

UK Linguistics Graduate Handbook

(draft) Fall 2023¹

¹ Find the most recent revision of this document on the [UK Linguistics Graduate Programs](#) page

2023-10-18

Table of contents

1	General Information	3
1.1	UK Policies for Graduate Students and Programs	3
1.2	Rights, Responsibilities, and Resources	4
1.3	Academic Load	4
1.4	Independent Studies	5
1.4.1	Parental Leave for Graduate Students . .	5
1.4.2	Scholastic Probation	5
1.4.3	Summer Support	5
1.4.4	Priority for Summer Teaching	6
1.5	Possibilities for Research, Conference, and Institute Funding	6
1.5.1	Research Funding	6
1.5.2	Conference Supplemental Funding	7
1.5.3	Institute (and summer program) Funding	7
2	MA Program	7
2.1	Introduction	7
2.2	Advisor and Thesis Committee	8
2.3	Coursework Requirements	8
2.4	Concurrent Degrees	9
2.5	Completing the MA Thesis Requirement	9
2.6	Applying to Doctoral Programs	10

3	Ph.D. Program	10
3.1	Introduction	10
3.2	Advisor and Thesis Committee	10
3.3	Coursework Requirements	11
3.3.1	Required courses (6 hours)	11
3.3.2	Core courses (6 hours)	11
3.3.3	Disciplinary Breadth Courses (9 hours)	11
3.3.4	Advanced Courses in Area of Concentration (9 hours)	11
3.3.5	Other Electives (6 hours)	12
3.4	Concurrent Degrees	12
3.5	Qualifying for Candidacy	12
3.6	Advancing to Candidacy	12
3.7	Dissertation Prospectus	12
3.8	Dissertation Committee	12
3.9	Dissertation Defense	12
3.10	Time to Degree	12
3.11	Timeline	12
3.12	Completing the Doctoral Thesis Requirements	12
4	Departmental Citizenship	12
5	Research Ethics and the Institutional Review Board	14
6	Advising	15
6.1	Introduction: Your academic advisor	15
6.2	Advising expectations during coursework	16
6.2.1	What the DGS can expect from the student	16
6.2.2	What the student can expect from their DGS	17
6.3	Advising expectations during candidacy (or MA thesis work)	17
6.3.1	What the advisor can expect from the student	17
6.3.2	What the student can expect from the advisor	18
6.4	Choosing an advisor	19
6.4.1	What to ask a potential advisor	19
6.4.2	Different kinds of advising relationships	20
6.5	Procedures for resolving issues	22

7	How do I find a research topic?	23
8	Co-Authoring Policy	24
9	Employment	25
10	The Linguistic Graduate Student Association (LGSA)	26
11	Program Checklists	26
11.1	MA Checklist	26
11.2	Ph.D. Checklist	27
12	Note on formatting	29

1 General Information

The Department of Linguistics offers graduate programs leading to the degrees of Master of Arts (MA) and Doctor of Philosophy (PhD). Financial support for graduate students is available in the form of Teaching Assistantships, Journal Fellowships, and Research Assistantships; these are awarded on a competitive basis. Full details of your financial support can be found in the offer letter you received when you were admitted to the program. This handbook contains policies regarding graduate study in the Department of Linguistics². Additional recommendations and guidance for students can be found on the Linguistics graduate program website: <https://linguistics.as.uky.edu/ling-grad-program> and in the University-wide Graduate Catalog³ (formerly the “Graduate Bulletin”).

² When in doubt, consult with the DGS

³ University of Kentucky Graduate Catalog

1.1 UK Policies for Graduate Students and Programs

Students, faculty, and department administrators can obtain information regarding general policies for graduate students and programs from the following sources:

- UK Graduate School Website: <https://gradschool.uky.edu/>
- UK Graduate School Catalog: <http://bulletin.uky.edu/>

- UK Graduate School DGS Policies and Procedures Manual: <https://gradschool.uky.edu/directors-graduate-studies>

1.2 Rights, Responsibilities, and Resources

The Department of Linguistics is dedicated to fostering a healthy, supportive, and inclusive environment for all of its students, staff, and faculty. This section includes references to information and resources students may need during their program.

Student Rights and Responsibilities are found in the Administrative Regulation (AR) 4:10 The Code of Student Conduct. Students are responsible for learning and following the rules and regulations that govern academic life at the University. Students should be informed regarding their academic and non-academic rights and responsibilities, including the UK Code of Student Conduct. For details, students should consult the Dean of Students website and the Ombud website:

- Rights & Responsibilities: <https://www.uky.edu/deanofstudents/student-rights-and-responsibilities>
- UK Office of the Ombudsman: <https://www.uky.edu/ombud/>
- Food & Housing Insecurity: <https://www.uky.edu/deanofstudents/needs>
- Institutional Diversity: <https://www.uky.edu/inclusiveexcellence/>
- Responding to Harassment & Discrimination: <https://www.uky.edu/eo/discrimination-harassment>
- Resources for Mental Health https://www.uky.edu/president/mental_health_resources

1.3 Academic Load

A graduate student enrolled in 9 credit hours or more in a semester is considered to be full time (see the Graduate Catalog for more information). Typically, a student who is a Teaching Assistant (TA) will take 9-10 credit hours per semester.

1.4 Independent Studies

While it is sometimes appropriate to take an independent study course, we ask students to first look for graduate seminars for two reasons. First, seminars with low enrollment may be cancelled. Second, graduate education is about learning beyond the very narrow confines of a thesis or dissertation. Your ability to teach across broad topics and situate your work in the discipline can be crucial in academic job hunting and career success. Your ability to engage deeply and successfully with topics that did not initially interest you can be crucial in a non-academic career.

1.4.1 Parental Leave for Graduate Students

The UK Graduate School has a parental leave policy for graduate students. Details can be found here: <https://gradschool.uky.edu/assistantships#Parental%20Leave>

1.4.2 Scholastic Probation

Students must maintain a minimum GPA specified by the graduate school to avoid being placed on scholastic probation. Students placed on scholastic probation are not eligible for fellowships or tuition scholarships and may not sit for doctoral qualifying or final examinations, or master's final examinations.

Further information regarding scholastic probation is available in the UK Graduate Catalog.

1.4.3 Summer Support

XXX We need to stipulate a formal policy on summer support that includes block funding and teaching opportunities.

1.4.4 Priority for Summer Teaching

A limited number of teaching assignments are available in summer sessions 1 and 2. Students interested in such positions will be ranked according to the number of years they have completed in the program. Within each year, priority for course assignments will be made based on a consideration of previous teaching experience, summer courses being offered, and academic record. Exceptions to these rankings might be made in the following cases:

- Students who have not completed their previous teaching duties in a satisfactory manner meeting the basic expectations for teaching assistants.
- Students who have not met the minimum SACS requirements for teaching assistants will not be eligible for primary instructor positions.
- Students who have other summer support will not be considered for summer teaching.

The DGS maintains a ranked list of students who have requested summer teaching and assigns summer teaching as positions become available. The number of positions is dependent on enrollment and course offerings.

1.5 Possibilities for Research, Conference, and Institute Funding

Given the yearly variation in both the amount of available funding and student requests for the funds, funding is competitive and not guaranteed. XXX there should be some text here about funding in general XXX

1.5.1 Research Funding

XXX There should be some text here about research funding available to graduate students. Writing this depends on what we do about summer funding since they pull from the same little pool of money.

1.5.2 Conference Supplemental Funding

The Department works to provide graduate students with a supplement to attend conferences outside of Lexington. This supplement is designed to help (but usually not fully) cover costs related to travel, lodging and registration. Given the yearly variation in both the funds amounts and student requests for the funds, funding to all students is not guaranteed. This funding works under the following rules:

- To be eligible for funding a student must be presenting at the conference (paper session, panel session, poster)
- Each student is eligible to receive one supplement per academic year
- Funding awards are competitive and funds are limited
- Conference supplement funding is not guaranteed to any individual student

1.5.3 Institute (and summer program) Funding

XXX text about how Institute funding works goes here. Probably Rusty has this and I've e-mailed him.



You can find poster and slide template files on the [resources](#) page.

2 MA Program

2.1 Introduction

The Master's in Linguistics offers training in theoretical frameworks for approaching descriptive and sociolinguistic data with related emphases on historical, corpus, and computational linguistics. In addition to providing preparation for doctoral studies in linguistics, the MA program prepares students for careers in industry, consultancies in law and medicine, jobs in government agencies, etc.

The purpose of the MA degree is to provide students with the knowledge, skills, and experience necessary to conduct independent research. In addition, the MA program is designed

to enable students, particularly University Scholars Program (USP) students, to pursue a substantive area of scholarship at a depth not possible at the undergraduate level alone. The MA degree will usually be completed in two academic years by full time students.

The MA degree can also be awarded to students enrolled in the doctoral program who have completed the requirements for candidacy but who do not wish to, or are not invited to, continue in the doctoral program.

2.2 Advisor and Thesis Committee

MA students are strongly encouraged to identify a potential advisor during their first semester. Once a student and advisor have agreed to work with each other (see section 6.4), this information should be communicated to the DGS in written or email form. A three-member Thesis Committee will generally be convened no later than Fall of the second year for the purpose of guiding the student by reviewing the program of study and guiding the student in the development and completion of an independent research paper topic. In every case, at least two members of the Committee should be Linguistics faculty members. At least one member of the Advisory Committee must hold Full Graduate Faculty status (generally limited to associate and full professors).

2.3 Coursework Requirements

Earning an MA in Linguistics at the University of Kentucky requires the successful completion of 30 total hours of coursework including the following core courses:

- LIN 601 - Qualitative Research Methods in Linguistics
- LIN 701 - Research Seminar in Linguistics
- LIN 512 - Syntax (or a relevant 600-level course)
- LIN 515 - Phonology (or a relevant 600-level course)
- LIN 500 or LIN 505 - Phonetics or Morphology (or a relevant 600-level course)

At least 20 credit hours (7 courses) taken as regular courses (rather than as independent study or research courses).

At least 15 credit hours (5 courses) must be taken at the 600 or 700 level (excluding thesis, practicum, or internship credit)

Only 9 credit hours are transferable (excluding independent work, research, workshops, practica, or thesis work); student must have had graduate status at the time the courses were taken.

2.4 Concurrent Degrees

MA students may choose to pursue a concurrent degree with another program in the Department of Modern and Classical Languages, Literatures, and Cultures (MCLLC).

- M.A. in Classics
- M.A. in French and Francophone Studies
- M.A. in German
- M.A. in Teaching English as a Second Language
- M.A. in Teaching World Languages
- Graduate Certificate in Latin Studies
- Graduate Certificate in Teaching English as a Second Language

Nine credit hours may be shared between the two degrees, with the approval of both Directors of Graduate Studies. Visit the Graduate School web page to find the Concurrent Master Degrees form⁴

⁴ [Concurrent Graduate Degree Form](#)

2.5 Completing the MA Thesis Requirement

The backbone of the MA program in linguistics is the thesis which represents a significant, independent research project, conducted with the support of a thesis chair and advising committee. This thesis should respond to a relevant question in Linguistics and, beyond satisfying the requirements for the MA degree, serves two primary goals: (1) to allow the student to experience independent research and writing of a substantial

project to assess their commitment to and interest in continuing as a researcher. (2) to demonstrate to others (e.g. employers, admissions committees, granting agencies) that the student is capable of world-class Linguistics research.

2.6 Applying to Doctoral Programs

Students in the MA program considering applying to Ph.D. programs in order to continue their graduate education are strongly encouraged to seek their advisor's advice early in the fall semester of the second year. Faculty advisors can help to identify appropriate Ph.D. programs, whether at the University of Kentucky or elsewhere, and they generally provide the primary reference letter for student applications. To that end, timely work on a thesis proposal, and the thesis itself is *critical* in order to adequately and accurately demonstrate the student's potential for academic success at the doctoral level. Successful MA work at the University of Kentucky is not a guarantee of admission to and/or funding in the Ph.D. program as the evaluation processes for MA and Ph.D. applicants carry different evaluative criteria. University of Kentucky MA students applying to the University of Kentucky Ph.D. program are considered for admission and funding as part of the general pool of applicants in any given year.

3 Ph.D. Program

3.1 Introduction

XXX Introductory text about Ph.D. program.

3.2 Advisor and Thesis Committee

XXX

3.3 Coursework Requirements

Earning a PhD in Linguistics at the University of Kentucky requires the successful completion of 36 total hours of coursework including the following core courses:

3.3.1 Required courses (6 hours)

- LIN 601 - Qualitative Research Methods in Linguistics
- LIN 611 - Quantitative Research Methods in Linguistics

3.3.2 Core courses (6 hours)

- LIN 500/600 or LIN 515/615 - One course in Phonetics or Phonology
- LIN 505/605 or LIN 512/622 - One course in Morphology or Syntax

3.3.3 Disciplinary Breadth Courses (9 hours)

- Historical Linguistics (1 course from LIN 519, LIN 529, LIN 619, LIN 629, or an approved LIN 517 or LIN 527)
- Sociolinguistics (1 course from LIN 506, LIN 507, LIN 508, LIN 606, LIN 619, or an approved LIN 517 or LIN 527)
- Corpus and Computational linguistics (1 course from LIN 510, LIN 511, LIN 610, or an approved LIN 517)

3.3.4 Advanced Courses in Area of Concentration (9 hours)

Students must take three LIN courses at the 600 level or above in their area of concentration. These courses will be selected in collaboration with the student's advisor and/or the DGS.

3.3.5 Other Electives (6 hours)

Graduate school regulations specify that half of all courses toward the degree must be at the 600 level or above. Ordinarily these will be LIN courses but students may substitute courses in other programs with approval of the student's advisor and/or the DGS. It is recommended that students use this category to gain additional experience in areas beyond their area of concentration for breadth of exposure.

3.4 Concurrent Degrees

3.5 Qualifying for Candidacy

3.6 Advancing to Candidacy

3.7 Dissertation Prospectus

3.8 Dissertation Committee

3.9 Dissertation Defense

3.10 Time to Degree

3.11 Timeline

3.12 Completing the Doctoral Thesis Requirements

4 Departmental Citizenship

The ability to maintain professional working relationships with colleagues, even with those whose theoretical, political, or social views we disagree with, is a crucial skill in both academic and non-academic careers. Our community values professionalism among and towards staff, students, faculty, and fellow graduate students. Maintaining these kinds of relationships becomes more difficult when language or behavior used in professional settings degrades, isolates, or threatens the identities or existence of our colleagues who share that space. Behavior that

marginalizes or that exploits power imbalances for the benefit of the more powerful undermines community and limits the full potential of the marginalized or disempowered community member. As linguists, we recognize that names, and words, can be just as damaging, if not more so, than sticks and stones. Verbal and physical aggression in professional spaces will not be tolerated; members of this intellectual community are expected to be respectful and mindful of others' feelings, beliefs, and identities and to maintain a cooperative environment as much as possible, despite personality conflicts. Violations of this web of respect should be brought to the attention of the DGS and/or Department Chair swiftly and will be addressed thoughtfully and transparently.

For clarity, the following rules (from AR 7.2.1) govern Faculty behavior in a professional environment and our department officially extends them as guidelines for Staff and Graduate Students as well.

- Respect the rights of all campus members to pursue their academic and administrative activities.
- Respect the rights of all campus members to free and orderly expression.
- Act with propriety in all dealings with members of the University community.

Harassment and discrimination, including sexual or gender harassment and discrimination, will not be tolerated. Unwelcome sexual advances, requests for sexual favors, deadnaming, or other verbal or physical actions that restrict, intentionally or otherwise, the rights of a colleague to pursue their academic and administrative activities will not be tolerated (see AR 7.2 for additional information). Additionally, romantic relationships with any of your students or professors are not welcome in this community. The power imbalance implicit in such relationships precludes consent and can not be endorsed or tolerated in a healthy professional environment. Relationships among peers are, of course, possible. However, if you plan on dating a fellow graduate student, it is critical to make ensure that everyone involved will be able to maintain a professional relationship at work (suggestion: think about how that profes-

sional relationship will be affected, long-term, if the romantic one ends).

The following is a list of some things that many people in academia consider to be unprofessional. It does not mean that these are considered unprofessional by everyone, however, you take a high risk of offending a coworker (or at least appearing as immature) by engaging in these behaviors around them:

- Discussing religious or political beliefs unless asked to do so
- Discussing things of a sexual nature, unless it's an academic discussion and all parties involved have consented to the discussion topic
- Using someone else's deity's name in an exclamation or in a derogatory manner
- Making broad generalizations about people of a specific group or gender
- Engaging in illegal behavior
- Absence from meetings or class, except in rare cases of emergencies
- Lateness to meetings or class, except in rare cases of emergencies
- Not submitting things (assessments, summer teaching applications, etc.) on time
- Not responding to official emails (within two work days)
- Taking off your shoes inside or showing someone the soles of your feet
- Gossiping about colleagues or students, especially in the TA office or other shared spaces

5 Research Ethics and the Institutional Review Board

- XXX Ethics thing
- ORI
- IRB

6 Advising

6.1 Introduction: Your academic advisor

Who is your “advisor”?

Until you form a committee and choose an advisor—something that typically happens in your second year—the Director of Graduate Studies (DGS) serves as your academic advisor.

After you have recruited a thesis/dissertation advising committee, the chair of your committee officially becomes your academic advisor.

In practice, this means that for the initial semesters of the program, students typically work mainly with the DGS. The DGS helps ensure that you are satisfying degree requirements and helps you navigate coursework, assistantships, identifying funding sources, etc.

As you form relationships with faculty members who are likely to serve on your dissertation committee, there is a natural transition that takes place, with more guidance coming from those faculty members. However, the DGS always remains available as a resource for students at any stage of the program.

Be aware that most faculty appointments, as well as administrative appointments like DGS, are nine-month appointments. This means that, technically, the DGS and your dissertation advisor, are not ‘on the clock’ over the summer and may be less available.

Despite this, faculty members, including the DGS, are usually able and willing to stay in contact electronically over the summer, even if it may sometimes take longer to hear back. It is always ok to send a follow-up email. If your advisor is going to be fully out of contact for an extended period—even over the summer—it is reasonable to expect that they let you know ahead of time.

The requirements for your degree program, and procedures for satisfying those requirements, are outlined in two documents: this document and the Graduate School’s Graduate Catalog⁵.

⁵ [Current Graduate Catalog](#)

You should take time to read over both of these documents.

This UK Linguistics Graduate Handbook describes the requirements of the Linguistic Department's MA & PhD programs specifically—including coursework requirements, the definition of “adequate progress,” and other program requirements such as the MA Thesis or the PhD Qualifying Exam, Dissertation Proposal, and Final Defense.

The Graduate Catalog describes Graduate School-wide requirements, including those that overlap with topics covered here, but also extending to policies such as “add/drop” requirements for courses, residency requirements, moonlighting regulations, time-to-degree limits, and so on.

6.2 Advising expectations during coursework

6.2.1 What the DGS can expect from the student

Graduate students in the coursework phase of their program should:

- Maintain familiarity with both the Grad Regs and the Bulletin.
- Maintain a courteous, professional relationship with Linguistics Department Manager, Katia Davis, who makes everything work around here.
- Research answers to administrative questions in the Graduate Handbook and Catalog first, before reaching out for clarification.
- Think strategically about their choice of classes, so as to satisfy the course requirements efficiently.
- Take a range of classes from a wide range of faculty, so as to maximize options and flexibility when putting together a committee.
- Meet with the DGS on a regular basis (ideally, at least once per semester) to discuss course selections, program requirements, and concerns.
- Reach out to the DGS as they approach the end of coursework, to discuss the transition to candidacy.

- Be familiar with the Graduate School “Student Forms” page⁶.

⁶ gradschool.uky.edu/studentforms

6.2.2 What the student can expect from their DGS

The DGS, in their capacity as academic advisor to early-program graduate students, should:

- Meet with all incoming first-year graduate students before the start of the Fall term to go over program requirements for the program.
- Ensure that all incoming graduate students have copies of both the Graduate Handbook and the Graduate Catalog.
- Be available to meet with graduate students during the school year to discuss coursework or other program requirements.
- Reach out to students as they near the end of coursework, to ensure that the transition to candidacy goes smoothly.
- Respond in a clear and timely way to requests for clarification about regulations or requirements. As a baseline, one week is a reasonable expectation for a reply to an email.
- XXX will we be doing an annual review meeting and, if so, DGS will provide an annual progress letter to each student? XXX

6.3 Advising expectations during candidacy (or MA thesis work)

6.3.1 What the advisor can expect from the student

Graduate students in the thesis work and candidacy stages of the program should:

- Discuss advising styles and expectations with their dissertation advisor when they first begin working together.
- Maintain regular contact with their advisor, whether things are going well or poorly.
- Keep the advisor apprised of personal or professional developments that may affect the project or time to degree.

- Consult with the advisor before submitting work to conferences, workshops, or publication to receive mentorship and guidance.
- Follow up on written work that the advisee has submitted but not received feedback on. The advisee should check in with the advisor if they have not received feedback on written work within three to four weeks. (Or less, if there is a deadline looming.) Sending a polite reminder in these situations is not pushy, rude, or disrespectful. It's completely polite and welcome.
- Request a meeting with the advisor to discuss any issues or concerns with the advising relationship.
- Maintain regular contact with other members of the committee and the DGS, keeping them up to date on the status of the project. At a bare minimum, updates should be provided to other committee members once per semester, even if the advisee is not working closely with those members.

6.3.2 What the student can expect from the advisor

A student's advisor should:

- Discuss advising styles and expectations with advisee when they first begin working together.
- Work with the advisee to set clear expectations regarding the nature and scope of the dissertation topic.
- Be prepared to meet on a regular basis, even when there is not new writing to review.
- Set clear and reasonable expectations regarding the pace of research and writing: e.g. research design timelines, how much will be written, how often new work will be submitted for feedback, and the overall project timeline.
- Respond to emails in a timely manner. As a baseline, one week is a reasonable expectation for a reply to an email.
- Provide feedback on written work within a reasonable timeframe. As a baseline—and when there is not a specific deadline looming—three to four weeks is a reasonable expectation for feedback on written work.

- Encourage the advisee to stay in regular contact with their other committee members.
- Have at least a basic understanding of the program requirements described in the Graduate Handbook, so that deadlines and decisions do not sneak up on either advisee or advisor.
- Keep the advisee apprised of personal or professional developments that may affect the advisor's ability to provide guidance on the project.
- Reach out to the student in a timely manner with concerns about the project.
- Provide mentorship on academic and professional topics—conference and journal submissions, networking, the academic job market, etc. If the advisor is not inclined to provide professionalization mentorship, they should work with the student to find another faculty member who is. – Be prepared to address issues in the advisor/advisee relationship with honesty, empathy, and candor. – Be clear, candid, and explicit in their communication with the advisee. The advisor should not assume that the advisee is picking up on unspoken social or professional cues, as degrees of familiarity with unwritten academic convention and etiquette vary widely, and not always in obvious ways.

6.4 Choosing an advisor

6.4.1 What to ask a potential advisor

When you meet with a faculty member to discuss their serving as your advisor, you should be sure that their advising style is compatible with your needs.

Here are some questions you might consider asking a potential advisor. There is a range of acceptable answers to all of these questions. But understanding how your advisor would answer these questions can help both of you determine whether it's a good fit.

- How often do you typically meet with your advisees? Do you like to set up regular meetings, or make appointments on an ad hoc basis?
- How quickly are you typically able to get written work back to your advisees with feedback?
- Do you expect your advisees to work closely with other members of their committee, or do your advisees tend to work mainly with you?
- Have you had advisees whose linguistic views ended up differing in major ways from your own? How would you perceive a situation like that: Completely normal, and even a good thing? Acceptable, but less than optimal? Or perhaps as a sign that the advising relationship was not the right fit after all?
- If you haven't heard from an advisee for a while, do you tend to reach out to them? Or do you expect them to take the initiative in most situations?
- How familiar are you with the ins and outs of the Linguistics Graduate Handbook and the Graduate Catalog? Do you typically keep your advisees apprised of upcoming milestones, requirements, and deadlines, or do you expect them to understand and monitor those things on their own?
- Understanding that it depends on the student/project, would you describe your typical style of advising as fitting into any of the categories in the Advising Chart (section 6.4.2)?
- Is there anything else you think I should be aware of when it comes to the possibility of working with you as an advisor?

6.4.2 Different kinds of advising relationships

The examples in the following table, adapted from Davis (2005), are borrowed from the UK Philosophy & Mathematics graduate handbooks. It is important to remember that every advisor/advisee/project relationship is different and that an advisor who is a hands-on expert on one project may provide more general guidance on another. Perhaps the most useful aspect of having these styles enumerated like this is that it enables

Davis, Gordon B. 2005. "Advising and Supervising." In *Research in Information Systems: A Handbook for Research Supervisors and Their Students*, edited by David Avison and Jan Pries-Heje. Butterworth-Heinemann.

everyone involved to approach the advising relationship more consciously and deliberately.

Style	Advisor Persona	Student Persona
Strong master/apprentice style	Advisor is research director. Advisor has a well specified domain of expertise and set of problems within it.	Student is an apprentice working for the advisor. Student works on advisor's problems.
Collegial master/apprentice style	Advisor is expert who limits advising to problems that are within scope of his or her research skill set, but will work on student's problem.	Student develops a problem within advisor's domain and skills, and works under the advisor to develop the research plan and procedures.
Collegial development style	Advisor is senior colleague who will respond to student research problem and extend his or her advising domain to include new problems and new skills.	Student takes initiative to introduce new problem that requires new skill set and works as a junior colleague with advisor in joint development of new domain.
Guidance and suggestion style	Advisor is a senior colleague who gives good general guidance over a wide range of problems and methods but does not have personal skill in all of them.	Student is an independent, junior colleague who takes initiative for presenting problems and research plans for discussion and guidance. Student develops required skills.

Table 1: Five Advising Relationship Styles

Style	Advisor Persona	Student Persona
Passive hands-off style	Advisor has quality control role and responds only to requests or documents, and performs only general quality control review.	Student is an independent researcher who takes initiative for developing problem, developing skills, and presenting research plans for general review and approval.

6.5 Procedures for resolving issues

Expectation management and clear communication are the most important preventative measures for avoiding advisor/advisee relationship issues (Whitehouse 2020). But issues do arise and they are not always resolvable purely between advisor and advisee. In those cases, the following steps can be followed.

The order in which these steps are presented is, in most cases, the best order to follow. However, you can always skip a particular step if you do not feel comfortable discussing the issue with that party.

1. Request a meeting with other members of your dissertation committee. Communicate the problem to them, and ask for information and advice on an informal basis.
2. If that doesn't help (enough), or if you are uncomfortable going to the other members of your dissertation committee, request a meeting with the DGS. They can give advice and clarify relevant regulations from the Handbook or the Graduate Catalog. The DGS can also act as your advocate—reaching out on your behalf to other faculty members, the Chair, the Dean, or any other relevant parties.
3. If that doesn't help (enough), or if you are uncomfortable going to the DGS, request a meeting with the Department Chair. Describe the issue to the Chair, and tell them what other steps have been attempted so far. The Chair

Whitehouse, Christiane. 2020. "Managing Your Student-Supervisor Relationship to Support Well-Being." <https://voicesofacademia.com/2020/11/20/managing-your-student-supervisor-relationship-to-support-well-being-by-christiane-whitehouse/>.

is likely to be able to help, and may have institutional information or authority that goes beyond what the DGS can offer.

4. If that doesn't help (enough), or if you are uncomfortable going to the Chair, request a meeting with the College of A&S Associate Dean of Graduate Studies. Currently, the Dean of Graduate Studies is Professor Mark Meier. You can check the "Dean's Office" page at the College of A&S website⁷ for information on how to schedule an appointment. Scroll down until you find the Associate Dean of Graduate Studies.
5. If none of these other steps help (enough), or if your issue precludes going through the usual procedures, contact UK Academic Ombud Services⁸. As stated on their website, "At the University of Kentucky, Academic Ombud Services is responsible for resolving academic related problems and conflicts between students and faculty for which established procedures have not yielded a satisfactory solution or for which no established procedure exists."

⁷ <https://www.as.uky.edu/deans-office>

⁸ <https://ombud.uky.edu>

7 How do I find a research topic?

Early on in an MA or PhD program in linguistics it is common for students to wonder where on earth research ideas come from. Don't panic. Nearly everyone who has gone before you has felt this; you're not alone.

Unfortunately, the answer is probably frustrating: read things. Read widely, read critically, and take notes⁹. You don't need to think a thought no one has ever thought before; you just have to think about it differently. In fact, many (if not most) successful projects begin with a replication and extension of previous work of some kind. An excellent place to develop a research topic is to build on a successful term paper from one of your classes; these papers let you start small and get feedback right away.

A slightly less frustrating answer is: talk to people and listen to people. Talk with your advisor and committee members. Discuss readings with your peers. Attend departmental colloquia

⁹ MA student, John Winstead has created a fantastic list of software and resources for linguists working at UK. <https://linguistics.as.uky.edu/linguistics-resources>

and LGSA talks. Eventually you'll probably find that the real problem is how to find time to pursue all of your good research project ideas.

8 Co-Authoring Policy

Science is a team sport. But different disciplines have differing expectations about co-authorship, especially when it involves faculty and graduate students. There can even be differences within a discipline; in Linguistics, for example, graduate student-faculty co-authorship is more common on the Social Science flank than on the Humanities flank. Junior faculty who are (appropriately) concerned about how much a faculty-student co-authored paper will "count" in the tenure review process should discuss with the Department Chair co-authorship issues as they pertain to their specific area(s) of interest.

That said, there are clear circumstances when faculty-graduate student co-authorship should be encouraged. Such collaborations can clearly be helpful to students, as they will more easily achieve a publishable product, will "learn the ropes" of what article submissions involve, and will strengthen their CVs. Such collaborations have the potential for benefiting the faculty member as well, especially when the student's specialization does not fully overlap with that of the faculty member, so that each is contributing his/her own expertise. In experimental work, the benefits of collaboration for the faculty member are even clearer, as the tasks of designing and conducting the experiment(s) can be shared.

Individual faculty members and advisees will of course make their own judgments as to when co-authorship is and is not appropriate. However, the American Psychological Association Manual (Association et al. 1994) contains some guidelines that seem applicable to the Department:

Authorship is reserved for persons who receive primary credit and hold primary responsibility for a published work. Authorship encompasses, therefore, not only those who do the actual writing but

Association, American Psychological et al. 1994. "Publication Manual of the American Psychological Association.(4th)." Washington.

also those who have made substantial scientific contributions to a study. Substantial professional contributions may include formulating the problem or hypothesis, structuring the experimental design, organizing and conducting the statistical analysis, interpreting the results, or writing a major portion of the paper (1994:294).

Note that faculty co-authorship would require something more substantial than providing some suggested readings, offering some methodological hints, and/or simply editing and commenting on a draft of the paper.

The order of the authors in a proposed publication is also an issue that needs to be discussed and agreed upon at an early stage in a project. One possible guideline is that in student-initiated projects, the student's name should generally come first—as is likely in a seminar paper. The situation in faculty-initiated projects, as when the student works as a graduate student research assistant, will more likely depend on the quantity and quality of the individual contributions. The APA provides a 'scorecard' that might serve as a draft for equitable negotiation and transparent determination of authorship:

<https://www.apa.org/science/leadership/students/authorship-determination-scorecard.pdf>

9 Employment

Whether you are interested in pursuing a non-academic career that draws on your linguistic expertise or want to think about postdocs, lecturer positions, and the tenure track,

- Linguistics Career Launch¹⁰ is a program from the LSA intended to help prepare linguists for careers beyond academia. The youtube videos on the site are a particularly good resource.
- Will Styler maintains an excellent 'jobs' page with advice aimed at degree holders of all levels wondering interested in alt-ac and ac jobs.

¹⁰ <https://www.linguisticscareerlaunch.com>

- The linguist list <https://linguistlist.org> is an old-school e-mail list¹¹ with job postings and much more.

¹¹ for those who are not interested in more e-mail, there are also RSS feeds available: <https://linguistlist.org/rss/>

10 The Linguistic Graduate Student Association (LGSA)

The purpose of the Linguistic Graduate Student Association (henceforth LGSA) is to enhance the social, networking, outreach, and professional development experiences of graduate students in linguistics and the language sciences. This includes, but is not limited to, promoting linguistics related activities, facilitating mentoring opportunities, undertaking communications with linguistics faculty, increasing awareness of and advocating for linguistics related issues on campus at the University of Kentucky.

11 Program Checklists

11.1 MA Checklist

- ☐ Download and read UK Linguistics Graduate Handbook
- ☐ LIN 601
- ☐ LIN 701
- ☐ LIN 500 or 600-level phonetics course
- ☐ LIN 512 or 600-level syntax course
- ☐ LIN 515 or 600-level phonology course
- ☐ 30 total hours of coursework (6 hours should be in LIN 748 Masters Thesis Research)
- ☐ Advisor engaged by end of 2nd semester and registered with the DGS
- ☐ Committee formed (by end of 3rd semester)
- ☐ Prepare thesis: under the guidance of your thesis chair and committee, conduct research and prepare MA thesis
- ☐ Thesis defense, preparation, and submission
 - ☐ File application for Degree Form ¹² by the published deadline of the semester in which the student plans to graduate

¹² myUK.uky.edu -> Student Services -> myRecords -> Apply for Degree

- ☐ Thesis submitted to committee two weeks prior to final oral examination.
- ☐ Oral examination scheduled with committee members and room reserved
- ☐ Final Examination form submitted to Graduate School two weeks prior final oral examination. ¹³
- ☐ Thesis to be submitted electronically to the Graduate School within 60 days of successful examination along with a Thesis (ETD) Approval Form

¹³ Note: oral exam can not be scheduled with outstanding Incompletes ("I" grades) on your transcript.

11.2 Ph.D. Checklist

- ☐ Download and Read UK Linguistics Graduate Handbook
- ☐ LIN 601
- ☐ LIN 611
- ☐ Phonetics/Phonology (at least 1 of LIN 500, LIN 515, LIN 600, or LIN 615)
- ☐ Syntax/Morphology (at least 1 of LIN 512, LIN 505, LIN 615, or LIN 605)
- ☐ Historical Linguistics (at least 1 of LIN 519, LIN 529, LIN 619, LIN 629, or an approved LIN 517 or LIN 527)
- ☐ Sociolinguistics (at least 1 of LIN 506, LIN 507, LIN 508, LIN 606, LIN 619, or an approved LIN 517 or LIN 527)
- ☐ Corpus/Computational (at least 1 of LIN 510, LIN 511, LIN 610, or an approved LIN 517)
- ☐ Expertise requirement (3 courses, 9 hours at the 600 level or above in area of concentration)
- ☐ Other electives (2 courses, 6 hours at the 600 level or above for breadth)
- ☐ Advisor engaged by end of 2nd semester and registered with the DGS
- ☐ XXX Demonstrated knowledge of 2 human languages other than English XXX
- ☐ Committee formed (3rd semester) and The Formation of Doctoral Advisory Committee Form is submitted to the Graduate School¹⁴
- ☐ Dissertation Proposal scheduled with committee and room reserved with at least two weeks prior notice
- ☐ Dissertation Proposal defended
- ☐ Complete qualifying exam (Fall of 3rd year)

¹⁴ gradschool.uky.edu/studentforms

- ☐ Qualifying Examination form filed with the Graduate School at least two weeks prior to the oral defense of qualifying exam.
 - ☐ Oral defense of qualifying exam. Passing means that the student is now a PhD candidate (called ABD, All But Dissertation)
 - ☐ Conduct research and write dissertation
 - ☐ Prepare and submit proposals for external research funding (generally after the qualifying exam but prior is also possible)
 - ☐ Attend weekly advising meetings with your advisor (or DGS, as appropriate)
 - ☐ Maintain an active channel of communication with each of your committee members
 - ☐ Submit any necessary Institutional Review Board protocol(s) for your thesis ¹⁵
 - ☐ Dissertation defense, preparation, and submission
 - ☐ The Notification of Intent to Schedule a Final Doctoral Examination must be filed by the deadline for the semester in which you intend to graduate ¹⁶
 - ☐ The Application for Degree Form must be filed¹⁷ by the published deadline of the semester in which the student plans to graduate ¹⁸
 - ☐ Thesis defense (Final Doctoral Examination) must be scheduled with advisor, committee, and identified external Member (Graduate School representative)
 - ☐ The Request for Final Doctoral Examination form must be filed at least two weeks prior to the Final Exam
 - ☐ Within 60 days of oral defense, final copy of the dissertation must be filed electronically with the Graduate School along with the [ETD Approval Form](#)¹⁹ which needs to be signed by the student, their advisor, and the DGS.
- ¹⁵ www.research.uky.edu/office-research-integrity/e-irb
- ¹⁶ see Graduate School Key Dates gradschool.uky.edu/key-dates page.
- ¹⁷ (myUK.uky.edu -> Student Services -> myRecords -> Apply for Degree)
- ¹⁸ registrar.uky.edu/academic-calendars/university
- ¹⁹ gradschool.uky.edu/electronic-thesis-defense

12 Note on formatting

This document’s formatting is inspired by the Tufte handout style and the use of Tufte’s styles in RMarkdown documents (Xie, Allaire, and Golemund 2018). Tufte’s style is known for its extensive use of sidenotes, tight integration of graphics with text, and well-set typography.

Xie, Yihui, J. J. Allaire, and Garrett Golemund. 2018. “Tufte Handouts.” In *R Markdown: The Definitive Guide*, 137–46. Chapman; Hall/CRC. <https://doi.org/10.1201/9781138359444-6>.