## APPENDIX

The proof of Theorem 2 relies on Lemmas 1 and 2. The first lemma shows that the standard deviation of power flow related to customer i is at least as much as  $\sigma_i$ . Therefore, by specifying  $\sigma_i$ , the DSO attains the desired degree of randomization.

**Lemma 1.** If OPF mechanism (4) returns optimal solution, then  $\sigma_{\ell}$  is the lower bound on  $Std[\tilde{f}_{\ell}^p]$ .

*Proof.* Consider a single flow perturbation with  $\xi_{\ell} \sim \mathcal{N}(0, \sigma_{\ell}^2)$  and  $\xi_j = 0, \ \forall j \in \mathcal{L} \setminus \ell$ . The standards deviation of active power flow (3b) in optimum finds as

$$\operatorname{Std}\left[f_{\ell}^{p} - \left[\rho_{\ell}^{p} + \sum_{j \in \mathcal{D}_{\ell}} \rho_{j}^{p}\right] \xi\right] = \operatorname{Std}\left[\left[\rho_{\ell}^{p} + \sum_{j \in \mathcal{D}_{\ell}} \rho_{j}^{p}\right] \xi\right] = \operatorname{Std}\left[\sum_{j \in \mathcal{D}_{\ell}} \alpha_{j\ell} \xi_{\ell}\right] \stackrel{\text{(2b)}}{=} \operatorname{Std}\left[\xi_{\ell}\right] = \sigma_{\ell},\tag{9}$$

where the second to the last equality follows from balancing conditions (2b). As for any pair  $(\ell,j) \in \mathcal{L}$  the covariance matrix returns  $\Sigma_{\ell,j} = 0$ ,  $\sigma_{\ell}$  is a lower bound on  $\mathrm{Std}[\tilde{f}^p_{\ell}]$  in the optimum for any additional perturbation in the network.

**Remark 1.** The result of Lemma 1 holds independently from the choice of objective function and is solely driven by the feasibility conditions.

The second lemma shows that  $\beta_i \geqslant \Delta_i^{\beta}$ , i.e., if  $\sigma_i$  is parameterized by  $\beta_i$ , then  $\sigma_i$  is also parameterized by sensitivity  $\Delta_i^{\beta}$ .

**Lemma 2.** Let D and D' be two adjacent datasets differing in at most one load  $d_i^p$  by at most  $\beta_i > 0$ . Then,

$$\Delta_i^{\beta} = \max_{\ell \in \mathcal{L}} \|\mathcal{M}(D)|_{f_{\ell}^p} - \mathcal{M}(D')|_{f_{\ell}^p}\|_2 \leqslant \beta_i$$

where the notation  $\mathcal{M}(\cdot)|_{f_{\ell}^p}$  denotes the value of the optimal active power flow on line  $\ell$  returned by the computation  $\mathcal{M}(\cdot)$ .

*Proof.* Let  $\hat{f}_{\ell}^p$  be the optimal solution for the active power flow in line  $\ell$  obtained on input dataset  $D=(d_1^p,\ldots,d_n^p)$ . From OPF equation (1c), it can be written as

$$f_{\ell}^{\star} = d_{\ell}^{p} - g_{\ell}^{\star} + \sum_{i \in \mathcal{D}_{\ell}} (d_{i}^{p} - g_{i}^{p}),$$

which expresses the flow as a function of the downstream loads and the optimal DER dispatch. A change in the active load  $d^p_\ell$  translates into a change of power flow as

$$\frac{\partial f_{\ell}^{p}}{\partial d_{\ell}^{p}} = \underbrace{\frac{\partial d_{\ell}^{p}}{\partial d_{\ell}^{p}} - \frac{\partial g_{\ell}^{p}}{\partial d_{\ell}^{p}}}_{1} + \sum_{i \in \mathcal{D}_{\ell}} \left( \underbrace{\frac{\partial d_{i}^{p}}{\partial d_{\ell}^{p}} - \frac{\partial g_{i}^{p}}{\partial d_{\ell}^{p}}}_{0} \right) = 1 - \frac{\partial g_{\ell}^{p}}{\partial d_{\ell}^{p}} - \sum_{i \in \mathcal{D}_{\ell}} \underbrace{\frac{\partial g_{i}^{p}}{\partial d_{\ell}^{p}}}_{0}, \tag{10}$$

where the last two terms are always non-negative due to convexity of model (1). The value of (10) attains maximum when

$$g_k^{\dagger} = \overline{g}_k^p \mapsto \frac{\partial g_k^p}{\partial d_\ell^p} = 0, \quad \forall k \in \{\ell\} \cup \mathcal{D}_\ell.$$
(11)

Therefore, by combining (10) with (11) we obtain the maximal change of power flows as

$$\frac{\partial \hat{f}_{\ell}^{p}}{\partial d_{\ell}^{p}} = 1.$$

Since the dataset adjacency relation considers loads  $d_{\ell}^p$  that differ by at most  $\beta_{\ell}$ , it suffices to multiply the above by  $\beta_{\ell}$  to attain the result. It finds similarly that for a  $\beta_i$  change of any load  $i \in \mathbb{N}$ , all network flows change by at most  $\beta_i$ .

Proof of Theorem 2. Consider a customer at non-root node i. Mechanism  $\tilde{\mathcal{M}}$  induces a perturbation on the active power flow  $f_i^p$  by a random variable  $\xi_i \sim \mathcal{N}(0, \sigma_i^2)$ . The randomized active power flow  $f_i^p$  is then given as follows:

$$\tilde{f}_i^p = f_i^p - \left[ \rho_i^p + \sum_{j \in \mathcal{D}_i} \rho_j^p \right] \xi$$

where  $\star$  denotes optimal solution for optimization variables. For privacy parameters  $(\varepsilon, \delta)$ , the mechanism specifies

$$\sigma_i \geqslant \beta_i \sqrt{2\ln(1.25/\delta)}/\varepsilon, \ \forall i \in \mathcal{L}.$$

As per Lemma 1, we know that  $\sigma_i$  is the lower bound on the standard deviation of power flow  $f_\ell^p$ . From Lemma 2 we also know that the sensitivity  $\Delta_i^\beta$  of power flow in line i to load  $d_i^p$  is upper-bounded by  $\beta_i$ , so we have

$$\operatorname{Std}[\tilde{f}_i^p] \geqslant \sigma_i \geqslant \Delta_i^\beta \sqrt{2\ln(1.25/\delta)}/\varepsilon.$$

Since the randomized power flow follow is now given by a Normal distribution with the standard deviation  $Std[\tilde{f}_i^p]$  as above, by Theorem 1, mechanism  $\tilde{\mathcal{M}}$  satisfies  $(\varepsilon, \delta)$ -differential privacy for each grid customer up to adjacency parameter  $\beta$ .