

Matrices that represent the same Linear Transformation and diagonalization

— Joaquín Gómez —

— February 24, 2025 —

Let $T : V \rightarrow W$ be a **linear transformation** from $V \subseteq \mathbb{R}^n$ to $W \subseteq \mathbb{R}^m$, and let (e_1, \dots, e_n) and (w_1, \dots, w_m) be the bases of V and W respectively.

Now, let $A = [a_{ij}]_{i,j=1}^{n,m}$ be the **matrix representation** of T . If we apply the transformation on the k^{th} basis vector of V we get

$$T(e_k) = \sum_{i=1}^n a_{ik} e_i \quad (1)$$

Now, suppose we form another basis using the basis of V , let this basis be $U = (u_1, \dots, u_n)$ where each u_k is given by

$$u_k = \sum_{j=1}^n c_{jk} e_j \quad (2)$$

Then $C = [c_{ij}]_{i,j=1}^n$ is the matrix for the change of basis, such that $U = EC$.

Then, applying the transformation on u_k we find

$$T(u_k) = T\left(\sum_{j=1}^n c_{jk} e_j\right) = \sum_{j=1}^n c_{jk} T(e_j) = \sum_{j=1}^n c_{jk} \sum_{i=1}^n a_{ij} e_i$$

reordering terms, we get

$$T(u_k) = \sum_{i=1}^n \sum_{j=1}^n c_{jk} a_{ij} e_i \quad (3)$$

We can set $U' = (T(u_1), \dots, T(u_n))$, and $E' = (T(e_1), \dots, T(e_n))$. If we look at $\sum_{j=1}^n c_{jk} T(e_j)$, we see that

$$U' = E'C, \quad E' = EA \longrightarrow U' = EAC$$

We have proved this above, this is the same as in (3).

Now, we define another matrix $B = [b_{ij}]_{i,j=1}^{n,m}$ that represents the transformation with respect to the basis of U , this is, B is the equivalent of A in U .

$$T(u_k) = \sum_{j=1}^n b_{jk} u_j \quad (4)$$

In the matrix form, this is

$$U' = UB$$

so, going back to our equations, and recalling that $U = EC \rightarrow E = UC^{-1}$

$$U' = EAC = UC^{-1}AC \rightarrow UB = UC^{-1}AC$$

Then

$$B = C^{-1}AC \quad (5)$$

This is the relationship between the matrix representation of T in U (B) and the matrix representation of T in V (A).

Application with eigenvectors

Let M be a **symmetric** matrix, then

$$Mx = \lambda x, \quad My = \mu y$$

Now, consider the following dot product

$$(Mx, My) = (\lambda x, My) = \lambda(x, My) = (Mx, \mu y) = \mu(Mx, y)$$

Then

$$\lambda(x^T My) = \mu(x^T M^T y)$$

But $x^T y = y^T x$, and $M^T = M$, **because M is symmetric** (this is the importance of the constraint). So we rewrite the equation by transposing the right-hand side, we obtain

$$\lambda(x^T My) = \mu(x^T My) \longrightarrow x^T My(\lambda - \mu)$$

Our constraint is that $\mu \neq \lambda$, this means that $x \cdot y = 0$, in other words, both eigenvectors are perpendicular.

This initial proof is important because it sets the bases for the next theorem.

Theorem: any matrix M which is symmetric can be diagonalized by a diagonal matrix of eigenvalues and an orthogonal matrix of eigenvectors.

To prove this we use the fact that M has orthogonal eigenvectors, this means that we have a basis of eigenvector $U = (u_1, \dots, u_n)$. Suppose that T is a transformation on this basis, we know that the eigenvectors u_1, \dots, u_n are obtained by

$$T(u) = \lambda u$$

In the case of matrices, $T(u) = Mu$, but this notation implies that the summation given in (4) has only one term in this situation. We get that the matrix that applies the same transformation in the basis U (which in the previous demonstration was B), is a matrix consisting of the eigenvalues in the main diagonal.

$$T(u_k) = \sum_{j=1}^n b_{jk} u_j = \lambda_k u_k \quad (6)$$

So, collecting all the k terms in (6), we get

$$U' = \Lambda U$$

Then, given that our linear transformation T is represented by a matrix M in the basis E

$$E' = EM$$

Hence each eigenvector is given by a linear combination of elements in E

$$u_k = \sum_{j=1}^n s_{jk} e_j \quad (7)$$

We call the matrix of eigenvector S , which has each eigenvector in its columns as $S = [u_1 \dots u_n]$. Such that

$$U = ES, \quad E = US^{-1}$$

because $T(u_k) = \sum_{j=1}^n s_{jk} T(e_j)$ for each eigenvector.

Which finally yields for

$$U' = EMS \longrightarrow \Lambda U = US^{-1}MS$$

This proves the theorem.

Note that

$$\Lambda = S^{-1}MS, \quad M = S\Lambda S^{-1}$$

And, because M is symmetric, then S is orthogonal. So $S^{-1} = S^T$ and

$$\Lambda = S^T MS, \quad M = S\Lambda S^T \quad (8)$$

This simplifies the calculations a lot, this kind of matrix is a good-behaved one. Also, if the matrix is complex, this requires M to be *hermitian*. The hermitian property satisfies an operator

$$M^* = M$$

This operator takes the transpose but also the conjugate, the conjugate is element-wise. Conjugation is an operation on a complex number such that

$$c = a + ib, \quad \bar{c} = a - ib$$

This is essentially the “reflection” of the complex number. If you conjugate a matrix A , by doing \bar{A} , you will get all of its elements conjugated. Then taking the transpose must yield $\bar{A}^T = A$ if it is hermitian. If A is hermitian and represents a linear transformation T , then T is hermitian (we are not going into more detail here).

The point is that if M is hermitian, then the equations work too and become

$$\Lambda = S^*MS, \quad M = S\Lambda S^*$$