

3. Floating-Rate Instruments

Exercises

1. Suppose the LIBOR discount factor curve for the first year is as follows:

| t | discount factor |
|------|-----------------|
| 0 | 1 |
| 0.25 | 0.99782972 |
| 0.5 | 0.994845185 |
| 1 | 0.987439389 |

(a) What is the fair rate for an FRA on 3M LIBOR where the floating rate is observed in 3 months?

(b) What is the present value of an FRA on 3M LIBOR where the floating rate is observed in 6 months if you receive the fixed rate of 1% on a notional of \$10 million? (Interpolate by assuming that the instantaneous forward rate is constant between time points.)

(c) You encounter an FRA from 6M to 1Y where the fixed leg is quoted, as usual, as a simple rate of interest, but the floating rate is 3M LIBOR compounded over the term of the FRA. That is, in 6M the 3M LIBOR rate r_1 is observed, then in 9M the 3M LIBOR rate r_2 is observed, and the floating amount paid on the terminating date is:

$$N[(1 + r_1\Delta t)(1 + r_2\Delta t) - 1]$$

Determine the fair fixed rate for this contract. Support your answer by showing how such a contract may be hedged or replicated.

2. When the term structure of zero rates is...

| t | r (continuous) |
|------|----------------|
| 0.25 | 0.012 |
| 0.5 | 0.0155 |
| 1 | 0.018 |
| 2 | 0.02 |

...you are asked to report certain characteristics of an FRN with the following terms and conditions:

principal: 100
reset and coupon frequency: quarterly
time to maturity: 1.2 years
floating rate margin: 2%
most recent reset rate: 0.0145

For the purposes of this question, disregard the possibility that the bond may default. Interpolate in the term structure of interest rates by assuming that the continuously compounded zero rates are linear, and that the rate is constant between 0 and 0.25 years.

- (a) What are the projected cash flows of the bond?
- (b) What is the present value of the bond?
- (c) What is the bond's duration? Its convexity? (Use the more general form for these analytics based on dollar duration.)

3. For the following term structure of discount factors....

| t | df |
|-----|-------------|
| 0.5 | 0.975309912 |
| 1 | 0.946485148 |
| 1.5 | 0.917364861 |
| 2 | 0.884263663 |

- (a) Determine the par swap rate for a 2-year swap whose fixed leg pays annually.
- (b) Determine the par swap rate for a 2-year swap whose fixed leg pays semiannually.
- (c) Determine the forward par swap rate for a 1-year swap commencing in 1 year whose fixed leg pays semiannually.

Applications

A Simple Example of Bootstrapping Swaps Curves

As with Treasury bonds, swaps can be used to determine a term structure of discount factors for pricing other instruments. Swaps may, for many applications, be a better choice for discounting, since Treasury bonds have unique characteristics that other instruments (e.g., corporate debt) do not share.

For this application, we follow a simplified version of the procedure for deriving two key curves in the EUR market: The EONIA (OIS) discounting curve, and the 6M EURIBOR curve. All swaps will be assumed to pay both fixed and floating cash flows semiannually.

- (a) The first step is to use fixed-for-EONIA swaps to determine the discounting curve. We observe the following market rates:

| Fixed-for-EONIA | |
|------------------|----------------------------|
| maturity (years) | par swap rate (percent) |
| 1 | -0.366% |
| 2 | -0.275% |
| 3 | -0.160% |
| 4 | -0.035% |
| 5 | 0.015% |
| 7 | 0.275% |
| 10 | 0.660% |

(note rates are for illustration purposes, not actual market quotes)

For these swaps, the EONIA discounting curve you solve for will be used both to determine the forward rates appropriate to the floating leg and to discount the cash flows.

Assume that the continuously compounded spot rate is constant from now to the 1-year maturity; interpolate linearly in the continuously compounded spot rates for cash flows between the maturities given above. The output of this step should be a set of discount factors at the maturities corresponding to the market quotes above, with the final known point at 10 years.

(b) The second step is to use fixed-for 6M EURIBOR swaps to determine a 6M EURIBOR curve. We observe the following market rates:

Fixed-for-6M EURIBOR

| maturity (years) | par swap rate (percent) |
|------------------|-------------------------|
| 1 | -0.250% |
| 2 | -0.160% |
| 3 | -0.040% |
| 4 | 0.100% |
| 5 | 0.250% |
| 7 | 0.520% |
| 10 | 0.890% |

(note rates are for illustration purposes, not actual market quotes)

For these swaps, the 6M EURIBOR curve you solve for will be used to determine the forward rates appropriate to the floating leg, while the EONIA curve you generated in part (a) above will be used to discount all cash flows. The output of this step should be a set of discount factors at the maturities corresponding to the market quotes above, just as in the EONIA step.

(c) On new worksheets, show separately the results if all EONIA swap rates are shocked upward 1bp while keeping the EURIBOR swap rates unchanged. This will give you a different 6M EURIBOR curve. Was the result what you expected? What do you think would happen if we left our 6M EURIBOR discount factors unchanged and instead recalculated the EURIBOR par swap rates after a 1bp shock up to the EONIA rates?