#### Homework 5

#### Question 1.

Consider that the graph G has an edge denoted  $e = \{x, y\}$  which does not belong to the tree T. Because T is a Depth First Search (DFS) tree, the condition of one of the two ends being an ancestor of the other needs to be satisfied. Also, since T is also a Bread First Search (BFS) tree, the distance of the two nodes from U in T can differ by at most one.

Now, in the case that x is an ancestor of y, and the distance from u to y in T is at most one greater than the distance from u to x, then x has to be the direct parent of y in T. Because of this logic, it follows that  $\{x,y\}$  is an edge of T, contradicting that  $\{x,y\}$  did not belong to T. Because of this contradiction  $T \neq G$ .

# Question 2.

- (a) By the problem statement we know that there are n people and n nights. We, therefore, need to assign each person  $p_i$  to a night, say,  $d_i$ ; such that  $p_i$  is available to cook on the night  $d_i$ . It is then possible to conclude that assigning persons available to available nights to cook is a matching problem. In particular, since it is required to assign a person to a particular night, the assignment should be a perfect match. A possible solution to this problem can involve:
  - (i) Build a bipartite graph. That is a graph that involves two sets of vertices in which one is the set of people  $\{p_1, p_2, p_3, ..., p_i\}$ ; and the other is the set of nights  $\{d_1, d_2, d_3, ..., d_i\}$ .
  - (ii) An an edge  $\{p_i, d_i\}$  to the graph if the person  $p_i$  is available to cook on night  $d_i$ .
  - (iii) To find whether there is a perfect matching or not, we can use maximum flow algorithm.
  - (iv) Add two more vertices, source vertex(s) and sink vertex(t) to bipartite graph. Add edges from s to each person. Also, add edges from each night to t.
  - (v) Set each edge's capacity to 1.
  - (vi) Now, run the maximum flow algorithm. The schedule will be feasible, if and only if the maximum flow is n and the graph has exactly n edges (perfect matching).

If there is a maximum flow with value n and the graph has exactly n edges, each person will be joined to a distinct night. Thus, the matching gives a feasible dinner schedule. If there is no such maximum flow, there will be no feasible dinner schedule.

- (b) The problem states that  $p_i$  and  $p_j$  both are assigned to  $d_k$  and nobody is assigned to cook on night  $d_l$ . This gives:
  - Whenever there is a feasible schedule under such conditions, the following are feasible scenarios:
    - $-d_k \notin S_i$  and  $d_l \notin S_i$ , or,
    - $-d_k \in S_i$  and  $d_l \notin S_j$ , or,
    - $-d_k \in S_i$  and  $d_l \notin S_j$ , or,
    - $-d_k \notin S_i$  and  $d_l \in S_j$ , or,  $-d_k \in S_i$  and  $d_1 \in S_j$ .
  - If  $d_k \notin S_i$  and  $d_l \not lnS_i$  then it is enough to add edge  $\{p_i, d_l\}$ . Otherwise, we need to find an edge  $\{p_q, d_r\}$  to assign  $p_q$  to either  $d_l$  or  $d_k$  and need to assign either  $p_i$  or  $p_j$  to  $d_r$ .

Algorithm 1 presents a possible implementation. In terms of the time complexity of the algorithm. Note the following:

- (a) The loop at line 9 takes O(n), since it is in the feasible dinner schedule and therefore will there be only n edges.
- (b) The worst case occurs in line 10. Where checking for membership takes O(n).
- (c) Therefore, the overall worse time complexity corresponds to a linear search nested in a linear loop, or a  $O(n^2)$  time complexity.

### Algorithm 1. FIXSCHEDULE

```
if d_k \notin S_i And d_l \notin S_i then
        Update edges in the graph \{p_i, d_k\} and \{p_j, d_l\} and exit the loop
 2:
 3:
        for each edge \{p_i, d_i\} do
            print(p_i \text{ is assigned to night } d_i)
 4:
 5:
        return 1
 6: Flag1=False
 7: Flag2=False
    if d_k in S_i then
 8:
        for each edge \{p_q, d_r\} do
9:
            if d_k \notin S_q \mathbf{And} d_r \notin S_i then
10:
                Update edges in the graph \{p_i, d_r\} and \{p_q, d_k\}
11:
                Flag1=True
12:
                exit the loop
13:
14: else
15: Flag1=True
16: if d_l in S_i then
        for each edge \{p_q, d_r\} do
17:
            if d_l \notin S_q \mathbf{And} d_r \notin S_j then
18:
                Update edges in the graph \{p_i, d_r\} and \{p_q, d_l\}
19:
                Flag2=True
20:
                exit the loop
21:
22: else
23: Flag2=True
    if Flag1==True And Flag2==True then
        for each edge \{p_i, d_i\} do
25:
26:
            print(p_i \text{ is assigned to night } d_i)
27:
        return 1
28: else
29: return 0
```

## Question 3.

(a) A directed graph G can be constructed. In order to build this graph, we can scan through the ordered triples in the trace data, maintaining an array pointed to linked lists associated with each computer  $C_a$ . For each  $(C_i, C_j, t_k)$  we come across in our scan, we can create nodes  $(C_i, t_k)$  and  $(C_j, t_k)$ , and create directed edges joining these two nodes in both directions. We can also append these nodes to the lists for  $C_i$  and  $C_j$  respectively. If this is not the first triple involving  $C_i$ , then we must include a directed edge from  $(C_i, t)$  to  $(C_i, t_k)$ , where t is the timestamp in the preceding element in the list  $C_i$ . The same will be executed for  $C_j$ . By explicitly keeping these lists for each node, we are able to construct all these new nodes and edges in constant time per triple.

Given a collection of triples, we need to decide if a virus at computer  $C_a$  at time x could have infected computer  $C_b$  by time y. Walking through the list for  $C_a$  until we get to the last node  $(C_a, x'), x' \leq x$ . Running a directed Binary First Search (BFS) from  $(C_a, x')$  to determine all node that are reachable from it. If a node in the form  $(C_b, y'), y' \leq y$  is reachable, then we can definitively declare that  $C_b$  is likely to have been infected by time y from  $C_a$ . Otherwise, we declare the opposite.

(b) In terms of running time; each triple in the trace data causes us to add a constant number of nodes and edges to the graph. Therefore the graph should have O(m) nodes and edges. Since we build the graph in constant time per node and edge, this takes O(m). Running BFS takes linear time in the size of the graph, so this too takes O(m).

(c) In terms of correctness, the main claim is that is there is a path from  $(C_a, x')$  to  $(C_b, y')$  then  $C_b$  could have been infected by time y. This is rather easily explained by checking the movement of the virus between computers  $C_i$  and  $C_j$  at time  $t_k$ , whenever an edge from  $(C_i, t_k)$  to  $(C_j, t_k)$  is traversed by the BFS. This is a viable sequence of transmission of the virus that results in the virus leaving initially  $C_a$  at some time x or later and infecting  $C_b$  by time y.

## Question 4.

Consider a directed graph say G = (V, E). In G the set of vertices  $X \subset V$  are designated as populated vertices and the set of vertices  $S \subset V$  are designated as safe vertices. The two sets X and V need to be disjoint.

A set of evacuation routes from populated vertices to safe vertices is defined as:

- Each vertex in the set X is the tail of one path
- $\bullet$  The end vertex in the path should lie in S
- The paths do not share any edges
- (a) In the graph G, the evacuation routes should not overlap in order to create "no congestion". For this, we need to make the weight of each edge 1 in order to ensure this condition. Evacuation routes exist if the maximum flow of the flow network is equal to |X|. Here, |X| is the capacity of each edge from S to sink t. Therefore, there exists |X| escape routes.

Using the Ford Fulkerson algorithm, the run time is  $O(V.E^2)$ . We, therefore, use |X| unit capacity edges from the sources s to X. From X to S, there exist unique edges. From S, there exists |X| paths to sink t. Therefore, the maximum flow is |X|. The maximum flow of the flow network is equal to |X|. Thus, a set of evacuation routes exists.

- (b) Under this new case, the third condition for the evacuation route will need to change. The third condition is that "the paths do not share any vertices". In graph G, the evacuation routes should not overlap in order to ensure "no congestion". In order to remedy this situation, we can split each vertex into two vertices except the vertices in the sets S and X. For instance, the vertex a is divided into  $a_1$  and  $a_2$ . The vertex  $a_1$  will have incoming edges and the vertex  $a_2$  will have outgoing edges. This ensures "no congestion" in the flow network. The evacuation routes will not share any vertices.
- (c) Consider a graph G with nodes  $x_1, x_2, x_3, s_1, s_2$  and edges  $(x_1, x_2), (x_2, s_1), (x_2, s_2), (x_3, s_2)$ . Under this graph required routes exist in G. However, these can be any number of interconnecting nodes between X and S.