Computer Labs: OO-programming with C Or C vs. C++ 2º MIEIC

Pedro F. Souto (pfs@fe.up.pt)

May 3, 2024

Contents

OO and Abstract Data Types

Implementing "Classes" in C

Generic Classes

Conclusion

Object Oriented Programming

- Object-oriented programming is a programming paradigm that facilitates the development of programs in general and of some classes of programs in particular:
 - Graphical user interfaces (GUI)
 - Computer graphics programs (e.g. games)
 - Computer simulations
- C, unlike C++, is not object-oriented
 - However, it is possible to develop code in object-oriented style with C
 - Actually, to be more precise we should say:
 - It is possible to develop abstract data types in C

OO and Classes (A Short and Simplistic View)

- ► A language is OO if it supports the concept of Class
- A class is a (data-)type that includes both
 State i.e. data members/fields
 Behavior i.e. functions/methods that operate on the class's state
- An object is an instance of a class
- A key concept in OO is data encapsulation or data hiding, i.e.:
 - Access to the state of an object is possible only by invoking its methods

Most of the advantages of object orientation stem from this property.

Problem How can we implement classes/objects in C in such a way that ensures data-encapsulation?

Contents

OO and Abstract Data Types

Implementing "Classes" in C

Generic Classes

Conclusion

Specifying a Class in C

Idea Use:

structs to store the state of an object functions as the methods of a class

- The first argument of each function should be a pointer to the state of the object on which the method will operate
- ▶ Define each class in its own C source (.c) file
 - This further contributes to the modularity and to the pluggability of the code
 - Helps ensuring data hiding (see below)

Example: A Queue Class - queue.h

```
typedef struct {
   int *buf;
                     // pointer to array that stores queue elements
   int in, out;
                     // indices of the array pointed by buf
                     // to insert/remove elements
   int size: // size of the array
   int count; // number of elements in queue
} queue t;
queue_t *new_queue(unsigned int ini_size); // "constructor":
                                // ini_size, initial queue size
void delete_queue(queue_t *q);  // queue destructor
int put_queue(queue_t *q, int n); // enqueue 'n' in queue, returns
                                // 0 in case of success
                                // -1 otherwise (queue full,
                                                  or no space)
int get_queue(queue_t *q, int *p); // dequeue first element from queue
                                // 0 in case of success
                                // -1 otherwise (queue empty)
```

Note that for all "methods" but the constructor, the first argument specifies the object that is the target, i.e. the object on which the method will operate.

Parenthesis: typedef

- Defines a new data type name
 - ► E.g.

```
typedef unsigned char uchar;
makes uchar a synonym of unsigned char
```

It does not define a new type

- ► POSIX recommends(?reserves?) that data type names have the _t suffix to distinguish them from other names
- ▶ There are two main reasons to use typedef:

Portability E.g. the size of integer types may differ among architectures/compilers

Readability The name queue_t is clearer than using some complicated struct, although we might use struct queue instead

besides aesthetics.

Example: Constructor and Destructor

C has no new nor delete instructions

Use malloc() and free() instead

- These are functions of the C standard library
- ► In addition to malloc() there is also realloc() (and calloc())

```
#include <stdlib.h>
    . . .
    int *buf, *ptr;
    . . .
    // allocate an array for 100 ints
    buf = (int *) malloc(100*sizeof(int));
    // reallocate more space for 100 ints
    // -- preserve the value of the first 100 ints
    ptr = (int *) realloc(buf, 100*sizeof(int));
    if (ptr == NULL) { // run out of memory
    } else
        buf = ptr;
    free (buf);
                              ◆□▶◆□▶◆≣▶◆≣▶ ■ ♡९○ 9/27
```

Parenthesis: The sizeof Operator

- sizeof allows to compute the size in bytes of:
 - a variable, an array or structure;
 - a type i.e. a basic type, or a derived type such as a structure or pointer

```
typedef struct {int color, char *msg} Msg;
int size = sizeof(Msq); // size of struct in bytes
Msq msqs[20];
int size_msgs = sizeof(msgs); // size of array in bytes
// number of elements in array
// int num_el = msgs.size(); // in C++
int num_el = sizeof(msgs)/sizeof(Msg);
// dynamically allocate an arry with N elements
// Msg *mptr = new Msg[N]; // in C++
Msg *mptr = (Msg *) malloc(N*sizeof(Msg));
// free memory
// delete[] mptr; // in C++
free (mptr)
```

Example: Queue Implementation – queue.c

```
queue_t *new_queue(unsigned int in_size) {
    // allocate queue object
    queue_t *q = malloc(sizeof(*q));
    if(q == NULL)
        return NULL;
    // allocate space to store queue elements
    q->size = in_size ? in_size : 1;
    q->buf = malloc(q->size * sizeof(int));
    if(q->buf == NULL) {
        free(q);
        return NULL;
    // initialize state of queue
    q->in = q->out = q->count = 0;
    return q;
void delete_queue(queue_t *q) {
    free (q->buf);
    free(q);
```

Example Queue Implementation – queue.c

```
int put_queue(queue_t *q, int n) {
    if (q \rightarrow count == q \rightarrow size)
         if ( resize_queue(q) ) // private function
              return -1:
    a \rightarrow buf[a \rightarrow in++] = n:
    q->count++;
    adjust_queue(q); // private function
    return 0:
int get_queue(queue_t *q, int *n) {
    if (q\rightarrow count != 0) {
         *n = q->buf[q->out++];
         q->count--;
         adjust_queue (q);
         return 0:
    return -1;
```

Question: How to ensure that resize_queue() and adjust_queue() are private?

▶ In C, by default, all functions are global, i.e. public

Answer: Use the static Keyword

► The static keyword limits the scope of an "object", i.e. function

variable

to the C source (.c) file where that "object" is defined

The static keyword provides a means of hiding names of global objects from other modules, i.e. C source files

```
// private: can be invoked only in queue.c
static void adjust_queue(queue_t *q) {
   a->in %= a->size;
   a->out %= a->size;
static int resize_queue(queue_t *q) {
   int *p = (int *)realloc(q->buf, 2*(q->size)*sizeof(int));
   int i;
   if(p == NULL)
       return -1;
   \alpha->buf = p:
   for ( i = 0; i < q -> in; i++)
       q-buf[q-size + i] = q-buf[i];
   q->in += q->size;
   q->size *= 2;
   return 0;
```

Parenthesis: More on static

▶ When applied to **local variables**, i.e. variables defined inside a function, static means that that variable and its value persist between invocations of that function

Static Global Variables vs. Global Variables

```
foo.c:
int totallyGlobal;
static int locallyGlobal;
void foo() {
    totallyGlobal = 1;
    locallyGlobal = 2;
}
```

Private Functions

```
popo.c:
// invokable only in popo.c
static void popo() {
    ...
}
```

```
bar.c:
extern int totallyGlobal;
void bar() {
    totallyGlobal = 1;
}
```

Persistent Local Variables

```
xpto.c:
// counts number of xpto() invocations
void xpto() {
    static int count = 0;
    count++;
    ...
}
```

Ensuring Encapsulation

is incomplete

- Encapsulation hides the details of implementation of an object from its users
- ➤ The use of private methods by means of static is not enough:
 - ▶ In our implementation, a user can access any field of the object using a pointer to the corresponding struct:

```
q->size += 10;
```

Question: How can we prevent it?

Answer: Hiding the implementation of the queue t

Only the implementation "class" needs to know the data members

Example: Use of Queue

```
#include "queue.h"
int main(int argc, char *argv[]) {
   queue_t *q;
    char *end_ptr;
   unsigned int size = 20; // queue default size
    int n;
    if(argc == 2)
        size = strtoul(arqv[1], &endptr, 10);
    if (endptr == argv[1])
    return -1;
    if ( (q = new_queue(size)) == NULL )
        return -1;
    if ( put_queue(q, 77) != 0 )
        printf("Queue full\n");
    if ( qet_queue(q, &n) != 0 )
       printf("Queue empty\n");
    else
        printf("Dequeued %d\n", n);
    delete_queue(q);
    return 0;
```

Contents

OO and Abstract Data Types

Implementing "Classes" in C

Generic Classes

Conclusion

Generic "Classes"

Problem: queue_t (or struct queue) is able to store values
 of type int only

 To store values of other types we could write a different class

Question How about to implement something like C++ templates?

Answer Yes, we can

- ▶ All we need is to use generic pointers, i.e. void *
- But ... we cannot take advantage of pointer arithmetic

Parenthesis: Pointer Arithmetic

- ➤ A C pointer is a data type whose values are memory addresses of variables of a given type
- ▶ In C, the name of an array is the address of the first element of that array:

```
int a[5]; p = a; /* set p to point to the first element * p = & (a[0]); /* same as above */
```

Conversely, we can use the "array notation" to refer to element i of array a;

```
for( i = 0; i < 5; i++) {
    p[i] = 0;
}</pre>
```

C supports pointer arithmetic – meaningful only when used with arrays:

```
for( i = 0; i < 5; i++, p++) {
    *p = 0;
}</pre>
```

In the implementation of queue_t, we used the array notation to access the elements in the queue. E.g. in put_queue():

Example: Generic Queue

- Because we are using generic pointers we cannot rely on the C compiler for pointer arithmetic:
 - ► The compiler does not know the size of each element in the queue
 - ► The size of each element must be kept as part of the state of the generic queue

Question: What is the meaning of in and out (size and count)?

Example: Generic Queue

Alternative I: Same meaning as in queue_t
in index of element in array pointed to by buf
out index of element in array pointed to by buf
This is the alternative closer to what the C compiler does when a pointer to a type is used

Alternative II
in offset of element in array pointed to by buf
out offset of element in array pointed to by buf
In this case, it might be better to name the members in_off
and out_off

Alternative III
in pointer to position in array pointed to by buf
out pointer to position in array pointed to by buf
It would have been better to define in and out as void *

Example: Generic Queue - gqueue.c

```
gqueue_t * new_gqueue(unsigned int n_el, int el_size) {
   gqueue_t * q = malloc(sizeof(gqueue_t));
   if(q == NULL)
       return q;
   // The user must provide the size of each queue element
   q->size = n_el ? n_el : 1;
   q->buf = malloc(q->size * el_size);
   if(q->buf == NULL) {
       free(q);
       return NULL:
   q->in = q->out = q->count = 0;
   q->el_size = el_size;
   return q;
void delete_gqueue(gqueue_t *g) {
   free (q->buf);
   free (q);
int is_empty_gqueue(gqueue_t *q) {
   return q->count == 0;
```

Example: Generic Queue - gqueue.c

```
int is_full_gqueue(gqueue_t *g) {
    return q->count == q->size;
int put_gqueue(gqueue_t *q, void *el) {
    if( is_full_queue(q) )
        return -1;
    // memcpy(dst, src, n_bytes): memory copy
    // must do pointer arithmetic explicitly
    memcpy(q->buf + q->in*q->el_size, el, q->el_size);
   q->in = (q->in + 1) % q->size;
   q->count++;
   return 0;
int get_gqueue(gqueue_t *q, void *el) {
    if ( is_empty_gqueue(q) )
        return -1;
    memcpy(el, q->buf + q->out*q->el_size, q->el_size);
    q->out = (q->out + 1) % q->size;
   q->count--;
   return 0;
```

Example: Use of Generic Queue

```
typedef struct {int time, freq;} note_t;
gqueue_t *nq = new_gqueue(10, sizeof(note_t));
note_t in, on;
for ( i = 0; i < 30; i++) {
    in.time = 1; in.freq = (i+2)*10;
    if ( put_gqueue(ng, &in) != 0 )
        printf("Full queue\n");
    if ( get_gqueue(ng, &out) == 0 ) {
        printf("%d-%d \n", on.time, on.freq;
    } else {
        // This should never occur
        printf("Empty queue\n");
delete_gqueue (nq);
```

Contents

OO and Abstract Data Types

mplementing "Classes" in C

Generic Classes

Conclusion

Conclusion

- It is possible to use C, thinking in C++
- ▶ However:
 - C is not C++
 - You need more discipline to structure your program and write your code
- We expect you to apply these concepts in your project
 - If you need some well known data structure (queue, stack, ...) take a look to the interfaces of the classes supported by OO languages, such as C++, Java or C#

Thanks to:

I.e. shamelessly translated material by:

► João Cardoso (jcard@fe.up.pt)