## Homework 4

## **Theory Part**

Q1 Consider a layer in CNN that takes in a single channel input of 64 × 64, and has 96 filters. In each of the following cases, compute the number of parameters that are learned in this layer. We assume that bias is present for each weight.

[1] A convolution layer with filters of same size as the input.

$$Param = (ksize^2 + 1)*channel$$
  
 $Param = (64^2 + 1)*96$   
 $Param = 393312$ 

Out[1]: 393312

[2] A convolution layer with 8 × 8 filters with stride of 4

$$Param = (ksize)^2 * channel + bias$$
  $Param = (8^2 + 1) * 96$   $Param = 6240$ 

Out[2]: 6240

[3]. A convolution layer with 1 × 1 filter and a stride of 1

$$egin{aligned} Param &= (ksize)^2*channel + bias \ Param &= (1^2 + 1)*96 \ Param &= 192 \end{aligned}$$

**Q2** Suppose you would have a neuron which has an RBF kernel as activation function (remember the evil wolf? Drop your linear style of thoughts. Circumferential thoughts can be nice too.)

$$y = exp(-(x_1^2 + x_2^2)) + b$$

with parameter b. What would be the shapes realized by the set of points  $\{(x1,x2):y((x1,x2))=0\}$  as a function of b ? Explain in at most 2 sentences and/or a little math.

$$egin{aligned} 0 &= exp(-(x_1^2 + x_2^2)) + b \ -b &= exp(-(x_1^2 + x_2^2)) \ -ln(-b) &= x_1^2 + x_2^2 \end{aligned}$$

Therefore, it is a circle centered around the origin with radius  $\sqrt{-ln(-b)}$ . Obviously this is only valid when -1 < b < 0.

Supposed now we add weight,

$$y = exp(-(w_1x_1^2 + w_2x_2^2)) + b$$

what shapes can we realize now? Explain in at most 5 sentences and/or a little math. You can make references to publicly available in the internet materials to explain.

$$-ln(-b) = w_1x_1^2 + w_2x_2^2 \ -ln(-b) = rac{x_1^2}{w_1^{-1}} + rac{x_2^2}{w_2^{-1}} \ 1 = rac{x_1^2}{-ln(-b)\cdot w_1^{-1}} + rac{x_2^2}{-ln(-b)\cdot w_2^{-1}} \ 1 = \left(rac{x_1}{\sqrt{-ln(-b)\cdot w_1^{-1}}}
ight)^2 + \left(rac{x_2}{\sqrt{-ln(-b)\cdot w_2^{-1}}}
ight)^2$$

hence it is an ellipse, centered around the origin with radius  $\sqrt{-ln(-b)\cdot w_1^{-1}}$  along the  $x_1$  axis and radius  $\sqrt{-ln(-b)\cdot w_2^{-1}}$  along the  $x_2$  axis.

Q3 Suppose you have five linear neurons neurons n1, . . . , n5, realizing above decision boundaries as shown in Figure 1. That is: for every decision boundary we have outputs are = 0.5 in the zones marked with red plusses, and = 0.2 in the zones marked with the blue minuses.

**igure1** 

As you know, each neuron is realized by:

$$n_i = 0.3 H(w_1^{(i)} x_1 + w_2^{(i)} x_2 + b^{(i)}) + 0.2, \quad H(z) \in 0, 1$$

where H is the threshold activation function. You want to predict positive values in a shape marked in green in Figure 1. You want to achieve this prediction by combining these neurons using a threshold neuron H:

$$y = H(\sum_i v_i^* n_i + b^*)$$

[1] \_what do you have to do with the weights of  $n_5$  so that you can move the decision boundary of  $n_5$  so that you can realize the shape in green shown above (in the sense of having positive values inside and negative values outside.)? Give a qualitative description. Note: Give a qualitative description in 3 sentences at most. Note that there is an x- and an y-axis, which helps you to express vectors qualitatively.\_

The position of the decision boundary of  $n_5$  depends on its weight and biases. Particularly, the ratio between  $w_1$  and  $w_2$  determines the slant of the boundary, while the ratio between the b and  $w_2$  determines its offset from origin. As the desired position is a shift upwards (given that the boundary continues infinitely), we want to decrease b so that the boundaries shift upwards.

[2] \_after moving the decision boundary of n5 appropriately, the green shape looks a bit like an logical AND-combination of the +-zones for every neuron. How to choose the weights  $v_i^*$  and the bias  $b^*$  in  $y = H(\sum_i v_i^* n_i + b^*)$  so that you can realize the green shape (in the sense of having positive values inside and negative values outside that shape)? Note:  $n_i$  gives out values either 0.5 or 0.2\_

Lets say that function H has a threshold h=0, such that

$$H(z) = 1[z > h] = 1[z > 0]$$

If we were to take green area as  $\{+1\}$ , for the threshold neuron to fire +1, we will need all neurons  $n_i$  to fire 0.5,

$$egin{aligned} 1 &= H(\sum_i 0.5 v_i^* + b^*) \ &= 1[(\sum_i 0.5 v_i^* + b^*) > 0] \ &(\sum_i 0.5 v_i^* + b^*) > 0 \quad -- \quad (1) \end{aligned}$$

If we were to take non-green area as  $\{-1\}$ , for the threshold neuron to fire -1, we will need at least one neuron  $n_j$  to fire 0.2,

$$egin{aligned} 0 &= H(\sum_{i=1} v_i^* n_i + v_j^* n_j + b^*) \ 0 &= H(\sum_{i=1} 0.5 v_i^* + 0.2 v_j^* + b^*) \ 0 &= 1[\sum_{i=1} 0.5 v_i^* + 0.2 v_j^* + b^* > 0] \ \sum_{i=1} 0.5 v_i^* + 0.2 v_j^* + b^* <= 0 \quad -- \quad (2) \end{aligned}$$

For simplicity, we set  $v_i^* = 1$  for all i. Finding b,

$$0.5 \cdot 5 + b^* > 0 \quad -- \quad (1)$$
  $2.5 + b^* > 0$   $b^* > -2.5$   $0.5 \cdot 4 + 0.2 + b^* <= 0 \quad -- \quad (2)$   $2.2 + b^* <= 0$   $b^* <= -2.2$   $therefore \quad -2.5 < b <= -2.2$ 

we can pick any b within this range, e.g. b=-2.4 with our  $v_i^st=1$  , such that

$$H(\sum_i 0.5 - 2.4) = H(2.5 - 2.4) \quad \textit{for all } n_i = 0.5$$
 
$$= H(0.1) = 1[0.1 > 0] = 1 \quad \textit{(green)}$$
 
$$H(\sum_{i-1} 0.5 + 0.2 - 2.4) = H(2.2 - 2.4) \quad \textit{for four } n_i = 0.5 \textit{ and one } n_j = 0.2$$
 
$$= H(-0.2) = 1[-0.2 > 0] = 0 \quad \textit{(outside)}$$


## Coding - Part 1

For this section, there is a script called hw4\_code.py that will hold some definitions e.g. the FlowerDataset class and train\_model function.

Below we will do some sanity check on the dataset.

```
In [3]: from hw4_code import *
        import matplotlib.pyplot as plt
        %matplotlib inline
        from torchvision import transforms
        # testing dataset
        flower_dataset = FlowerDataset('..\\datasets\\flowersstuff\\102flowers\\flowers_data', mode='train')
        flower_dataset_val = FlowerDataset('...\\datasets\\flowersstuff\\102flowers\\flowers_data', mode='val')
        for i in range(3):
            flower1 = flower_dataset[i]
            print('label:',flower1['label'])
            image = transforms.ToPILImage()(flower1['image'])
            invTrans = transforms.Compose([ transforms.Normalize(mean = [ 0., 0., 0. ],
                                                                  std = [1/0.229, 1/0.224, 1/0.225]),
                                             transforms.Normalize(mean = [ -0.485, -0.456, -0.406 ],
                                                                  std = [ 1., 1., 1. ]),
                                            transforms.ToPILImage(),
            clear_image = invTrans(flower1['image'])
            plt.figure()
            plt.subplot(121)
            plt.imshow(clear_image)
            plt.title('original')
            plt.subplot(122)
            plt.imshow(image)
            plt.title('normalized')
```

label: 45
label: 87
label: 20



```
In [19]: | # Getting pretrained resnet
         from torchvision import models
         def get_pretrained_resnet(use_gpu=True):
             model = models.resnet18(pretrained=True)
             if use_gpu:
                 model = model.cuda(0)
             return model
         resnetmodel = get_pretrained_resnet()
         resnet_dict = resnetmodel.state_dict()
In [20]: # training with various learn rate
         for lr in [0.1, 1, 10]:
             print("\n\n\) learning rate {},\n".format(lr))
             learnrate = lr
             optimizer = optim.SGD(resnetmodel.parameters(), lr=learnrate, momentum=0.9)
             trainedmodel = train_model(flower_dataset, resnetmodel, optimizer,
                                         epoch=5, mode='train', use_gpu=True, print_every=1)
             trainedmodel = train_model(flower_dataset_val, trainedmodel, optimizer,
                                         epoch=1, mode='val', use_gpu=True, print_every=1)
         With learning rate 0.1,
         [train] - Epoch 0..
                                                                         in 436.1029s
               >> Epoch loss 1.84200 accuracy 0.032
         [train] - Epoch 1..
               >> Epoch loss 1.19028 accuracy 0.197
                                                                          in 447.7514s
         [train] - Epoch 2..
               >> Epoch loss 0.84594 accuracy 0.354
                                                                         in 437.3213s
         [train] - Epoch 3..
                                                                         in 438.2014s
               >> Epoch loss 0.63037 accuracy 0.500
         [train] - Epoch 4..
                                                                         in 437.8016s
               >> Epoch loss 0.48444 accuracy 0.625
         [val] - Epoch 0..
                                                                          in 30.7290s
               >> Epoch loss 0.50749 accuracy 0.564
         With learning rate 1,
         [train] - Epoch 0...
               >> Epoch loss 0.32693 accuracy 0.737
                                                                          in 438.2095s
         [train] - Epoch 1..
               >> Epoch loss 0.06966 accuracy 0.976
                                                                          in 438.3346s
         [train] - Epoch 2..
               >> Epoch loss 0.02628 accuracy 0.999
                                                                          in 437.9225s
         [train] - Epoch 3..
               >> Epoch loss 0.01591 accuracy 1.000
                                                                          in 436.8100s
         [train] - Epoch 4..
               >> Epoch loss 0.01158 accuracy 1.000
                                                                          in 437.1951s
         [val] - Epoch 0..
               >> Epoch loss 0.11473 accuracy 0.881
                                                                          in 29.1180s
         With learning rate 10,
         [train] - Epoch 0...
               >> Epoch loss 0.12158 accuracy 0.903
                                                                         in 438.5465s
         [train] - Epoch 1..
               >> Epoch loss 0.32397 accuracy 0.666
                                                                         in 440.6043s
         [train] - Epoch 2...
               >> Epoch loss 0.05289 accuracy 0.945
                                                                          in 437.9545s
         [train] - Epoch 3..
               >> Epoch loss 0.00945 accuracy 0.993
                                                                          in 437.7154s
         [train] - Epoch 4..
                                                                          in 437.9883s
               >> Epoch loss 0.00301 accuracy 0.999
```

in 30.8740s

[val] - Epoch 0..

>> Epoch loss 0.04652 accuracy 0.950

```
In [21]: # now lets do the same thing, but train with an empty resnet
         def get_empty_resnet(use_gpu=True):
             model = models.resnet18(pretrained=False)
             if use gpu:
                 model = model.cuda(0)
             return model
         emptymodel = get_empty_resnet()
         empty_dict = resnetmodel.state_dict()
In [22]: # training with various Learn rate
         for lr in [0.1, 1, 10]:
             print("\n\nWith learning rate {},\n".format(lr))
             learnrate = lr
             optimizer = optim.SGD(emptymodel.parameters(), lr=learnrate, momentum=0.9)
             trainedmodel = train_model(flower_dataset, emptymodel, optimizer,
                                        epoch=5, mode='train', use_gpu=True, print_every=1)
             trainedmodel = train_model(flower_dataset_val, trainedmodel, optimizer,
                                        epoch=1, mode='val', use_gpu=True, print_every=1)
         With learning rate 0.1,
         [train] - Epoch 0..
               >> Epoch loss 1.64123 accuracy 0.019
                                                                         in 437.0512s
         [train] - Epoch 1..
               >> Epoch loss 1.44798 accuracy 0.039
                                                                         in 436.9365s
         [train] - Epoch 2..
               >> Epoch loss 1.33094 accuracy 0.050
                                                                         in 437.3202s
         [train] - Epoch 3..
               >> Epoch loss 1.25442 accuracy 0.065
                                                                         in 436.8402s
         [train] - Epoch 4..
               >> Epoch loss 1.19877 accuracy 0.071
                                                                         in 436.8860s
         [val] - Epoch 0..
               >> Epoch loss 1.15961 accuracy 0.076
                                                                         in 28.8649s
         With learning rate 1,
         [train] - Epoch 0..
               >> Epoch loss 1.08050 accuracy 0.087
                                                                         in 436.6231s
         [train] - Epoch 1..
               >> Epoch loss 0.97139 accuracy 0.114
                                                                         in 436.3192s
         [train] - Epoch 2..
               >> Epoch loss 0.91377 accuracy 0.144
                                                                         in 435.9403s
         [train] - Epoch 3..
                                                                         in 437.0819s
               >> Epoch loss 0.86773 accuracy 0.166
         [train] - Epoch 4..
               >> Epoch loss 0.82263 accuracy 0.205
                                                                         in 436.9051s
         [val] - Epoch 0..
               >> Epoch loss 0.84350 accuracy 0.240
                                                                          in 29.9855s
         With learning rate 10,
         [train] - Epoch 0..
               >> Epoch loss 0.97167 accuracy 0.123
                                                                         in 438.8269s
         [train] - Epoch 1..
               >> Epoch loss 0.79522 accuracy 0.222
                                                                         in 440.8582s
         [train] - Epoch 2..
```

>> Epoch loss 0.66263 accuracy 0.326

>> Epoch loss 0.54665 accuracy 0.427

>> Epoch loss 0.44037 accuracy 0.529

>> Epoch loss 0.74751 accuracy 0.394

[train] - Epoch 3..

[train] - Epoch 4..

[val] - Epoch 0..

in 439.2992s

in 437.4545s

in 438.5057s

in 30.1826s

```
In [29]: # now lets do the same thing, but train with an unfrozen resnet
import torch.nn as nn

def get_unfrozen_resnet(numcl, use_gpu=True):
    model = models.resnet18(pretrained=False)
    for param in model.parameters():
        param.requires_grad = False

    num_ftrs = model.fc.in_features
    model.fc = nn.Linear(num_ftrs, numcl)

if use_gpu:
    model = model.cuda(0)
    return model

unfrozenmodel = get_unfrozen_resnet(102)
unfrozen_dict = resnetmodel.state_dict()
```

```
In [31]: # training with various learn rate
         for lr in [0.1, 1, 10]:
             print("\n\nWith learning rate {},\n".format(lr))
             learnrate = lr
             # optimizing only for fully-connected layers
             optimizer = optim.SGD(unfrozenmodel.fc.parameters(), lr=learnrate, momentum=0.9)
             trainedmodel = train_model(flower_dataset, unfrozenmodel, optimizer,
                                        epoch=5, mode='train', use_gpu=True, print_every=1)
             trainedmodel = train_model(flower_dataset_val, trainedmodel, optimizer,
                                        epoch=1, mode='val', use_gpu=True, print_every=1)
         With learning rate 0.1,
         [train] - Epoch 0..
               >> Epoch loss 1.15228 accuracy 0.028
                                                                         in 121.3677s
         [train] - Epoch 1..
                                                                         in 120.7015s
               >> Epoch loss 1.12945 accuracy 0.037
         [train] - Epoch 2..
               >> Epoch loss 1.12416 accuracy 0.045
                                                                         in 122.1937s
         [train] - Epoch 3..
               >> Epoch loss 1.12162 accuracy 0.047
                                                                         in 121.4762s
         [train] - Epoch 4..
               >> Epoch loss 1.11976 accuracy 0.051
                                                                         in 121.7667s
         [val] - Epoch 0..
                                                                         in 27.9434s
               >> Epoch loss 1.11822 accuracy 0.047
         With learning rate 1,
         [train] - Epoch 0..
               >> Epoch loss 1.12177 accuracy 0.052
                                                                         in 121.6749s
         [train] - Epoch 1..
               >> Epoch loss 1.11096 accuracy 0.057
                                                                         in 121.2603s
         [train] - Epoch 2..
               >> Epoch loss 1.10197 accuracy 0.060
                                                                         in 121.2079s
         [train] - Epoch 3..
               >> Epoch loss 1.09436 accuracy 0.062
                                                                         in 121.2120s
         [train] - Epoch 4..
               >> Epoch loss 1.08763 accuracy 0.066
                                                                         in 122.1703s
         [val] - Epoch 0..
               >> Epoch loss 1.07620 accuracy 0.086
                                                                         in 28.6345s
         With learning rate 10,
         [train] - Epoch 0..
               >> Epoch loss 1.14607 accuracy 0.049
                                                                         in 121.1715s
         [train] - Epoch 1..
               >> Epoch loss 1.10701 accuracy 0.065
                                                                         in 121.6716s
         [train] - Epoch 2..
               >> Epoch loss 1.07449 accuracy 0.078
                                                                         in 121.7347s
         [train] - Epoch 3..
               >> Epoch loss 1.04668 accuracy 0.090
                                                                         in 122.4045s
         [train] - Epoch 4..
               >> Epoch loss 1.02227 accuracy 0.103
                                                                         in 122.5093s
         [val] - Epoch 0..
```

## **Coding Part 2**

In [6]: from hw4\_code2 import \*
 import numpy as np

>> Epoch loss 1.03797 accuracy 0.128

in 27.9617s

```
In [7]:
         print("from samplestr.txt:")
          data_tr, label_tr = from_text('samplestr.txt')
          plot_dataset(data_tr, label_tr)
         from samplestr.txt:
         samples with positive class: 2000
         samples with negative class: 8000
            3
           1
           0
          -1
          -2
           -3
In [9]: print("from sampleste.txt:")
          data_te, label_te = from_text('sampleste.txt')
         plot_dataset(data_te, label_te)
         from sampleste.txt:
         samples with positive class: 2000
         samples with negative class: 8000
            3
           2
           1
           0
          -1
          -2
          -3
                   -1
In [10]:
          Creating a new Neural Network
          from torch import nn
         import torch.nn.functional as F
          class OurNet(nn.Module):
              def __init__(self):
                  super(OurNet, self).__init__()
self.fc = nn.Linear(2, 1)
              def forward(self, x):
                  x = F.relu(self.fc(x))
                  return x
         our_net = OurNet()
          print(our_net)
         OurNet(
            (fc): Linear(in_features=2, out_features=1, bias=True)
```


```
In [11]: # testing the Dataset subclass from hw4_code2

    train_set = ImbaDataset('.', mode='train')
    print('train:',train_set[2])
    test_set = ImbaDataset('.', mode='test')
    print('test:', test_set[2])
```

```
In [12]: | # simple training
         import torch
         from torch.utils.data import DataLoader
         import torch.optim as optim
         from torch.autograd import Variable
         from torch.utils.data.sampler import WeightedRandomSampler
         our_net = OurNet().double()
         optimizer = optim.SGD(our_net.parameters(), lr=0.1)
         criterion = nn.BCEWithLogitsLoss()
         def train_ournet(dataset, model, optimizer, criterion, batch_sampler=None,
                          mode='train', balanced_acc=True):
             print_truecount = True
             if batch_sampler:
                 loader = DataLoader(dataset, batch_sampler=batch_sampler)
             else:
                 loader = DataLoader(dataset, batch_size=128, shuffle=True)
             running_loss = 0
             running_corrects = 0
             total_data = 0
             # for balanced sampling
             true_pos = 0
             true_neg = 0
             total_positive = 0
             total_negative = 0
             if mode == 'train':
                 model.train(True)
             else:
                 model.eval()
             for inputdata in loader:
                 if mode == 'train': optimizer.zero_grad()
                 data = Variable(inputdata['data'])
                 labels = Variable(inputdata['label']).view(-1,1)
                 if print truecount:
                     print('First batch true count:', labels.sum().item(), 'out of', len(labels),
                            "({}%)".format(labels.sum().item() * 100 / len(labels)))
                     print_truecount = False
                 outputs = model.forward(data)
                 predictions = (outputs > 0)
                 # balanced stuff
                 true_pos += (predictions.double() * labels.double()).sum().item()
                 true_neg += ((1-predictions).double() * (1-labels).double()).sum().item()
                 total_positive += labels.sum().item()
                 total_negative += (1-labels).sum().item()
                 loss = criterion(outputs, labels)
                 if mode == 'train':
                     loss.backward()
                     optimizer.step()
                 # balanced stuff
                   total_data += (labels * (positive_weight-1) + 1).sum().item()
                 total_data += len(labels)
                 corrects = (predictions.double().cpu() == labels.cpu()).double()
                   weights = (positive_weight - 1) * labels.cpu() + 1
                 running_corrects += (corrects).sum().item()
                 running_loss += loss.item()
               print("total data:", total_data)
             running_corrects /= (total_data)#float(128*100)
             if mode == 'train':
                 print("Trained with accuracy {} and loss {}".format(running_corrects, running_loss))
             elif mode == 'test':
                 print("Tested with accuracy {} and loss {}".format(running_corrects, running_loss))
             if balanced_acc:
```

```
true_pos_rate = true_pos/total_positive
    true_neg_rate = true_neg/(total_negative)
    b_acc = (true_pos_rate + true_neg_rate) / 2
#    print(" True Positive:", true_pos)
#    print(" True Negative:", true_neg)
print(" True Positive Rate:", true_neg)
print(" True Negative Rate:", true_neg_rate)
print(" True Negative Rate:", true_neg_rate)
print(" Balanced Accuracy:", b_acc)
print('-----')
return model
```

```
In [18]: our_net = OurNet().double()
         our_net_trained = train_ournet(train_set, our_net, optimizer, criterion)
         with torch.no_grad():
             our_net_trained = train_ournet(train_set, our_net_trained, optimizer, criterion, mode='test')
             our_net_trained = train_ournet(test_set, our_net_trained, optimizer, criterion, mode='test')
         print(our_net_trained.state_dict())
         plot_dataset(data_tr, label_tr)
         draw model(our net trained)
         First batch true count: 23.0 out of 128 (17.96875%)
         Trained with accuracy 0.8257 and loss 52.962640983636604
            True Positive Rate: 0.5
            True Negative Rate: 0.907125
                Balanced Accuracy: 0.7035625
         First batch true count: 21.0 out of 128 (16.40625%)
         Tested with accuracy 0.8257 and loss 52.917739253768154
            True Positive Rate: 0.5
            True Negative Rate: 0.907125
                Balanced Accuracy: 0.7035625
         First batch true count: 30.0 out of 128 (23.4375%)
         Tested with accuracy 0.8326 and loss 52.92446715943566
            True Positive Rate: 0.5
            True Negative Rate: 0.91575
                Balanced Accuracy: 0.707875
         OrderedDict([('fc.weight', tensor([[-0.0862, 0.5305]], dtype=torch.float64)), ('fc.bias', tensor([-0.2884],
         dtype=torch.float64))])
         samples with positive class: 2000
         samples with negative class: 8000
```



To achieve 50-50 on minibatches, we need a Sampler subclass.

```
In [15]: from random import shuffle
         class OurBatchSampler(object):
             Special batch sampler class that ensures a 50-50
             dataset classes.
             def __init__(self, dataset, batch_size, iteration, random=False, start_shuffle=True):
                 self.dataset = dataset
                 self.batch_size = batch_size
                 self.iteration = iteration
                 self.random = random
                 self.idx_plus = [idx for idx in range(len(dataset)) if dataset[idx]['label'].item() > 0]
                 self.idx_minus = [idx for idx in range(len(dataset)) if idx not in self.idx_plus]
                 if start shuffle:
                     shuffle(self.idx_plus)
                     shuffle(self.idx_minus)
             def __iter__(self):
                 batch = []
                 for i in range(self.iteration):
                     if self.random:
                         idplus = np.random.choice(self.idx_plus, self.batch_size // 2).tolist()
                         idminus = np.random.choice(self.idx_minus, self.batch_size // 2).tolist()
                     else:
                         idplus = [self.get_positive_det() for i in range(self.batch_size // 2)]
                         idminus = [self.get_negative_det() for i in range(self.batch_size // 2)]
                     batch += idplus + idminus
                     yield batch
                     batch = []
             def get_positive_det(self):
                 idx = self.idx_plus[0]
                 self.idx_plus = self.idx_plus[1:] + [idx]
                 return idx
             def get_negative_det(self):
                 idx = self.idx_minus[0]
                 self.idx_minus = self.idx_minus[1:] + [idx]
                 return idx
             def __len__(self):
                 return self.batch_size * self.iteration
         train_sampler = OurBatchSampler(train_set, 128, 100)
         print('train sampler built')
         test_sampler = OurBatchSampler(test_set, 128, 100)
         print('test sampler built')
```

train sampler built test sampler built


```
In [16]: our_net = OurNet().double()
         print('\nWithout 50-50 sampling:')
         our_net_trained = train_ournet(train_set, our_net, optimizer, criterion)
         # print('Train: accuracy')
         # our_net_trained = train_ournet(train_set, our_net_trained, optimizer,
                                          criterion, mode='test')
         with torch.no_grad():
             print('Test: accuracy')
             our_net_trained = train_ournet(test_set, our_net_trained, optimizer,
                                            criterion, mode='test')
             plot_dataset(data_tr, label_tr)
             draw_model(our_net_trained)
         Without 50-50 sampling:
         First batch true count: 30.0 out of 128 (23.4375%)
         Trained with accuracy 0.6439 and loss 53.94670419843951
            True Positive Rate: 0.5
            True Negative Rate: 0.679875
                Balanced Accuracy: 0.5899375
         Test: accuracy
         First batch true count: 38.0 out of 128 (29.6875%)
```

True Negative Rate: 0.6725
Balanced Accuracy: 0.586249999999999

Tested with accuracy 0.638 and loss 54.12464868764891

samples with positive class: 2000 samples with negative class: 8000

True Positive Rate: 0.5



```
With 50-50 sampling:
First batch true count: 64.0 out of 128 (50.0%)
Trained with accuracy 0.775859375 and loss 75.1650439681061
            True Positive Rate: 1.0
            True Negative Rate: 0.55171875
                            Balanced Accuracy: 0.775859375
Test: accuracy
First batch true count: 27.0 out of 128 (21.09375%)
Tested with accuracy 0.6422 and loss 90.2322079772061
            True Positive Rate: 1.0
            True Negative Rate: 0.55275
                            Balanced Accuracy: 0.776375
samples with positive class: 2000
samples with negative class: 8000
\label{lem:orderedDict} OrderedDict([('fc.weight', tensor([[ 0.5646,         0.1262]], dtype=torch.float64)), ('fc.bias', tensor([-0.3454], tensor([-0.345
dtype=torch.float64))])
```

