

# Ax-Grothendieck and Lean

Joseph Hua

November 11, 2021

## Contents

1	Introduction	1
2	Model Theory Background	1
2.1	Languages	1
3	Model Theory of Algebraically Closed Fields	2
4	The Lefschetz Principle	2
5	Reducing to Locally Finite Fields	2
6	Proving of the Locally Finite Case	2

## 1 Introduction

## 2 Model Theory Background

For most definitions and proofs in this section we reference David Marker's book on Model Theory [?]. We introduce the formalisations of the content in `lean` alongside the theory.

### 2.1 Languages

#### Definition – Language

A language (also known as a *signature*)  $\mathcal{L} = (\text{functions}, \text{relations})$  consists of

- A sort symbol  $A$ , which we will have in the background for intuition.
- For each natural number  $n$  we have functions  $n$  - the set of *function symbols* for the language of *arity*  $n$ . For some  $f \in \text{functions } n$  we might write  $f : A^n \rightarrow A$  to denote  $f$  with its arity.
- For each natural number  $n$  we have relations  $n$  - the set of *relation symbols* for the language of *arity*  $n$ . For some  $r \in \text{relations } n$  we might write  $r \hookrightarrow A^n$  to denote  $r$  with its arity.

The `flypitch` project implements the above definition as

```
structure Language : Type (u+1) :=  
  (functions : ℕ → Type u)
```

```
(relations : ℕ → Type u)
```

This says that `Language` is a mathematical structure (like a group structure, or ring structure) that consists of two pieces of data, a map called `functions` and another called `relations`. Both take a natural number and spit out a *type* (think *set* for now) that consists respectively of all the function symbols and relation symbols of arity  $n$ .

In more detail: in type theory when we write  $a : A$  we mean  $a$  is a *term* of *type*  $A$ . We can draw an analogy with the set theoretic notion  $a \in A$ , but types in `lean` have slightly different personalities, which we will gradually introduce. Hence in the above definitions `Language`, `functions n` and `relations n` are terms of type `Type` (something), the latter is the type consisting of all types (with some universe considerations to avoid Russell's paradox), in other words they themselves are *types*.

For convenience we single out 0-ary (arity 0) functions and call them *constant* symbols, usually denoting them by  $c : A$ . We think of these as 'elements' of the sort  $A$  and write  $c : A$ . This is defined in `lean` by

```
def constants (L : Language) : Type u := L.functions 0
```

This says that `constants` takes in a language  $L$  and returns a type, specifically it returns `functions 0`.

Our languages are multi-sorted, meaning we can have elements and variables living in different spaces. For example groups, rings and partial orders are all 1-sorted with just one underlying set, whereas group actions and modules are 2-sorted. If we are working in the 1-sorted case we may not mention the sort at all, because there is only one.

### 3 Model Theory of Algebraically Closed Fields

### 4 The Lefschetz Principle

### 5 Reducing to Locally Finite Fields

### 6 Proving of the Locally Finite Case