

Runtime Control

Orchestration of Cloud Resources

- Kubernetes orchestrates containers
- Other orchestrators may control different resources
 - Aether controls quality of service to users allocating radio resources
 - Cisco's Meraki controls Wi-Fi access points
 - GCE/EC2/Azure control servers and network devices hosting VMs

Main tasks in runtime control

- Authenticate the actor wanting to perform an operation
- Check whether the actor has sufficient privileges
- Push new configuration to back-end components
- Store new configuration in a persistent database

Low-level configuration is required

- Aether controls quality of service to users allocating radio resources
 - Configure radios to allocate bandwidth to users
 - Configure switches and routers correctly route and prioritize packets
- Cisco's Meraki controls Wi-Fi access points
 - Configure radios to avoid interference between access points
 - Configure authentication services (e.g., WPA2) on the access points
 - Configure switches and routers in the wired back-end
- GCE/EC2/Azure control servers and network devices hosting VMs
 - Configure and update servers
 - Configure hypervisor to create new VMs and volumes
 - Configure (virtual) switches to manage virtual networks

High-level abstractions even more important

- Need to create an abstraction layer on top of back-end components
- Define APIs to manipulate the abstractions
- Back-end components may be individual physical devices or software, but could also be groups of related components

Level of abstraction and visibility over implementation details











Level of abstraction and visibility over implementation details



VS





V:



Access control granularity and identifying actors

□ ≟	italocunha@gmail.com	Ítalo Cunha	BigQuery Data Viewer	₱14/16 excess permissions ▼
			BigQuery Job User	3/4 excess permissions ▼
			Viewer	2484/2540 excess permissions ▼
□ ≛	jh4001@columbia.edu	Jia He	BigQuery Admin	* 103/104 excess permissions ▼
			BigQuery Data Editor	* 35/36 excess permissions ▼
			Compute Admin	÷ 597/599 excess permissions ▼
			Compute Image User	7/9 excess permissions ▼
			Service Account User	4/5 excess permissions ▼
			Storage Transfer User	* 19/20 excess permissions ▼
			Viewer	2535/2540 excess permissions
□ ±	ls3748@columbia.edu	Loqman Salamatian	BigQuery Admin	• 104/104 excess permissions ▼
	lw2863@columbia.edu		BigQuery Data Viewer	

Level of abstraction and visibility over implementation details





ls3748@columbia.edu

lw2863@columbia.edu



Compute Image User

Service Account User

Storage Transfer User

BigOuery Data Viewer

BigQuery Admin

Viewer



9 cloudtrace.insights.list

10 cloudtrace.tasks.list 11 cloudtrace.traces.list

12 compute.addresses.list 13 compute.autoscalers.list

16 compute.diskTypes.list 17 compute.firewalls.list

15 compute.disks.list

14 compute.backendServices.list

16/16 excess permissions

 Access control granularity and identifying actors Permissions for italocunha@gmail.com italocunha@gmail.com Ítalo Cunha BigQuery Data Viewer BigQuery Job User Current permissions for Viewer role Viewer Last Analyzed 1 appengine.applications.get 6/20/22 2 appengine.services.list BigQuery Admin jh4001@columbia.edu Jia He 3 bigguery.datasets.get 4 billing.resourceCosts.get BigQuery Data Editor 5 clientauthconfig.clients.list 6 cloudnotifications.activities.list Compute Admin 7 cloudsql.instances.export 8 cloudsql.instances.list

Logman Salamatian

Level of abstraction and visibility over implementation details











- Access control granularity and identifying actors
- Fuzzy terminology (as in "orchestration" vs "runtime control")

Abstractions depend on service

- In the case of a cloud, the usual culprits apply
 - Bare-metal servers, virtual machines, containers
 - Virtual disks, database services, key-value stores, distributed file systems
 - Virtual networks, virtual interfaces, firewalls, load balancers
- PaaS need abstractions for the services they provide
 - Heroku (Web applications) vs mPaaS (mobile PaaS)
- In Aether
 - Enterprises: sites, facilities, cells
 - Slices: device groups, apps, spectrum allocation
 - Traffic classes: link quality, resource reservations

Models and state

- A runtime control system needs to maintain (desired) state
 - Persistent storage
 - The configuration of the runtime control system is authoritative
 - Need to be available and backed-up
 - Replication, consensus systems, and possibly stored in a repository
 - Versioning and migration
 - Configuration should be versioned in case rollback is necessary
 - Also allows execution of multiple versions of the runtime control system
 - Synchronization
 - If some component fails, its state should be recovered from the runtime control system after failure restoration

Runtime state

- Runtime control also needs to store the *current* state of the system
 - Not something that we store on repositories
 - Failed servers, ongoing issues, network state
 - Outside of GitOps
 - Key-value store or some other reliable storage database
 - Need redundancy against crashes or state of the system would be lost

Runtime Control API

- Need to expose control over infrastructure
 - Runtime state is incompatible with GitOps, so need an API

Runtime Control API

- Need to expose control over infrastructure
 - Runtime state is incompatible with GitOps, so need an API

- Flexible operations to support tool development
 - Like in a rest API (get, put/post, patch, delete)
- Parameter validation and error reporting
 - Ease of use, user friendliness
 - Prevent database corruption or inconsistent states
- Access control and logging
 - Post-mortem audits of who or what performed each action

Runtime Control API maintenance

- Can automatically generate the API from the configuration models
 - Pros: Less code to write, guaranteed consistency
 - Cons: Any changes to the models will immediately materialize in the API
 - Harder to maintain backwards compatibility

Aether Runtime Control

