

Using Speech Community Data as Phonological Evidence

Josef Fruehwald

University of Pennsylvania

September 16, 2011

Penn State, The Center for Language Science

Outline

Introduction

Motivations

Goals

Data

Phonology-Phonetic Interface

Universal Phonetic Implementation

Exemplar Theory

Language Specific Phonetics

Identifying Phonological Processes

Utilizing Data on Phonetic Change

Philadelphia /ey/

The Unique View of Diachrony

Conclusion

Motivations

Phonological Context

“Classic” Evidence

- Alternations / Static Distributions.
- Drawn from introspection / Small number of informants.

LabPhon

- Experimental Measures (acoustic, articulatory, judgments).
- Drawn from standard pools of experimental subjects.
- Frequently expressing concerns about the validity of Classic phonological evidence.

Motivations

Sociolinguistic Context

Linguistic Theory

- Variable Rules
- Lexical Phonology (Guy, 1991a;b)
- Exemplar Theory

Variation Theory

- What is changing where, and how?
- What can influence variation?

Social Theory

- How does one construct and project their identity?

Motivations

Sociolinguistic Context

Research Focus of Papers in the Proceedings of NWAV

	Linguistic	Variation	Social	Etc.
NWAV 37	2	8	4	–
NWAV 38	1	12	4	2

Motivations

Using Variation for Phonological Argument

Andries Coetzee

- ~ Frequency biases in phonological variation, *NLLT* (w/ Shigeto Kawahara)
- ~ The place of variation in phonological theory, *The Handbook of Phonological Theory. 2nd Edition* (w/ Joe Pater)
- ~ ...

Ricardo Bermúdez-Otero

- ~ Cycles and continua: on unidirectionality and gradualness in language change *Handbook on the history of English* (w/ Graeme Trousdale)

2007 Diachronic phonology *The Cambridge handbook of phonology*

- ~ ...

Goals

- Identify how sociolinguistic data can be used for phonological theory building.
- Identify how sociolinguistic data can be used for identifying and specifying phonological phenomena.
- Identify the ways in which sociolinguistic data achieves these goals uniquely.

Data Sources

Philadelphia Corpus

Automatically extracted vowel measurements from 272 Philadelphia speakers interviewed between 1973 and 2010. Dates of birth ranging from 1888 to 1991.

Atlas of North American English


Acoustic vowel measurements from survey respondents in the Atlas of North American English.

Sociolinguistic Literature

Various accounts of sound change in progress from the sociolinguistic literature.

Philadelphia Corpus

FAAV Project



Outline

Introduction

Motivations

Goals

Data

Phonology-Phonetic Interface

Universal Phonetic Implementation

Exemplar Theory

Language Specific Phonetics

Identifying Phonological Processes

Utilizing Data on Phonetic Change

Philadelphia /ey/

The Unique View of Diachrony

Conclusion

Phonology-Phonetic Interface

Options

- Universal Phonetic Implementation
- Language Specific Phonetic Implementation
- Exemplar Theory


Phonology-Phonetic Interface

Linking Hypothesis

All I have to work with is phonetic measurements, so settling on a PH-interface model is crucial in order to make any connection to phonological theory at all.


Phonology-Phonetic Interface

Continuous Change



Phonology-Phonetic Interface

Continuous Change






Phonology-Phonetic Interface

Options

- Universal Phonetic Implementation
- Language Specific Phonetic Implementation
- Exemplar Theory

Phonology-Phonetic Interface

Category Shifts



Phonology-Phonetic Interface

Category Shifts

Canadian Shift

ɪ →

ɛ →

æ →

ɔ
↗
a

Phonology-Phonetic Interface


Category Correlation

Correlation of Philadelphia Vowels

- For the vowel means for each speaker, I calculated the correlations for every pairwise vowel comparison across speakers, once for F1, once for F2.
- For each pairwise comparison, I also coded for whether the two vowels also shared phonological specifications for height (3 degrees) or backness (2 degrees).

Phonology-Phonetic Interface

Category Correlation



Phonology-Phonetic Interface


Category Correlation

Correlation of Philadelphia Vowels

- This result is suggestive that inter-speaker phonetic variation (due to change or any other reason) is relatable to phonological features, not just atomic phonemes.
- May also be used as a phonological diagnostic.
 - The above analysis categorized /ow/ and /uw/ as [−back], since they are undergoing a change of fronting.
 - What would it look like of they were categorized as [+back]?

Phonology-Phonetic Interface

Category Correlation




Phonology-Phonetic Interface

Options

- Universal Phonetic Implementation
- Language Specific Phonetic Implementation
- Exemplar Theory

Phonology-Phonetic Interface



Phonology-Phonetic Interface

In phonetic change...

- The phonological representation remains stable (ish).
- The phonetic implementation of the phonological representation changes.

Outline

Introduction

Motivations

Goals

Data

Phonology-Phonetic Interface

Universal Phonetic Implementation

Exemplar Theory

Language Specific Phonetics

Identifying Phonological Processes

Utilizing Data on Phonetic Change

Philadelphia /ey/

The Unique View of Diachrony

Conclusion

Identifying Phonological Processes

Follow

- Phonological Unity \rightarrow Phonetic Unity
- \neg Phonetic Unity \rightarrow \neg Phonological Unity


Don't follow (but likely)

- * Phonetic Unity \rightarrow Phonological Unity
- * \neg Phonological Unity \rightarrow \neg Phonetic Unity

Philadelphia /ey/


Past description

- The peripheralization of /ey/ in non-word-final contexts was identified as a new and vigorous change in progress.
- The primary distinction that has been made is word final /ey/ versus other.
 - *pay* [pɛɪ]
 - *make* [mɛɪk]



Philadelphia /ey/

Past Description




Questions

- Are any other syllabic structures relevant?
- Are there any other phonological effects?
- How does it interact with morphology? (i.e. How does *pays* behave?)


Philadelphia /ey/

Past Description



Questions

- Are any other syllabic structures relevant?
- Are there any other phonological effects?
- How does it interact with morphology? (i.e. How does *pays* behave?)



Philadelphia /ey/

Coding

- 4 syllable types
 1. Open
 2. Closed
 3. Final
 4. Hiatus
- Surface and “Underlying” Syllabification
- 5 Morphological Contexts
 1. Null *pay*
 2. Inflectional *pays*
 3. Derivational *payment*
 4. Compounding *paycheck*
 5. Contraction *they'd*


Philadelphia /ey/

		Underlying			
		Closed	Open	Hiatus	Final
Surface	Closed	<i>came</i>	–	–	<i>days</i>
	Open	<i>later</i>	<i>neighborhood</i>	–	<i>playground</i>
	Hiatus	–	–	<i>mayor</i>	<i>saying</i>
	Final	–	–	–	<i>they</i>

Philadelphia /ey/

Syllabic Context

First, only words with the same surface and “underlying” syllabification.



Philadelphia /ey/

Syllable Results

formula: $\text{Diag} \sim (\text{DOB}/10) * \text{Syllable} + (\text{Syllable} \mid \text{Speaker})$

reference level: closed

	Estimate	t-value
Intercept	0.57	11.1
DOB	0.11	12.6
open	-0.14	-2.9
final	-0.04	-0.7
hiatus	-0.21	-0.6
DOB × open	0.02	2.9
DOB × final	-0.08	-9.1
DOB × hiatus	-0.16	-2.6


Slope Estimates

closed	0.11=0.11
open	0.13=(0.11 + 0.02)
final	0.03=(0.11 - 0.08)
hiatus	-0.05=(0.11 - 0.16)

Phonology-Phonetic Interface

Segmental Context

Following segment for closed and open syllables.



Philadelphia /ey/

Manner Results

formula: $\text{Diag} \sim (\text{DOB}/10) * \text{Manner} + (\text{Manner} \mid \text{Speaker})$

reference level: stop

	Estimate	t-value
Intercept	0.56	10.9
DOB	0.11	13.2
fricative	-0.08	-1.3
nasal	-0.03	-0.5
lateral	0.38	2.8
DOB×fricative	0.02	1.5
DOB×nasal	0.00	0.2
DOB×lateral	-0.09	-3.8

Slope Estimates

stop	0.11=0.11
fricative	0.13=(0.11 + 0.02)
nasal	0.11=(0.11 + 0.00)
lateral	0.02=(0.11 - 0.09)

Philadelphia /ey/

Interim Description

Options

Non-undergoers

Undergoers

Word-final

Everything Else

Pre-hiatus


Pre-/l/

- Undergoers and Non-undergoers are phonemically distinct.
- There is an active phonological process which differentiates undergoers and non-undergoers.

Philadelphia /ey/

Morphological Interaction

What effect does inflectional morphology have on otherwise word final /ey/?



Philadelphia /ey/

Morphological Results

formula: $\text{Diag} \sim (\text{DOB}/10) * \text{Morphology} + (\text{Morphology} \mid \text{Speaker})$

reference level: Null

	Estimate	t-value
Intercept	0.52	12.2
DOB	0.03	4.2
-ed	-0.09	-0.8
-s	-0.12	-1.3
-ing	-0.46	-3.7
DOB×-ed	0.05	2.7
DOB×-s	0.11	6.8
DOB×-ing	-0.04	-2.2


Slope Estimates

final 0.03=0.03
 -ed 0.08=(0.03 + 0.05)
 -s 0.15=(0.03 + 0.02)
 -ing -0.01=(0.03 - 0.04)

Philadelphia /ey/

Final Pattern

All unaffixed, or affixed inflectional morphology in 4 contexts:
Word-final, Pre-hiatus, Pre-l, and elsewhere.



Philadelphia /ey/

Phonological Description

Phonological Process

ey \rightarrow [+peripheral]/___C...]_{word}

Phonetic Change

1. ey_{+periph} \rightarrow 0.1 peripherality
2. ey_{+periph} \rightarrow 0.2 peripherality
3. ...

Phonetic Alignment

- [eyl] \rightarrow more peripheral
- [ey#] \rightarrow less peripheral

Philadelphia /ey/

Phonological Description

Phonological Process

ey → [+peripheral]/___C...]_{word}

/I/ is not a C?


- /I/ undergoes extreme vocalization in Philadelphia.
 - Intervocally (*balance*)
 - Initial Clusters (*floor*)
- Triggers offglide deletion in /aw/.
 - *Powel* = *pal*

The Unique View of Diachrony

Would a study without a view of the changing state of the speech community have come to the same conclusions?


The Unique View of Diachrony

If you had done a study of college aged Philadelphians in 2002, this is what you would have seen.



The Unique View of Diachrony

If you had done a study of Philadelphians aged 18 to 70 in 2002, this is what you would have seen.



Outline

Introduction

Motivations

Goals

Data

Phonology-Phonetic Interface

Universal Phonetic Implementation

Exemplar Theory

Language Specific Phonetics

Identifying Phonological Processes

Utilizing Data on Phonetic Change

Philadelphia /ey/

The Unique View of Diachrony

Conclusion