Prediction of PIK3CA mutation with gene expression

Jun Kang

* Corresponding author: jkang.alien@gmail.com

Introduction

Brevity

- Logic and clarity
- Clean typing
- The problem
 - PIK3CA mutation in selecting drug

Targeted thrapy becomes standard treatment in many cancer patients. To treat with the targeted therapy, the The patients should be confirmed the indicated genomic alterations in their cancer. Many direct test for the genomic alteration has been developed and prooved for their clical utility to discriminate which patients will be response the targeted therapy.

The machine learning approach has been actively researched recently to detect the genomic alterations. Breast cancer with PIK3CA mutation has been approved to use PIK3CA inhibitor in hormone receptor positive HER2 negative subtype. [1]

• PIK3CA summary

PIK3CA is encodes the p110 α catalytic subunit of phosphatidylinositol 3'-kinase (PI3K). PI3K is a protein kinase which phosphorylates phosphatidylinositol 4,5-biphosphate (PIP $_2$) to make phosphatidylinositol 3,4,5-triphosphate (PIP $_4$ 3). Phosphatase and tensin homolog (PTEN) changes PIP $_4$ 2 to PIP $_4$ 3 in contrast PI3K. PIP $_4$ 3 is a second mesenger to activate protein kinase B (AKT) which is a serine/threonine-specific protein kinase. AKT inhibits apoptosis and promote cell proliferation.

17

21

23

The PIK3CA mutation is second most driver mutation after TP53. the PIK3CA mutation is most frequently founded in endometrial carcinoma (45%), and followed by breast invasive carcinoma (24%), cervical squamous cell carcinoma and endocervical adenocarcinoma (20%) and colon adenocarcinoma (16%).

- Predicting mutation by gene expression data
 - What for?
 - Feasible?
 - Cost?
- Varing frquency of PIK3CA mutation across cancer types
 - High in endometrial breast
 - not common other cancer type

May 7, 2020 1/5

Universial prediction using gene expression data across cancer type for certain kind of mutation. It's clinically useless now, but we want to explore the possibilities of the PIK3CA mutation prediction.

- RNAseq can be widely used. The mutation status directly prediction
- Previous study [2]
- Prediction of aberant activation of a certain pathway vs a specific mutation
- The proposed solution

Prediction RAS pathway activation with gene expression data was done in previous study. [2] They trained pancancer The Cancer Genome Atlas (TCGA) data with a supervised elastic net penalized logistic regression classifier with stochastic gradient descent. The performance of their model was 84% with an area under the receiver operating characteristic (AUROC) curve and 63% with an area under the precision recall (AUPR) curve. We applied their modeling methods in prediction PIK3CA mutation.

Materials and Methods

Dataset

51

52

60

62

63

70

73

74

We used TCGA pancancer dataset. PIK3CA mutation data was get using cgdsr rpackage.[3] Gene expression data was get from GDAC firehose using RTCGAToolbox R package. [4]

10845 cases were available both PIK3CA mutation and mRNA expression data. 5128 out of 20502 genes were included in the modeling process after filtering with median absolute deviation as described at modelinf process method. 33 cancer type dummy variables were included in predictor variables.

The target variable was PIK3CA mutation status. The status of PIK3CA was considered as positive when the case has following PIK3CA variants which is the target variables of the therascreen PIK3CA RGQ PCR Kit; C420R, E542K, E545A, E545D, E545G, E545K, Q546E, Q546R, H1047L, H1047R, H1047Y. The therascreen PIK3CA RGQ PCR Kit was approved by U.S. Food and Drug adminidtration for PIK3CA inhibitor. We splited the three quarters of dataset for the trainset and one quarter for testset.

Modeling process

To narrow down potential predictors, Genes with a large the median absolute deviation (more than third-quartiles) were selected. Yeo-Johnson transformation was done to correct skewness. Centering and scaling were done. All preprocessing was done using recipe r package. [5] Penalized logistic regression was applied to prediction modeling. 10-fold cross-validation with targe variable stratification was done over the hyperparameter grid: λ { 10^{-5} , 10^{-4} , 10^{-3} , 10^{-2} , 10^{-1} , 10^{0} }, α {0.0, 0.25, 0.5, 0.75}. Lambda is penalty scaling parameter and alpha is mixing parameter of penalty function ($(1-\alpha)/2\|\beta\|_2^2 + \alpha\|\beta\|_1$). [6] Model performance was evealuated with the area under receiver operating characteristic (ROC) and area under the ROC (AUROC) and precision recall (PR) and area under curve (AUPR). The modelin process was done with tidymodels rpackage. [7]

May 7, 2020 2/5

Results

Dataset summary

Prevalence of PIK3CA was 0.11 in all cases. The PIK3CA prevalence of each cancer type was vary. The median prevalence of PIK3CA of each cancer types was 0.03 (range 0-0.33) (Figure 1).

Selecting model and performance estimation

In 10-fold cross-validation, the model with lambda = 0.01 and alpha = 1.0 (Ridge regression) were best performance in terms of AUROC. The final model was trained with the selected hyperparameters with all trainset.

The trainset AUROC was 0.93 and the testset AUROC was 0.84. The AUPR of trainset was 0.66 and the testset AUPR was 0.39. (Figure 1A)

Performance of each cancer type

Because the prevalence of PIK3CA mutation is vary across the cancer type, the performance of each cancer type was investigated. The AUROC and AUPR were positively correlated between train set and test set in cancer type subanalysis. (Figure 1B) The AUPR was high in cancer type with high PIK3CA mutation rate such as colon, brest, Uterus cancer types. The AUROC did not correlated with PIK3CA mutation rate of each cancer types. (Figure 1C)

91

93

100

101

102

104

105

106

107

108

110

112

114

115

Important predictors

Figure 2 shows top 30 important predictors. The coefficient is the parameter of the predictor which represent the effect of the predictor on prediction. IGF1R mRNA expression was the strongest negative predictor and PTEN was the strongest positive predictor. Both genes and PIK3CA are key players in tyrosin kanase pathway. The cancer type was important predictors. Some cancer types including uterine carcinosarcoma (UCS), bladder urothelial carcinoma (BLCA), pancreatic adenocarcinoma (PAAD), lymphoid neoplasm diffuse large B-cell lymphoma (DLBC) are strongest predictors.

Discussion

Our model showed good performance to predict PIK3CA mutation of various cancer types.

- Main message answers the question and main supporting evidence
- Critical assessment opinions on any shortcomings in study design

In this study, the split method was done for testset instead of indepedent testset. Because there is no standard analysis method for the RNA-seq gene expression quantification, it is difficult to find gene expression data same as TGCA data. Developing standard analysis method for gene expression data is neccessory to apply this prediction model.

In this study, the prevalence of PIK3CA mutation was 11%. The low prevalence of PIK3CA results in imbalaced dataset which makes the prediction difficult. The AUROC is optimistic in terms of performance. The AUPR is more informative than AUROC on imbalaced datasets. [8]

May 7, 2020 3/5

	116
- Case imbalance	117
- flaws in analysis	118
 validity of assumption 	119
\bullet Comparison with other studies where inconsistencies are discussed	120
• Evaluate the results - not the authors	121
Our PIK3CA prediction model performed better than RAS activation prediction	122
model of previous study in terms of both AUROC $(0.84 \text{ vs } 0.75)$ and AUPR (0.39 vs)	123
0.24) on testset prediction. Our testset is corresponding to the samples initially filtered	124
from training. The target variable of our study is more specific than the previous study	125
The specific important mutations can effect stronger downsteam gene expression than	126
the broad events pathway activation. The previous study might be more difficult prediction problum.	127
OUr model includes cancer type predictor and they are stronger than gene	128 129
expression data. The varing prevalence of PIC3CA mutation across cancer type might	130
reason of the strong cancer type predictor. If the cancer type was wrong or can not be	131
determined, our model performance can be poor.	132
• Conclusions comments on possible biological or clinical implications and	133
suggestions for further research.	134
• Proof of concept study	135
• Reproducibility of gene expression prediction model	136
T2' 1 1	
Highre legends	
Figure legends	137
• Figure 1. Summary of modeling results	137
• Figure 1. Summary of modeling results	138
• Figure 1. Summary of modeling results (A) Left: receiver operating characteristic (ROC) curve right: precision recall (PR) curve of trainset and testset. The horizontal green line is the PIK3CA mutation rate (0.11) (B) Correlation between trainset and testset of area under receiver	138 139
• Figure 1. Summary of modeling results (A) Left: receiver operating characteristic (ROC) curve right: precision recall (PR) curve of trainset and testset. The horizontal green line is the PIK3CA mutation rate (0.11) (B) Correlation between trainset and testset of area under receiver operating characteristic curve (AUROC) and area under precision recall curve	138 139 140
 Figure 1. Summary of modeling results (A) Left: receiver operating characteristic (ROC) curve right: precision recall (PR) curve of trainset and testset. The horizontal green line is the PIK3CA mutation rate (0.11) (B) Correlation between trainset and testset of area under receiver operating characteristic curve (AUROC) and area under precision recall curve (AUPR) among cancer type. The abbreviations are explained in S1 appendix. (C) 	138 139 140 141 142 143
• Figure 1. Summary of modeling results (A) Left: receiver operating characteristic (ROC) curve right: precision recall (PR) curve of trainset and testset. The horizontal green line is the PIK3CA mutation rate (0.11) (B) Correlation between trainset and testset of area under receiver operating characteristic curve (AUROC) and area under precision recall curve (AUPR) among cancer type. The abbreviations are explained in S1 appendix. (C) Correlation between the PIK3CA mutation rate of area under receiver operating	138 139 140 141 142 143
• Figure 1. Summary of modeling results (A) Left: receiver operating characteristic (ROC) curve right: precision recall (PR) curve of trainset and testset. The horizontal green line is the PIK3CA mutation rate (0.11) (B) Correlation between trainset and testset of area under receiver operating characteristic curve (AUROC) and area under precision recall curve (AUPR) among cancer type. The abbreviations are explained in S1 appendix. (C) Correlation between the PIK3CA mutation rate of area under receiver operating characteristic curve (AUROC) and area under precision recall curve (AUPR).	138 139 140 141 142 143
 Figure 1. Summary of modeling results (A) Left: receiver operating characteristic (ROC) curve right: precision recall (PR) curve of trainset and testset. The horizontal green line is the PIK3CA mutation rate (0.11) (B) Correlation between trainset and testset of area under receiver operating characteristic curve (AUROC) and area under precision recall curve (AUPR) among cancer type. The abbreviations are explained in S1 appendix. (C) Correlation between the PIK3CA mutation rate of area under receiver operating characteristic curve (AUROC) and area under precision recall curve (AUPR). Figure 2. Coefficients of model 	138 139 140 141 142 143
 Figure 1. Summary of modeling results (A) Left: receiver operating characteristic (ROC) curve right: precision recall (PR) curve of trainset and testset. The horizontal green line is the PIK3CA mutation rate (0.11) (B) Correlation between trainset and testset of area under receiver operating characteristic curve (AUROC) and area under precision recall curve (AUPR) among cancer type. The abbreviations are explained in S1 appendix. (C) Correlation between the PIK3CA mutation rate of area under receiver operating characteristic curve (AUROC) and area under precision recall curve (AUPR). Figure 2. Coefficients of model (A) Top 30 high coefficients of mRNA. (B) Coefficients of cancer types. The 	138 139 140 141 142 143 144
 Figure 1. Summary of modeling results (A) Left: receiver operating characteristic (ROC) curve right: precision recall (PR) curve of trainset and testset. The horizontal green line is the PIK3CA mutation rate (0.11) (B) Correlation between trainset and testset of area under receiver operating characteristic curve (AUROC) and area under precision recall curve (AUPR) among cancer type. The abbreviations are explained in S1 appendix. (C) Correlation between the PIK3CA mutation rate of area under receiver operating characteristic curve (AUROC) and area under precision recall curve (AUPR). Figure 2. Coefficients of model 	138 139 140 141 142 143 144 145
 Figure 1. Summary of modeling results (A) Left: receiver operating characteristic (ROC) curve right: precision recall (PR) curve of trainset and testset. The horizontal green line is the PIK3CA mutation rate (0.11) (B) Correlation between trainset and testset of area under receiver operating characteristic curve (AUROC) and area under precision recall curve (AUPR) among cancer type. The abbreviations are explained in S1 appendix. (C) Correlation between the PIK3CA mutation rate of area under receiver operating characteristic curve (AUROC) and area under precision recall curve (AUPR). Figure 2. Coefficients of model (A) Top 30 high coefficients of mRNA. (B) Coefficients of cancer types. The 	138 139 140 141 142 143 144 145
 Figure 1. Summary of modeling results (A) Left: receiver operating characteristic (ROC) curve right: precision recall (PR) curve of trainset and testset. The horizontal green line is the PIK3CA mutation rate (0.11) (B) Correlation between trainset and testset of area under receiver operating characteristic curve (AUROC) and area under precision recall curve (AUPR) among cancer type. The abbreviations are explained in S1 appendix. (C) Correlation between the PIK3CA mutation rate of area under receiver operating characteristic curve (AUROC) and area under precision recall curve (AUPR). Figure 2. Coefficients of model (A) Top 30 high coefficients of mRNA. (B) Coefficients of cancer types. The abbreviations are explained in S1 appendix. 	138 139 140 141 142 143 144 145 146
 Figure 1. Summary of modeling results (A) Left: receiver operating characteristic (ROC) curve right: precision recall (PR) curve of trainset and testset. The horizontal green line is the PIK3CA mutation rate (0.11) (B) Correlation between trainset and testset of area under receiver operating characteristic curve (AUROC) and area under precision recall curve (AUPR) among cancer type. The abbreviations are explained in S1 appendix. (C) Correlation between the PIK3CA mutation rate of area under receiver operating characteristic curve (AUROC) and area under precision recall curve (AUPR). Figure 2. Coefficients of model (A) Top 30 high coefficients of mRNA. (B) Coefficients of cancer types. The abbreviations are explained in S1 appendix. Supporting information 	138 139 140 141 142 143 144 145 146 147 148
 Figure 1. Summary of modeling results (A) Left: receiver operating characteristic (ROC) curve right: precision recall (PR) curve of trainset and testset. The horizontal green line is the PIK3CA mutation rate (0.11) (B) Correlation between trainset and testset of area under receiver operating characteristic curve (AUROC) and area under precision recall curve (AUPR) among cancer type. The abbreviations are explained in S1 appendix. (C) Correlation between the PIK3CA mutation rate of area under receiver operating characteristic curve (AUROC) and area under precision recall curve (AUPR). Figure 2. Coefficients of model (A) Top 30 high coefficients of mRNA. (B) Coefficients of cancer types. The abbreviations are explained in S1 appendix. Supporting information S1 Appendix. S2 Figure. S1 Table. 	138 139 140 141 142 143 144 145 146 147 148
 Figure 1. Summary of modeling results (A) Left: receiver operating characteristic (ROC) curve right: precision recall (PR) curve of trainset and testset. The horizontal green line is the PIK3CA mutation rate (0.11) (B) Correlation between trainset and testset of area under receiver operating characteristic curve (AUROC) and area under precision recall curve (AUPR) among cancer type. The abbreviations are explained in S1 appendix. (C) Correlation between the PIK3CA mutation rate of area under receiver operating characteristic curve (AUROC) and area under precision recall curve (AUPR). Figure 2. Coefficients of model (A) Top 30 high coefficients of mRNA. (B) Coefficients of cancer types. The abbreviations are explained in S1 appendix. Supporting information S1 Appendix. S2 Figure. S1 Table. S2 Table. 	138 139 140 141 142 143 144 145 146 147 148 149
 Figure 1. Summary of modeling results (A) Left: receiver operating characteristic (ROC) curve right: precision recall (PR) curve of trainset and testset. The horizontal green line is the PIK3CA mutation rate (0.11) (B) Correlation between trainset and testset of area under receiver operating characteristic curve (AUROC) and area under precision recall curve (AUPR) among cancer type. The abbreviations are explained in S1 appendix. (C) Correlation between the PIK3CA mutation rate of area under receiver operating characteristic curve (AUROC) and area under precision recall curve (AUPR). Figure 2. Coefficients of model (A) Top 30 high coefficients of mRNA. (B) Coefficients of cancer types. The abbreviations are explained in S1 appendix. Supporting information S1 Appendix. S2 Figure. S1 Table. 	138 139 140 141 142 143 144 145 146 147 148 149 150 151

May 7, 2020 4/5

References

156

157

159

160

161

163

164

165

167

169

171

173

175

1. André F, Ciruelos E, Rubovszky G, Campone M, Loibl S, Rugo HS, et al. Alpelisib for PIK3CA-Mutated, Hormone ReceptorPositive Advanced Breast Cancer. New England Journal of Medicine. Massachusetts Medical Society; 2019;380: 1929–1940. doi:10.1056/NEJMoa1813904

- 2. Way GP, Sanchez-Vega F, La K, Armenia J, Chatila WK, Luna A, et al. Machine Learning Detects Pan-cancer Ras Pathway Activation in The Cancer Genome Atlas. Cell Reports. Elsevier; 2018;23: 172–180.e3. doi:10.1016/j.celrep.2018.03.046
- 3. Jacobsen A, Luna A. Cgdsr: R-based API for accessing the MSKCC cancer genomics data server (CGDS). 2019.
- 4. Samur MK. RTCGAToolbox: A new tool for exporting TCGA Firehose data. PLoS One. 2014;9(9):e106397.
- Kuhn M, Wickham H. Recipes: Preprocessing tools to create design matrices. 2020.
- 6. Friedman J, Hastie T, Tibshirani R. Regularization Paths for Generalized Linear Models via Coordinate Descent. J Stat Softw. 2010;33: 1–22.
- 7. Kuhn M, Wickham H. Tidymodels: Easily install and load the 'tidymodels' packages. 2020.
- 8. Saito T, Rehmsmeier M. The Precision-Recall Plot Is More Informative than the ROC Plot When Evaluating Binary Classifiers on Imbalanced Datasets. PLOS ONE. Public Library of Science; 10: e0118432. doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0118432

May 7, 2020 5/5