

main

April 8, 2024

Abgabe von: Mat.Nr.: 9452857 & 1113800

Before doing anything installing all needed dependencies

!pip3 install pandas !pip3 install scikit-learn

1 Aufgabe 1

```
[1]: import os
import pandas as pd
from sklearn.model_selection import train_test_split
from sklearn import set_config
set_config(transform_output="pandas")
```

Import data

```
[2]: white_raw = pd.read_csv(os.getcwd() + "/dataset/winequality-white.csv",
    ↪delimiter=";")
red_raw = pd.read_csv(os.getcwd() + "/dataset/winequality-red.csv", delimiter=";
    ↪")
```

Split wine in Test and Training Data (75% / 25%)

```
[3]: red_raw
```

```
[3]:      fixed acidity  volatile acidity  citric acid  residual sugar  chlorides \
0                7.4                0.700         0.00             1.9       0.076
1                7.8                0.880         0.00             2.6       0.098
2                7.8                0.760         0.04             2.3       0.092
3               11.2                0.280         0.56             1.9       0.075
4                7.4                0.700         0.00             1.9       0.076
...          ...          ...          ...          ...          ...
1594             6.2                0.600         0.08             2.0       0.090
1595             5.9                0.550         0.10             2.2       0.062
1596             6.3                0.510         0.13             2.3       0.076
1597             5.9                0.645         0.12             2.0       0.075
1598             6.0                0.310         0.47             3.6       0.067

      free sulfur dioxide  total sulfur dioxide  density  pH  sulphates \
```

0	11.0	34.0	0.99780	3.51	0.56
1	25.0	67.0	0.99680	3.20	0.68
2	15.0	54.0	0.99700	3.26	0.65
3	17.0	60.0	0.99800	3.16	0.58
4	11.0	34.0	0.99780	3.51	0.56
...
1594	32.0	44.0	0.99490	3.45	0.58
1595	39.0	51.0	0.99512	3.52	0.76
1596	29.0	40.0	0.99574	3.42	0.75
1597	32.0	44.0	0.99547	3.57	0.71
1598	18.0	42.0	0.99549	3.39	0.66

	alcohol	quality
0	9.4	5
1	9.8	5
2	9.8	5
3	9.8	6
4	9.4	5
...
1594	10.5	5
1595	11.2	6
1596	11.0	6
1597	10.2	5
1598	11.0	6

[1599 rows x 12 columns]

```
[4]: white_training_Data, white_test_data, white_training_scores, white_test_scores = \
      train_test_split(white_raw.drop("quality", axis=1), \
      white_raw['quality'], test_size=0.25, random_state=39))

red_training_Data, red_test_data, red_training_scores, red_test_scores = \
      train_test_split(red_raw.drop("quality", axis=1), \
      red_raw['quality'], test_size=0.25, random_state=39))
```

```
[5]: white_training_Data
```

	fixed acidity	volatile acidity	citric acid	residual sugar	chlorides	\
3965	7.3	0.380	0.23	6.50	0.050	
3954	6.3	0.330	0.20	17.90	0.066	
580	7.4	0.410	0.66	10.80	0.051	
1637	8.1	0.120	0.49	1.20	0.042	
1310	5.2	0.365	0.08	13.50	0.041	
...	
3195	7.0	0.210	0.42	5.30	0.037	
2275	7.5	0.290	0.26	14.95	0.067	
1088	7.4	0.200	0.37	16.95	0.048	

105	7.3	0.130	0.32	14.40	0.051
3465	6.8	0.190	0.34	1.90	0.040

	free sulfur dioxide	total sulfur dioxide	density	pH	sulphates \
3965	18.0	102.0	0.99304	3.10	0.55
3954	36.0	161.0	0.99910	3.14	0.51
580	77.0	194.0	0.99760	3.05	0.46
1637	43.0	160.0	0.99340	3.13	0.48
1310	37.0	142.0	0.99700	3.46	0.39
...
3195	36.0	123.0	0.99321	3.14	0.52
2275	47.0	178.0	0.99838	3.04	0.49
1088	43.0	190.0	0.99950	3.03	0.42
105	34.0	109.0	0.99740	3.20	0.35
3465	41.0	108.0	0.99000	3.25	0.45

	alcohol
3965	11.2
3954	8.8
580	8.7
1637	9.7
1310	9.9
...	...
3195	10.9
2275	9.2
1088	9.2
105	9.2
3465	12.9

[3673 rows x 11 columns]

2 Aufgabe 2

Performing a Principal Component Analysis

we need to standardize features with `StandardScaler()`. We do this to make sure that different features have the same impact on the result

```
[6]: from sklearn.preprocessing import StandardScaler
scaler = StandardScaler()

white_training_Data_scaled = scaler.fit_transform(white_training_Data)
white_test_data_scaled = scaler.transform(white_test_data)

red_training_Data_scaled = scaler.fit_transform(red_training_Data)
red_test_data_scaled = scaler.transform (red_test_data)
```

```
[7]: from sklearn.decomposition import PCA
```

```
[8]: pcaWhite = PCA(n_components='mle')
white_training_Data_pca = pcaWhite.fit_transform(white_training_Data_scaled)
white_test_data_pca = pcaWhite.transform(white_test_data_scaled)
```

```
[9]: pcaRed = PCA(n_components='mle')
red_training_Data_pca = pcaRed.fit_transform(red_training_Data)
red_test_data_pca = pcaRed.transform(red_test_data)
```

3 Aufgabe 3

```
[10]: import numpy as np
from math import sqrt

from sklearn.neighbors import KNeighborsRegressor
from sklearn.svm import SVR
from sklearn.model_selection import GridSearchCV
from sklearn.metrics import mean_absolute_error, mean_squared_error
from sklearn.metrics import r2_score, mean_absolute_percentage_error

# Function to calculate model and print Bewertungsmetriken
def model_regressor_grid_calculator(estimator: any, param_grid: dict | list,
                                     training_data: any, valid_data: any,
                                     training_scores: any, valid_scores: any):
    #select the best HyperParameters while using cross-validation for it (cv=5)
    random_search = GridSearchCV(estimator, param_grid=param_grid, cv=3,
    n_jobs=-1, scoring='r2')
    random_search.fit(training_data, training_scores)

    print("Beste Hyperparameter: " + str(random_search.best_params_))

    # Select the best model from Grid Search
    optimal_model = random_search.best_estimator_

    # Selected Params
    cv_results = pd.DataFrame(random_search.cv_results_).loc[random_search.
    best_index_]

    r2_score_cv = [cv_results['split0_test_score'],
                   cv_results['split1_test_score'],
                   cv_results['split2_test_score'],
                   ]
    r2_score_cv_mean = cv_results['mean_test_score']
    r2_score_cv_std = cv_results['std_test_score']
```

```

print('Cross Validation')
print(f'- R^2: {r2_score_cv}')
print(f'- R^2 Durchschnittlicher: {r2_score_cv_mean:.4f}')
print(f'- R^2 Standardabweichung: {r2_score_cv_std:.4f}')
print('\r\n')

predicted_test_scores = optimal_model.predict(valid_data)

r2_score_test = r2_score(valid_scores, predicted_test_scores)
rmse_test = sqrt(mean_squared_error(valid_scores, predicted_test_scores))
mape_test = mean_absolute_percentage_error(valid_scores,
↪predicted_test_scores)

print('Test:')
print(f'- R^2: {r2_score_test:.4f}')
print(f'- RMSE: {rmse_test:.2f}')
print(f'- MAPE: {mape_test:.2%}')

return cv_results, optimal_model

```

Implementing two Regression methods: Nearest Neighbor Regression and Support Vector Regression. Comparing the performance of both regression models at both datasets.

3.1 White

3.1.1 Nearest Neighbor Regression

```

[11]: parameters = {
    'n_neighbors': np.arange(1, 70, 1),
    'leaf_size' : np.arange(1, 50, 1),
    'weights': ['uniform', 'distance']
}
final_parameters = {
    'n_neighbors': [23],
    'leaf_size' : [1],
    'weights': ['distance']
}
knn_regressor = KNeighborsRegressor()
cv_results, optimal_model = ↪
    ↪model_regressor_grid_calculator(estimator=knn_regressor, ↪
    ↪param_grid=final_parameters, training_data=white_training_Data_pca, ↪
    ↪valid_data=white_test_data_pca, training_scores=white_training_scores, ↪
    ↪valid_scores=white_test_scores)

```

Beste Hyperparameter: {'leaf_size': 1, 'n_neighbors': 23, 'weights': 'distance'}
 Cross Validation

- R^2 : [0.4439410001966234, 0.4578456890889915, 0.46936491337660613]
- R^2 Durchschnittlicher: 0.4571
- R^2 Standardabweichung: 0.0104

Test:

- R^2 : 0.4862
- RMSE: 0.64
- MAPE: 7.66%

3.1.2 Support Vektor Regression

```
[12]: parameters = {
    'kernel': ['rbf'],
    'epsilon': np.linspace(0.2, 0.4, 10),
    'C': np.linspace(1, 5, 20),
}
final_parameters = {'C': [1.631578947368421], 'epsilon': [0.3111111111111111],
    ↪ 'kernel': ['rbf']}

svm_regressor = SVR()
cv_results, optimal_model = ↪
    ↪ model_regressor_grid_calculator(estimator=svm_regressor, ↪
    ↪ param_grid=final_parameters, training_data=white_training_Data_pca, ↪
    ↪ valid_data=white_test_data_pca, training_scores=white_training_scores, ↪
    ↪ valid_scores=white_test_scores)
```

Beste Hyperparameter: {'C': 1.631578947368421, 'epsilon': 0.3111111111111111, 'kernel': 'rbf'}

Cross Validation

- R^2 : [0.35387360216597596, 0.4023140411195696, 0.4207932174740727]
- R^2 Durchschnittlicher: 0.3923
- R^2 Standardabweichung: 0.0282

Test:

- R^2 : 0.3616
- RMSE: 0.71
- MAPE: 9.86%

The Nearest Neighbor Regression analysis for white wine provided a R^2 value of 0,4862, where as the SVR analysis delivered a R^2 value of 0,3616. The decreased value indicates a weaker explanatory power compared to the Nearest Neighbor Regression. Also, the RMSE value rose from 0,64 at Nearest Neighbor Regression to 0,71 in the Support Vector Regression. Therefore, the value shows a higher deviation between the predicted and actual values. Furthermore, the MAPE value rose from 7,66% to 9,86%, indicating a higher percentage average deviation. In conclusion, the Nearest Neighbor Regression provides a better performance in comparison to the Support Vector Regression.

3.2 Red

3.2.1 Nearest Neighbor Regression

```
[13]: parameters = {
        'n_neighbors': np.arange(1, 70, 1),
        'leaf_size' : np.arange(1, 50, 1),
        'weights': ['uniform', 'distance']
    }
    final_parameters = {
        'n_neighbors': [19],
        'leaf_size' : [1],
        'weights': ['distance']
    }
    knn_regressor = KNeighborsRegressor()
    cv_results, optimal_model =   
        ↪model_regressor_grid_calculator(estimator=knn_regressor,   
        ↪param_grid=final_parameters, training_data=red_training_Data_pca,   
        ↪valid_data=red_test_data_pca, training_scores=red_training_scores,   
        ↪valid_scores=red_test_scores)
```

Beste Hyperparameter: {'leaf_size': 1, 'n_neighbors': 19, 'weights': 'distance'}

Cross Validation

- R^2 : [0.2569778231764722, 0.28101540049050555, 0.21336805786223734]
- R^2 Durchschnittlicher: 0.2505
- R^2 Standardabweichung: 0.0280

Test:

- R^2 : 0.2810
- RMSE: 0.74
- MAPE: 9.36%

3.2.2 Support Vektor Regression

```
[14]: parameters = {
        'kernel': ['rbf'],
        # 'gamma' : ['scale', 'auto'],
        'epsilon': np.arange(0.1, 0.30, 0.01),
        'C': np.linspace(60, 70, 10),
    }

    final_parameters = {'C': [67.77777777777777], 'epsilon': [0.28999999999999999],   
        ↪ 'kernel': ['rbf']}

    svm_regressor = SVR()
```

```
cv_results, optimal_model =  
    ↪model_regressor_grid_calculator(estimator=svm_regressor,  
    ↪param_grid=final_parameters, training_data=red_training_Data_pca,  
    ↪valid_data=red_test_data_pca, training_scores=red_training_scores,  
    ↪valid_scores=red_test_scores)
```

Beste Hyperparameter: {'C': 67.77777777777777, 'epsilon': 0.28999999999999999,
'kernel': 'rbf'}

Cross Validation

- R^2 : [0.29053634264358497, 0.3103429817566672, 0.2715251995718748]
- R^2 Durchschnittlicher: 0.2908
- R^2 Standardabweichung: 0.0158

Test:

- R^2 : 0.3914
- RMSE: 0.68
- MAPE: 9.77%

For the red wine, the Support Vector Regression outperforms the Nearest Neighbor-Regression. The higher R^2 value (0,3914 vs 0,2810) indicates that the model explains a larger proportion of variance in the dataset. The other metrics also achieve better results, like a slightly higher MAPE value.

3.3 Conclusion

The Nearest Neighbor Regression based on the dataset for the white wine outperforms both its counterparts for the red wine, by relying on a larger dataset (~3 times larger). Despite that, the Support Vector Regression model for red wine outperforms the white wine SVR with its R^2 and MAPE, which indicates a better fit to the red wine dataset.

In conclusion, the Nearest Neighbor Regression model seems to perform better for white wine, while the Support Vector Regression model seems to deliver better results for the red wine.