

57th CIRP Conference on Manufacturing Systems 2024 (CMS 2024)

Digital Twin Based Online Material Defect Detection for CNC-Milled Workpieces

First Author^{a,b}, Second Author^{a,c,*}, Third Author^b^aESB Business School, Reutlingen University, Alteburgstraße 150, 72762 Reutlingen, Germany^bDepartment of Industrial Engineering, Stellenbosch University, Joubert Street, Stellenbosch, 7600, South Africa^cFraunhofer Institute for Manufacturing Engineering and Automation IPA, Nobelstraße 12, 70569 Stuttgart, Germany* Corresponding author. Tel.: +49-7121-271-5005; fax: +49-7121-271-90-5005. E-mail address: dominik.lucke@reutlingen-university.de

Abstract

Achieving reliable lot size one compatible and adaptable online quality monitoring for CNC-milled workpieces remains elusive yet. To address this challenge, our approach aims to bridge the current gap in research by developing a cost-effective and reference-independent monitoring concept for material defect detection in CNC-machined parts. This paper presents a novel digital twin-based method, utilizing machining vibrations and a g-code-based encoding of the cutting process. The objective is to detect material defects, such as blowholes, without the need for individual workpiece references. The proposed method aims to reduce barriers to entry, minimize waste, and enhance machine productivity by enabling automated early online quality control. To develop and validate the model, we generate a new dataset combining machining vibration with technological context data such as chip-shape. We demonstrate the feasibility and potential of the approach in a job shop setting on a 3-axis CNC mill.

© 2024 The Authors. Published by Elsevier B.V.

This is an open access article under the CC BY-NC-ND license (<http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc-nd/4.0/>)

Peer-review under responsibility of the scientific committee of the 57th CIRP Conference on Manufacturing Systems 2024 (CMS 2024).

Keywords: Monitoring; Machining; Material defects; Vibrations; Lot size one

1. Introduction

The manufacturing industry is undergoing a paradigm shift, transitioning from a focus on 'responsiveness' to a 'market-of-one', deemed 'Mass Individualisation' [1] or 'Mass Personalisation' [2]. This entails the production of custom-made products while maintaining the low unit costs traditionally associated with mass production. In response, there has been a global push towards smart manufacturing characterized by autonomous operations enabled by advanced sensing, data processing, and decision-making technologies. [3, 4].

Within this context, reducing lot sizes in job shop settings becomes imperative, and additive manufacturing (AM), particularly 3D printing, emerges as a promising solution due to its autonomy and versatility. However, despite its advantages, AM still falls short compared to traditional techniques like CNC milling in aspects such as dimensional accuracy, mechanical

properties, material variety, and surface quality [5, 6, 7]. CNC milling, therefore, remain pivotal, presenting not only significant potential but also high necessity for optimisation due to its complex nature of underlying processes that result in lower process resilience and robustness compared to AM.

Nomenclature

CNC	Computerised Numerical Controlled (machine tool)
AM	Additive Manufacturing
CCC	...

A monitoring system that utilises deep process context (e.g. technological, geometric, or material property data) to generate reference agnostic (= lot size one capable) process status insights, would ready CNC mills for the Mass Personalisation paradigm shift.

Such a workpiece centered monitoring system which detects material defects or user (=operator) error (e.g. wrong wrong

* Corresponding author. Tel.: +49-7121-271-5005; fax: +49-7121-271-90-5005. E-mail address: dominik.lucke@reutlingen-university.de

material, or part clamping error) during normal machining can increase process efficiency & reliability, reduces waste, and enables higher product quality.

To achieve economic efficiency and general market accessibility such a system must be easy to integrate, be machine agnostic, and be relatively low cost.

2. Related work

state of the art (1,5seiten)

Most monitoring systems focus on tool wear or machine maintenance, holistic process specific monitoring especially of the workpiece is rarely employed on today's shop floors or in current research. The only available enterprise monitoring product for workpiece and process monitoring is the Qass.

3. Approach

(vorgehen + prinzipielle aufbau/Konzept)

- welche physikalische grösse? vibration
- kontext architektur (feature)
- schaubild design

4. Implementation

- full design from Approach transform to proof of concept
- in python, auf emco, DoE...
- experimental setup

5. Validation

...and evaluation

6. Conclusion

and future recommendation (halbe seite)

Zitiertest...

[8, 9, 10]

[9]

[10]

[11]

[5]

References

- [1] Y. Lu, X. Xu, L. Wang, Smart manufacturing process and system automation – A critical review of the standards and envisioned scenarios, *Journal of Manufacturing Systems* 56 (2020) 312–325. doi:10.1016/j.jmsy.2020.06.010.
- [2] Z. Qin, Y. Lu, Self-organizing manufacturing network: A paradigm towards smart manufacturing in mass personalization, *Journal of Manufacturing Systems* 60 (2021) 35–47. doi:10.1016/j.jmsy.2021.04.016.

- [3] X. Gu, Y. Koren, Mass-Individualisation – the twenty first century manufacturing paradigm, *International Journal of Production Research* 60 (24) (2022) 7572–7587. doi:10.1080/00207543.2021.2013565.
- [4] Y. Lu, K. C. Morris, S. P. Frechette, Current Standards Landscape for Smart Manufacturing Systems, NIST.
- [5] T. Chen, Y.-C. Lin, Feasibility Evaluation and Optimization of a Smart Manufacturing System Based on 3D Printing: A Review, *International Journal of Intelligent Systems* 32 (4) (2017) 394–413. doi:10.1002/int.21866.
- [6] 3D printing vs. CNC machining: Which is better for prototyping and end-use parts?, <https://www.hubs.com/knowledge-base/3d-printing-vs-cnc-machining/>.
- [7] CNC vs. 3D Printing: What's the Best Way to Make Your Part?, <https://markforged.com/resources/blog/cnc-vs-3d-printing>.
- [8] Y. M. Al-Naggar, N. Jamil, M. F. Hassan, A. R. Yusoff, Condition monitoring based on IoT for predictive maintenance of CNC machines, *Procedia CIRP* 102 (2021) 314–318. doi:10.1016/j.procir.2021.09.054.
- [9] D. Axinte, N. Gindy, Assessment of the effectiveness of a spindle power signal for tool condition monitoring in machining processes, *International Journal of Production Research* 42 (13) (2004) 2679–2691. doi:10.1080/00207540410001671642.
- [10] L. Ma, I. Howard, M. Pang, Z. Wang, S. Jianxiu, Experimental Investigation of Cutting Vibration during Micro-End-Milling of the Straight Groove, *Micromachines* 11 (2020) 494. doi:10.3390/mi11050494.
- [11] D. Biermann, A. Zabel, T. Brüggemann, A. Barthelmey, A Comparison of Low Cost Structure-borne Sound Measurement and Acceleration Measurement for Detection of Workpiece Vibrations in 5-axis Simultaneous Machining, *Procedia CIRP* 12 (2013) 91–96. doi:10.1016/j.procir.2013.09.017.