

Financial Econometrics

Lecture 10

Fu Ouyang

May 16, 2018

Outline

Granger Causality

Instantaneous Causality

Impulse Reponse Functions

Cointegration

Granger Causality

Let Z_t and Y_t be two univariate time series. Let $F(X|Y)$ denote the conditional distribution of X given Y . Then, Z_t is said to *Granger cause* Y_t if

$$F(Y_t|Y_{t-1}, Z_{t-1}, Y_{t-2}, Z_{t-2}, \dots) \neq F(Y_t|Y_{t-1}, Y_{t-2}, \dots)$$

In practice, this *Granger causality in distribution* is often narrowed down to *Granger causality in mean*

$$E(Y_t|Y_{t-1}, Z_{t-1}, Y_{t-2}, Z_{t-2}, \dots) \neq E(Y_t|Y_{t-1}, Y_{t-2}, \dots)$$

Note that the presence of Granger causality only implies the dependence between Y_t and $\{Z_{t-1}, Z_{t-2}, \dots\}$ conditioning on $\{Y_{t-1}, Y_{t-2}, \dots\}$, it does *not* say which cause which.

Granger Causality

Granger causality can be easily verified for the VAR models. Consider a simple bivariate example:

$$\begin{pmatrix} Z_t \\ Y_t \end{pmatrix} = \mathbf{c} + \sum_{l=1}^p \mathbf{A}_l \begin{pmatrix} Z_{t-l} \\ Y_{t-l} \end{pmatrix} + \epsilon_t$$

where $\epsilon_t \sim \text{WN}(\mathbf{0}, \Sigma_\epsilon)$. Testing the Granger causality in this model is equivalent to test

$$H_0 : a_{21}^{(1)} = \dots = a_{21}^{(p)} = 0$$

where $a_{ij}^{(l)}$ denotes the (i, j) -element of \mathbf{A}_l . When H_0 is rejected, Z_t is regarded as Granger causing Y_t . This test can be implemented via F -test and likelihood ratio test (both are measures of goodness of fit).

Granger Causality

- F -test:

$$pF = p \cdot \frac{(RSS_r - RSS)/p}{RSS/(2T - 2p - 2)} \rightsquigarrow \chi_p^2$$

where RSS is the *residual sum squares* obtained by LSE, i.e.

$$RSS = \sum_{t=p+1}^T \left\| \begin{pmatrix} Z_t \\ Y_t \end{pmatrix} - \hat{\mathbf{c}} - \sum_{l=1}^p \hat{\mathbf{A}}_l \begin{pmatrix} Z_{t-l} \\ Y_{t-l} \end{pmatrix} \right\|^2$$

and RSS_r is the RSS of the LSE under restriction H_0 .

- Likelihood ratio test:

$$(T - p) \log(|\hat{\Sigma}_{\epsilon,r}|/|\hat{\Sigma}_{\epsilon}|) \rightsquigarrow \chi_p^2$$

where $\hat{\Sigma}_{\epsilon,r}$ is the MLE covariance matrix under restriction H_0 .

Outline

Granger Causality

Instantaneous Causality

Impulse Reponse Functions

Cointegration

Instantaneous Causality

Denote $\mathbf{X}_t = (Z_t, Y_t)'$. If in the VAR model, Σ_ϵ is not diagonal, then

$$\text{Cov}(Z_t, Y_t | \mathbf{X}_{t-1}, \dots, \mathbf{X}_{t-p}) \neq 0$$

In this case, Z_t and Y_t are said to have *instantaneous Granger causality*.

The instantaneous Granger causality can be tested by $H_0 : \sigma_{21} = 0$ (the off-diagonal element of Σ_ϵ) using $\hat{\sigma}_{21}$.

Outline

Granger Causality

Instantaneous Causality

Impulse Reponse Functions

Cointegration

Impulse Response Functions

Another way to investigate the effect of a change in one component series on the other components is via the *impulse response functions*, which can be easily derived from the $MA(\infty)$ representation of a VAR model.

$$\mathbf{X}_t = \mathbf{c} + \epsilon_t + \sum_{k=1}^p \mathbf{B}_k \epsilon_{t-k} = \mathbf{c} + \Psi_0 \mathbf{e}_t + \sum_{k=1}^p \Psi_k \mathbf{e}_{t-k}$$

where $\epsilon_t = \Psi_0 e_t$, $e_t \sim \text{WN}(0, I_d)$, $\Psi_0 \Psi_0' = \Sigma_\epsilon$, and $\Psi_k = \mathbf{B}_k \Psi_0$.

The matrices Ψ_0, Ψ_1, \dots are called the impulse response functions. Denote $\psi_{ij}^{(k)}$ as the (i, j) -th element of Ψ_k . $\psi_{ij}^{(k)}$ is called the response function of \mathbf{X}_{ti} to the impulse on the j -th component of \mathbf{e}_{t-k} .

Note that Ψ_0 is not unique as $\Psi_0 \mathbf{H} \mathbf{H}' \Psi_0' = \Psi_0 I_d \Psi_0' = \Psi_0 \Psi_0'$ for all $d \times d$ orthogonal matrix \mathbf{H} .

Outline

Granger Causality

Instantaneous Causality

Impulse Response Functions

Cointegration

Unit Root and Cointegration

For univariate time series $X_t \sim \text{ARIMA}(p, 1, 0)$, $\nabla X_t = X_t - X_{t-1}$ is stationary, and X_t is said to have a *unit root* and denoted as $X_t \sim I(1)$.

Similarly, for $X_t \sim \text{ARIMA}(p, k, 0)$, X_t is said to have k unit roots and denoted as $X_t \sim I(k)$.

Let $X_t \sim I(1)$ and $Y_t \sim I(1)$. They are said to be *cointegrated* if there is a non-zero constant such that $Y_t - \beta X_t \sim I(0)$, i.e. stationary.

More generally, a vector time series \mathbf{X}_t is said to be cointegrated with order (k, h) ($k \geq h \geq 1$), denoted as $\mathbf{X}_t \sim \text{CI}(k, h)$ if

1. all component series of \mathbf{X}_t are $I(k)$, and
2. there is a non-zero constant vector β such that $\beta' \mathbf{X}_t \sim I(k - h)$.

Most frequently used cointegration model is $\text{CI}(1, 1)$.

Engle-Granger Two-Step Estimation

Let $X_t \sim I(1)$ and $Y_t \sim I(1)$ (Test this first in practice using the *augmented Dickey-Fuller* (ADF) test!).

- Step 1: run the following regression and compute residuals

$$Y_t = \alpha + \beta X_t + u_t \rightarrow \hat{u}_t = \hat{Y}_t - \hat{\alpha} - \hat{\beta} X_t$$

then test if \hat{u}_t has unit root using the *cointegration augmented Dickey-Fuller* (CADF) test.

- Step 2: estimate the following error-correction model (ECM)

$$\nabla Y_t = a_0 + a_1 \hat{u}_{t-1} + a_2 \nabla X_{t-1} + a_3 \nabla Y_{t-1} + \epsilon_{t1}$$

$$\nabla X_t = b_0 + b_1 \hat{u}_{t-1} + b_2 \nabla Y_{t-1} + b_3 \nabla X_{t-1} + \epsilon_{t2}$$

where \hat{u}_t is included to control the error from step 1.