Candidate Number: Centre Number: 22151

COMP4 Coursework Feedback and Marking

Analysis (12 Marks)	Draft Mark	Final Mark
		5

Overall

Some evidence of a structured investigation but the detail level is generally poor and there are significant gaps which hinder understanding of the system. System requirements are not comprehensive as some section require further improvement. Some objectives are SMART but many are not. Indication that end user needs have been considered.

Complexity

Opportunities to create user defined algorithms to manipulate data from database Use of sophisticated language features to connect, store and update databases Possible use of OOP methods

Use of appropriate normalised data models

Use of SQL statements with dynamic parameters

Layout	
Strengths	
•	
Areas requiring improvement	
•	

1. Introduction

1.1 Client Identification

Strengths

• Limited introduction to client (page 2)

Areas requiring improvement

• Further details on actual client role

1.2 Definition of Current System

Strengths

• Some explanation of system (page 2)

Areas requiring improvement

• Limited comments on feedback and marking aspects

1.3 Description of Problems

Strengths

• Limited indication of problems (page 2-3)

Areas requiring improvement

• Further explanation required – more detail on aspects relating to actual learning/feedback/assessment needed

2. Investigation/2.1 The Current System

2.1.1 Data sources and destinations

Candidate Number: Centre Number: 22151

Strengths

• Some indication (page 4)

Areas requiring improvement

- Not convinced all of the sources and destinations are appropriate
- •

Candidate Number: Centre Number: 22151

2.1.2 Algorithms

Strengths

• Limited indication of sorting algorithm

Areas requiring improvement

- No indication of where these algorithms come from (page 5) how did you get these from the MyMaths site?
- Other algorithms? Assessment etc. in the classroom

2.1.3 DFDs (current system) where relevant

Strengths

• Limited indication (page 6)

Areas requiring improvement

- Symbols are not correct
- Student GUI is not a source Student would be (page 6)
- Labels are vague

2.1.4 Input Forms, Output forms, Report Formats

Strengths

• Indication provided (page 7-9)

Areas requiring improvement

•

2. Investigation/2.2 The Proposed System

2.2.1 Data sources and destinations

Strengths

• Limited indication (page 10)

Areas requiring improvement

• Sources/destinations are not all accurate (page 10)

2.2.2 DFDs (proposed system) where relevant

Strengths

•

Areas requiring improvement

• These are a system flow charts (page 11-13) not DFDs

2.2.3 Data Dictionary

Strengths

• Clear dictionary provided (page 14)

Areas requiring improvement

• Further data items?

2.2.4 Volumetrics

Strengths

•

Areas requiring improvement

• No evidence for this calculation (page 15)

Candidate Number: Centre Number: 22151

3. Objectives

3.1 General Objectives

Strengths

• Some objectives provided (page 15)

Areas requiring improvement

•

3.2 Specific Objectives

Strengths

• Indication given (page 15)

Areas requiring improvement

- Some of these are quite general
- Not all objectives are SMART

3.3 Core Objectives

Strengths

• Further indication of specific objectives (page 15)

Areas requiring improvement

• This section should not add further objectives

3.4 Other Objectives

Strengths

•

Areas requiring improvement

Section not included

4. E-R Diagrams and Descriptions

4.1 E-R Diagram

Strengths

• Diagram present (page 16)

Areas requiring improvement

• Surely relationship between student and homework is m-m?

4.2 Entity Descriptions

Strengths

• Notation is correct

Areas requiring improvement

- Student table is not normalised fully (page 16)
- Unsure about TestResult where do these score parts come from are they questions? If so then this isn't normalised fully either

Candidate Number: Centre Number: 22151 **5. Object Analysis**

5.1 Object Listing

Strengths

• Some objective give (page 17)

Areas requiring improvement

• Some of these are not objectives – test result, quiz mode etc.

5.2 Relationship Diagrams

Strengths

• Diagram present (page 18)

Areas requiring improvement

- Inheritance relationships not shown correctly
- No relationship between member and homework/quiz
- Not really interested in the relationship with the GUI focus on the actual objects that are needed to store information

5.3 Class Definitions

Strengths

• Indication provided (page 20)

Areas requiring improvement

• Will need improved based on chages to 5.2

6. Other Abstractions

6.1 Graphs

Strengths

•

Areas requiring improvement

Section not included

7. Constraints

7.1 Hardware

Strengths

•

Areas requiring improvement

• No explanation of why these specs are constraints (page 22)

7.2 Software

Strengths

•

Areas requiring improvement

• No indication why this is a constraint (page 22)

Candidate Number: Centre Number: 22151

7.3 Time

Strengths

•

Areas requiring improvement

• This deadline is incorrect (page 22)

7.4 User knowledge

Strengths

•

Areas requiring improvement

• This is not a constraint (page 22)

7.5 Access Restrictions

Strengths

•

Areas requiring improvement

• This is not a constraint (page 22)

8. Limitations

8.1 Areas which will not be included in computerisation

Strengths

• Very limited statement (page 23)

Areas requiring improvement

More detailed explanation required

8.2 Areas considered for future computerisation

Strengths

• Statement made (page 23)

Areas requiring improvement

•

9. Solutions

9.1 Alternative Solutions

Strengths

• Some alternatives given (page 23)

Areas requiring improvement

- More detail on each would be appropriate
- Further advantages/disadvantages plus explanations

9.2 Justification of Chosen Solution

Strengths

• Choice made (page 23) with limited explanation

Areas requiring improvement

•