Task 3.4- The Goat Problem madicale 2 [-] [-] [-] [-] This problem divides at first glance in & cases: Case 1: The candidate chooses door 3, such that the moderator opens a door with a goat random. Case 2: The candidate chooses door 1, such sthat the moderator opens door 2 for sure. Afterwards we must add the assumption that the candidate knows the moderators bias for Case 1. For example could it be that the moderator always takes the highest number pesible (that is not the car). In fact, the bias is there for both cases.

where the prize probability that moderator picks between two goals

we define Ω = {1,2,3}. and P(M;) = ½ doors wit i ∈ ελ. (2,3) initially: P(Car;) = 3 mit i e {1,2,3} Case 1: We assume the moderator is unbiased and picks acors with equal probability \(\frac{2}{5}\). P(M2/ (arz) = 2 prob. + harmod. opens 2 when car is in 3 P(M2/Care)=0 prob. Fhat mod. Opeus2 when car is in 2 P(M2/Car)=1 prob. that mod. opens 2 when car is in 1 P(Carx 1 M2) = P(M2 (arx) P(Carx) 1. \frac{1}{3} + 0. \frac{1}{3} + \frac{2}{3} = \frac{2}{3} The candidate should change from door 3 to door 1. This way he she doubles his then winning probability from \$ to \$. if we pick the door with a goat the probabilities change (we assume picking door 1) P(M2/Car3)=1 P(M2/ Carz) = 0 this is just larger than 0 if the moderator P(M2/ Carr) = 0 can open the door that was picked. The problem is far more complex than this small case. But we are not interested in game theory or brased moderators