When this is activated by the action called 'light', the concept of light is formed. Knowledge of light means the conception of identity between the knower and the sensation of light. In other words, an external activity producing a change in the 'I'-sense being attributed to the knower, is knowledge of light. This feeling of relationship between the knower and the known, e.g. 'I am the knower of light', is the ego called 'Asmita'. The nature of the sense-organs or their common constituent, is the sixth Aviseşa or undiversified principle called Asmita.

(5) Self only as cognition of unqualified existence - the feeling that 'I exist' or pure 'I'-sense. The property of Buddhi or Mahat as a principle is assurance. Assurance and existence as entity are inseparable. Assurance in respect of a thing and that of self are both attributes of Buddhi. Of these, the assurance in respect of self is the final. That is why it is the real nature of Buddhi. Assurance in respect of an object is a distracted modification of Buddhi. Therefore 'I exist' or a convincing knowledge of self, or self as a pure entity, is the Mahat principle.

If there is the conception of 'I' at the root, then there can be its mutated forms, e.g. 'I am the seer', 'I am the hearer', 'I am the smeller', 'I am moving' etc. This mutated form is the (mutative) ego. Thus from the feeling of self as an entity, which is Mahat, arises Ego, i.e. Mahat principle is the cause of ego.

Analysing the 'I'-feeling in this way it will be seen that Mahat is the first manifestation. Its modification is ego, whose modifications are the senses. Tanmatras of sound etc. are also modifications of the ego.

The perceptible part of sound etc. is only a modification of our ego, and the external action from which sound etc. emanate, is a mutation of the ego of the Great Brahma or Hiranyagarbha. Thus sound etc. are in both respects the modifications of the ego.

The commentator says that Mahat undergoes six undiversified modifications in the shape of Tanmatra and ego. Sāmkhya says that from Mahat arises ego and from ego come the five Tanmatras. Some say that this is a point of difference between the Sāmkhya and Yoga philosophies. There is, however, no real difference. In fact, the observation of the commentator is this—the first manifested Mahat is antecedent to the six Aviśeşas or undiversified states. Taking the latter states as one species, the first