
L2. Fundamentals of Bayesian Statistics



Statistics infer the causes that generated the observed data.

Model

 θ (parameters) : characteristics of a model

data

 $y = (y_1, ..., y_n)$: Observed consequence

(Head, Head, Tail, ...)

 θ : Probability of having a head for each coin tossing

View on Probability

Frequentists:

- probability only has meaning in terms of a limiting case of repeated measurements
- probabilities are fundamentally related to frequencies of events.

Bayesian:

- degrees of certainty about statements
- probabilities are fundamentally related to our own knowledge about an event.

View on Statistics

Approaches to Statistics

Frequentists:

- Data are a repeatable random sample → there is a frequency of occurrence
- Underlying parameters remain constant during this repeatable process
- Parameters are fixed and unchanging under all realistic circumstances

Bayesian:

- Data are observed from the realized sample
- Parameters are unknown and described probabilistically
 (View the world probabilistically)
- Data are fixed

Frequentist vs Bayesian : Coin Tossing

Frequentist

- θ = relative frequency of head in a "large number" of "identical flip"
- Statistical results assume that data were from a controlled experiment
- Nothing is more important than repeatability

Try

- 1. Estimate the parameter θ by conducting experiments
- 2. Give me estimates

Frequentist vs Bayesian : Coin Tossing

Frequentist

- θ = relative frequency of head in a "large number" of "identical flip"
- Statistical results assume that data were from a controlled experiment
- Nothing is more important than repeatability

Issues

- When the number of trials n is small, estimation is biased $\frac{\text{\#Success}}{\text{\#Trials}}: \frac{1}{3}, \frac{5}{6}, \frac{5}{13}, \frac{129}{313}, \frac{61423}{123400}$
- Identical flip (controlled experiment) is unrealistic

Identical Coin Tossing

The Artist might be a frequentist



http://www.dotmancando.info/index.php?/projects/coin-flipper/

Frequentist vs Bayesian : Coin Tossing

Frequentist

- θ = relative frequency of head in a "large number" of "identical flip"
- Statistical results assume that data were from a controlled experiment
- Nothing is more important than repeatability

Issues

- When the number of trials n is small, estimation is biased $\frac{\text{\#Success}}{\text{\#Trials}}: \frac{1}{3}, \frac{5}{6}, \frac{5}{13}, \frac{129}{313}, \frac{61423}{123400}$
- Identical flip (controlled experiment) is unrealistic


Bayesian

- Parameters θ are varied (uncertain) \leftarrow Core part of Bayesian approach
- Use probability concept to provide our belief on $\theta: p(\theta)$
- Each parameter θ can be associated with different conditions, i.e., orientation, force, etc.
- Data fixed

Issues

- Subjective on $p(\theta)$
- How to specify $p(\theta)$

Bayes' rule



$$p(A|B) = \frac{p(B|A)P(A)}{p(B)}$$


Bayes' rule will play fundamental role in proceeding Bayesian statistical analysis

Derivation of Bayes' rule:

$$P(A|B) = \frac{P(A \land B)}{P(B)} \qquad P(B|A) = \frac{P(B \land A)}{P(A)}$$
Since $P(A \land B) = P(B \land A)$,
$$\Rightarrow P(A|B)P(B) = P(B|A)P(A)$$

$$\Rightarrow P(A|B) = \frac{P(B|A)P(A)}{P(B)}$$

Bayes' rule in Statistics



Parameter instantiates one model among a model class

$$p(\theta|\text{data}, \text{model}) = \frac{p(\text{data}|\theta, \text{model})p(\theta|\text{model})}{p(\text{data}|\text{model})}$$

Approaches

- 1. Select a model for data (structure)
- 2. Specify a prior on model parameters
- 3. Likelihood
- 4. Construct posterior
- 5. If necessary, predict unobserved value given the updated information on the parameters

Bayesian Approach Example: Is sea water contaminated with cholera

Prior

$$\theta = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{Sea without cholera} \\ 1 & \text{Sea with cholera} \end{cases}$$

$$p(\theta) = \begin{cases} 1/2 & \theta = 0 \\ 1/2 & \theta = 1 \end{cases}$$
 Uniform prior Discretized θ

Likelihood

$$y_i = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{fish with cholera} \\ 0 & \text{fish without cholera} \end{cases}$$

$$p(y_i = 1 | \theta = 0) = 0 \longrightarrow p(y_i = 0 | \theta = 0) = 1$$

 $p(y_i = 1 | \theta = 1) = \frac{1}{2} \longrightarrow p(y_i 0 | \theta = 1) = \frac{1}{2}$

data
$$y = \{y_1 = 0, y_2 = 0, y_3 = 0\}$$
 y_i are i.i.d.


$$P(y|\theta = 0) = (P(y = 0|\theta = 0))^3 = (1)^3 = 1$$

 $P(y|\theta = 1) = (P(y = 0|\theta = 1))^3 = (1/2)^3 = 1/8$

Denominator (Marginal likelihood)

$$P(y) = \sum_{\theta} P(y|\theta)P(\theta)$$

= $P(y|\theta = 0)P(\theta = 0) + P(y|\theta = 1)P(\theta = 1) = 1 \times \frac{1}{2} + \frac{1}{8} \times \frac{1}{2} = \frac{9}{16}$





Bayesian Approach Example: Is sea water contaminated with cholera

Posterior distribution:
$$p(\theta|y) = \frac{p(y|\theta)p(\theta)}{p(y)}$$

$$P(\theta = 0|y) = \frac{P(y|\theta = 0,)P(\theta = 0)}{P(y)} = \frac{1 \times 1/2}{9/16} = \frac{8}{9}$$


$$P(\theta = 1|y) = \frac{P(y|\theta = 1,)P(\theta = 1)}{P(y)} = \frac{1/8 \times 1/2}{9/16} = \frac{1}{9}$$
Update with data

Prior
$$P(\theta = 1) = \frac{1}{2}$$

$$P(\theta = 0|y) \propto P(y|\theta = 0) P(\theta = 0) = 1 \times 1/2$$

$$P(\theta = 1|y) \propto P(y|\theta = 1,)P(\theta = 1) = 1/8 \times 1/2$$

Bayes' factor =
$$\frac{P(\theta = 0|y)}{P(\theta = 1|y)} = \frac{8}{1}$$



Estimating Model Parameters

Frequentist approach

$$p(\theta|y) = \frac{p(y|\theta)p(\theta)}{p(y)}$$

$$= p(y|\theta)k(y)$$

$$\propto p(y|\theta)$$

$$k(y) = \frac{p(\theta)}{p(y)}$$

$$\propto p(y|\theta)$$

$$L(\theta) = p(y|\theta)$$

- θ is fixed
- Maximize likelihood to estimate θ (single point estimation)

$$\theta^* = \arg\max_{\theta} L(\theta)$$

Maximum Likelihood estimation (MLE)

Bayesian approach

$$p(\theta|y) = \frac{p(y|\theta)p(\theta)}{p(y)}$$
$$= \frac{p(y|\theta)p(\theta)}{\int_{\theta} p(y|\theta)p(\theta)d\theta}$$
$$\propto p(y|\theta)p(\theta)$$

- θ is random
- Estimation is expressed as prob. dist.
- Posterior is a balance between our belief and observation


$$\theta^* = \arg\max_{\theta} p(\theta|y)$$

Maximum a posteriori estimation (MAP)

Confidence Interval vs. Credible region

Frequentist approach

• Describe variability in data given the fixed parameter θ




"There is a 95% probability that when I compute CI_{θ} from a current data, the computed CI contain θ_{true}

 \rightarrow From current data set, We can only say that $\theta \in CI$ or $\theta \notin CI$

Bayesian approach

• Describe variability in θ for fixed data




"Given our observed data, there is a 95% probability that the true value of θ falls within Credible region CR_{θ} "

 \rightarrow Form current data set, We can make Probabilistic statement $Pr(\theta \in CR) = 0.95$

Confidence Interval vs. Credible region

Frequentist approach


• Describe variability in data given the fixed parameter θ




$$\theta \in CI \text{ or } \theta \notin CI$$

Bayesian approach

• Describe variability in θ for fixed data




$$Pr(\theta \in CR) = 0.95$$



Maximum Likelihood Estimation for Coin Flipping Probability

$$Y_i \sim B(\theta)$$
 $Y_i = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if Head} \\ 0 & \text{if Tail} \end{cases}$ $p(y_i) = B(y_i|\theta) = \theta^{y_i}(1-\theta)^{1-y_i}$ $\theta \in [0,1]$: Probability of having a head



Likelihood of a single observation:

$$L(\theta) = P(y_i|\theta) = \theta^{y_i}(1-\theta)^{1-y_i}$$

Likelihood of a three-observations $y = (y_1, y_2, y_3) = (1,0,1)$:

$$L(\theta) = p(y_1 = 1, y_2 = 0, y_3 = 1 | \theta)$$


$$= p(1|\theta)P(0|\theta)P(1|\theta) = \theta^2(1-\theta)^1 \quad \text{i.i.d.} \rightarrow \text{Exchangeability}$$

Likelihood of n —observations :

$$L(\theta) = P(y_1, y_2, \dots, y_n | \theta) = \theta^{\sum y_i} (1 - \theta)^{n - \sum y_i}$$

 $\Sigma_1^n y_i$: Number of Heads in n trials (sufficient statistics)

Maximum Likelihood Estimation for Coin Flipping Probability



Maximum likelihood estimation:

$$\begin{split} \hat{\theta}_{ML} &= \operatorname*{argmax}_{\theta} L(\theta) = p(y_1, y_2, ..., y_n | \theta) = \theta^{\sum y_i} (1 - \theta)^{n - \sum y_i} \\ \frac{\partial L(\theta)}{\partial \theta} &= \sum y_i \theta^{\sum y_i - 1} (1 - \theta)^{n - \sum y_i} - \theta^{\sum y_i} (n - \sum y_i) (1 - \theta)^{n - \sum y_i - 1} = 0 \\ \Rightarrow \hat{\theta}_{ML} &= \frac{\sum y_i}{n} \quad \text{MLE estimation gives a relative frequency} \end{split}$$

Bayesian Approach for Estimating Model Parameters

The essential characteristics of Bayesian methods
= explicit use of probability for quantifying uncertainty in the statistical models

Bayes' rule:

$$p(\theta|y) = \frac{p(y|\theta)p(\theta)}{p(y)}$$

$$= \frac{p(y|\theta)p(\theta)}{\int_{\theta} p(y|\theta)p(\theta)d\theta} \qquad \left(\because p(y) = \int_{\theta} p(y|\theta)p(\theta)d\theta\right)$$

$$\propto p(y|\theta)p(\theta)$$

Posterior ∝ Likelihood X Prior

 $p(\theta)$: Prior - subjective belief about θ

 $p(y|\theta)$: Likelihood – observation (data) regarding θ


 $p(\theta|y)$: Posterior - Updated belief about θ with the data

$$P(\mathbb{P}) = P(\mathbb{P})$$

Definition (*Infinite exchangeability*). We say that $(y_1, y_2, ...)$ is an infinitely exchangeable sequence of random variables if, for any n, the joint probability $p(y_1, y_2, ... y_n)$ is invariant to permutation of the indices. That is, for any permutation π ,


$$p_Y(y_1, y_2, ... y_n) = p_Y(y_{\pi_1}, y_{\pi_2}, ..., y_{\pi_n})$$

R.V.s are independent and identically distributed (i.i.d)



Random variables are infinitely exchangeability

E



$$P(R,R,B,B,B) = P(B,R,B,B,R)$$


Exchangeable

Check!!

$$P(t_2 = R | t_1 = R) \neq P(t_2 = R | t_1 = B)$$

Not independent

Exchangeability



Theorem (De Finetti, 1930s). A sequence of random variables $(y_1, y_2, ...)$ is infinitely exchangeable *iff*, for all n,

$$p(y_1, y_2, \dots y_n) = \int \prod_{i=1}^n p(y_i | \theta) p(\theta) d\theta$$


For coin tossing
$$p(y_1, y_2, ... y_n) = \int \theta^{\sum y_i} [1 - \theta]^{N - \sum y_i} p(\theta) d\theta$$

The theorem says that if we have exchangeable data,

- There must exist a parameter θ
- There must exist a likelihood $p(y|\theta)$
- There must exist a distribution p on θ
- The above quantities must exist so as to render the data $y = (y_1, y_2, ..., y_n)$ conditionally independent

Prior (Bayesian approach) is suggested by the data being exchangeable

$$Y_i \sim B(\theta)$$
 $Y_i = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if Head} \\ 0 & \text{if Tail} \end{cases}$ $p(y_i) = B(y_i|\theta) = \theta^{y_i}(1-\theta)^{1-y_i}$ $\theta \in [0,1]$: Probability of having a head





n times



Bernoulli distribution

$$Y_i \sim B(\theta)$$
 $Y_i = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if Head} \\ 0 & \text{if Tail} \end{cases}$
 $p(y_i) = B(y_i|\theta) = \theta^{y_i}(1-\theta)^{1-y_i}$

 $\theta \in [0,1]$: Probability of having a head

Binomial distribution

 $Y \sim \text{Bin}(n, \theta)$

$$p(y|\theta) = \text{Bin}(y|n,\theta) = \binom{n}{y} \theta^y (1-\theta)^{n-y}$$

$$y\in\{0,1,\dots,n\}:$$

The number of successes in a sequence of n independent yes/no experiments

$$p(\theta|y) = \frac{p(y|\theta)p(\theta)}{p(y)}$$

$$= \frac{p(y|\theta)p(\theta)}{\int_0^1 p(y,\theta)d\theta}$$

$$= \frac{p(y|\theta)p(\theta)}{\int_0^1 p(y|\theta)p(\theta)}$$

Likelihood:

$$Y \sim \text{Bin}(n, \theta) \rightarrow p(y|\theta) = \binom{n}{y} \theta^y (1 - \theta)^{n-y}$$

 $y = \text{#of success among } N \text{ trial}$

$$= \frac{p(y|\theta)p(\theta)}{\int_0^1 p(y|\theta)p(\theta)d\theta}$$
 Prior:
$$\theta \sim \text{Beta}(\alpha,\beta) \to p(\theta) = \frac{\Gamma(\alpha+\beta)}{\Gamma(\alpha)\Gamma(\beta)}\theta^{\alpha-1}(1-\theta)^{\beta-1}$$

Numerator

$$\begin{split} p(y|\theta)p(\theta) &= \text{Binomial}(y|n,\theta) \times \text{Beta}(\theta|\alpha,\beta) \\ &= \binom{n}{y} \theta^{y} (1-\theta)^{n-y} \frac{\Gamma(\alpha+\beta)}{\Gamma(\alpha)\Gamma(\beta)} \theta^{\alpha-1} (1-\theta)^{\beta-1} \\ &= \frac{\Gamma(n+1)}{\Gamma(y+1)\Gamma(n-y+1)} \frac{\Gamma(\alpha+\beta)}{\Gamma(\alpha)\Gamma(\beta)} \theta^{y} (1-\theta)^{n-y} \theta^{\alpha-1} (1-\theta)^{\beta-1} \\ &= \frac{\Gamma(N+1)\Gamma(\alpha+\beta)}{\Gamma(y+1)\Gamma(\alpha-y+1)\Gamma(\alpha)\Gamma(\beta)} \theta^{\alpha+y-1} (1-\theta)^{\beta+n-y-1} \end{split}$$

denominator

$$\begin{split} p(y) &= \int_0^1 p(y|\theta)p(\theta)d\theta \\ &= \int_0^1 \binom{n}{y} \theta^y (1-\theta)^{n-y} \frac{\Gamma(\alpha+\beta)}{\Gamma(\alpha)\Gamma(\beta)} \theta^{\alpha-1} (1-\theta)^{\beta-1} d\theta \\ &= \frac{\Gamma(n+1)}{\Gamma(y+1)\Gamma(n-y+1)} \frac{\Gamma(\alpha+\beta)}{\Gamma(\alpha)\Gamma(\beta)} \int_0^1 \theta^y (1-\theta)^{n-y} \theta^{\alpha-1} (1-\theta)^{\beta-1} d\theta \\ &= \frac{\Gamma(n+1)}{\Gamma(y+1)\Gamma(n-y+1)} \frac{\Gamma(\alpha+\beta)}{\Gamma(\alpha)\Gamma(\beta)} \int_0^1 \theta^y (1-\theta)^{n-y} \theta^{\alpha-1} (1-\theta)^{\beta-1} d\theta \\ &= \frac{\Gamma(n+1)\Gamma(\alpha+\beta)}{\Gamma(y+1)\Gamma(n-y+1)\Gamma(\alpha)\Gamma(\beta)} \int_0^1 \theta^{y+\alpha-1} (1-\theta)^{n-y+\beta-1} d\theta \\ &= \frac{\Gamma(N+1)\Gamma(\alpha+\beta)\Gamma(y+\alpha)\Gamma(n-y+\beta)}{\Gamma(y+1)\Gamma(n-y+1)\Gamma(\alpha)\Gamma(\beta)\Gamma(n+\alpha+\beta)} \int_0^1 \frac{\Gamma(n+\alpha+\beta)}{\Gamma(y+\alpha)\Gamma(n-y+\beta)} \theta^{y+\alpha-1} (1-\theta)^{n-y+\beta-1} d\theta \\ &= \frac{\Gamma(N+1)\Gamma(\alpha+\beta)\Gamma(X+\alpha)\Gamma(n-y+\beta)}{\Gamma(y+1)\Gamma(n-y+1)\Gamma(\alpha)\Gamma(\beta)\Gamma(n+\alpha+\beta)} \int_0^1 \operatorname{Beta}(\theta|y+\alpha,n-y+\beta) d\theta \\ &= \frac{\Gamma(n+1)\Gamma(\alpha+\beta)\Gamma(n+\alpha)\Gamma(n-y+\beta)}{\Gamma(n+1)\Gamma(n-y+1)\Gamma(\alpha)\Gamma(\beta)\Gamma(n+\alpha+\beta)} \\ &= \frac{\operatorname{Beta-Binomial}(y|n,\alpha,\beta) \end{split}$$


$$p(\theta|y) = \frac{p(y|\theta)p(\theta)}{\int_0^1 p(y|\theta)p(\theta)d\theta} = \frac{\text{Numerator}}{\text{Denominator}}$$


$$= \frac{\frac{\Gamma(n+1)\Gamma(\alpha+\beta)}{\Gamma(x+1)\Gamma(n-x+1)\Gamma(\alpha)\Gamma(\beta)}}{\frac{\Gamma(n+1)\Gamma(\alpha+\beta)\Gamma(y+\alpha)\Gamma(n-y+\beta)}{\Gamma(y+1)\Gamma(n-y+1)\Gamma(\alpha)\Gamma(\beta)\Gamma(n+\alpha+\beta)}} \theta^{\alpha+y-1} (1-\theta)^{\beta+n-y-1}$$

$$= \frac{\Gamma(n+\alpha+\beta)}{\Gamma(y+\alpha)\Gamma(n-y+\beta)} \theta^{\alpha+y-1} (1-\theta)^{\beta+n-y-1}$$

$$= \frac{\text{Beta}(\theta|\alpha+y,\beta+n-y)}{\theta^{\alpha+y-1}}$$

$$p(\theta) = Beta(\theta | \alpha, \beta)$$
 $\xrightarrow{data} p(\theta | y) = Beta(\theta | \alpha + y, \beta + n - y)$





• From the previous result $p(\theta|y) = \text{Beta}(\theta|a+y,b+n-y)$,

$$\mathbb{E}(\theta) = \frac{\alpha}{\alpha + \beta}$$

$$\mathbb{E}(\theta|y) = \frac{\alpha + y}{\alpha + \beta + n} = \frac{\alpha}{\alpha + \beta} \frac{\alpha + \beta}{(\alpha + \beta + n)} + \frac{y}{n} \frac{n}{(\alpha + \beta + n)}$$

$$= \mathbb{E}(\theta) \frac{\alpha + \beta}{\alpha + \beta + n} + \hat{\theta}_{ML} \frac{n}{\alpha + \beta + n}$$

- As $n \to \infty$, $\mathbb{E}(\theta | X) \to \frac{y}{n} = \hat{\theta}_{ML}$
- Large value of $\alpha + \beta$ signifies Posterior

→In the limit, the prior does not influence the results. That is, the results are dominated by the data (observation).

$$\operatorname{var}(\theta|y) = \frac{(\alpha+y)(\beta+n-y)}{(\alpha+\beta+n)^2(\alpha+\beta+n+1)} = \frac{E(\theta|y)(1-E(\theta|y))}{\alpha+\beta+n+1}$$

• As
$$n$$
 and $(n-y) \to \infty$, $var(\theta|y) \to \frac{1}{n} \frac{y}{n} \left(1 - \frac{y}{n}\right) = \frac{p(1-p)}{n}$

Posterior as compromise between data and prior information

•
$$E(u) = E(E(u|v))$$

 $E(\theta) = E(E(\theta|y))$

The prior mean of θ is the average of all possible posterior means over the distribution of possible data

•
$$\operatorname{var}(u) = E(\operatorname{var}(u|v)) + \operatorname{var}(E(u|v))$$

 $\operatorname{var}(\theta) = E(\operatorname{var}(\theta|y)) + \operatorname{var}(E(\theta|y))$

The posterior variance is on average smaller than the prior variance by an amount that depends on the variation in posterior means over the distribution of all the possible data

The posterior distribution is centered at a point that represents a compromise between the prior information and the data, and the compromise is controlled to a greater extent by the data as the sample size increases

The Role of Prior

Example (BDA Ch.2.4)

Probability of girl birth given placenta Previa


- Among 980 births with placenta Previa, 437 are females
- How much evidence does this provide for the claim that the proportion of female birth in the population of placenta Previa birth is less than 0.485?


$$P(\theta) = \text{Beta}(\theta | \alpha, \beta)$$


$$P(\theta | y) = \text{Beta}(\theta | \alpha + 437, \beta + 543)$$


$$\mathbb{E}(\theta) = \frac{\alpha + 437}{\alpha + 437 + \beta + 543}$$

Parameters of the		Summaries of the	
prior distribution		posterior distribution	
		Posterior	95% posterior
$\frac{\alpha}{\alpha+\beta}$	$\alpha + \beta$	median of θ	interval for θ
0.500	2	0.446	[0.415, 0.477]
0.485	2	0.446	[0.415, 0.477]
0.485	5	0.446	[0.415, 0.477]
0.485	10	0.446	[0.415, 0.477]
0.485	20	0.447	[0.416, 0.478]
0.485	100	0.450	[0.420, 0.479]
0.485	200	0.453	[0.424, 0.481]









Beyond Parameter Estimation: Prediction based on Bayesian Approach

Posterior unpredictable distribution

the distribution of the unknown and an observable parameter θ given observed y

$$p(\theta|y) = \frac{p(y|\theta)p(\theta)}{p(y)} = \frac{p(y|\theta)p(\theta)}{\int_{\theta} p(y|\theta)p(\theta)d\theta} \propto p(y|\theta)p(\theta)$$

Prior predictive distribution

Before the data y are considered, the distribution of the unknown but observable y is

$$p(y) = \int_{\theta} p(y, \theta) d\theta = \int_{\theta} p(y|\theta) p(\theta)$$

Posterior predictive distribution

Prediction for an observable \hat{y} conditional on the observed y

$$p(\hat{y}|y) = \int_{\theta} p(\hat{y}, \theta|y) d\theta = \int_{\theta} p(\hat{y}|\theta, y) p(\theta|y) d\theta = \int_{\theta} p(\hat{y}|\theta) p(\theta|y) d\theta$$


• Posterior predictive distribution=an average of conditional predictions over the posterior dist. on θ

Prior predictive distribution: Coin tossing example

Prior predictive distribution

Before the data y are considered, the distribution of the unknown but observablen y is

Posterior-Predictive Distribution: Coin tossing example



the number of persons with dieses among n

Posterior predictive distribution

Prediction for an observable \hat{y} conditional on the observed y

$$p(\hat{y}|y) = \int_{\theta} p(\hat{y}, \theta|y) d\theta = \int_{\theta} p(\hat{y}|\theta, y) p(\theta|y) d\theta = \int_{\theta} p(\hat{y}|\theta) p(\theta|y) d\theta$$

• Posterior predictive distribution=an average of conditional predictions over the posterior dist. on heta

Posterior-Predictive Distribution: Coin tossing example

Remember Prior Predictive distribution

$$p(\hat{y}) = \int_0^1 p(\hat{y}, \theta) d\theta = \int_0^1 p(\hat{y}|\theta) p(\theta) d\theta$$
$$= \text{Beta-Binomial}(\hat{Y}|n, \alpha, \beta)$$

Using this result, the posterior predictive distribution is

$$p(\hat{y}|y) = \int_0^1 p(\hat{y}, \theta|y) d\theta = \int_0^1 p(\hat{y}|\theta) p(\theta|y) d\theta$$
$$= \text{Beta-Binomial}(\hat{Y}|N, \alpha + y, \beta + n - y)$$

$$p(\theta|y) = \text{Beta}(\alpha + y, \beta + n - y)$$

 $p(\theta) = \text{Beta}(a, b)$


When
$$\hat{y} = 1$$
, $p(\hat{y} = 1|y) = \int_0^1 \theta p(\theta|y) d\theta$ $\therefore p(\hat{y} = 1|\theta) = \theta$

$$= \mathbb{E}[\theta|y]$$

$$= \frac{\alpha + y}{\alpha + y + \beta + n - y}$$

$$= \frac{\alpha + y}{\alpha + \beta + n}$$
 \leftarrow When N is small prior has strong influence

For
$$\alpha = 1$$
, $\beta = 1$, $P(\hat{y}|y) = \frac{y+1}{n+2}$ (check!)



Three Steps in Bayesian Approaches

Step 1: Modeling

setting up a full probability model, a joint probability distribution for all observable and unobservable quantities in a target problem

Step 2: Inferencing

calculate and interpret the appropriate posterior distribution, the conditional probability distribution of the unobserved quantities of interests

Step 3: Checking

Evaluate the fit of the model and the sensitiveness of the assumption in step 1