

AI Study Platform – Concept Summary

Overview

An **AI-powered study website/app** designed to help students learn more effectively, complete homework, and improve grades.

Platform: Website or mobile app

Target Users:

- High school students
 - Students applying for college
 - Students taking hard classes
 - Students struggling academically or personally
-

Purpose Statement

To assist students by providing **instant academic support** through AI tools that help them learn efficiently, complete assignments, and improve academic performance.

Core Features

- AI chat for study help and explanations
 - Upload study materials or files
 - Save progress through a login system
 - Create flashcards and study guides
 - Folder system to organize classes and subjects
 - Essay analyzer: upload essays so AI learns your writing style for consistent tone
 - Prototype: basic chat + file upload + data saving + flashcards/study guide creation
-

User Feedback

What would you add? (3 responses)

1. Nothing, it's perfect.
2. "An area where you put essays so the AI writes in your style."
3. (Joking response) "67."

Would you use it? Why or why not? (3 responses)

1. "Yes, it's fun to talk to AI."
2. "Yes, because Human Geography is boring."
3. "67."

What would be a good AI limit (word or prompt limit)? (3 responses)

1. 1000 words
2. "Unlimited, because why not."
3. "67767667 — Jivesh is smart (note: Mohit disagrees and believes Jivesh is the supreme lord instead)."

How would you feel about it? (3 responses)

1. "Yes."
2. "Really good."
3. "I would feel less stressed."

What tools should be added? (3 responses)

1. Folder system to organize classes3/3
 2. Chat with AI3/3
 3. Flashcard / study guide creator3/3
 4. (Joke) "Blackmail creator" – unethical, not included 3/3
 5. All of the above3/3
-

Key Takeaways

- Students are interested in using AI to study.
- The app should focus on **fun, ease, and stress reduction**.
- "Blackmail creator" = **unethical**, not a viable feature.
- AI study tools are viewed positively when used responsibly.

Feedback

What is your name*

Graham Schoenfeld

Questions

Did you like it*

Yes

No

Maybe

Did you like the formatting of the site what can I improve *

Make it not as chunky for lack of better words

Were the animations good*

No

Was the layout cluttered why*

No

Any other feedback*

Please make this better

What is your name*

Kevin Autry

Questions

Did you like it*

Yes

No

Maybe

Did you like the formatting of the site what can I improve *

Make the art of stupid smart

Were the animations good*

No.

Was the layout cluttered why*

Not really

Any other feedback*

Make bad ai good

Bad ai site form

* Indicates required question

What is your name*

Yousuf

Questions

Did you like it*

Yes

No

Maybe

Did you like the formatting of the site what can I improve *

No. Get a clear idea of what u want your website to be

Were the animations good*

Yes

Was the layout cluttered why*

No

Any other feedback*

Nah

Feedback

Add CTA

Add descriptions

Make less blocky

Make the AI useful

Area

Improvement

Frame Naming

Rename for clarity (Landing, Dashboard, Chat, etc.)

Visual Hierarchy	Define clear heading/body text scale
Accessibility	Improve color contrast and spacing consistency
Flow Organization	Break into subflows (Onboarding, Dashboard, etc.)
Interaction Feedback	Add hover/loading states for realism
Branding	Use one strong accent color for CTA



1. Feedback Summary

User	Liked It?	Formatting Feedback	Animations	Layout Cluttered?	Other Comments
Graham Schoenfeld	Maybe	“Make it not as chunky.”	No	No	“Please make this better.”
Kevin Autry	Maybe	“Make the art of stupid smart.”	No	Not really	“Make bad AI good.”
Yousuf	Yes	“Get a clear idea of what you want your website to be.”	Yes	No	“Nah.”

2. Identified Feedback Patterns

Pattern 1: Design Clarity & Refinement

- Users consistently mentioned the design felt “chunky”, “unclear”, or “needs to be better organized.”
- This suggests visual heaviness (too many large elements, spacing issues, or unclear structure).
- Related quotes:
 - Graham: “Make it not as chunky.”
 - Yousuf: “Get a clear idea of what you want your website to be.”

Interpretation:

Users perceive the site as lacking a cohesive visual and conceptual direction. The overall look and purpose could be simplified and clarified.

Pattern 2: Weak Animation / Interactivity Experience

- Two out of three users explicitly said “No” when asked if the animations were good.
- Feedback such as “Please make this better” and “Make bad AI good” also indirectly reflects dissatisfaction with interactive polish.

Interpretation:

Animations and transitions may feel jerky, slow, or non-intuitive, reducing perceived quality. There's a need for smoother micro-interactions and better timing/easing curves.