

Lecture 11 - Topological Conjugacy + Linearization

⊗ $\dot{x} = f(x) = Ax + F(x)$ where $f(0) = 0$, and $F(x) = f(x) - Ax$ so that
 $x \in E \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ $A = Df(0)$ $F(0) = 0$ and $DF(0) = 0$

Additional assumption: $F(x)$ is ^{real} analytic on \mathbb{R}^n , i.e.

$$F(x) = \sum_{m \geq 2} a_m x^m \quad \text{where } a_m x^m \text{ is a sum of terms of the form}$$

$$a_{m_1, m_2, \dots, m_n} x_1^{m_1} x_2^{m_2} \dots x_n^{m_n}$$

$$m_i \in \mathbb{Z}^{+0} \quad \& \quad \sum_{k=1}^n m_k = m$$

As we saw last time, we will try to make a change of variables
 $y = H(x)$ so that $y(t)$ solves the linear equation

⊗⊗ $\dot{y} = Ay = AH(x)$

Recall $y = H(x)$

$$\dot{y} = DH(x) \dot{x}$$

$$Ay = DH(x)(Ax + F(x))$$

$$\boxed{AH(x) = DH(x)(Ax + F(x))}$$

We accomplish H by a sequence of near identity changes of variables

$$y = x + by^2 = g_2(x)$$

such that $\dot{y} = Ay + F_2(y)$ where $F_2 = \sum_{m \geq 3} a'_m y^m$

iterate, let $z = y + cz^3 = g_3(y) = g_3(g_2(x))$

$$\dot{z} = Az + F_3(z) \quad \text{where } F_3 = \sum_{m \geq 4} a''_m z^m$$

- At each step, we "push" the nonlinearity off to a higher order, which is ~~if~~ smaller near the origin, so that the equation is "more linear"
- If this works, then we iteratively generate a power series for H
- If this power series has a positive radius of convergence, then we have shown there exists an analytic change of variables that linearizes ~~the problem~~ ⊗

11-2

SIMPLE example

$$\begin{cases} \dot{x} = -x \\ \dot{y} = y + x^2 \end{cases} \quad \text{let } u = x + ax^2 + bxy + cy^2 \\ v = y + dx^2 + exy + fy^2 \Rightarrow y \in V$$

Clearly $a=b=c=0$, otherwise we'd just introduce new nonlinearities

$$A = \begin{bmatrix} -1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix}$$

$$\dot{v} = \dot{y} + 2dx\dot{x} + ex\dot{y} + 2exy + 2fy\dot{y}$$

$$\begin{aligned} \dot{v} &= y + x^2 + 2dx(-x) + ex(y + x^2) + e(-x)y + 2fy(y + x^2) \\ &= y + x^2 - 2dx^2 + exy + ex^3 - exy + 2fy^2 + 2fxy^2 \\ &= y + (1-2d)x^2 + 2fy^2 + O(3) \end{aligned}$$

$$y + dx^2 + exy + fy^2 = y + (1-2d)x^2 + 2fy^2 + O(3)$$

$$x^2: \quad d = 1-2d \Rightarrow d = \frac{1}{3}$$

$$xy: \quad e = 0$$

$$y^2: \quad f = 2f \Rightarrow f = 0$$

$$v = y + \frac{1}{3}x^2 \quad \text{as we got before}$$

example 2

$$\dot{x} = x$$

again $u = x$

$$\dot{y} = 2y + x^2$$

$$v = y + dx^2 + exy + fy^2$$

$$\dot{v} = 2v = 2(y + dx^2 + exy + fy^2)$$

$$2v = \dot{v} = \dot{y} + 2dx\dot{x} + ex\dot{y} + 2exy + 2fy\dot{y}$$

$$2(y + dx^2 + exy + fy^2) = (2y + x^2) + 2dx \cdot x + ex(2y + x^2) + exy + 2fy(2y + x^2)$$

$$= 2y + x^2 + 2dx^2 + 2exy + ex^3 + exy + 4fy^2 + 2fx^2y$$

$$2y + 2dx^2 + 2exy + 2fy^2 = 2y + (2d+1)x^2 + 3exy + 4fy^2 + O(1x^3)$$

 x^2 term

$$2dx^2 = (2d+1)x^2$$

$$\Rightarrow 0 = x^2$$

No condition on d will allow us to eliminate x^2 terms!

This is called a resonance

11-3

General Theory for Resonances

Suppose $A = Df(0)$ has eigenvalues $\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_n$ then A is resonant if $\exists m_1, \dots, m_n \in \mathbb{Z}^{+,0}$ s.t. $\sum_{k=1}^n m_k \geq 2$ and $(m, \lambda) = \sum m_k \lambda_k = \lambda_s$ for some $s \in \{1, \dots, n\}$

The quantity $m = \sum |m_k|$ is called the order of the resonance

let g_k be defined as above and let G_k be defined as

$$G_2(x) = g_2(x)$$

$$G_k(x) = g_k(G_{k-1}(x)) \quad \text{by mathematical induction}$$

- If we can construct G_k , it is a polynomial of degree k , so if $\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} G_k(x) \rightarrow G(x)$ in some neighborhood of the origin, $G(x)$ is an analytic function defined by a power series.
- To prove convergence of this power series is difficult. We concern ourselves with the formal theory

Assume A is (for now) diagonalizable with distinct eigenvalues and that A is in Jordan form

so, componentwise

$$\dot{x}_j = \lambda_j x_j + \text{higher order terms}$$

as a vector

$$\dot{x} = Ax + v_r(x) + v_{r+1}(x) + \dots \quad \text{where } v_j \text{ contains terms only of order } j \text{ and } r \geq 2$$

$$\text{let } M_r = \{m \in \mathbb{Z}_+^n \mid m_j \geq 0 \text{ and } (m, \lambda) = \sum m_j \lambda_j = \lambda_r\}$$

$$\text{eg in if } x \in \mathbb{R}^{2,2}, r=2, M_r = \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} 2 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 1 \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 2 \end{pmatrix} \right\}$$

$$x \in \mathbb{R}^2, M_3 = \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} 3 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} 2 \\ 1 \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 2 \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 3 \end{pmatrix} \right\}$$

$$\text{Then } v_r = \sum_{m \in M_r} a_m x^m \quad \text{where } x^m = \prod_{j=1}^n x_j^{m_j}$$

$$\text{so if } x \in \mathbb{R}^2, \quad v_2 = a_{(2,0)} x_1^2 + a_{(1,1)} x_1 x_2 + a_{(0,2)} x_2^2$$

Try to construct a near-identity change-of-variables

$$y = x + \dots$$

$$\text{s.t. } \dot{y} = Ay + v_{r+1}(y) + \dots$$

11-4

Suppose Now $\dot{x}_i = \lambda_i x_i + \sum_{m \in M_r} a_{m,i} x^m + v_{r+1,i}(x) + \dots$

let $y = x_i + \sum_{m \in M_r} b_{m,i} x^m$ and try to pick $b_{m,i}$ s.t

$$\dot{y}_i = \lambda_i y_i + v_{r+1,i}(y) \quad (B)$$

Note $\frac{d}{dt} x^m = \sum_k \frac{\dot{x}_k}{x_k} m_k x^m = \left(\sum_{k=1}^n \lambda_k m_k \right) x^m + o(|x|^{r+1})$

$$= (m, \lambda) x^m + o(|x|^{r+1}) \quad (C)$$

so

$$y_i = x_i + \sum_{m \in M_r} b_{m,i} x^m$$

$$\dot{y}_i = \dot{x}_i + \sum_{m \in M_r} b_{m,i} (m, \lambda) x^m + o(|x|^{r+1}) \quad \text{from (C)}$$

$$\lambda_i y_i + \underbrace{v_{r+1,i}(y)}_{=o(|x|^{r+1})} = \left(\lambda_i x_i + \sum_{m \in M_r} a_{m,i} x^m + v_{r+1,i}(x) \right) + \sum_{m \in M_r} b_{m,i} (m, \lambda) x^m + o(|x|^{r+1})$$

$$\lambda_i \cancel{x_i} + \sum_{m \in M_r} b_{m,i} x^m = \cancel{\lambda_i x_i} + \sum_{m \in M_r} a_{m,i} x^m + \sum_{m \in M_r} b_{m,i} (m, \lambda) x^m$$

$$0 = \sum_{m \in M_r} (a_{m,i} + b_{m,i} [(m, \lambda) - \lambda_i]) x_m + o(|x|^{r+1})$$

if $(m, \lambda) - \lambda_i \neq 0$, set $b_{m,i} = \frac{a_{m,i}}{\lambda_i - (m, \lambda)}$

Repeat inductively on r as long as there are no resonant terms

Theorem If A is nonresonant & diagonal, there exists a formal change of variables that linearizes \otimes (Note, this does not say that the radius of convergence is ~~not~~ positive)

Problem: near-resonance: if $|\lambda_i - (m, \lambda)|$ is nonzero but small then $b_{m,i}$ can be large, but of course we need $|b_m| \rightarrow 0$ sufficiently rapidly for the series to converge. This is called a small divisor problem. Exact resonance is called a zero divisor.

11-5

- Poincaré proved (in his Ph.D. thesis!) proved the power series converges if the eigenvectors are non-resonant and $\operatorname{Re} \lambda_i > 0 \forall i$ or $\operatorname{Re} \lambda_i < 0 \forall i$
- Siegel proved that if $\exists C > 0$ and $\nu > 0$ s.t.
 $|\lambda_i - (m, \lambda)| > \frac{C}{|m|^\nu}$ for all $m \in \mathbb{Z}_{+}^n$, the so-called Siegel condition
- If A not diagonalizable but only Jordan-izable, the argument goes through
- Note if $\lambda_1 = 0$ then $\lambda_i = \lambda_1 + \lambda_i$ for $i = 2, \dots, n$, so this is automatically resonant

Poincaré's Linearization Theorem

If the eigenvalues are non-resonant, $\operatorname{Re} \lambda_i > 0 \forall i$ or $\operatorname{Re} \lambda_i < 0 \forall i$, or λ_i satisfy the Siegel condition, then the formal power series converges in some open set containing the origin.

Back to example 2

$\dot{x} = x$ has $\lambda = (1, 2)$ and $\lambda_2 = 2\lambda_1$.
 $\dot{y} = 2y + x^2$ Setting $m = (2, 0)$, then $(m, \lambda) - \lambda_2 = 0$, resonance.

looking at the linearized problem, then we can use the phase equation to find the trajectories

$$\begin{cases} \dot{x} = x \\ \dot{y} = 2y \end{cases} \quad \frac{dy}{dx} = \frac{\dot{y}}{\dot{x}} = \frac{2y}{x}$$

$$\frac{dy}{y} = 2 \frac{dx}{x}$$

$$\ln|y| = 2 \ln|x| + C$$

$$y = Kx^2$$

Applying the same method to the full equation

$$\frac{dy}{dx} = \frac{\dot{y}}{\dot{x}} = \frac{2y + x^2}{x} = \frac{2y}{x} + x$$

$$y' - \frac{2}{x}y = x \quad \text{use the integrating factor } \rho = e^{\int -\frac{2}{x} dx} = e^{-2 \ln x} = x^{-2}$$

$$\downarrow \quad x^{-2}y' - 2x^{-3}y = x^{-2} \cdot x = x^{-1}$$

$$\frac{d}{dx}(x^{-2}y) = x^{-1}$$

$$x^{-2}y = \ln|x| + K$$

$$y = (K + \ln|x|)x^2$$

Topologically indistinguishable from parabolas, but the change of variables is

11-6

When $f(x)$ is merely C^1 and not analytic, then there is no way to find an ~~analytic~~ analytic change of variables to the linearization

When the linearization has a resonance, again there is no analytic change of variables to the linearization

In these cases the Hartman-Grobman Theorem applies:

if $x=0$ is a hyperbolic fixed pt, $f \in C^1$, then \exists continuous invertible change of variables $y = h(x)$ (a homeomorphism) ^{which takes orbits} of the nonlinear flow ϕ_t onto those of the linear flow $e^{Df(0)t}$ and the map can be chosen to preserve time-parameterization of orbits.

- This is much weaker than Poincaré (continuous vs analytic)
- ~~Not~~ Not even differentiable