Week 1

Week 2

Lecture 4

Lecture 5

Lecture 6

Lecture 7

Lecture 8

Resources

Week 1

• Theorem 1.5.2:

The function $F_X(x)$ is a CDF if and only if the following three conditions holds

- 1. $\lim_{x\to -\infty} F_X(x)=0$ and $\lim_{x\to \infty} F_X(x)=1$.
- 2. $F_X(x)$ is a non-decreasing function of x.
- 3. $F_X(x)$ is right-continuous.

• Theorem 1.6.1:

The PMF of a discrete random variable X is

$$f_X(x) = P(X = x) \quad x \in \mathbb{R}$$

• Theorem 1.6.5:

A function f(x) is a PDF (or PMF) iff

- 1. $f(x) \geq 0$ for all x; 2. $\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} f(x) dx = 1$ (or $\sum_{x} f(x) = 1$).

• Theorem 2.1.3, 2.1.5

Let X be a <u>continuous</u> random variable and Y = g(X) with range \mathcal{Y} .

- 1. If g is increasing, then $F_Y(y) = F_X(g^{-1}(y)), y \in \mathcal{Y}$.
- 2. If g is decreasing, then $F_Y(y)=1-F_X(g^{-1}(y)), y\in\mathcal{Y}.$
- 3. If f_X is <u>continuous</u> and g is continuously differentiable, then

$$f_Y(y) = egin{cases} f_X(g^{-1}(y)) | rac{d}{dy} g^{-1}(y) | &, y \in \mathcal{Y} \ 0 &, ext{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

• Theorem 2.1.8

Let X be a continuous random variable with PDF f_X . Suppose that there are disjoint A_1,\ldots,A_k such that $P(X \in U^k_{t=0}A_t) = 1$. f_X is continuous on each $A_t, t = 1, \dots, k$, and there are functions $g_1(x), \ldots, g_k(x)$ defined on A_1, \ldots, A_k , respectively, satisfying

- 1. $q(x) = q_t(x)$ for $x \in A_t$;
- 2. $g_t(x)$ is strictly monotone on A_t ;
- 3. The set $\mathcal{Y} = \{y : y = g_t(x) \text{ for some } x \in A_t\}$ is the same for each t.
- 4. $g_t^{-1}(y)$ has a continuous derivative on \mathcal{Y} for each t.

Then Y has the PDF

$$f_Y(y) = egin{cases} \sum_{t=1}^k f_X(g_t^{-1}(y)) |rac{d}{dy} g_t^{-1}(y)| &, y \in \mathcal{Y} \ 0 &, ext{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

Geometric Series:

$$\sum_{k=0}^{n} r^k = \frac{1 - r^{n+1}}{1 - r}.$$

For |r| < 1, the sum convergences as $n o \infty$, i.e.,

$$\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} r^k = rac{1}{1-r}$$

• Integration by parts:

$$\int f(x)g(x)dx = \int udv = uv - \int vdu$$

• Stirling's approximation:

$$n! \sim \sqrt{2\pi n} \Big(rac{n}{e}\Big)^n$$

Week 2

• Chebychev's Inequality:

Let X be a R.V. and let g(x) be a nonnegative function. For any r > 0.

$$P(g(X) \geq r) \leq rac{E[g(X)]}{r}$$

• If g is nondecreasing, then another form of Chebychev's inequality is, for $\epsilon > 0$.

$$P(X \ge \epsilon) \le rac{E[g(X)]}{g(\epsilon)}$$

 $\circ~$ Suppose that X has expectation μ and variance $\sigma^2.$ For $g(x)=(x-\mu)^2/\sigma^2$, we have

$$P(|X-\mu| \geq t\sigma) = P\left(rac{(X-\mu)^2}{\sigma^2} \geq t^2
ight) \leq rac{1}{t^2} E\left[rac{(X-\mu)^2}{\sigma^2}
ight] = rac{1}{t^2}$$

 \circ If X has a finite kth moment with an integer k, then for t > 0.

$$P(|X - \mu| \ge t) \le rac{E[X - \mu]^k}{t^k}$$

• Cauchy-Schwarts's Inequality:

 \circ If X and Y are random variables with $E[X^2]<\infty$ and $E[Y^2]<\infty$, then the following Cauchy-Schwartz's inequality holds:

$$E(XY)^2 \le E(X^2)E(Y^2)$$

with equality holds iff P(X = cY) = 1 for a constant c.

We also have

$$[E|XY|]^2 \le E(X^2)E(Y^2)$$

• Jensen's Inequality:

If g is a convex function on a convex $A\subset \mathcal{R}$ and X is a random variable with $P(X\in A)$, then

$$g(E(X)) \leq E[g(X)]$$

provided that the expectations exist. If g is strictly convex, then \leq in the previous inequality can be replaced by < unless P(g(X)=c)=1 for a constant c.

• **Definition 2.3.6**: (Moment Generating Function)

The moment generation function (mgf) of a random variable X is

$$M_X(t) = E(e^{tX}) = egin{cases} \sum_x e^{tx} f_X(x) & ext{, if X has a PMF} \ \int_{-\infty}^\infty e^{tx} f_X(x) dx & ext{, if X has a PDF} \end{cases}$$

provided that $E(e^{tX})$ exists. (Note that $M_X(0)=E(e^{tX})=1$ always exists.) Otherwise, we say that the MGF $M_X(t)$ does not exist at t.

• Theorem:

If $M_X(t)$ exists at a neighborhood of t=0, then $E(X^n)$ exists for any positive integer n and

$$E(X^n) = M_X^{(n)}(0) = rac{d^n}{dt^n} M_X(t)igg|_{t=0}$$

• Theorem 2.3.15:

For any constants a and b, the MGF of the random variable aX + b is

$$M_{aX+b}(t) = e^{bt} M_X(at)$$

- Useful distributions
 - o Binomial
 - o Poisson
 - Uniform
 - Normal
 - o Gamma
 - o Chi-square
 - Exponential

Lecture 4

- Joint and conditional distributions
- Definition 4.1.10 Continuous joint PDF
- Definition 4.2.1 Conditional PMF
- Definition 4.2.3 Conditional PDF
- Conditional Expectations
- Properties of conditional expectation

- 1. If P(Y=c)=1 for a constant c, then E(Y|X)=c.
- 2. If $Y \leq Z$, then $E(Y|X) \leq E(Z|X)$.
- 3. For constants a and b, E(aY + bZ|X) = aE(Y|X) + bE(Z|X).
- 4. E[E(Y|X)] = E(Y).
- 5. Var(Y) = E[Var(Y|X)] + Var(E(Y|X)), where Var(Y|X) is the variance of the conditional distribution

• Definition 4.5.1 Correlation and independence

The covariance of random variables X and Y is defined as

$$Cov(X, Y) = E\{[X - E(X)][Y - E(Y)]\} = E(XY) - E(X)E(Y)$$

Provided that the expectation exists.

• Definition 4.5.2

The correlation (coefficient) of random variables X and Y is defined as

$$ho_{X,Y} = rac{\mathrm{Cov}(X,Y)}{\sqrt{\mathrm{Var}(X)\mathrm{Var}(Y)}}$$

• Theorem 4.5.6

If X and Y are random variables and a and b are constants, then

$$Var(aX + bY) = a^2X + b^2Var(Y) + 2ab Cov(X, Y)$$

Variance-covariance matrix

For an n-dimensional random vector $X=(X_1,\ldots,X_n)$, its mean is E(X) and its variance-covariance matrix is

$$Var(X) = E\{[X - E(X)][X - E(X)]'\} = E(XX') - E(X)E(X')$$

which is an $n \times n$ symmetric matrix whose i-th diagonal element is the variance $Var(X_i)$ and (i,j)th off-diagonal element is the covariance $Cov(X_i,X_j)$.

- Theorem 4.5.7 Correlation measures linearity
- Independence of random variables
- Lemma 4.2.7 Check independence
- Bivariate Normal Distribution
- Theorem 4.6.12
- Definition (Conditional Independence)

Lecture 5

PMF of sum of discrete random variables

PMF of

$$f_{X+Y}(t) = \sum_{x+y \leq t} f(x,y) = \sum_x f(x,t-x) = \sum_y f(t-y,y)$$

and if X and Y are independent with marginal PMF's f_X and f_Y , then

$$f_{X+Y}(t) = \sum_x f_X(x) f_Y(t-x) = \sum_y f_X(t-y) f_Y(y)$$

PDF of sum of continuous random variables

 f_{X+Y} is called a convolution.

$$f_{X+Y}(t) = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} f(t-y,y) dy = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} f(x,t-x) dx$$

If X and Y are independent

$$f_{X+Y}(t) = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} f_X(x) f_Y(t-x) dx = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} f_X(t-y) f_Y(y) dy$$

Example 4.3.1 Sum of Poisson R.V. is a Poisson R.V. [Lecture5-update]

• Theorem 4.2.12

 $T = X_1 + \ldots + X_n$, X_i are independent.

$$M_T(t) = M_{X_1}(t) \dots M_{X_n}(t)$$

• Theorem 4.2.14

If $X \sim \mathcal{N}(\mu, \sigma^2)$ and $Y \sim \mathcal{N}(\gamma, \tau^2)$ are independent, then $T = X + Y \sim \mathcal{N}(\mu + \gamma, \sigma^2 + \tau^2)$.

• Additivity of the gamma distributions

If $X_i \sim \operatorname{gamma}(\alpha_i, \beta)$, $i = 1, \dots, k$, are independent, then the sum $T = X_1 + \dots + X_k \sim \operatorname{gamma}(\alpha_1 + \dots + \alpha_k, \beta)$.

• Additivity of the chi-square distributions

If $X_i \sim \mathcal{N}(\mu_i, \sigma_i^2), i = 1, \dots, k$, are independent, then the distribution of

$$Y = \left(rac{X_1 - \mu_1}{\sigma_1}
ight)^2 + \ldots + \left(rac{X_k - \mu_k}{\sigma_k}
ight)^2$$

is the chi-square distribution with degrees of freedom k.

Hierarchical models

Binomial-Poisson hierarchy [Lecture5-update]

• Mixture distribution

Given a finite set of cumulative distribution functions and weights such that $w_k \geq 0$ and $\sum_{k=1}^K w_k = 1$, the mixture distribution can be represented by the cumulative distribution function:

$$F(x) = \sum_{k=1}^K w_k F_j(x)$$

• Definition 5.1.1 Random Sample

 X_1, \ldots, X_i are iid if:

- 1. X_1, \ldots, X_n are independent
- 2. The CDF of X_i is F for all i.

Statistics and their distributions

Sample mean:
$$\overline{X} = \frac{X_1 + \ldots + X_n}{n} = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n X_i$$
.

Sample variance:
$$S^2 = rac{1}{n-1} \sum_{i=1}^n (X_i - \overline{X})^2$$
.

Sample standard deviation: $S=\sqrt{S^2}.$

Sample moment:
$$M_j = rac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n X_i^j, k=1,2,\dots$$

Sample central moment:
$$ilde{M}_j = rac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n (X_i - \overline{X})^j, k = 2, 3, \ldots$$

Empirical CDF:
$$F_n(x) = rac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n I(X_i \leq x), x \in \mathbb{R}.$$

• Lemma 5.2.5

Let X_1, \ldots, X_n be a random sample from a population and let g(x) be a function such that $E[g(X_1)]$ and $Var(g(X_1))$ exist. Then,

$$E\left[\sum_{i=1}^n g(X_i)
ight] = nE[g(X_1)] \quad and \quad Var\left(\sum_{i=1}^n g(X_i)
ight) = nVar(g(X_1))$$

• Theorem 5.2.6

Let X_1,\ldots,X_n be a random sample from a population F on ${\mathcal R}$ with mean μ and variance σ^2 . Then

1.
$$E(\overline{X}) = \mu$$
.

2.
$$Var(\overline{X}) = \sigma^2/n$$
.

3.
$$E(S^2) = \sigma^2$$
.

• Sampling Distribution

- Definition: Convergence in probability
- Theorem: Weak Law of Large Numbers (WLLN)
- Definition 5.5.6 Convergence almost surely
- Theorem 5.5.9 Strong Law of Large Numbers (SLLN)
- Definition: Convergence in distribution
- Theorem (Central Limit Theorem)

Let X_1, X_2, \ldots be iid random variable with $E(X_i) = \mu$ and $Var(X_i) = \sigma^2 < \infty$.

Then, for any $x \in \mathbb{R}$,

$$\lim_{n o\infty}P(\sqrt{n}(\overline{X}-\mu)/\sigma\leq x)=\Phi(x)=\int_{-\infty}^xrac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}}e^{-t^2/2}dt$$

That is, $\sqrt{n}(\overline{X}-\mu)/\sigma$ converges in distribution to $Z\sim\mathcal{N}(0,1).$

• The multivariate CLT

Let X_1, X_2, \ldots be iid random vectors on \mathbb{R}^k with $E(X_1) = \mu$ and finite covariance matrix Σ . Then $\sqrt{n}(\overline{X} - \mu)$ converges in distribution to a random vector $X \sim \mathcal{N}(0, \Sigma)$, the k-dimensional normal distribution with mean 0 and covariance matrix Σ .

Normal approximation to binomial [Lecture5_2]

• Continuous mapping theorem

Let X, X_1, X_2, \ldots be random k-vectors defined on a probability space S and g be a continuous function. Then,

- 1. $X_n \stackrel{p}{\to} X$ implies $g(X_n) \stackrel{p}{\to} g(X)$;
 2. $X_n \stackrel{a.s}{\longrightarrow} X$ implies $g(X_n) \stackrel{a.s}{\longrightarrow} g(X)$;
- 3. $X_n \stackrel{d}{ o} X$ implies $g(X_n) \stackrel{d}{ o} g(X)$.

Slutsky's theorem

Let $X, X_1, X_2, \dots, Y_1, Y_2$ be random variables on a probability space. Suppose that $X_n \overset{d}{ o} X$ and $Y_n \stackrel{p}{\to} c$, where c is a constant.

- 1. $X_n + Y_n \stackrel{d}{\rightarrow} X + c$;
- 2. $Y_nX_n \stackrel{d}{\to} X$:
- 3. $X_n/Y_n \stackrel{d}{\to} X/c$ if $c \neq 0$.

Example 5.5.3 [Lecture 5 2]

Lecture 6

Method of Moments (MoM)

1. If the model has d parameters we compute the first d-th population moments, j-th population moment:

$$egin{aligned} \mu_j &= E(x_1^j) = E(x_2^j) = \ldots E(x_n^j) = egin{cases} \sum_x x^j f(x| heta) \ \int_x x^j f(x| heta) dx \end{cases} \ \mu_j &= g_j(heta) = g_j(heta_1, \ldots, heta_d) \end{aligned}$$

The system of equations of population moments:

$$\mu_j = egin{cases} \mu_1 = g_1(heta_1, \dots, heta_d) \ \mu_2 = g_2(heta_1, \dots, heta_d) \ dots \ \mu_d = g_d(heta_1, \dots, heta_d) \end{cases}$$

2. j-th sample moment:

$$m_j = rac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n x_i^j$$

3. WLLN

$$m_j \xrightarrow[n o \infty]{p} \mu_j \implies m_j = \mu_j$$

Link the population moments to sample moments

$$m_j = egin{cases} m_1 = g_1(heta_1, \dots, heta_d) \ m_2 = g_2(heta_1, \dots, heta_d) \ dots \ m_d = g_d(heta_1, \dots, heta_d) \end{cases}$$

4. Solve for the d parameters as functions of sample moments.

$$egin{cases} ilde{ ilde{ heta}}_1 = h_1(m_1,\ldots,m_d) \ ilde{ ilde{ heta}}_2 = h_2(m_1,\ldots,m_d) \ dots \ ilde{ ilde{ heta}}_d = h_d(m_1,\ldots,m_d) \end{cases}$$

Example: MoM of normal distribution, binomial distribution, uniform distribution. [Lecture6-2]

Definition: Likelihood function

Let X_1, \ldots, X_n be an iid sample from a population with PDF or PMF $f(x|\theta)$. The likelihood function is defined by

$$\mathcal{L}(heta) = \prod_{i=1}^n f(X_i| heta).$$

The log-likelihood function is defined by

$$\ell(heta) = \log \mathcal{L}(heta) = \sum_{i=1}^n \log f(X_i| heta)$$

• Definition 7.2.4 MLE

A $\hat{\theta}=\Theta$ satisfying $\ell(\hat{\theta})=\max_{\theta\in\Theta}\ell(\theta)$ is called a maximum likelihood estimator (MLE) of θ .

To find MLE in one parameter case:

- 1. Solve likelihood equation by 1st derivative
- 2. For all candidates, check 2nd derivative
- 3. Still need to calculate boundary see if it's global, but if there is only one candidates, we don't need step 3.

Example: MLE of Poisson distribution, Normal distribution, Bernoulli distribution, ... [Lecture6-2].

• Theorem 7.2.10 Invariance Property of MLE

If $\hat{\theta}$ is the MLE of θ , then for any function $\tau(\theta), \tau(\hat{\theta})$ is the MLE for $\tau(\theta)$.

• Numerical Method: Newton-Raphson algorithm

If we want to find $\hat{\theta}$ such that $U(\hat{\theta})=0$ start with $\hat{\theta}^0$ and create a linear Taylor series approximation to $u(\theta)$ at $\hat{\theta}^0$.

$$egin{align} u(heta) &pprox u(\hat{ heta}^0) + u'(\hat{ heta}^0)(heta - \hat{ heta}_0) = 0 \ &\Longrightarrow \; \hat{ heta}' = \hat{ heta}_0 - rac{u(\hat{ heta}^0)}{u'(\hat{ heta}^0)} \ \end{split}$$

Bayesian Approach

Lecture 7

Bias

If W is an estimator of a parameter θ , then the bias is

$$Bias(W) = E(W) - \theta$$

An estimator is called unbiased if $E(W) = \theta$.

Example of the bias of MLE [Lecture7-1].

Variance

$$Var(W) = E\{W - E(W)\}^2$$

Bias-Variance Trade-offs from Mean Square Error

$$E\{(W - \theta)^2\} = E\{W - E(W)\}^2 + (E(W) - \theta)^2 = Var(W) + Bias(W)^2$$

Example of variance and bias of S^2 **:**

 \circ The sample variance S^2 is defined as

$$S^2 = \frac{\sum\limits_{i=1}^n (X_i - \overline{X})^2}{n-1}$$

Since $rac{(n-1)S^2}{\sigma^2} \sim \chi^2_{n-1}$, from the properties of χ^2 we have

$$E\left[\frac{(n-1)S^2}{\sigma^2}\right] = n-1 \implies E(S^2) = \sigma^2$$

and

$$Var\left[\frac{(n-1)S^2}{\sigma^2}\right] = 2(n-1) \implies Var(S^2) = \frac{2\sigma^4}{n-1}$$

• Let X_1, \ldots, X_n be iid from $\mathcal{N}(\mu, \sigma^2)$ with expected value μ and variance σ^2 , then \overline{X} is an unbiased estimator for μ , and S^2 is an unbiased estimator for σ^2 .

We have

$$E(\overline{X}) = \mu, \quad Var(\overline{X}) = \frac{\sigma^2}{n}$$

The MSE for S^2 is

$$MSE_{S^2} = E(S^2 - \sigma^2) = Var(S^2) = rac{2\sigma^4}{n-1}$$

The MLE of MoM method gives estimator for σ^2 is $\hat{\sigma}^2$.

$$\hat{\sigma}^2 = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n (X_i - \overline{X})^2 = \frac{n-1}{n} S^2$$

So it's a biased estimator for σ^2 . The variance of $\hat{\sigma}^2$ is

$$Var(\hat{\sigma}^2) = Var(rac{n-1}{n}S^2) = rac{(n-1)^2}{n^2}Var(S^2) = rac{(n-1)^2}{n^2}rac{2\sigma^4}{n-1} = rac{2(n-1)\sigma^4}{n^2}$$

Hence the MSE of $\hat{\sigma}^2$ is given by

$$E(\hat{\sigma}^2 - \sigma^2)^2 = Var(\hat{\sigma}^2) + Bias^2 = \frac{2(n-1)\sigma^4}{n^2} + (\frac{n-1}{n}\sigma^2 - \sigma^2)^2 = \frac{2n-1}{n^2}\sigma^4$$

Comparing the two MSEs:

$$MSE_{\hat{\sigma}^2} = rac{2n-1}{n^2} \sigma^4 < rac{2n}{n^2} \sigma^4 = rac{2\sigma^4}{n} < rac{2\sigma^4}{n-1} = MSE_{S^2}$$

This shows that $\hat{\sigma}^2$ has smaller MSE than S^2 .

UMVUE

An estimator W^* is called a UMVUE of $\tau(\theta)$ if it satisfies $E(W) = \tau(\theta)$ for all θ (It's unbiased), and, for any other unbiased estimator W, we have $Var(W^*) \leq Var(W)$ for all θ .

We can identify a lower bound (**Cramer-Rao Lower Bound**) on the variance of unbiased estimators. If an unbiased estimator has variance equal to the bound, then we know we have a UMVUE.

• Cramer-Rao Inequality

Let X_1, \ldots, X_n be a sample with PDF $f(X|\theta)$, and let W(X) be any estimator satisfying

$$\frac{d}{d\theta}E\{W(X)\} = \int \frac{\partial}{\partial \theta} \{W(x)f(x|\theta)\}dx$$

and $Var(W(X)) < \infty$. Then

$$Var(W(X)) \ge rac{[rac{d}{d heta}E\{W(X)\}]^2}{E[rac{\partial}{\partial heta}\log f(X| heta)]^2}$$

Prove it by Cauchy-Swartz's Inequality [Lecture7-1].

Cramer-Rao Lower-Bound

Let X_1,\dots,X_n be iid sample with PDF f(x| heta). Suppose W is an **unbiased** estimator for heta, we have

$$Var(W) \geq rac{1}{nE\left[\left\{rac{\partial}{\partial heta} \log f(X_1| heta)
ight\}^2
ight]}$$

where $nE\left[\left\{\frac{\partial}{\partial \theta}\log f(X_1|\theta)\right\}^2\right]$ is known as the **Fisher Information**.

Let X_1,\ldots,X_n be iid sample with PDF $f(x|\theta)$. Suppose W is an unbiased estimator for au(heta), we have

$$Var(W) \geq rac{\{ au'(heta)\}^2}{nE\left[\{rac{\partial}{\partial heta}\log f(X_1| heta)\}^2
ight]}$$

Prove the CRLB [Lecture7-1].

• Fisher information can be calculated from log likelihood

$$E\left[\left\{rac{\partial}{\partial heta} \log f(x_1,\ldots,x_n| heta)
ight\}^2
ight] = E\left[\left\{\sum_{i=1}^n rac{\partial}{\partial heta} \log f(x_1| heta)
ight\}^2
ight] = nE\left[\left\{rac{\partial}{\partial heta} \log f(X_1| heta)
ight\}^2
ight]$$

Calculate Fisher information: Take log of the PDF => take derivative => square => take expectation

 \circ Fisher information is defined as follows for a random variable X.

$$I(heta) = E\left[\left\{rac{\partial}{\partial heta} \log f(X| heta)
ight\}^2
ight]$$

• The fisher information can be rewritten as

$$I(heta) = E\left[\left\{rac{\partial}{\partial heta} \log f(X| heta)
ight\}^2
ight] = Var\left[rac{\partial}{\partial heta} \log f(X| heta)
ight]$$

because $E\left[\frac{\partial}{\partial \theta} \log f(X|\theta)\right] = 0$.

Also, we have

$$E\left[rac{\partial^2}{\partial^2 heta}\log f(X| heta)
ight] = -I(heta)$$

o Finally, the fisher information can also be written as

$$I(heta) = -E\left[rac{\partial^2}{\partial^2 heta}\log f(X| heta)
ight] = -\int\left[rac{\partial^2}{\partial^2 heta}\log f(X| heta)
ight]f(x| heta)dx$$

• Fisher Information Matrix

Fisher information contained in the random variable X following distribution $f(x|\theta)$ is defined as

$$E\left[\left\{\frac{\partial}{\partial \theta}\log f(X|\theta)\right\}^2\right]$$

First Bartlett identity

$$E\left\{\frac{\partial \log f(x|\theta)}{\partial \theta}\right\} = 0$$

Second Bartlett identity

$$-E\Big\{rac{\partial^2 \log f(x| heta)}{\partial heta^2}\Big\} = E\left[\Big\{rac{\partial}{\partial heta} \log f(X| heta)\Big\}^2
ight]$$

Prove the second Bartlett identity [Lecture 7-1].

Example: fisher information matrix for normal distribution.

- Optimality and Decision Theory
- UMVUE
 - If an estimator is unbiased and the asymptotic variance reaches the CRLB, it is the UMVUE of the parameter.
- Bayes Optimality
- Minimax Optimality

Lecture 8

Consistency

Let $W(X_1, \ldots, X_n)$ be an estimator for θ based on random sample X_1, \ldots, X_n . W is an consistent estimator if for any $\epsilon > 0$.

$$\lim_{n\to\infty} P(|W-\theta|>\epsilon)\to 0$$

If W is consistent, then

- \circ Asymptotic bias: $\lim_{n \to \infty} E(W) \theta \to 0$.
- \circ Variance: $\lim_{n \to \infty} Var(W) \to 0$.

Example: Consistency of MoM, MLE.

• Consistency of MLE

If X_1, \ldots, X_n are iid from a density $f(x|\theta)$ and the below conditions 1,2, and 3 hold, then the solution $\hat{\theta}$ of the likelihood equation $\partial l(\theta)/\partial \theta=0$ is a consistent estimator for θ .

- 1. Identifiability: for $\theta_1, \theta_2 \in \Theta, f(x; \theta_1) = f(x; \theta_2)$ for all x implies $\theta_1 = \theta_2$.
- 2. θ_0 is a interior point of Θ (Not on the boundary).
- 3. Common support and differentiable: $f(x|\theta)$ have a common support for all θ , and $\log f(x|\theta)$ is differentiable in θ (Does not apply if support depends on θ).

• Definition 10.1.7: Limiting Variance

Asymptotic normality

For estimator W, if $\lim_{n\to\infty}\sqrt{n}\{W-\tau(\theta)\}\to\mathcal{N}(0,v(\theta))$, we say W is asymptotically normal with asymptotic variance $v(\theta)$. Suppose X_1,\ldots,X_n are iid samples with mean μ and variance σ^2 . By **CLT**, we have

$$\sqrt{n}(\overline{X}-\mu) o \mathcal{N}(0,\sigma^2)$$

Thus, \overline{X} is asymptotically normal with asymptotic variance σ^2 .

Asymptotic efficiency

Estimator $W(X_1,\ldots,X_n)$ is asymptotic efficient for au(heta) where au'(heta)
eq 0 if

$$\lim_{n \to \infty} \sqrt{n} \{W - au(heta)\} \stackrel{d}{ o} \mathcal{N}(0, v(heta))$$

and

$$v(\theta) = rac{\{ au'(heta)\}^2}{E\left[\{rac{\partial}{\partial heta} \log f(X_1| heta)\}^2
ight]}$$

That is, the asymptotic variance reaches the Cramer-Rao Lower Bound.

Prove MLE normality

Taylor Expansion

$$f(x) = f(a) + f'(a)(x-a) + rac{f''(a)}{2!} + \ldots + rac{f^{(k-1)}(a)}{(k-1)!}(x-a)^{k-1} + rac{f^{(k)}(a')}{k!}(x-a)^k$$

for some a' between a and x, satisfying $|a'-a| \leq |x-a|$ (So a < a' < x).

Prove: [Lecture8-4 & Lecture8 updated2]

• Condition for MLE normality

- 1. Identifiability: for $\theta_1, \theta_2 \in \Theta, f(x; \theta_1) = f(x; \theta_2)$ for all x implies $\theta_1 = \theta_2$.
- 2. θ_0 is a interior point of Θ .
- 3. Common support and differentiable: $f(x|\theta)$ have a common support for all θ , and $\log f(x|\theta)$ is differentiable in θ .
- 4. Concavity: $I(\theta) = -E\{d^2\log f(X_i|\theta)/d\theta^2\} >
 ho > 0.$
- 5. Integratable third derivative: $|\partial^3 \log f(x|\theta)/\partial \theta^3| \leq g(x)$, for some function g(x) such that $Eg(x) < \infty$.

• Asymptotic normality of MLE

If X_1,\ldots,X_n are iid from a density $f(x|\theta)$ and the conditions in the previous slide hold, then the MLE $\hat{\theta}$ which satisfies the likelihood equation $\partial l(\theta)/\partial \theta=0$ is asymptotically normal, and

$$\sqrt{n}(\hat{ heta}- heta) o \mathcal{N}(0,I(heta)^{-1})$$

where $I(\theta) = E[\{ rac{\partial}{\partial heta} \log f(X_1 | \theta\}^2]$ is the Fisher Information.

Example of exact and limiting distribution of MLE of binomial distribution, normal distribution, ... [Lecture8-4]

• Estimating the asymptotic variance of MLEs

Since

$$\sqrt{n}(\hat{ heta}- heta) o \mathcal{N}(0,I^{-1}(heta))$$

The reasonable estimator for $I^{-1}(\theta)$ could be

1. Close-form expression of $I(\theta)$.

2.
$$I(\theta) = -E\{\frac{\partial^2}{\partial \theta^2} \log f(x_i|\theta)\}$$
,

$$\widehat{I}(heta) = -rac{1}{n}\sum_{i=1}^nrac{\partial^2}{\partial heta^2}\log f(x_i| heta)\stackrel{p}{
ightarrow} -E\{rac{\partial^2}{\partial heta^2}\log f(x_i| heta)\} = I(heta).$$

$$\widehat{I}(\hat{ heta}) = -rac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n rac{\partial^2}{\partial heta^2} \log f(x_i|\hat{ heta})$$

3.
$$I(\theta) = E[\{\frac{\partial}{\partial \theta} \log f(x_i | \theta)\}^2]$$

$$\check{I}(heta) = rac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n \{rac{\partial}{\partial heta} \log f(x_i| heta)\}^2$$

$$\check{I}(\hat{ heta}) \stackrel{p}{ o} I(heta)$$

• Asymptotic relative efficiency (ARE)

Estimators W_1 and W_2 satisfy

$$\lim_{n \to \infty} \sqrt{n} \{W_1 - \tau(\theta)\} \xrightarrow{d} \mathcal{N}(0, v_1) \text{ and } \lim_{n \to \infty} \sqrt{n} \{W_2 - \tau(\theta)\} \xrightarrow{d} \mathcal{N}(0, v_2)$$
 .

Then the asymptotic relative efficiency of W_2 with respect to W_1 is

$$ARE(W_2, W_1) = \frac{v_1}{v_2}$$

Example of ARE of Poisson estimator [Lecture8-4].

Parameter transformation and Delta Method

If W is asymptotic normal, i.e., $\sqrt{n}(W-\theta) \stackrel{d}{\to} \mathcal{N}(\theta,v(\theta))$, then for a differentiable function $h(\theta)$, such that $h'(\theta) \neq 0$, we have

$$\sqrt{n}(h(W) - h(\theta)) \xrightarrow{d} \mathcal{N}(0, \{h'(\theta)\}^2 v(\theta))$$

Prove Delta Method using Taylor expansion [Lecture8-4].

Example of estimating odds [Lecture8-4].

• Second-order Delta Method

If W is asymptotic normal, i.e., $\sqrt{n}(W-\theta) \stackrel{d}{\to} \mathcal{N}(0,v(\theta))$, then for a differentiable function $h(\theta)$, if $h'(\theta)=0$ and $h''(\theta)\neq 0$, we have

$$n(h(W)-h(heta)) \stackrel{d}{
ightarrow} rac{h''(heta)v(heta)}{2} \chi_1^2$$

Prove it by Taylor expansion [Lecture8-4].

Resources

• Wiki: <u>Summation Identities</u>

• Wiki: List of Math Series

• Wiki: List of Convolutions of Probability Distribution

• Examples for midterms

https://people.missouristate.edu/songfengzheng/Teaching/MTH541F21.htm

• Examples for midterms

https://www2.econ.iastate.edu/classes/econ671/hallam/

• Relevant lecture notes

https://www.stat.cmu.edu/~larry/=stat705/

• MIT OCW lecture notes and assignment

https://ocw.mit.edu/courses/mathematics/18-443-statistics-for-applications-spring-2015/lecture-notes/