

# Casual Language Documentation

## Compiling Techniques 2019–2020

João David  
49448

26/06/2020

## 1 Getting Started

### 1.1 Syntax Highlighter

The Casual Language has a syntax highlighter extension for Visual Studio Code. This tool also has auto-complete functionality, that can be used by pressing CTRL+SPACE after writing some characters, if there are any words previously written using those characters, they will appear (e.g. variable and function names). The extension can be installed through the "casual-syntax-highlighter-0.0.1.vsix" file.

### 1.2 Running the compiler

The `casualc` script accepts as argument the casual source file that will be compiled and then creates the binary file with the same name of the source file (without the `.cas`).

Since this language supports imports, all the source files involved in the process must be passed as argument, so that the compiler may link them and output a single binary file, that will have the name of the first source code passed as argument.

For instance, "`casualc hello.cas math.cas util.cas`" will output the binary `hello`. From all the source files passed to the compiler, there must be exactly one defined method with the name "`main`". This will be the method executed first by the binary file.

## 2 Writing Casual code

### 2.1 Function declaration

The Casual language has 12 built-in functions that can be used by the programmer, in order to use them, they have to be declared. The following list summarizes all existent declarable functions, they allow the user to print, create arrays, and matrixes for each data type (Int, Float, Bool and String).

- `decl printInt(i:Int):Void`
- `decl printFloat(f:Float):Void`
- `decl printBool(b:Bool):Void`
- `decl printString(s:String):Void`
- `decl new_int_array(n:Int):[Int]`
- `decl new_float_array(n:Int):[Float]`
- `decl new_bool_array(n:Int):[Bool]`
- `decl new_string_array(n:Int):[String]`
- `decl new_int_matrix(n:Int, m:Int):[[Int]]`
- `decl new_float_matrix(n:Int, m:Int):[[Float]]`
- `decl new_bool_matrix(n:Int, m:Int):[[Bool]]`
- `decl new_string_matrix(n:Int, m:Int):[[String]]`

### 2.2 Import

In order use the functions defined in an other casual file, use the import functionality by adding the import keyword followed by the casual file to be imported. For instance, "import util.cas". There must not be functions defined with equal names across all source files.

## 3 Implementation Decisions

### 3.1 Abstract Syntax Tree

In the early stages of development of the compiler, the nodes within the AST did not store any information regarding types. This meant that, when validating the AST, the types were checked without storing the information in the AST. In the codegen phase, the types of the nodes were necessary to properly write the LLVM code according to the source code.

Since calculating the type of a certain node every time it was necessary would consume processor time, and it would repeat a computation previously done, the Visitor class that builds the AST was refactored in order to, whenever possible, store the type immediately, for instance, a function definition must always have the return type defined and as well as the types of the accepted parameters (var:type).

Some Node classes were refactored in order to have type setter and getter methods, the AST validator was also refactored in order to use those methods. Every time the type of a node was validated, the type was stored in the node using the setter. For instance, the type of the root of the expression  $3 + 4 \leq 5 * 3$  would be set to Bool, and the roots of the expressions  $3 + 4$  and  $5 * 3$  would both be set to Int. Then, in the codegen phase, the getters were used to know the type of said expression and write the LLVM code accordingly.

### 3.2 Linking Source files

When passing more than one source file to the compiler, the type-checking must be done across all files, in order to verify that the functions invoked in a source file different from the one where they are defined, are respecting its signature. As well as, ensure that there are no function definitions with duplicate names across all source files.

The way the compiler does this is by creating an AST for each source file. When verifying each AST, the compiler starts by adding all the function definitions of the imported source files to the function context of the current AST validator, this way the compiler can type-check the function invocations within the current AST. This is done through the use of a HashMap where the key is the source file name, and the value the corresponding AST.

If no exceptions are raised, the compiler proceeds to create a new AST with all the functions defined and declared across all previous AST's (using aliasing), this new AST does not require to be validated, because this validation was done previously.

The codegen phase is done using this new AST, and the LLVM code is written to a single ".ll" file with the same name as the first source file passed as argument. Hence the importance of avoiding duplicate function names across all source files.

### 3.3 Operator Precedence

**Precedence order:** When computing the expression  $3 + 3 * 5$ , the operator with higher precedence goes first, in this case its the multiplication, the expression is evaluated as if it was  $3 + (3 * 5)$ .

**Associativity:** When an expression has two operators with the same precedence level, it is evaluated according to its associativity. For instance, the expression  $72/2/3$  is computed as if it was  $(72/2)/3$ , because the division operator has left to right associativity. Some operators are not associative, therefore, they can't share the same operand with other operators in the same level of precedence. The expression  $3 < 4 >= 4$  is invalid.

The following table summarizes all the information regarding precedence and associativity in Casual, a higher level means higher precedence.

Level	Operator	Description	Associativity
9	[ ] ( )	access array element parentheses	left to right
8	− !	unary minus unary logical NOT	right to left
7	* / %	multiplicative	left to right
6	+ −	additive	left to right
5	< <= > >=	relational	not associative
4	== !=	equality	left to right
3	&&	logical AND	left to right
2		logical OR	left to right
1	=	assignment	right to left

This precedence hierarchy was defined in the "expr" rule, within the ANTLR4 grammar, operators with a higher level of precedence were written above the ones with lower precedence level. For instance, if the rule "unary\_ope expr" was defined last, the expression  $-3 + 5$  would result in  $-8$  instead of  $2$ .