

Equivalence Testing in R using MGCFA

Johannes Karl

2018-09-04

I am currently working on a project that aims to investigate the properties of a new psychological measure in different cultural samples. An often neglected aspect of this is equivalence testing (Vandenberg & Lance, 2000). Equivalence of measurement instruments is a necessary precondition for many group comparisons. So what is equivalence? In the shortest (and probably most inaccurate way) we could describe measurement equivalence as:“”. (Is there a difference between equivalence and invariance ?) So what is the theory behind it and how can we test for it?

Theory

If we want to understand the theory of measurement equivalence it is probably best to start with Meredith(1993). In the following I try to condense the article as much as possible. Meredith puts forward that a number (p) latent variables W_p exist which are measured by a number (n) of observed indicators X_n . So in our case mindfulness, which is comprised from 5 factors and is measured by a number of questions for each factor. Meredith further states that we have a variable V that represents a subpopulation from the parent population. In the case of equivalence tests between cultures the parent population is humanity and the subpopulation are cultures. While Meredith mentions ethnicity, age, sex (which are all observable dimensions); he also mentions that V could be a latent variable itself. Meredith cites Mellenburgh (1989) expressing measurement invariance as:

$$F(x|w, v) = F(x|w)$$

What this basically indicates is that to achieve measurement invariance v has no influence on the relation of the observed variable x the relationship between x and w , or w itself. $F(x|w, v) = F(x|w)$ represents perfect measurement invariance. Meredith also defines weaker forms of measurement invariance.