to density function f .
- For each $k \geq 1$, denote $Y_k = \prod_{i=1}^k \{g(X_i)/f(X_i)\}$.
- Note that for each $i \geq 1$, one has that

$$\mathbb{E}\{g(X_i)/f(X_i)\} = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \{g(x)/f(x)\} f(x) dx = 1,$$

one has that for each $k \geq 1$, $\mathbb{E}(|Y_k|) < \infty$ and almost surely

$$\mathbb{E}(Y_{k+1}|X_1^k) = Y_k \mathbb{E}\{g(X_{k+1})/f(X_{k+1})\} = Y_k.$$

Martingale difference sequence

Definition 13 (Martingale difference sequence)

Let $\{D_k\}_{k=1}^\infty$ be a sequence of random variables adapted to the filtration $\{\mathcal{A}_k\}_{k=1}^\infty$. We say that $(D_k, \mathcal{A}_k)_{k=1}^\infty$ is a martingale difference sequence if for all $k \geq 1$, $\mathbb{E}(|D_k|) < \infty$ and almost surely

$$\mathbb{E}(D_{k+1} | \mathcal{A}_k) = 0.$$

- Let $(Y_k, \mathcal{A}_k)_{k=0}^\infty$ be a martingale. One can construct a martingale difference sequence $(D_k, \mathcal{A}_k)_{k=1}^\infty$ by setting $D_k = Y_k - Y_{k-1}$ for $k \geq 1$.

Concentration inequalities for martingale difference sequences

Theorem 14 (Sub-exponential bounds for martingale difference sequences)

Let $(D_k, \mathcal{A}_k)_{k=1}^{\infty}$ be a martingale difference sequence, and suppose that $\mathbb{E}(e^{\lambda D_k} | \mathcal{A}_{k-1}) \leq e^{\nu_k^2 \lambda^2 / 2}$ almost surely for $|\lambda| < \alpha_k^{-1}$. Then one has that:

- (1) $\sum_{k=1}^n D_k$ is sub-exponential with parameters (ν_*, α_*) , which is the same as the notation given in Proposition 7.
- (2) The sum satisfies the concentration inequality

$$\mathbb{P}\left\{\left|\sum_{k=1}^n D_k\right| \geq t\right\} \leq \begin{cases} 2e^{-\frac{t^2}{2\nu_*^2}} & , 0 < t \leq \frac{\nu_*^2}{\alpha_*} \\ 2e^{-\frac{t}{2\alpha_*}} & , t > \frac{\nu_*^2}{\alpha_*} \end{cases}.$$

Concentration inequalities for martingale difference sequences

Theorem 15 (Azuma–Hoeffding)

Let $(D_k, \mathcal{A}_k)_{k=1}^\infty$ be a martingale difference sequence for which there are constants $(a_k, b_k)_{k=1}^n$ such that $D_k \in [a_k, b_k]$ almost surely for all $k = 1, \dots, n$. Then one has that for all $t > 0$,

$$\mathbb{P}\left\{\left|\sum_{k=1}^n D_k\right| \geq t\right\} \leq 2 \exp\left\{-\frac{2t^2}{\sum_{i=1}^n (b_i - a_i)^2}\right\}.$$

Bounded differences inequality

Definition 16 (Bounded differences property)

Let $\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{x}' \in \mathbb{R}^n$ that their i -th element are x_i and x'_i respectively. Define $\mathbf{x}^{\sim k} \in \mathbb{R}^n$ via

$$x_i^{\sim k} = \begin{cases} x_i, & i \neq k \\ x'_k, & i = k \end{cases}.$$

Let $f : \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$. We say that f satisfies the bounded difference property with parameters (L_1, \dots, L_n) if for each $k = 1, \dots, n$,

$$|f(\mathbf{x}) - f(\mathbf{x}^{\sim k})| \leq L_k$$

for all $\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{x}' \in \mathbb{R}^n$.

Bounded differences inequality

Theorem 17 (Bounded differences inequality)

Let $\mathbf{X} = (X_1, \dots, X_n)^\top$ be a random vector with independent components and $f : \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ which satisfies the bounded difference property with parameters (L_1, \dots, L_n) . Then one has that for all $t > 0$,

$$\mathbb{P}\left\{\left|f(\mathbf{X}) - \mathbb{E}\{f(\mathbf{X})\}\right| \geq t\right\} \leq 2 \exp\left\{-\frac{2t^2}{\sum_{k=1}^n L_k^2}\right\}.$$

Classical Hoeffding from bounded differences

- Let $\{X_i\}_{i=1}^n$ be independent bounded random variables on $[a, b]$. Consider $f(\mathbf{x}) = \sum_{i=1}^n (x_i - \mu_i)$, where $\mu_i = \mathbb{E}(X_i)$.

Classical Hoeffding from bounded differences

- Let $\{X_i\}_{i=1}^n$ be independent bounded random variables on $[a, b]$. Consider $f(\mathbf{x}) = \sum_{i=1}^n (x_i - \mu_i)$, where $\mu_i = \mathbb{E}(X_i)$.
- We have that

$$|f(\mathbf{x}) - f(\mathbf{x}^{\sim k})| = |x_k - x'_k| \leq b - a.$$

Classical Hoeffding from bounded differences

- Let $\{X_i\}_{i=1}^n$ be independent bounded random variables on $[a, b]$. Consider $f(\mathbf{x}) = \sum_{i=1}^n (x_i - \mu_i)$, where $\mu_i = \mathbb{E}(X_i)$.
- We have that

$$|f(\mathbf{x}) - f(\mathbf{x}^{\sim k})| = |x_k - x'_k| \leq b - a.$$

- Then one has that for all $t > 0$,

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{P}\left\{\left|\sum_{i=1}^n (X_i - \mu_i)\right| \geq t\right\} &= \mathbb{P}\left\{|f(\mathbf{X}) - \mathbb{E}\{f(\mathbf{X})\}| \geq t\right\} \\ &\leq 2 \exp\left\{-\frac{2t^2}{n(b-a)^2}\right\}. \end{aligned}$$

U-statistics

- Let $\{X_i\}_{i=1}^n$ be i.i.d. random variables and $g : \mathbb{R}^2 \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be a symmetric function of its arguments.

U-statistics

- Let $\{X_i\}_{i=1}^n$ be i.i.d. random variables and $g : \mathbb{R}^2 \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be a symmetric function of its arguments.
- The quantity

$$U_n = \binom{n}{2}^{-1} \sum_{1 \leq i < j \leq n} g(X_i, X_j)$$

is called as a pairwise U-statistic, which is an unbiased estimator of $\mathbb{E}\{g(X_1, X_2)\}$.

U-statistics

- Let $\{X_i\}_{i=1}^n$ be i.i.d. random variables and $g : \mathbb{R}^2 \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be a symmetric function of its arguments.
- The quantity

$$U_n = \binom{n}{2}^{-1} \sum_{1 \leq i < j \leq n} g(X_i, X_j)$$

is called as a pairwise U-statistic, which is an unbiased estimator of $\mathbb{E}\{g(X_1, X_2)\}$.

- Assume that $\|g\|_\infty \leq b$ for some $b > 0$ and let

$$f(\mathbf{x}) = \binom{n}{2}^{-1} \sum_{1 \leq i < j \leq n} g(x_i, x_j).$$

U-statistics

- One has that

$$\begin{aligned} |f(\mathbf{x}) - f(\mathbf{x}^{\sim k})| &\leq \binom{n}{2}^{-1} \sum_{i \neq k} |g(x_i, x_k) - g(x_i, x'_k)| \\ &\leq \binom{n}{2}^{-1} (n-1) \times 2b \\ &= 4bn^{-1}. \end{aligned}$$

U-statistics

- One has that

$$\begin{aligned} |f(\mathbf{x}) - f(\mathbf{x}^{\sim k})| &\leq \binom{n}{2}^{-1} \sum_{i \neq k} |g(x_i, x_k) - g(x_i, x'_k)| \\ &\leq \binom{n}{2}^{-1} (n-1) \times 2b \\ &= 4bn^{-1}. \end{aligned}$$

- Then one has that for all $t > 0$,

$$\mathbb{P}\left\{|U_n - \mathbb{E}(U_n)| \geq t\right\} \leq 2e^{-nt^2/(8b^2)}.$$

Rademacher complexity

- Let $\{\varepsilon_k\}_{k=1}^n$ be independent Rademacher random variables and $\mathcal{A} \subseteq \mathbb{R}^n$ be a collection of vectors.

Rademacher complexity

- Let $\{\varepsilon_k\}_{k=1}^n$ be independent Rademacher random variables and $\mathcal{A} \subseteq \mathbb{R}^n$ be a collection of vectors.
- For $\mathbf{a} = (a_1, \dots, a_n)^\top \in \mathcal{A}$, define

$$Z(\mathcal{A}) = \sup_{\mathbf{a} \in \mathcal{A}} \sum_{k=1}^n a_k \varepsilon_k = \sup_{\mathbf{a} \in \mathcal{A}} \langle \mathbf{a}, \boldsymbol{\varepsilon} \rangle.$$

The quantity $\mathcal{R}(\mathcal{A}) = \mathbb{E}\{Z(\mathcal{A})\}$ is called as the Rademacher complexity of the collection \mathcal{A} .

Rademacher complexity

- Let $\{\varepsilon_k\}_{k=1}^n$ be independent Rademacher random variables and $\mathcal{A} \subseteq \mathbb{R}^n$ be a collection of vectors.
- For $\mathbf{a} = (a_1, \dots, a_n)^\top \in \mathcal{A}$, define

$$Z(\mathcal{A}) = \sup_{\mathbf{a} \in \mathcal{A}} \sum_{k=1}^n a_k \varepsilon_k = \sup_{\mathbf{a} \in \mathcal{A}} \langle \mathbf{a}, \boldsymbol{\varepsilon} \rangle.$$

The quantity $\mathcal{R}(\mathcal{A}) = \mathbb{E}\{Z(\mathcal{A})\}$ is called as the Rademacher complexity of the collection \mathcal{A} .

- Let $f(\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}) = \sup_{\mathbf{a} \in \mathcal{A}} \langle \mathbf{a}, \boldsymbol{\varepsilon} \rangle$. Since $f(\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}^{\sim k}) \geq \langle \mathbf{a}, \boldsymbol{\varepsilon}^{\sim k} \rangle$ for any $\mathbf{a} \in \mathcal{A}$, one has that

$$\langle \mathbf{a}, \boldsymbol{\varepsilon} \rangle - f(\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}^{\sim k}) \leq \langle \mathbf{a}, \boldsymbol{\varepsilon} - \boldsymbol{\varepsilon}^{\sim k} \rangle \leq a_k(\varepsilon_k - \varepsilon'_k) \leq 2|a_k|.$$

Rademacher complexity

- Taking the supremum over \mathcal{A} on both sides, one has that

$$f(\varepsilon) - f(\varepsilon^{\sim k}) \leq 2 \sup_{a \in \mathcal{A}} |a_k|$$

Rademacher complexity

- Taking the supremum over \mathcal{A} on both sides, one has that

$$f(\varepsilon) - f(\varepsilon^{\sim k}) \leq 2 \sup_{a \in \mathcal{A}} |a_k|$$

- By symmetry, one can conclude that f satisfies the bounded difference inequality in coordinate k with parameter $2 \sup_{a \in \mathcal{A}} |a_k|$.

Rademacher complexity

- Taking the supremum over \mathcal{A} on both sides, one has that

$$f(\varepsilon) - f(\varepsilon^{\sim k}) \leq 2 \sup_{a \in \mathcal{A}} |a_k|$$

- By symmetry, one can conclude that f satisfies the bounded difference inequality in coordinate k with parameter $2 \sup_{a \in \mathcal{A}} |a_k|$.

- Hence, $Z(\mathcal{A})$ is sub-Gaussian with parameter

$$\sqrt{\sum_{k=1}^n \sup_{a \in \mathcal{A}} a_k^2}.$$

- 1 Introduction
- 2 Classical bounds
- 3 Martingale-based methods
- 4 Lipschitz functions of Gaussian variables**

Lipschitz functions

Definition 18 (Lipschitz functions)

Let $f : \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ and $L > 0$. We say that f is L -Lipschitz with respect to the Euclidean norm $\|\cdot\|_2$ if

$$|f(\mathbf{x}) - f(\mathbf{y})| \leq L \|\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{y}\|_2$$

for all $\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y} \in \mathbb{R}^n$.

Lipschitz functions of Gaussian variables

Theorem 19 (Concentration properties of Lipschitz functions of Gaussian variables)

Let $\mathbf{X} = (X_1, \dots, X_n)^\top$ be a vector of i.i.d. standard Gaussian variables and $f : \mathbb{R}_n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be L -Lipschitz with respect to the Euclidean norm. Then $f(\mathbf{X}) - \mathbb{E}\{f(\mathbf{X})\}$ is sub-Gaussian with parameter L and for all $t > 0$,

$$\mathbb{P}\left\{\left|f(\mathbf{X}) - \mathbb{E}\{f(\mathbf{X})\}\right| \geq t\right\} \leq 2 \exp\left\{-\frac{t^2}{2L^2}\right\}.$$

- I will prove a weaker version that the sub-Gaussian parameter is $\pi L/2$ when f is both Lipschitz and differentiable.

Gaussian complexity

- Let $\{\varepsilon_k\}_{k=1}^n$ be independent $\mathcal{N}(0, 1)$ random variables and $\mathcal{A} \subseteq \mathbb{R}^n$ be a collection of vectors.

Gaussian complexity

- Let $\{\varepsilon_k\}_{k=1}^n$ be independent $\mathcal{N}(0, 1)$ random variables and $\mathcal{A} \subseteq \mathbb{R}^n$ be a collection of vectors.
- For $\mathbf{a} = (a_1, \dots, a_n)^T \in \mathcal{A}$, define

$$Z(\mathcal{A}) = \sup_{\mathbf{a} \in \mathcal{A}} \sum_{k=1}^n a_k \varepsilon_k = \sup_{\mathbf{a} \in \mathcal{A}} \langle \mathbf{a}, \boldsymbol{\varepsilon} \rangle.$$

The quantity $\mathcal{G}(\mathcal{A}) = \mathbb{E}\{Z(\mathcal{A})\}$ is called as the Gaussian complexity of the collection \mathcal{A} .

Gaussian complexity

- Let $\{\varepsilon_k\}_{k=1}^n$ be independent $\mathcal{N}(0, 1)$ random variables and $\mathcal{A} \subseteq \mathbb{R}^n$ be a collection of vectors.
- For $\mathbf{a} = (a_1, \dots, a_n)^\top \in \mathcal{A}$, define

$$Z(\mathcal{A}) = \sup_{\mathbf{a} \in \mathcal{A}} \sum_{k=1}^n a_k \varepsilon_k = \sup_{\mathbf{a} \in \mathcal{A}} \langle \mathbf{a}, \boldsymbol{\varepsilon} \rangle.$$

The quantity $\mathcal{G}(\mathcal{A}) = \mathbb{E}\{Z(\mathcal{A})\}$ is called as the Gaussian complexity of the collection \mathcal{A} .

- Let $f(\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}) = \sup_{\mathbf{a} \in \mathcal{A}} \langle \mathbf{a}, \boldsymbol{\varepsilon} \rangle$. One has that

$$\langle \mathbf{a}, \boldsymbol{\varepsilon} \rangle = \langle \mathbf{a}, \boldsymbol{\varepsilon}' \rangle + \langle \mathbf{a}, \boldsymbol{\varepsilon} - \boldsymbol{\varepsilon}' \rangle \leq f(\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}') + \left(\sup_{\mathbf{a} \in \mathcal{A}} \|\mathbf{a}\|_2 \right) \|\boldsymbol{\varepsilon} - \boldsymbol{\varepsilon}'\|_2.$$

Gaussian complexity

- Taking the supremum over \mathcal{A} on both sides, one has that

$$f(\varepsilon) - f(\varepsilon') \leq \left(\sup_{\mathbf{a} \in \mathcal{A}} \|\mathbf{a}\|_2 \right) \|\varepsilon - \varepsilon'\|_2.$$

Gaussian complexity

- Taking the supremum over \mathcal{A} on both sides, one has that

$$f(\varepsilon) - f(\varepsilon') \leq \left(\sup_{\mathbf{a} \in \mathcal{A}} \|\mathbf{a}\|_2 \right) \|\varepsilon - \varepsilon'\|_2.$$

- By symmetry, one has that f is a $\sup_{\mathbf{a} \in \mathcal{A}} \|\mathbf{a}\|_2$ -Lipschitz function. Then $Z(\mathcal{A})$ is sub-Gaussian with parameter $\sup_{\mathbf{a} \in \mathcal{A}} \|\mathbf{a}\|_2$.

Gaussian chaos variables

- Let $\mathbf{A} \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times n}$ be a symmetric matrix with $\mathbf{A} = (a_{ij})_{n \times n}$ and \mathbf{X}, \mathbf{Y} be independent $\mathcal{N}(\mathbf{0}, \mathbf{I}_n)$ random vectors with $\mathbf{X} = (X_1, \dots, X_n)^\top$ and $\mathbf{Y} = (Y_1, \dots, Y_n)^\top$. Define

$$Z_n = \sum_{i=1}^n \sum_{j=1}^n a_{ij} X_i Y_j = \mathbf{X}^\top \mathbf{A} \mathbf{Y},$$

called as a (decoupled) Gaussian chaos.

Gaussian chaos variables

- Let $\mathbf{A} \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times n}$ be a symmetric matrix with $\mathbf{A} = (a_{ij})_{n \times n}$ and \mathbf{X}, \mathbf{Y} be independent $\mathcal{N}(\mathbf{0}, \mathbf{I}_n)$ random vectors with $\mathbf{X} = (X_1, \dots, X_n)^\top$ and $\mathbf{Y} = (Y_1, \dots, Y_n)^\top$. Define

$$Z_n = \sum_{i=1}^n \sum_{j=1}^n a_{ij} X_i Y_j = \mathbf{X}^\top \mathbf{A} \mathbf{Y},$$

called as a (decoupled) Gaussian chaos.

- One has that $\mathbb{E}(Z_n) = 0$, so it is natural to seek a tail bound on Z_n .

Gaussian chaos variables

- Condition on \mathbf{X} , one has that Z_n is a mean zero Gaussian random variable with variance $\mathbf{X}^\top \mathbf{A}^2 \mathbf{X} = \|\mathbf{A}\mathbf{X}\|_2^2$. Then one has that for all $\delta > 0$,

$$\mathbb{P}(|Z_n| \geq \delta | \mathbf{X}) \leq 2 \exp \left\{ -\frac{\delta^2}{2\|\mathbf{A}\mathbf{X}\|_2^2} \right\}.$$

Gaussian chaos variables

- Condition on \mathbf{X} , one has that Z_n is a mean zero Gaussian random variable with variance $\mathbf{X}^\top \mathbf{A}^2 \mathbf{X} = \|\mathbf{A}\mathbf{X}\|_2^2$. Then one has that for all $\delta > 0$,

$$\mathbb{P}(|Z_n| \geq \delta | \mathbf{X}) \leq 2 \exp \left\{ -\frac{\delta^2}{2\|\mathbf{A}\mathbf{X}\|_2^2} \right\}.$$

- Let $f(\mathbf{x}) = \|\mathbf{A}\mathbf{x}\|_2$. One can easily show that f is a $\|\mathbf{A}\|_{\text{op}}$ -Lipschitz function, where

$$\|\mathbf{A}\|_{\text{op}} = \max_{\|\mathbf{x}\|_2=1} \|\mathbf{A}\mathbf{x}\|_2.$$

Gaussian chaos variables

- By Jensen's inequality, one has that

$$\mathbb{E}(\|\mathbf{A}\mathbf{X}\|_2) \leq \sqrt{\mathbb{E}(\|\mathbf{A}\mathbf{X}\|_2^2)} = \sqrt{\sum_{i=1}^n \sum_{j=1}^n a_{ij}^2} = \|\mathbf{A}\|_F.$$

Gaussian chaos variables

- By Jensen's inequality, one has that

$$\mathbb{E}(\|\mathbf{A}\mathbf{X}\|_2) \leq \sqrt{\mathbb{E}(\|\mathbf{A}\mathbf{X}\|_2^2)} = \sqrt{\sum_{i=1}^n \sum_{j=1}^n a_{ij}^2} = \|\mathbf{A}\|_F.$$

- Then for all $t > 0$, one has that

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{P}(\|\mathbf{A}\mathbf{X}\|_2 \geq \|\mathbf{A}\|_F + t) &\leq \mathbb{P}\left\{\|\mathbf{A}\mathbf{X}\|_2 \geq \mathbb{E}(\|\mathbf{A}\mathbf{X}\|_2) + t\right\} \\ &\leq 2 \exp\left\{-\frac{t^2}{2\|\mathbf{A}\|_{\text{op}}^2}\right\}. \end{aligned}$$

Gaussian chaos variables

- By taking $t^2 = \delta \|\mathbf{A}\|_{\text{op}}$ and note that

$$(\|\mathbf{A}\|_{\text{F}} + t)^2 \leq 2\|\mathbf{A}\|_{\text{F}}^2 + 2t^2,$$

one has that

$$\mathbb{P}(\|\mathbf{A}\mathbf{X}\|_2^2 \geq 2\|\mathbf{A}\|_{\text{F}}^2 + 2\delta\|\mathbf{A}\|_{\text{op}}) \leq 2 \exp \left\{ -\frac{\delta}{2\|\mathbf{A}\|_{\text{op}}} \right\}.$$

Gaussian chaos variables

- By taking $t^2 = \delta \|\mathbf{A}\|_{\text{op}}$ and note that

$$(\|\mathbf{A}\|_{\text{F}} + t)^2 \leq 2\|\mathbf{A}\|_{\text{F}}^2 + 2t^2,$$

one has that

$$\mathbb{P}(\|\mathbf{A}\mathbf{X}\|_2^2 \geq 2\|\mathbf{A}\|_{\text{F}}^2 + 2\delta\|\mathbf{A}\|_{\text{op}}) \leq 2 \exp \left\{ -\frac{\delta}{2\|\mathbf{A}\|_{\text{op}}} \right\}.$$

- Finally, one has that

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{P}(|Z_n| \geq \delta) &= \mathbb{E} \left\{ \mathbb{P}(|Z_n| \geq \delta | \mathbf{X}) \right\} \\ &\leq 2 \exp \left\{ -\frac{\delta^2}{4\|\mathbf{A}\|_{\text{F}}^2 + 4\delta\|\mathbf{A}\|_{\text{op}}} \right\} + 2 \exp \left\{ -\frac{\delta}{2\|\mathbf{A}\|_{\text{op}}} \right\} \\ &\leq 4 \exp \left\{ -\frac{\delta^2}{4\|\mathbf{A}\|_{\text{F}}^2 + 4\delta\|\mathbf{A}\|_{\text{op}}} \right\}. \end{aligned}$$

Thank You