Computer Science 3MI3 – 2020 assignment 2

Typing a $\lambda\text{-calculus}$

Mark Armstrong

October 30th, 2020

Contents

Introduction

This assignment asks you to construct representation of a simply-typed λ -calculus, construct a typechecker for that λ -calculus, and finally to implement type-erasure and a simple translator to simplify terms to untyped λ -calculus terms.

Updates and file history

November 6th

• The typing rules for the ST language were added in part 0.1.

November 5th

- A typo in a variable name in the Ruby implementation of ULTerm was corrected.
- The provided Scala code for the ULTerm type was modified slightly to include better toString methods.
- Example code showing how to construct ULTerm terms and perform substitutions with them was added to part 0.2.

November 1st

- Part 4 was made bonus
 - and the task of translating from ULTerm's to STTerm's was made part of the question.

October 30th

- Initial version posted.
 - Testing not posted yet.

Boilerplate

Documentation

In addition to the code for the assignments, you are required to submit (relatively light) documentation, along the lines of that found in the literate programs from lectures and tutorials.

• Those occasionally include a lot of writing when introducing concepts; you do not have to introduce concepts, so your documentation should be similar to the *end* of those documents, where only the purpose and implementation details of types, functions, etc., are discussed.

This documentation is not assigned its own marks; rather, 20% of the marks of each part of the assignment will be for the documentation.

This documentation **must be** in the literate style, with (nicely typeset) English paragraphs alongside code snippets; comments in your source code do not count. The basic requirement is

- the English paragraphs must use non-fixed width font, whereas
- the code snippets must use fixed width font.
- For example, see these lecture notes on Prolog:
 - https://courses.cs.washington.edu/courses/cse341/98sp/ logic/prolog.html

But you are encouraged to strive for nicer than just "the basic requirement". (the ability to write decent looking documentation is an asset!

You are free to present your documentation in any of these formats:

- an HTML file,
 - (named README.html)
- a PDF (for instance, by writing it in LATEX using the listings or minted package for your code blocks),
 - (named README.pdf), or
- rendering on GitLab (for instance, by writing it in markdown or Org)
 - (named README.md or README.org.)

If you wish to use another format, contact Mark to discuss it.

Not all of your code needs to be shown; only portions which are of interest are needed. Feel free to omit some "repetitive" portions. (For instance, if there are several cases in a definition which look almost identical, only one or two need to be shown.)

Submission procedures

The same guidelines as for homework (which can be seen in any of the homework files) apply to assignments, except for the differences below.

Assignment naming requirements

Place all files for the assignment inside a folder titled an, where n is the number of the assignment. So, for assignment 1, use the folder a1, for assignment 2 the folder a2, etc. Ensure you do not capitalise the a.

Each part of the assignments will direct you on where to save your code for that part. Follow those instructions!

If the language supports multiple different file extensions, you must still follow the extension conventions noted in the assignment.

Incorrect naming of files may result in up to a 5% deduction in your grade.

This is slightly decreased from the 10% for homeworks.

Proper conduct for coursework

Refer to the homework code of conduct available in any of the homework files. The same guidelines apply to assignments.

Part 0.1 – Description of the λ -calculus, ST [0 marks]

The λ -calculus you are to work with during this assignment we call ST, standing for *simply typed*. It adds to the pure untyped λ -calculus UL terms zero, suc, iszero, tt, ff, and test, with the following syntax.

```
\begin{tabular}{lll} $\langle typedterm \rangle &::= var \\ & | & \langle typedterm \rangle & \langle typedterm \rangle \\ & | & \lambda & var : & \langle type \rangle & \rightarrow & \langle typedterm \rangle \\ & | & zero \\ & | & suc & \langle typedterm \rangle \\ & | & iszero & \langle typedterm \rangle \\ & | & true \\ & | & false \\ & | & test & \langle typedterm \rangle & \langle typedterm \rangle & \langle typedterm \rangle \\ \\ & & \langle type \rangle &::= & \langle type \rangle & \rightarrow & \langle type \rangle \\ & | & natural \\ & | & boolean \end{tabular}
```

We also introduce the following typing rules for these typed λ -terms. The rules make use of a typing context or type environment Γ .

The first rule says that variables have the type they are given by the environment Γ (assuming they are given a type at all.)

$$egin{array}{ll} \mathtt{x} : \mathtt{A} \in \Gamma & & \\ \hline & \Gamma \vdash \mathtt{x} : \mathtt{A} & & \end{array}$$

The second rule says that if by adding "x has type A" to the environment, we can conclude that t_2 has type B, then the term λ x : A \rightarrow t_2 has type A \rightarrow B. (Notice that this rule is the only time we add to the environment.)

The third rule says that if t_1 has the function type $A \to B$, and t_2 has the type A, then t_2 applied to t_1 has type B.

The remaining rules give the typings for the constants and function terms added to this language.

Part 0.2 – A representation of the untyped λ -calculus, UL [0 marks]

Nameless representation of terms

We use de Bruijn indices in place of named variables. The index "points" to a binder, or to a free variable.

- 0 points to the first enclosing variable binder, or the first free variable if there are no enclosing binders.
- 1 points to the second enclosing variable binder, or the 2-n'th free variable if there are only n enclosing binders, $n \leq 1$.
- 2 points to the third enclosing variable binder, or the 3-n'th free variable if there are only n enclosing binders, $n \leq 2$.

• ...

- i points to the i'th enclosing variable binder, or the (i+1)-n'th free variable if there are only n enclosing binders, $n \leq i$.
- ...

This representation avoids any need for renaming variables during substitution.

It does make terms less human readable; we can correct for this by writing a *pretty printer* for λ -terms (which will be the focus of a homework.)

Scala implementation

Pure untyped λ -terms can only be variables, abstractions or applications. (Updated November 5th) We include as parts of the case classes overrides of the toString method, which improve the appearance of these terms when they are converted to strings.

The use of de Bruijn indices necessitates a method to "shift" the indices of free variables up or down; for instance, when applying a term to an abstraction, we must shift them up to avoid capturing what should be free variables in a variable binder.

Shifting is done by walking through the term, incrementing the variable indices by the shift amount if their index is greater than the number of enclosing binders.

```
// Shift the numbering of unbound variables
def shift(shiftAmount: Int, t: ULTerm): ULTerm = {
    // Walk through the term and shift all variables with index
    // greater than or equal to c, which is maintained to be
    // the number of variable binders (abstractions) outside the
    current subterm.
```

```
def walk(currentBinders: Int, t: ULTerm): ULTerm = t match {
    // Check if x is a free variable; that is,
    // if the number x is greater than or equal to
    // the number of variable binders encountered outside this
    → subterm.
    case ULVar(x) if (x >= currentBinders) =>
    case ULVar(x) => ULVar(x)
    case ULAbs(t) =>
     // We now have one more variable binder outside the

    subterm.

      // Increment currentBinders and walk into the subterm.
     ULAbs(walk(currentBinders+1, t))
    case ULApp(t1,t2) =>
     // No new variable binders. Just walk into the subterms.
     ULApp(walk(currentBinders,t1), walk(currentBinders,t2))
  }
  // Walk the term and perform the shift of free variables.
  // We begin with 0 variable binders outside.
  walk(0, t)
}
```

Substitution is similarly defined by "walking" through the term, but here, when we find variables, we choose whether to "replace them" by the term being subbed in or not. We have to adjust the variable being substituted and the free variables in the term being subbed in according to the number of variable binders we enter.

```
def walk(currentBinders: Int, t: ULTerm): ULTerm = t match {
    case ULVar(y) if y == x + currentBinders =>
      // y is the xth free variable. Substitute for it,
      // making sure to shift the free variables in r
      // to account for the number of variable binders outside
       → this subterm.
      shift(currentBinders,r)
    case ULVar(y) =>
      // Otherwise, y is not the xth free variable;
      // leave it as is.
      ULVar(y)
    case ULAbs(t) =>
      // We now have one more variable binder outside the
       → subterm.
      // Increment currentBinders and walk into the subterm.
      ULAbs(walk(currentBinders+1,t))
    case ULApp(t1,t2) =>
      // No new variable binders. Just walk into the subterms.
      ULApp(walk(currentBinders,t1), walk(currentBinders,t2))
  }
  // Walk the term, performing the substitution.
  // We begin with 0 variable binders outside.
  walk(0,t)
}
   We need to check if terms are values for call-by-value semantics.
// We need to know if a term is a value during reduction
// when using call-by-value semantics.
def isValue(t: ULTerm): Boolean = t match {
  case ULAbs(_) => true
  case _ => false
}
```

Those semantics are given by a reduction function, which reduces terms by one step, and then an evaluation function, which keeps reducing until we get stuck (*if* we get stuck; we might have an infinite reduction sequence.)

```
// Call-by-value reduction function.
// Performs one step of evaluation, if possible according to
⇔ the call-by-value rules.
// If no reduction is possible, returns None.
def reduce(t: ULTerm): Option[ULTerm] = t match {
  // Case: the left term is an abstraction, and the right is a
  → value.
  // Then apply the value to the abstraction.
  case ULApp(ULAbs(t),v) if isValue(v) =>
    // When we apply the value to the abstraction,
    // we must shift the value's free variables up by 1 to
    \rightarrow account
    // for the abstraction's variable binder.
    val r = substitute(t,0,shift(1,v))
    // Then, we need to shift the result back.
    // Since the abstraction's variable is now "used up".
    Some(shift(-1,r))
  // Case: the left term is a value, then try to reduce the
  → right term.
  case ULApp(v,t) if isValue(v) =>
    reduce(t) match {
      case Some(r) => Some(ULApp(v,r))
      case None => None
    }
  // Case: the left term is not a value (not an abstraction.)
  // Try to reduce it.
  case ULApp(t1,t2) =>
    reduce(t1) match {
      case Some(r1) => Some(ULApp(r1,t2))
      case None => None
    }
  case _ => None
}
// Evaluation just repeatedly applies reduce,
// until we reach None (signifying reduction failed.)
```

```
def evaluate(t: ULTerm): ULTerm = reduce(t) match {
  case None => t
  case Some(r) => evaluate(r)
}
```

Ruby implementation

In Ruby, we use implement UL terms using a (super) class ULTerm with subclasses for each kind of UL term.

The super class defines so default methods to keep track of what kind of term we have. These could be implemented as fields (instance variables), but the use of methods implies that these values are constant for all objects and across all time.

```
# Our top-level ULTerm class defines some default
# methods to track what kind of term we have
# (which must be overidden in non-default cases)
# as well as the shift, substitute and eval methods
# which are defined in terms of other methods
# defined by the subclasses.
class ULTerm
  # By default, we assume terms are irreducible,
  # not abstractions, and not values.
  # Subclasses which should have these properties
  # must override these methods.
  # (In our basic calculus with call-by-value semantics,
  # only applications are reducible and only abstractions
  # are values. This can be changed for different

    calculi/semantics.)

 def reduce; nil end
 def absBody; nil end
 def isValue?; false end
```

We would not usually have enough information in this super ULTerm class to be able to define the shift and substitution methods, without resorting to (what I feel is) an ugly approach of using is_a? to check whether the term is a variable, abstraction or application.

Previously, we used a local walk method inside of each of the methods, which actually carried out the work on the terms. We could do the same here, repeating the definition of walk inside of the shift and substitute

methods for each type of term (at least, I believe we can do so.) However, this repetition of code is very undesirable.

Instead, we take advantage of the fact that the walk method was in fact almost identical for both shifting and substitution, only acting differently on variables, to reimagine walk as an iterator. This iterator will take as a block argument (a lambda) the action to carry out on variables.

So, assuming that the walk method will be defined for each of the subclasses, we can define shift and substitute here in the superclass by writing the action to take on variables as a block, and calling walk on the term with that action.

```
# Shifting is just walking, where in the base case,
# we either increment the variable by shiftAmount or
# leave it alone.
def shift(shiftAmount)
  # walk is an iterator.
  # The block tells us what to do with variables.
  walk(0) { |x,currentBinders|
    if x >= currentBinders
      ULVar.new(x+shiftAmount)
    else
      ULVar.new(x)
    end }
end
# Substitution is just walking, where we either
# replace the variable, or leave it alone.
def substitute(x,r)
  walk(0) { |y,currentBinders|
    if y == x + currentBinders
      r
    else
      ULVar.new(y)
    end }
end
```

Similarly to how we assume above that the walk method will be defined for all subclasses, we also assume that the reduce method will be defined for all subclasses, since we lack a nice means to define it here. However, we can easily define eval in terms of those reduce methods.

```
def eval
    r = nil
    r_next = self
    # Keep reducing until it fails (reduce returns nil.)
# This is the recommended "do...while" form in Ruby.
loop do
    r = r_next
    r_next = r.reduce
    break unless r_next
end

return r
end
end
```

As mentioned above, in each of the subclasses of ULTerm, we need to define the walk and reduce methods. But for variables, reduction is undefined, so we do not define that method here. (We do define here and below to_s methods, to allow these terms to be printed somewhat nicely.)

```
class ULVar < ULTerm
  attr_reader :index
  # We require our variables are only indexed by integers.
  def initialize(i)
    unless i.is_a?(Integer)
      throw "Constructing a lambda term out of non-lambda

    terms"

    end
    @index = i
  end
  def walk(currentBinders,&block)
    # This is a variable. Run the code in &block.
    # (yield does this; it "yields" control to the block.)
    yield(@index, currentBinders)
  end
  def to_s
    @index.to s
```

end end

Again, we cannot **reduce** an abstraction, so we do not define that method here. But we do set override the **absBody** and **isValue?** methods since this is an abstraction, and abstractions are values.

```
class ULAbs < ULTerm
  attr_reader :t
  def initialize(t)
    unless t.is_a?(ULTerm)
      throw "Constructing a lambda term out of a non-lambda

    term"

    end
    0t = t
  end
  def walk(currentBinders, &block)
    # Increment the local variable counter within the variable
     \hookrightarrow binder.
    t = @t.walk(currentBinders+1,&block)
    ULAbs.new(t)
  end
  # Abstractions are an abstraction (of course),
  # with body @t,
  # and are also considered values.
  def absBody; @t end
  def isValue?; true end
  def to_s
    "lambda . " + @t.to_s
  end
end
```

The application subclass is actually the only one where we define the reduce method. The logic of it is the same as in the Scala version, though unfortunately the lack of pattern matching makes it appear much worse. (The source code was edited November 6th to remove some diagnostic printing statements which were unfortunately included previously.)

```
class ULApp < ULTerm</pre>
  attr_reader :t1
  attr_reader :t2
  def initialize(t1,t2)
    unless t1.is_a?(ULTerm) && t2.is_a?(ULTerm)
      throw "Constructing a lambda term out of non-lambda

    terms"

    end
    0t1 = t1; 0t2 = t2
  end
  def walk(currentBinders,&block)
    t1 = @t1.walk(currentBinders,&block)
    t2 = @t2.walk(currentBinders,&block)
    ULApp.new(t1,t2)
  end
  # Applications can be reduced.
  def reduce
    if @t1.absBody && @t2.isValue?
      body = @t1.absBody
      (body.substitute(0,@t2.shift(1))).shift(-1)
    elsif @t1.isValue?
      r = @t2.reduce
      if r
        ULApp.new(@t1,r)
      else
        nil
      end
    else
      r = @t1.reduce
      if r
        ULApp.new(r,@t2)
      else
        nil
      end
    end
  end
```

```
def to_s
    "(" + @t1.to_s + ") (" + @t2.to_s + ")"
  end
end
```

One important fact bears mentioning about our implementation of ULTerm and its subclasses: note that all of the fields of each class are read-only, and that their values are only ever set in the constructors. These are *value classes*; a ULTerm object is intended to be (and will be, barring any misuse) *immutable* (unchanging over time.)

This design leads to better predictability of code; there should never be an instance where a ULTerm changes unexpectedly because of some method call, because ULTerm's never change after their creation.

Examples of interacting with these representations

The following code snippets show how you might use these implementations to perform some simple computations.

In Scala:

```
// The term "lambda x . lambda y . lambda z . u (x (y z))"
// Note the first variable (The one initialised with ULVar(3))
→ is free,
// because it's index is greater than the number of

→ abstractions

// surrounding it.
val x = ULAbs(
          ULAbs(
            ULAbs(ULApp(ULVar(3),
                        ULApp(ULVar(2),
                              ULApp(ULVar(1),
                                    ULVar(0)))))))
println("An unnamed representation of lambda x . lambda y .
→ lambda z -> u x y z:")
print("\t")
println(x)
// Now substitute that term itself in for the free variable.
println("The result of substituting that term into itself for

    the variable u:")
```

```
print("\t")
println(substitute(x,0,x))
   And in Ruby:
# The term "lambda x . lambda y . lambda z . u (x (y z))"
# Note the first variable (The one initialised with
→ ULVar.new(3)) is free,
# because it's index is greater than the number of
\rightarrow abstractions
# surrounding it.
x = ULAbs.new(
      ULAbs.new(
        ULAbs.new(ULApp.new(ULVar.new(3),
                             ULApp.new(ULVar.new(2),
                                        ULApp.new(ULVar.new(1),

    ULVar.new(0)))))))

puts "An unnamed representation of lambda \mathbf{x} . lambda \mathbf{y} .
\rightarrow lambda z -> u x y z:"
print "\t"
puts x
# Now substitute that term itself in for the free variable.
puts "The result of substituting that term into itself for the

    variable u:"

print "\t"
puts x.substitute(0,x)
# Note that the term itself remains unchanged;
# we've made sure this type is immutable
# by always creating new terms, or reusing them if that's not
→ necessary,
# in the class methods. The fields are only ever changed in
→ the constructors.
puts x
```

Part 1 – The representation [10 marks]

Place your code for this part in the files a2.sc and a2.rb.

Implement, in both Scala and Ruby, a type STTerm to represent terms of the λ -calculus ST defined above.

The constructors of the type should be named

- STVar,
- STApp,
- STAbs,
- STZero,
- STSuc,
- STIsZero,
- STTrue,
- STFalse, and
- STTest.

Part 2 – Type checking [40 marks]

Place your code for this part in the files a2.sc and a2.rb.

Implement, in both Scala and Ruby, a *type checker* method for elements of STTerm.

This type checker takes an STTerm, and returns true if the represented term obeys the type rules of ST; otherwise, it returns false.

Part 3 – Translation to the untyped λ -calculus; type erasure [40 marks]

Place your code for this part in the files a2.sc and a2.rb.

Implement, in both Scala and Ruby, a *type eraser* method for elements of STTerm, which *translates* them into elements of ULTerm (definition given above.)

This translation also needs to translate the natural and boolean constants into the pure λ -calculus encodings that represent them.

(You should the definition of ULTerm and its methods into your file, or import it in a way compatible with the testing environments.)

Part 4 – Bonus: Interpreting SL programs [10 marks]

Implement an evaluation method for your STTerm type.

Make use of the evaluation method for ULTerm's in your definition. You will also need a method to convert results back to the STTerm representation.

Part 5 – Bonus: pairs [10 bonus marks]

Place any code for this part in files a2p4.sc and a2p4.rb.

Implement another λ -calculus, called ST2, which includes the type of pairs as well as naturals and booleans, along with a type checker, type eraser and evaluation method.

Submission checklist

For your convenience, this checklist is provided to track the files you need to submit. Use it if you wish.

```
- [ ] Documentation; one of
  - [ ] README.html
 - [ ] README.pdf
  - [ ] README.md
  - [ ] README.org
- [ ] Code files
 - [] a2.sc
 - [] a2.rb
- [] Part 2 tests
  - [ ] a2p2_test.sc tests have passed! (No submission
  → needed.)
  - [ ] a2p2_test.rb tests have passed! (No submission
  → needed.)
- [] Part 3 tests
  - [ ] a2p3_test.sc tests have passed! (No submission
  → needed.)
  - [] a2p3 test.rb tests have passed! (No submission
  → needed.)
- [ ] Part 4 (Bonus)
  - [] a2p4.sc
  - [] a2p4.rb
```

Testing

:TODO: