

# Minimax Lower Bounds

Jiuzhou Miao

April 23, 2025

*Proof of Proposition 1.* By using Markov's inequality, one has that

$$\mathbb{E}_{\mathbb{P}} \left\{ \phi \left( \varrho(\hat{\theta}, \theta(\mathbb{P})) \right) \right\} \geq \phi(\delta) \mathbb{P} \left\{ \phi \left( \varrho(\hat{\theta}, \theta(\mathbb{P})) \right) \geq \phi(\delta) \right\} \geq \phi(\delta) \mathbb{P} \left\{ \varrho(\hat{\theta}, \theta(\mathbb{P})) \geq \delta \right\}.$$

Thus, it suffices to lower bound the quantity

$$\sup_{\mathbb{P} \in \mathcal{P}} \mathbb{P} \left\{ \varrho(\hat{\theta}, \theta(\mathbb{P})) \geq \delta \right\}.$$

Note that

$$\begin{aligned} \sup_{\mathbb{P} \in \mathcal{P}} \mathbb{P} \left\{ \varrho(\hat{\theta}, \theta(\mathbb{P})) \geq \delta \right\} &\geq \max_{1 \leq j \leq M} \mathbb{P}_{\theta_j} \left\{ \varrho(\hat{\theta}, \theta_j) \geq \delta \right\} \\ &\geq M^{-1} \sum_{j=1}^M \mathbb{P}_{\theta_j} \left\{ \varrho(\hat{\theta}, \theta_j) \geq \delta \right\} \\ &= \sum_{j=1}^M \mathbb{Q}(J = j) \mathbb{Q} \left\{ \varrho(\hat{\theta}, \theta_j) \geq \delta \mid J = j \right\} \\ &= \mathbb{Q} \left\{ \varrho(\hat{\theta}, \theta_J) \geq \delta \right\}, \end{aligned}$$

so we have reduced the problem to lower bounding the quantity  $\mathbb{Q} \left\{ \varrho(\hat{\theta}, \theta_J) \geq \delta \right\}$ .

Note that any estimator  $\hat{\theta}$  can be used to define a test via

$$\psi(Z) = \arg \min_{1 \leq l \leq M} \varrho(\theta_l, \hat{\theta}).$$

Suppose that the true parameter is  $\theta_j$ , then the event  $\left\{ \varrho(\hat{\theta}, \theta_j) < \delta \right\}$  ensures that  $\psi(Z) = j$  because for any other  $1 \leq k \leq M$  and  $k \neq j$ , one has that

$$\varrho(\theta_k, \hat{\theta}) \geq \varrho(\theta_k, \theta_j) - \varrho(\theta_j, \hat{\theta}) > 2\delta - \delta = \delta.$$

Therefore, one has that

$$\mathbb{Q} \left\{ \varrho(\hat{\theta}, \theta_J) \geq \delta \right\} = M^{-1} \sum_{j=1}^M \mathbb{P}_{\theta_j} \left\{ \varrho(\hat{\theta}, \theta_j) \geq \delta \right\} \geq \mathbb{Q} \left\{ \psi(Z) \neq J \right\}.$$

□

---

*Proof of Proposition 2.* We only prove the first equality. Let  $A_0 = \{q \geq p\}$ . Note that

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{A_0^c} (p - q) d\nu &= \int_{A_0^c} p d\nu - \int_{A_0^c} q d\nu \\ &= 1 - \int_{A_0} p d\nu - 1 + \int_{A_0} q d\nu \\ &= \int_{A_0} (q - p) d\nu, \end{aligned}$$

one has that

$$\int_{\mathcal{X}} |p - q| d\nu = \int_{A_0^c} (p - q) d\nu + \int_{A_0} (q - p) d\nu = 2 \int_{A_0} (q - p) d\nu.$$

Then

$$\begin{aligned} \text{TV}(\mathbb{P} \parallel \mathbb{Q}) &= \sup_{A \in \mathcal{A}} \left| \int_A (p - q) d\nu \right| \\ &\geq \int_{A_0} (q - p) d\nu \\ &= 2^{-1} \int_{\mathcal{X}} |p - q| d\nu. \end{aligned}$$

On the other hand, for any  $A \in \mathcal{A}$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} \text{TV}(\mathbb{P} \parallel \mathbb{Q}) &= \sup_{A \in \mathcal{A}} \left| \int_A (p - q) d\nu \right| \\ &= \sup_{A \in \mathcal{A}} \left| \int_A (p - q) \mathbb{I}_{A_0} d\nu + \int_A (p - q) \mathbb{I}_{A_0^c} d\nu \right| \\ &= \max \left\{ \sup_{A \in \mathcal{A}} \int_A (q - p) \mathbb{I}_{A_0} d\nu, \sup_{A \in \mathcal{A}} \int_A (p - q) \mathbb{I}_{A_0^c} d\nu \right\} \\ &\leq \max \left\{ \int_{A_0} (q - p) d\nu, \int_{A_0^c} (p - q) d\nu \right\} \\ &= 2^{-1} \int_{\mathcal{X}} |p - q| d\nu. \end{aligned}$$

□

*Proof of Proposition 3.* Let  $h(x) = x \log x - x + 1$  for  $x \geq 0$ , where  $0 \log 0 := 0$ . We claim that

$$3^{-1}(4 + 2x)h(x) \geq (x - 1)^2$$

for all  $x \geq 0$ . Then one has that

$$\begin{aligned}
\text{TV}(\mathbb{P} \parallel \mathbb{Q}) &= 2^{-1} \int_{\mathcal{X}} |p - q| d\nu \\
&= 2^{-1} \int_{\mathcal{X}} |p/q - 1| q d\nu \\
&\leq 2^{-1} \int_{\mathcal{X}} q \sqrt{3^{-1}(4 + 2p/q)h(p/q)} d\nu \\
&= 2^{-1} \int_{\mathcal{X}} \sqrt{3^{-1}(4q + 2p)qh(p/q)} d\nu \\
&\leq 2^{-1} \sqrt{\int_{\mathcal{X}} 3^{-1}(4q + 2p) d\nu} \sqrt{\int_{\mathcal{X}} qh(p/q) d\nu} \\
&= \sqrt{2^{-1} \int_{\mathcal{X}} qh(p/q) d\nu} \\
&= \sqrt{2^{-1} \text{KL}(\mathbb{P} \parallel \mathbb{Q})}.
\end{aligned}$$

□

*Proof of Proposition 4.* Note that

$$\begin{aligned}
p \vee q &= 2^{-1}(p + q + |p - q|), \\
p \wedge q &= 2^{-1}(p + q - |p - q|),
\end{aligned}$$

one has that

$$\int_{\mathcal{X}} (p \vee q) d\nu + \int_{\mathcal{X}} (p \wedge q) d\nu = 2.$$

Then

$$\begin{aligned}
\{1 - 2^{-1} \text{H}^2(\mathbb{P} \parallel \mathbb{Q})\}^2 &= \left( \int_{\mathcal{X}} \sqrt{pq} d\nu \right)^2 \\
&= \left\{ \int_{\mathcal{X}} \sqrt{(p \vee q)(p \wedge q)} d\nu \right\}^2 \\
&\leq \int_{\mathcal{X}} (p \vee q) d\nu \int_{\mathcal{X}} (p \wedge q) d\nu \\
&= \int_{\mathcal{X}} (p \wedge q) d\nu \left\{ 2 - \int_{\mathcal{X}} (p \wedge q) d\nu \right\} \\
&= \{1 - \text{TV}(\mathbb{P} \parallel \mathbb{Q})\} \{1 + \text{TV}(\mathbb{P} \parallel \mathbb{Q})\} \\
&= 1 - \text{TV}^2(\mathbb{P} \parallel \mathbb{Q})
\end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}
\text{TV}^2(\mathbb{P} \parallel \mathbb{Q}) &\leq 1 - \{1 - 2^{-1} \text{H}^2(\mathbb{P} \parallel \mathbb{Q})\}^2 \\
&= 2^{-1} \text{H}^2(\mathbb{P} \parallel \mathbb{Q}) \{2 - 2^{-1} \text{H}^2(\mathbb{P} \parallel \mathbb{Q})\} \\
&= \text{H}^2(\mathbb{P} \parallel \mathbb{Q}) \{1 - 4^{-1} \text{H}^2(\mathbb{P} \parallel \mathbb{Q})\}.
\end{aligned}$$

□

*Proof of Theorem 5.* For any estimator  $\hat{\theta}$ , define

$$V_j(\hat{\theta}) = 2^{-1} \delta^{-1} \inf_{\mathbb{P}_j \in \mathcal{P}_j} \varrho(\hat{\theta}, \theta(\mathbb{P}_j))$$

for  $j = 0, 1$ . One has that

$$\begin{aligned} \sup_{\mathbb{P} \in \mathcal{P}} \mathbb{E}_{\mathbb{P}} \left\{ \varrho(\hat{\theta}, \theta(\mathbb{P})) \right\} &\geq 2^{-1} \mathbb{E}_{\mathbb{P}_0} \left\{ \varrho(\hat{\theta}, \theta(\mathbb{P}_0)) \right\} + 2^{-1} \mathbb{E}_{\mathbb{P}_1} \left\{ \varrho(\hat{\theta}, \theta(\mathbb{P}_1)) \right\} \\ &\geq \delta \mathbb{E}_{\mathbb{P}_0} \{V_0(\hat{\theta})\} + \delta \mathbb{E}_{\mathbb{P}_1} \{V_1(\hat{\theta})\}. \end{aligned}$$

Since the right-hand side is linear in  $\mathbb{P}_0$  and  $\mathbb{P}_1$ , we can take suprema over the convex hulls and thus obtain the lower bound

$$\sup_{\mathbb{P} \in \mathcal{P}} \mathbb{E}_{\mathbb{P}} \left\{ \varrho(\hat{\theta}, \theta(\mathbb{P})) \right\} \geq \delta \sup_{\mathbb{P}_j \in \text{conv}(\mathcal{P}_j), j=0,1} \left[ \mathbb{E}_{\mathbb{P}_0} \{V_0(\hat{\theta})\} + \mathbb{E}_{\mathbb{P}_1} \{V_1(\hat{\theta})\} \right].$$

By the triangle inequality, one has that

$$\varrho(\hat{\theta}, \theta(\mathbb{P}_0)) + \varrho(\hat{\theta}, \theta(\mathbb{P}_1)) \geq \varrho(\theta(\mathbb{P}_0), \theta(\mathbb{P}_1)) \geq 2\delta.$$

Then infima over  $\mathbb{P}_j \in \mathcal{P}_j$  for each  $j = 0, 1$ ,

$$\inf_{\mathbb{P}_0 \in \mathcal{P}_0} \varrho(\hat{\theta}, \theta(\mathbb{P}_0)) + \inf_{\mathbb{P}_1 \in \mathcal{P}_1} \varrho(\hat{\theta}, \theta(\mathbb{P}_1)) \geq 2\delta,$$

which is equivalent to  $V_0(\hat{\theta}) + V_1(\hat{\theta}) \geq 1$ . Since  $V_j(\hat{\theta}) \geq 0$  for  $j = 0, 1$ , the variational representation of the TV distance implies that

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{E}_{\mathbb{P}_0} \{V_0(\hat{\theta})\} + \mathbb{E}_{\mathbb{P}_1} \{V_1(\hat{\theta})\} &\geq 1 + \mathbb{E}_{\mathbb{P}_0} \{V_0(\hat{\theta})\} - \mathbb{E}_{\mathbb{P}_1} \{V_0(\hat{\theta})\} \\ &\geq 1 - \text{TV}(\mathbb{P}_0 \| \mathbb{P}_1). \end{aligned}$$

□

**Lemma 1.** Let  $g(x) = h(x) + x \log\{(M-1)\}$  with  $h(x) = -x \log x - (1-x) \log(1-x)$ . For  $\{p_j\}_{j=1}^M$  satisfy  $p_j \geq 0$  for all  $1 \leq j \leq M$  and  $\sum_{j=1}^M p_j = 1$ , one has that

$$g\left(\sum_{j \neq i} p_j\right) \geq -\sum_{j=1}^M p_j \log p_j.$$

*Proof.*

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{j=1}^M p_j \log p_j &= p_i \log p_i + \sum_{j \neq i} p_j \log p_j \\ &= p_i \log p_i + \left(\sum_{j \neq i} p_j\right) \log \left(\sum_{j \neq i} p_j\right) + \sum_{j \neq i} p_j \log \frac{p_j}{\sum_{j \neq i} p_j} \\ &= -h\left(\sum_{j \neq i} p_j\right) - \sum_{j \neq i} \left(1 - \sum_{j \neq i} p_j\right) \log \frac{\sum_{j \neq i} p_j}{1 - \sum_{j \neq i} p_j} \\ &\geq -h\left(\sum_{j \neq i} p_j\right) - \log(M-1) \\ &\geq -g\left(\sum_{j \neq i} p_j\right). \end{aligned}$$

□

---

*Proof of Theorem 6.* Let  $h(x) = -x \log x - (1-x) \log(1-x)$  and denote  $q_e = \mathbb{Q}(\psi(Z) \neq J)$ . We will show that

$$g(q_e) = h(q_e) + q_e \log(M-1) \geq \log M - \mathcal{I}(Z, J).$$

Then by using that  $h(q_e) \leq \log 2$ , one has that

$$\log 2 + q_e \log(M-1) \geq g(q_e) \geq \log M - \mathcal{I}(Z, J)$$

and

$$q_e \geq \frac{\log M - \log 2 - \mathcal{I}(Z, J)}{\log(M-1)} \geq 1 - \frac{\log 2 + \mathcal{I}(Z, J)}{\log M}.$$

Note that

$$\begin{aligned} q_e &= M^{-1} \sum_{j=1}^M \mathbb{P}_{\theta_j} \{\psi(Z) \neq j\} \\ &= M^{-1} \sum_{j=1}^M \int \mathbb{I}\{\psi(Z) \neq j\} d\mathbb{P}_{\theta_j} \\ &= \int \sum_{j=1}^M \mathbb{I}\{\psi(Z) \neq j\} \frac{d\mathbb{P}_{\theta_j}}{M d\bar{\mathbb{Q}}} d\bar{\mathbb{Q}} \\ &= \mathbb{E}_{\bar{\mathbb{Q}}} \left( \sum_{j=1}^M \mathbb{I}\{\psi(Z) \neq j\} \frac{d\mathbb{P}_{\theta_j}}{M d\bar{\mathbb{Q}}} \right) \\ &= \mathbb{E}_{\bar{\mathbb{Q}}} \left( \sum_{j \neq \psi(Z)} \frac{d\mathbb{P}_{\theta_j}}{M d\bar{\mathbb{Q}}} \right). \end{aligned}$$

By using Lemma 1, one has that

$$\begin{aligned} g(q_e) &= g \left( \mathbb{E}_{\bar{\mathbb{Q}}} \left( \sum_{j \neq \psi(Z)} \frac{d\mathbb{P}_{\theta_j}}{M d\bar{\mathbb{Q}}} \right) \right) \\ &\geq \mathbb{E}_{\bar{\mathbb{Q}}} \left\{ g \left( \sum_{j \neq \psi(Z)} \frac{d\mathbb{P}_{\theta_j}}{M d\bar{\mathbb{Q}}} \right) \right\} \\ &\geq -\mathbb{E}_{\bar{\mathbb{Q}}} \left( \sum_{j=1}^M \frac{d\mathbb{P}_{\theta_j}}{M d\bar{\mathbb{Q}}} \log \frac{d\mathbb{P}_{\theta_j}}{M d\bar{\mathbb{Q}}} \right) \\ &= \log M - \mathcal{I}(Z, J). \end{aligned}$$

*Proof of Proposition 7.* For any other distribution  $\tilde{\mathbb{Q}}$ , one has that

$$\begin{aligned} &M^{-1} \sum_{j=1}^M \text{KL}(\mathbb{P}_{\theta_j} \| \bar{\mathbb{Q}}) - M^{-1} \sum_{j=1}^M \text{KL}(\mathbb{P}_{\theta_j} \| \tilde{\mathbb{Q}}) \\ &= M^{-1} \sum_{j=1}^M \int \log \frac{d\tilde{\mathbb{Q}}}{d\bar{\mathbb{Q}}} d\mathbb{P}_{\theta_j} \\ &= \int \log \frac{d\tilde{\mathbb{Q}}}{d\bar{\mathbb{Q}}} d\bar{\mathbb{Q}} \\ &\leq 0, \end{aligned}$$

---

then one has that

$$\mathcal{I}(Z, J) = M^{-1} \sum_{j=1}^M \text{KL}(\mathbb{P}_{\theta_j} \| \bar{\mathbb{Q}}) \leq M^{-1} \sum_{j=1}^M \text{KL}(\mathbb{P}_{\theta_j} \| \tilde{\mathbb{Q}}) \leq \max_{1 \leq j \leq M} \text{KL}(\mathbb{P}_{\theta_j} \| \tilde{\mathbb{Q}}).$$

Let  $\{\gamma_1, \gamma_N\}$  be an  $\epsilon$ -covering of parameter space  $\Omega$  in the square-root KL divergence and set  $\tilde{\mathbb{Q}} = N^{-1} \sum_{k=1}^N \mathbb{P}_{\gamma_k}$ . For each  $\theta_j$ ,  $1 \leq j \leq M$ , one can find some  $\gamma_k$  such that  $\text{KL}(\mathbb{P}_{\theta_j} \| \mathbb{P}_{\gamma_k}) \leq \epsilon^2$ . Then

$$\begin{aligned} \text{KL}(\mathbb{P}_{\theta_j} \| \tilde{\mathbb{Q}}) &= \int \log \frac{d\mathbb{P}_{\theta_j}}{N^{-1} \sum_{k=1}^N d\mathbb{P}_{\gamma_k}} d\mathbb{P}_{\theta_j} \\ &\leq \int \log \frac{d\mathbb{P}_{\theta_j}}{N^{-1} d\mathbb{P}_{\gamma_k}} d\mathbb{P}_{\theta_j} \\ &= \text{KL}(\mathbb{P}_{\theta_j} \| \mathbb{P}_{\gamma_k}) + \log N \\ &\leq \epsilon^2 + \log N. \end{aligned}$$

□

□