Prediction of PIK3CA mutation with gene expression

Jun Kang

* Corresponding author: jkang.alien@gmail.com

Introduction

A list

- Brevity
- Logic and clarityClean typing

The problem

• PIK3CA mutation in selecting drug

Breast cancer with PIK3CA mutation has been approved to use PIK3CA inhibitor in hormone receptor positive HER2 negative subtype. [1] Prediction of PIK3CA mutation was done by gene expression data of TCGA.

• Predicting mutation by gene expression data

- What for?
- Feasible?
- Cost?
- Varing frquency of PIK3CA mutation across cancer types
 - High in endometrial breast
 - not common other cancer type

Universial prediction using gene expression data across cancer type for certain kind of mutation. It's clinically useless now, but we want to explore the possibilities of the PIK3CA mutation prediction.

19

RNAseq can be widely used. The mutation status directly prediction Previous study [2]

prediction of aberant activation of a certain pathway vs a specific mutation The proposed solution

Prediction RAS pathway activation with gene expression data was done in previous study. [2] They trained pancancer The Cancer Genome Atlas (TCGA) data with a supervised elastic net penalized logistic regression classifier with stochastic gradient descent. The performance of their model was 84% with an area under the receiver operating characteristic (AUROC) curve and 63% with an area under the precision recall (AUPR) curve. We applied their modeling methods in prediction PIK3CA mutation.

April 9, 2020

Materials and Methods

Dataset We used TCGA pancancer dataset. PIK3CA mutation data was get using cgdsr rpackage. Gene expression data was get from GDAC firehose using RTCGAToolbox R package. ER immunostain postive HER2 immunostain negative and/or SISH negative breast cancers are included. Data of invasive ductal carcinomas were used for training set and data of invasive lobular carcinoma were used for test set. Number of observations were 530 in training set and 188 in test set. Selecting variable for modeling To narrow down potential predictors, Genes with a large the median absolute deviation (more than third-quartiles) were selected. 5000 out of 20502 genes were included in the modeling process. Preprocessing Yeo-Johnson transformation was done to correct skewness. Centering and scaling were done. All preprocessing was done using recipe r package. Modeling Penalized logistic regression was applied to prediction modeling. 10-fold cross-validation with targe variable stratification was done over the hyperparameter grid: λ {10⁻⁵, $10^{-4}, 10^{-3}, 10^{-2}, 10^{-1}, 10^{0}$, α {0.0, 0.25, 0.5, 0.75}. Model performance was evealuated with the area under receiver operating characteristic (ROC) and area under the ROC (AUROC) and precision recall (PR) and area under curve (AUPR). Results selecting model Cross-validation The model showed best performance at lambda = 0.01 and alpha = 1.0 (Ridge regression). Prediction performance The trainset AUROC was 0.93 and the testset AUROC was 0.84. The AUPR of trainset was 0.74 and the testset AUPR was 0.771. performance of each cancer type Important predictors Discussion

Our model showed good performance to predict PIK3CA mutation of various cancer

Main message answers the question and main supporting evidence Critical assessment opinions on - any shortcomings in study design 31

April 9, 2020 2/4

types.

In this study, the split method was done for testset instead of indepedent testset. Because there is no standard analysis method for the RNA-seq gene expression quantification, it is difficult to find gene expression data same as TGCA data. Developing standard analysis method for gene expression data is neccessory to apply this prediction model.

• limitations in methods

Case imbalance

- flaws in analysis
- validity of assumption

Comparison with other studies where inconsistencies are discussed

PIK3CA prediction model performed better than RAS activation prediction model in terms of AUROC. AUPR and the PIK3CA mutation rate interpretation. [3]

Conclusions comments on possible biological or clinical implications and suggestions for further research.

Evaluate the results - not the authors

Figure legends

Figure 1. Summary of modeling results

(A) Left: receiver operating characteristic (ROC) curve right: precision recall (PR) curve of trainset and testset. The horizontal green line is the PIK3CA mutation rate (0.11) (B) Correlation between trainset and testset of area under receiver operating characteristic curve (AUROC) and area under precision recall curve (AUPR) among cancer type. The abbreviations are explained in S1 appendix. (C) Correlation between the PIK3CA mutation rate of area under receiver operating characteristic curve (AUROC) and area under precision recall curve (AUPR).

89

100

102

104

105

Figure 2. Coefficients of model

(A) Top 30 high coefficients of mRNA. (B) Coefficients of cancer types. The abbreviations are explained in S1 appendix.

Supporting information

S1 Appendix. S2 Figure. S1 Table.S2 Table.S3 Table.

References

- 1. André F, Ciruelos E, Rubovszky G, Campone M, Loibl S, Rugo HS, et al. Alpelisib for PIK3CA-Mutated, Hormone ReceptorPositive Advanced Breast Cancer. New England Journal of Medicine. Massachusetts Medical Society; 2019;380: 1929–1940. doi:10.1056/NEJMoa1813904
- 2. Way GP, Sanchez-Vega F, La K, Armenia J, Chatila WK, Luna A, et al. Machine Learning Detects Pan-cancer Ras Pathway Activation in The Cancer Genome Atlas. Cell Reports. Elsevier; 2018;23: 172–180.e3. doi:10.1016/j.celrep.2018.03.046

April 9, 2020 3/4

3. Davis J, Goadrich M. The relationship between Precision-Recall and ROC curves. Proceedings of the 23rd international conference on Machine learning. Pittsburgh, Pennsylvania, USA: Association for Computing Machinery; 2006. pp. 233–240. doi:10.1145/1143844.1143874

107

108

April 9, 2020 4/4